

CLOSED QUANTUM SURFACES FROM THE TOEPLITZ EXTENSION

ARLEY SIERRA AND ELMAR WAGNER

ABSTRACT. Closed quantum surfaces of any genus are defined as subalgebras of the Toeplitz algebra by mimicking the classical construction of identifying arcs on the boundary of the (quantum) unit disk. Isomorphism classes obtained from different arrangements of arcs are classified. It is shown that the K-groups are isomorphic to the classical counterparts and explicit generators of the C*-algebras and of the K-groups are given.

1. Introduction

Quantization of a compact topological space or manifold means, roughly speaking, the replacement of the C*-algebra of continuous functions by a noncommutative C*-algebra. However, there is no universal procedure that tells us how to pass from a commutative C*-algebra to a noncommutative one while maintaining certain topological features of the space. This reflects a recurrent problem in quantum physics, where no functorial method for the quantization of classical observables or fields is known [7]. On the other hand, the relevance of noncommutative geometry [4] in mathematics and theoretical physics can only be evidenced by providing a proper amount of useful examples. The aim of this paper is to present a whole family of noncommutative topological spaces, namely quantizations of all closed two-dimensional surfaces. This can be seen as a first step of the wider project of quantizing (finite) CW-complexes [5].

Our starting point will be the Toeplitz quantization of the unit disk [9]. It replaces the continuous functions on the closed disk by their corresponding Toeplitz operators, see Section 2 for more details. The C*-algebra \mathcal{T} generated by all these Toeplitz operators yields a non-trivial C*-algebra extension of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ by the compact operators \mathcal{K} on a separable Hilbert space. Then the so-called symbol map $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ may be viewed as the restriction of continuous functions on the quantum disk to the boundary circle \mathbb{S}^1 . Based on the premise that the quantum disk admits a classical boundary circle, we will define in Section 3 closed quantum surfaces by considering C*-subalgebras of \mathcal{T} that correspond to glueing pairs of arcs on the boundary circle in such a way that the commutative analog yields a C*-algebra isomorphic to the continuous functions on a specific closed surface.

Classically, a closed surface can be obtained from different arrangements of arcs. The standard method for providing an homeomorphism is based on a “cut and glue” technique, which is not available in the quantum case. In fact, we will show in Section 4 that isomorphism classes of closed quantum surfaces are labeled by the number of projective spaces and tori that are used when the arrangements of arcs are directly interpreted as a connected sum of these building blocks. In this sense, the Toeplitz

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L65, 46L80, 46L85, 58B34.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative topology, K-Theory, Toeplitz algebra, quantum surfaces.

1 quantization decreases degeneracy. Furthermore, for each isomorphism class, we will give a essentially
2 normal generator of the corresponding C*-algebra in terms of unilateral and bilateral shift operators.

3 Since the definition of closed quantum surfaces will be given just by analogy to the classical case,
4 there arises the question of whether the quantization changes topological invariants. The topological
5 invariants that we consider in this paper are the K-groups of the C*-algebras. In Section 5, we will
6 prove that the K-groups of the closed quantum surfaces are isomorphic to the classical counterparts.
7 Finally, for eventual future use, explicit descriptions of the generators of the K-groups are given.

8 9 2. Quantum disk view on the Toeplitz algebra

10 Let $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{D}} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ denote the open and closed unit disk, respectively.
11 We write $L_2(\mathbb{D})$ for the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions with respect to the Lebesgue
12 measure and $A_2(\mathbb{D})$ for the subspace of square-integrable holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} . Since $A_2(\mathbb{D}) \subset$
13 $L_2(\mathbb{D})$ is closed, there exists an orthogonal projection, say P , from $L_2(\mathbb{D})$ onto $A_2(\mathbb{D})$. Now the Toeplitz
14 operator $T_f \in \mathcal{B}(A_2(\mathbb{D}))$ with continuous symbol $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ is given by

$$15 \quad T_f(\psi) := P(f\psi), \quad \psi \in A_2(\mathbb{D}) \subset L_2(\mathbb{D}),$$

17 and the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T} may be defined as the C*-subalgebra generated by all T_f in the C*-algebra
18 of bounded operators $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(A_2(\mathbb{D}))$.

