

## A PROBABILISTIC APPROACH FOR CONVERGENCE OF AN OPERATOR BASED UPON HERMITE POLYNOMIALS

VIJAY GUPTA

ABSTRACT. In this article we study some properties of an operator, which is based on Hermite polynomials. We find the estimates of convergence for such operators in the light of probabilistic approach.

### 1. Introduction

Krech [8] introduced an operator based on Hermite polynomials, which for  $f \in C[0, \infty)$  is defined as follows

$$(1) \quad (G_n^\alpha f)(x) = e^{-(nx+\alpha x^2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} H_k(n, \alpha) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad \alpha, x \geq 0, n \in N,$$

where  $H_k(n, \alpha)$  are the Hermite polynomials depending on two variables given by

$$H_k(n, \alpha) := k! \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\alpha^m}{m!} \frac{n^{k-2m}}{(k-2m)!}, \quad n, k \in N.$$

As a special case when  $\alpha = 0$ , then  $H_k(n, 0) = n^k$  and we get the classical Szász-Mirakyan operators defined by

$$(2) \quad (G_n f)(x) := (G_n^0 f)(x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad \alpha, x \geq 0, n \in N,$$

Also, for negative values the two variable Hermite polynomials are connected with standard Hermite polynomial  $H_k(\alpha) := k! \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^s (2\alpha)^{k-2s}}{(k-2s)!}$ , by the relation  $H_k(2\alpha, -1) = H_k(\alpha)$ .

**Remark 1.** As the Hermite distribution is a combination of two independent Poisson distributions, the moment generating function of the operators  $G_n^\alpha$  for  $A$  real may be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} (G_n^\alpha e^{At})(x) &= e^{-nx-\alpha x^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(nx)^{k-2m}}{(k-2m)!} \frac{(\alpha x^2)^m}{m!} e^{Ak/n} \\ &= e^{(e^{A/n}-1)nx} e^{\alpha x^2 (e^{2A/n}-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this can also be obtained by using generating function of Hermite polynomials (see [6, Lemma 2.1]).

The author is thankful to the reviewer for making valuable comments, leading to overall improvements in the paper. Thanks are also due to the handling editor for sending the reports timely.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60B10, 41A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Hermite polynomials; moment generating function; convergence; expectation.

1 The above generating function may be used to find moments of the operators  $G_n^\alpha$  as discussed in  
 2 [7] for several other operators. The commendable work related to probabilistic distributions of many  
 3 operators was discussed by Adell and collaborators see for instance [1], [2], [3] etc.

4  
 5 In the present article, we discuss some convergence estimates for the operators  $G_n^\alpha$  using probabilistic  
 6 approach.

7  
 8 **2. Probabilistic representation**

9 We start with the original Szász-Mirakyan operators:

10  
 11  
 12 **Proposition 1.** Suppose that  $\{N_i := N_i(x)\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of identically distributed and independent  
 13 Poisson random variables with the parameter  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , that is

14  
 15 
$$P(N(x) = k) = e^{-x} \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

16  
 17 Taking  $N(nx) = N_1(x) + N_2(x) + \dots + N_n(x)$ . The distribution  $N(nx)$  is also Poisson with

18  
 19 (3) 
$$(G_n f)(x) = E f \left( \frac{N(nx)}{n} \right),$$

20  
 21 where  $E$  denotes the expectation. Obviously

22 
$$E [N(nx)] = nx \quad E [(N(nx))^2] = n^2 x^2 + nx.$$

23  
 24 Also, mean and variance of  $G_n$  are given by

25  
 26 
$$E \left( \frac{N(nx)}{n} - x \right) = 0, \quad E \left( \left( \frac{N(nx)}{n} - x \right)^2 \right) = \frac{x}{n}.$$

