

# NON-PERIODICITY OF CAPUTO FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES

RUI A. C. FERREIRA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that a non-constant periodic function cannot have a periodic Caputo fractional derivative by relaxing the conditions appearing in previous works.

## 1. PREAMBLE

Consider, for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and a continuous function  $f : [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the Riemann–Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha$ ,

$$I_{a^+}^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds.$$

For a sufficiently smooth function  $f$  and  $n-1 < \alpha < n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative and the Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  of  $f$  are defined, respectively, by

$$D_{a^+}^\alpha f(t) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n [I_{a^+}^{n-\alpha} f](t),$$

and

$${}^C D_{a^+}^\alpha f(t) = D_{a^+}^\alpha \left[ f(\cdot) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (\cdot - a)^k \right] (t).$$

In recent times engineers and scientists have developed new models that involve fractional differential equations. These models have been applied successfully, e.g., in mechanics (theory of viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity), (bio-)chemistry (modelling of polymers and proteins), electrical engineering (transmission of ultrasound waves), medicine (modelling of human tissue under mechanical loads), etc... (cf. [3]). The mathematical theory of fractional calculus has also been evolving, in several different research directions [3, 5, 6].

In the past decade some works appeared in the literature showing that a non-constant  $T$ -periodic ( $T > 0$ ) function cannot have a  $T$ -periodic fractional derivative (whether it's the Riemann–Liouville or the Caputo one) [1, 4]. One application of such a result is the following: Consider the dynamical system

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha y(t) = f(t, y(t)), \quad t > 0, \quad y(0) = y_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $f$  is  $T$ -periodic with respect to its first argument. Then, there are no non-constant  $T$ -periodic solutions to (1). For, if it exists such a solution, then

$${}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha y(t+T) = f(t+T, y(t+T)) = f(t, y(t)) = {}^C D_{0^+}^\alpha y(t),$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33; 34C25.

*Key words and phrases.* Caputo fractional derivative, periodic function, dynamical system.

i.e.,  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha y$  is  $T$ -periodic, which contradicts the aforementioned result (cf. [1, 4]).

The (non-)periodicity result proved in [1, 4] is obtained imposing harsh restrictions on the functional space or on the order of the derivative. Concretely, in [1], the authors consider only  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and in [4] the authors consider functions  $y \in C^m[0, \infty)$ , with  $n - 1 < \alpha < n$ . With respect to the latter we should remind the reader that, under the continuity assumption of  $f$  in (1), a solution  $y \in C^{n-1}[0, \delta]$  ( $\delta > 0$ ) to (1) exists. However, in general, there are no solutions in  $C^n[0, \delta]$  (cf. [3, Section 6.4]).

Motivated by the reasoning in the previous paragraph, in this work we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $n - 1 < \alpha < n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Consider the functional space  $\mathcal{F} = \{f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$  s.t.  $f \in C^{n-1}[0, \infty), {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha f \in C[0, \infty)\}$ .*

*If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is a non-constant  $T$ -periodic function ( $T > 0$ ), then  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha f$  is not a  $T$ -periodic function.*

For the proof of Theorem 1, which we postpone to the next section, we will make use of the following (key) result:

**Proposition 1.** [2, Lemma A.2] *Let  $c > a$  be a real number and  $u \in L^1[a, c]$ . Consider the function  $\Psi : (c, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$\Psi(t) = \int_a^c (t-s)^\mu u(s) ds, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}.$$

*If  $\Psi$  is a polynomial over a subinterval  $I \subset (c, \infty)$  with a nonempty interior, then  $u = 0$ .*

We close this section emphasizing that Theorem 1 furnishes a remarkable difference between the classical (integer order derivative) and the fractional calculus.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 AND SOME OBSERVATIONS