19 It can be shown (see e. g. [11]) that the operator ideal of compact operators $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(A_2(\mathbb{D})) \cong$
20 $\mathcal{K}(l_2(\mathbb{N}_0))$ belongs to \mathcal{T} and that the quotient \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(S^1)$, where we view $S^1 = \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$
21 as the boundary of $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. This gives rise to the C*-algebra extension

$$22 \quad (1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}(S^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

24 with the so-called symbol map $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(S^1)$ given by $\sigma(T_f) = f|_{S^1}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$.

25 The application $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}) \ni f \mapsto T_f \in \mathcal{B}(A_2(\mathbb{D}))$ will be viewed as a quantization of the commutative
26 unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$. In agreement with [9], we refer to the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T} =: \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q)$ as
27 the algebra of continuous functions on the quantum disk. In the commutative case, the C*-algebra
28 extension (1) corresponds to the exact sequence

$$29 \quad (2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{C}(S^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

32 where $\rho(f) = f|_{S^1}$.

33 Let $z \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$, $z(x) = x$ denote the identity function. By the Stone–Weierstrass Theorem, the
34 functions $1, z$ and $z^* := \bar{z}$ generate the C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$. For this reason, $1, T_z$ and T_{z^*} generate \mathcal{T} .

35 On the orthonormal basis $\{e_n := \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} z^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ of $A_2(\mathbb{D})$, the operator T_z acts by $T_z e_n = \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+2}} e_{n+1}$.

36 Next, consider the unilateral shift

$$37 \quad (3) \quad S e_n := e_{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

39 As $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\sqrt{n+2}} - 1 = 0$, it follows that $T_z - S \in \mathcal{K}$. Knowing that the C*-algebra generated by $1, S$ and
40 S^* contains the compact operators, it can be inferred that $1, S$ and S^* also generate \mathcal{T} . Moreover,

$$41 \quad (4) \quad \sigma(S) = \sigma(T_z) =: u \in \mathcal{C}(S^1), \quad u(e^{it}) = e^{it}.$$

1 Comparing the C*-algebra extensions (1) and (2), it seems that the Toeplitz quantization $f \mapsto T_f$
 2 amounts to replacing $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})$ by \mathcal{K} . The following chain of K-theoretic identities gives an additional
 3 motivation for this interpretation:

$$4 \quad K_i(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})) \cong K_i(\Sigma^2\mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong K_i(\mathcal{K}), \quad i = 0, 1.$$

6 Here, $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ denotes the suspension of a C*-algebra \mathcal{A} . The first isomorphism comes from an isomor-
 7 phism of C*-algebras, the second one from Bott periodicity, the third one holds by stabilization, and
 8 the last one is trivial. Using $K_0(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}[1]$, $K_1(\mathbb{C}) = 0$, $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}[1]$ and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) = \mathbb{Z}[u]$, with
 9 u being the unitary defined in (3), the K-groups of \mathcal{T} can easily be computed from the 6-term exact
 10 sequence of K-theory:

$$11 \quad (5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}[1-SS^*] \cong K_0(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{l_*} & K_0(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[1] \\ & & \uparrow \text{ind} & & \downarrow \text{exp} \\ \mathbb{Z}[u] \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} & K_1(\mathcal{T}) & \xleftarrow{l_*} & K_1(\mathcal{K}) \cong 0. \end{array}$$

16 The index map $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$ relates closely to the winding number $\text{wind}(\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$
 17 of continuous functions $\Phi : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ and to the Fredholm index $\text{Ind}(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of invertible elements
 18 $[F] \in \mathfrak{C}$ in the Calkin algebra $\mathfrak{C} := \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$. Applying the fact that $K_0(\mathcal{B}) = K_1(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, the index map
 19 $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$ in the 6-term exact sequence corresponding to the C*-algebra extension
 20 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow 0$ yields an isomorphism. Moreover, the unitary element $u = \sigma(S) \in \mathfrak{C}$ admits
 21 obviously a lift by the isometry S from (3). By [12, Ex. 8.C], $\text{ind}[u] = -[1-SS^*]$, where $[1-SS^*]$
 22 represents a generator of $K_0(\mathcal{K})$. Since ind is a group homomorphism, we may write, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 23

$$24 \quad (6) \quad k = \text{wind}[u^k] = -\text{ind}[u^k] = \begin{cases} -\text{Ind}(S^{*|k|}), & k < 0, \\ -\text{Ind}(S^k), & k \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

26 and since the K-theory of C*-algebras is homotopy invariant, we get

$$27 \quad (7) \quad \text{ind}[\Phi] = \text{Ind}(F_\Phi) = -\text{wind}[\Phi]$$

29 for any invertible function $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} \subset \mathfrak{C}$, where F_Φ denotes a lift of Φ .