27  
 28 *Proof.* The proof follows by simple analysis. □

29  
 30 **Proposition 2.** Let  $x > 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  with  $N(nx)$  and  $N(\alpha x^2)$  are two independent Poisson variables  
 31 with parameters  $nx$  and  $\alpha x^2$ . Also, the function  $e^{-(nx+\alpha x^2)} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(\alpha x^2)^m (nx)^{k-2m}}{m! (k-2m)!}$  defined in (1) is the  
 32 probability density of the random variable

33  
 34 (4) 
$$V_n^\alpha(x) = N(nx) + 2N(\alpha x^2).$$

35  
 36 As a consequence,

37  
 38 (5) 
$$(G_n^\alpha f)(x) = E f \left( \frac{N(nx) + 2N(\alpha x^2)}{n} \right).$$

39  
 40 *Proof.* Consider the independent Poisson process  $N(nx)$  and  $N(\alpha x^2)$  given by

41  
 42 
$$N(nx) = e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^r}{r!}, r = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \quad N(\alpha x^2) = e^{-\alpha x^2} \frac{(\alpha x^2)^m}{m!}, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

1 As the probability distribution of random variable  $N(nx) + N(\alpha x^2)$  is the Hermite distribution, the  
 2 probability function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 3 P(V_n^\alpha = k) &= e^{-nx - \alpha x^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(nx)^{k-2m} (\alpha x^2)^m}{(k-2m)! m!} \\ 4 &= e^{-nx - \alpha x^2} \sum_{\substack{r+2m=k \\ r, m \geq 0}} \frac{(nx)^r (\alpha x^2)^m}{r! m!}, \end{aligned}$$

5  
 6  
 7  
 8 implying

$$9 (G_n^\alpha f)(x) = Ef \left( \frac{N(nx) + 2N(\alpha x^2)}{n} \right).$$

□

10  
 11  
 12  
 13 Let us consider  $W = \frac{V_n^\alpha(x)}{n}$ , then it can be observed that

$$14 (6) \quad E(W) = x + \frac{2\alpha x^2}{n}$$

15  
 16 and

$$17 (7) \quad Var(W) = \frac{x}{n} + \frac{4\alpha x^2}{n^2}.$$

18  
 19  
 20 **Remark 2.** In view of (4), we can define  $V_n^\alpha(0) = 0$ . Thus, the operator  $G_n^\alpha$  acts on real measurable  
 21 functions  $f$  defined on positive real axis for which the sum in (1) makes sense, because  $H_0(n, \alpha) = 1$  and  
 22  $(G_n^\alpha f)(0) = f(0)$ . In other words,  $G_n^\alpha$  interpolates  $f$  at the origin. On the other hand, representation  
 23 (5) immediately implies that

$$24 (G_n^\alpha f)(x) \rightarrow f(x), \quad x \geq 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

25  
 26 whenever we can apply dominated convergence.

27  
 28 **Corollary 1.** Suppose that  $f$  is increasing. For any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\beta \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 29 (G_n^\alpha f)(x) &\leq (G_n^\alpha f)(y), \quad 0 \leq x \leq y \\ 30 (8) \quad (G_{n+1}^\alpha f)(x) &\leq (G_n^\alpha f)(x), \quad 0 \leq x. \end{aligned}$$

31  
 32 In addition,

$$33 (9) \quad (G_n^{\alpha_1} f)(x) \leq (G_n^{\alpha_2} f)(x), \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2, \quad 0 \leq x.$$

34  
 35 *Proof.* The Eq. (8), follows from the fact that the Poisson pdf is a member of the monotone likelihood  
 36 ratio family, hence is stochastically ordered (increasing).

37 As the Poisson processes  $(N_t)_{t \geq 0}$  have non decreasing paths, this implies that  $V_n(\alpha_1, x) \leq V_n(\alpha_2, x)$ ,  
 38 whenever  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$  i.e. (9) follows. □

39  
 40 By  $C_B[0, \infty)$  we denote the class of bounded and continuous functions on  $x \geq 0$ . The Peetre's  
 41  $K$ -functional can be defined as

$$42 K_2(f, \delta) = \inf\{\|f - g\| + \delta \|g''\| : g \in W^2\},$$

1 where

$$2 \quad W^2 = \{g \in C_B[0, \infty) : g', g'' \in C_B[0, \infty)\}.$$

3 This Peetre's  $K$ -functional will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.

4 **Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,

$$5 \quad |(G_n^\alpha f)(x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_2\left(f; \frac{x^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + 2\omega_1\left(f; \frac{2\alpha x^2}{n}\right),$$

8 where  $C$  is an absolute constant.