*Proof.* (of Theorem 1) Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is a non-constant  $T$ -periodic function such that

$${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha f(t) = {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha f(t+T), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then (cf. the proof of [5, Theorem 2.1]),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(s) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \int_0^{t+T} (t+T-s)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(s) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] ds \right)' \end{aligned}$$

where we also have used the chain rule on the right hand side. Integrating both sides of the previous equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(s) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^{t+T} (t+T-s)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(s) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] ds + c, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Performing the change of variable  $s = r - T$  on the left hand side of the previous equality and recalling that  $f^{n-1}$  is also a non-constant  $T$ -periodic function on  $[0, \infty)$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_T^{t+T} (t+T-r)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(r) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] dr \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^{t+T} (t+T-s)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(s) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] ds + c, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^T (t+T-s)^{n-\alpha-1} [f^{(n-1)}(s) - f^{(n-1)}(0)] ds = -c, \quad t \geq 0.$$

By Proposition 1 we conclude that  $f^{(n-1)}$  is constant on  $[0, T]$ , property that extends to  $[0, \infty)$  by periodicity. Therefore,  $f$  is a polynomial function which, by hypothesis, must be constant. This is absurd and, therefore, the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *It is pertinent to highlight the following:*

- (1) *The main result of [1] and [4] relies on the usage of the Laplace transform and the Mellin transform, respectively. The proof of Proposition 1 does not make use of such methods, therefore, being of different nature of the previous known ones in the literature.*
- (2) *In [1, Section 4] the authors actually show that the Caputo fractional derivative of a  $T$ -periodic function cannot be  $\tilde{T}$ -periodic for any period  $\tilde{T}$ . It is unclear for us if one can directly apply Proposition 1 to show such result without making use of integral transforms.*
- (3) *Consider the function,*

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(nx)}{n^2}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

*This function is continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic on  $[0, \infty)$ . It has continuous first order derivatives for all  $x > 0$  except at the points  $x = 2m\pi$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . But, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha S \in C[0, \infty)$  (cf. [7, Theorem 3] and recall that  $S(0) = 0$ , hence,  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha S = D_{0+}^\alpha S$ ). Therefore,  $S$  is a function for which we can apply Theorem 1 (hence, concluding that  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha S$  is not  $2\pi$ -periodic on  $[0, \infty)$ ) but not [4, Theorem 2].*

- (4) *Let  $1 < \alpha < 2$ . We may show that the function  $f_\alpha(x) = E_\alpha(-x^\alpha)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , where  $E_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{\Gamma(k\alpha+1)}$  is the Mittag-Leffler function, is not periodic<sup>1</sup> (note that  $E_2(-x^2) = \cos(x)$ ). Indeed, the function  $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$  solves the following fractional initial value problem (cf. [5, Example 4.10]),*

$${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha y(t) = -y(t), \quad y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

*which proves the claim by Theorem 1. Observe that, since  $\alpha \notin (0, 1)$  and  $f_\alpha \notin C^2[0, \infty)$ , we could not use the results of [1] and [4] to (2).*

<sup>1</sup>We note that this result follows easily from, e.g., [3, Theorem 7.5].

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Rui A. C. Ferreira was supported by the "Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)" through the program "Stimulus of Scientific Employment, Individual Support-2017 Call" with reference CEECIND/00640/2017.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Area, J. Losada, J. J. Nieto, On fractional derivatives and primitives of periodic functions, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014, Art. ID 392598, 8 pp.
- [2] L. Bourdin and R. A. C. Ferreira, Legendre's Necessary Condition for Fractional Bolza Functionals with Mixed Initial/Final Constraints, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **190** (2021), no. 2, 672–708.
- [3] K. Diethelm, *The analysis of fractional differential equations. An application-oriented exposition using differential operators of Caputo type.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2004. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [4] E. Kaslik and S. Sivasundaram, Non-existence of periodic solutions in fractional-order dynamical systems and a remarkable difference between integer and fractional-order derivatives of periodic functions. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **13** (2012), no. 3, 1489–1497.
- [5] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations.* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [6] F. Mainardi, *Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity: An Introduction to Mathematical Models*, 2nd Edition. World Scientific, 587 pages, 2022.
- [7] B. Ross, S. G. Samko and E. R. Love, Functions that have no first order derivative might have fractional derivatives of all orders less than one. *Real Anal. Exchange* **20** (1994/95), no. 1, 140–157.

GRUPO FÍSICA-MATEMÁTICA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO 2, 1649-003 LISBOA, PORTUGAL AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BEIRA INTERIOR, COVILHÃ 6201-001, PORTUGAL. *Email:* raferreira@fc.ul.pt.