9 *Proof.* Since  $G_n$  is centered, following [4, Theorem 1] we have

$$10 \quad (10) \quad |(G_n^\alpha f)(x) - f(x)| \leq |(G_n f)(x) - f(x)| + |(G_n^\alpha f)(x) - (G_n f)(x)|.$$

12 To estimate the first term in right side of (10), we proceed as follows:

14 Consider a function  $g \in W^2$ , and  $x, t \in [0, \infty)$ . Using the integral form of the Taylor series

$$15 \quad g(t) = g(x) + (t-x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t-v)g''(v)dv,$$

17 and by Proposition 1 in the following steps, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 19 \quad (G_n g)(x) - g(x) &= E \left[ g\left(\frac{N(nx)}{n}\right) - g(x) \right] \\ 20 &= E \left[ g'(x) \left(\frac{N(nx)}{n} - x\right) + \int_x^{N(nx)/n} \left(\frac{N(nx)}{n} - v\right) g''(v) dv \right] \\ 21 &\leq \|g''\| E \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{N(nx)}{n} - x\right)^2 \right] = \frac{x}{2n} \|g''\|. \end{aligned}$$

26 Also,  $|(G_n f)(x)| \leq \|f\|$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} 27 \quad |(G_n f)(x) - f(x)| &\leq |(G_n f - g)(x) - (f - g)(x)| + |(G_n g)(x) - g(x)| \\ 28 &\leq 2\|f - g\| + \frac{x}{2n} \|g''\| \leq C\omega_2\left(f, \sqrt{\frac{x}{2n}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

31 where in the last step, we have used the  $K$ -functional and moduli of continuity connection (see [5]).

32 Next, using the subadditivity of  $\omega_1(f; \cdot)$ , (3) and Proposition 2, we obtain for any  $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 34 \quad |(G_n^\alpha f)(x) - (G_n f)(x)| &= \left| E f\left(\frac{N(nx) + 2N(\alpha x^2)}{n}\right) - E f\left(\frac{N(nx)}{n}\right) \right| \\ 35 &\leq E \omega_1\left(f; \frac{2N(\alpha x^2)}{n}\right) \\ 36 &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} E\left(\frac{2N(\alpha x^2)}{n}\right)\right) \omega_1(f; \delta), \end{aligned}$$

40 as  $E\left(\frac{2N(\alpha x^2)}{n}\right) = 2\alpha x^2/n$ . The conclusion follows from (10), (11) and (12) by choosing  $\delta = 2\alpha x^2/n$ .

42  $\square$

1 Let  $s = 1, 2, \dots$  be fixed. We consider the operator  $\tilde{G}_{n,s}^\alpha$  defined as

$$2 \quad (\tilde{G}_{n,s}^\alpha f)(x) = (G_{sn}^\alpha f)(\frac{x}{n}).$$

3  
4 By Propositions 1 and 2, with  $f_n(t) = f(nt)$  this operator can be represented in probabilistic terms  
5 as

$$6 \quad (\tilde{G}_{n,s}^\alpha f)(x) = Ef_n\left(\frac{N(sx) + 2N(\alpha x^2/n^2)}{sn}\right)$$

$$7 \quad = Ef\left(\frac{N(sx)}{s} + 2\frac{N(\alpha x^2/n^2)}{s}\right).$$

8 (13)

9 We simply denote by

$$10 \quad (14) \quad \tilde{Y}(x) = \frac{N(sx)}{s}, \quad \tilde{Y} = 2\frac{N(\alpha x^2/n^2)}{s}.$$

11 Thus following Propositions 1 and 2, we get

$$12 \quad (15) \quad E\tilde{Y}(x) = x, \quad E\tilde{Y} = \frac{2\alpha x^2}{sn^2}.$$

13 Observe that  $E\tilde{Y}$  is much less than  $2\alpha x^2/n$  as given in (6). Next following Proposition 2 the variance  
14 is given by

$$15 \quad (16) \quad \tilde{\sigma}^2(x) = \frac{x^2}{s} + \frac{4\alpha x^2}{n^2 s^2}.$$

16 Again this variance for large values of  $s$  is much less than that i.e. (7) as given in Proposition 2. As we  
17 will see in the following result, these two facts imply that the rate of convergence for the operator  $\tilde{G}_n^\alpha$   
18 is much faster than that for  $G_n^\alpha$ .

19 **Theorem 2.** Let  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,

$$20 \quad |(\tilde{G}_{n,s}^\alpha f)(x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{x}{s}}\right) + 2\omega_1\left(f; \frac{\alpha x^2}{sn^2}\right).$$

21 *Proof.* By (13) and (14), we can write

$$22 \quad (17) \quad (\tilde{G}_{n,s}^\alpha f)(x) - f(x) = Ef(\tilde{Y}(x)) - f(x) + Ef(\tilde{Y}(x) + \tilde{Y}) - Ef(\tilde{Y}(x)).$$

23 Recalling Proposition 1 and (16), we can apply Theorem 1 to obtain

$$24 \quad (18) \quad |Ef(\tilde{Y}(x)) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{x}{s}}\right).$$

25 As in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$26 \quad |Ef(\tilde{Y}(x) + \tilde{Y}) - Ef(\tilde{Y}(x))| \leq E\omega_1(f; \tilde{Y}) \leq \omega_1(f; E\tilde{Y}) = 2\omega_1\left(f; \frac{\alpha x^2}{sn^2}\right),$$

27 where the last equality follows from (15). This, together with (17) and (18), completes the proof.  $\square$

1 **Remark 3.** *The above quantitative estimate justifies the point-wise convergence recently estimated in*  
2 *[6, Theorem 3.1], for sufficiently large  $n$ . Because for  $n$  large enough we have the only first term in the*  
3 *right hand side of the statement of Theorem 2, which is true for Szász-Mirakyan operator of index  $s$ .*  
4 *Also from Theorem 1, which may be verified by taking  $\alpha = 0$ .*

5

6

## References

7

[1] J. A. Adell and A. Lekuona, Sharp estimates in signed Poisson approximation of Poisson mixtures, *Bernoulli* 11(1): 47-65 (2005). DOI: 10.3150/bj/1110228242

8

[2] J. A. Adell, F. G. Badía and J. de la Cal, On the Iterates of Some Bernstein-Type Operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 209 (2) (1997), 529–541.

9

[3] J. A. Adell and J. de la Cal, Bernstein type operators diminish  $\phi$ -variation, *Constr. Approx.* 12 (1996), 489-507.

10

[4] J. A. Adell and V. Gupta, Semi-exponential operators connected to  $x^3$ - A probabilistic perspective, *Mathematical Foundations of Computing*. doi: 10.3934/mfc.2024008

11

[5] R. A. Devore, G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.

12

[6] V. Gupta and D. Malik, On convergence of certain Hermite-type operators, *Filomat* 38:4 (2024), 1367-1374.

13

[7] V. Gupta and M. Th. Rassias, *Moments of Linear Positive Operators and Approximation*, Series: SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer Nature Switzerland AG (2019).

14

[8] G. Krech, A note on some positive linear operators associated with the Hermite polynomials, *Carpathian J. Math.* 32(1)(2016), 71–77.

15

16

17

18

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NETAJI SUBHAS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SECTOR 3 DWARKA, NEW DELHI 110078, INDIA, ORCID ID: [HTTP://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-5768-5763](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5768-5763)

19

*Email address:* vijaygupta2001@hotmail.com; vijay@nsut.ac.in

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42