30 There is also an analogy to the famous Bott projection of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})$, which illustrates nicely the
 31 interpretation of \mathcal{T} as a quantization of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$. Given an unitary function $v \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, let $\zeta := rv \in$
 32 $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$ be an extension to an continuous function on the closed disk, where r denotes the radius function
 33 of the points in \mathbb{D} . Then, by [12, Ex. 8.D],
 34

$$35 \quad \text{ind}[v] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \zeta \bar{\zeta} & \zeta \sqrt{1 - \bar{\zeta} \zeta} \\ \sqrt{1 - \bar{\zeta} \zeta} \bar{\zeta} & 1 - \bar{\zeta} \zeta \end{pmatrix} \right] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in K_0(\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}))$$

38 and

$$39 \quad (8) \quad \text{ind}[v] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} T_\zeta T_\zeta^* & T_\zeta \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{T}_\zeta^* T_\zeta} \\ \sqrt{1 - T_\zeta^* T_\zeta} T_\zeta^* & 1 - T_\zeta^* T_\zeta \end{pmatrix} \right] - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in K_0(\mathcal{K}).$$

1 Note the striking similarity between these projections. For $v = u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\zeta = ru = z \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$
 2 (the identity function on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$), the first formula renders the Bott projection of $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D})$.

3
 4

3. Definition of closed quantum surfaces

5 Classical closed surfaces (compact and without boundary) can be described by simply connected
 6 polygons in the 2-dimensional plane with a prescribed identification of the boundary edges [6]. There
 7 is no loss in generality if we replace the polygon by the closed unit disk $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and turn the edges
 8 into arcs on the boundary circle maintaining their orientations. These arcs may be labeled by pairs
 9 of letters a_1, a_2, \dots and b_1, b_2, \dots if they have the same orientation, or by pairs of letters a_1, a_2, \dots and
 10 $a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, \dots$ if they are given the opposite orientation. Assume that these arcs are parametrized by
 11 continuous curves on the interval $[0, 1]$, e.g. $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto a_j(t) \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, always in the direction of their
 12 orientation. Then glueing pairs of the arcs means identifying the points $a_j(t)$ and $b_j(t)$ if two numbered
 13 sets of letters correspond to each other, or the points $a_j(t)$ and $a_j^{-1}(t)$ if the arc a_j occurs exactly once
 14 with its negative orientation a_j^{-1} and has thus no companion b_j .

15 In this paper, only the following arrangements will be considered. Given $g \in \mathbb{N}$, we use the notation
 16 \mathbb{T}^g if the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ is divided into $4g$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_{2g}, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_{2g}^{-1}$ such that the topological
 17 quotient $\bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$ under the equivalence relations
 18

19 (9)
$$z \sim z, \forall z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}, a_j(t) \sim a_j^{-1}(t), j = 1, \dots, 2g, t \in [0, 1],$$

20
 21 yields a realization of a closed orientable surface of genus g .

22 For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$, we write \mathbb{P}_k^n for a division of the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ into $2n$ arcs
 23 $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n, a_{k+1}^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}$ such that the topological quotient $\bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$,

24 (10)
$$z \sim z, \forall z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}, a_i(t) \sim b_i(t), a_j(t) \sim a_j^{-1}(t), i \leq k, j > k, t \in [0, 1],$$

25
 26 is homeomorphic to a closed non-orientable surface of Euler genus n , and shrinking the arcs a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n ,
 27 $a_{k+1}^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}$ to a point yields a closed non-orientable surface of Euler genus $n - k$, whereas shrinking
 28 the arcs $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k$ to a point yields an orientable surface of genus $(n - k)/2$. Obviously,
 29 $n - k$ has to be an even number. The equivalence relation in (10) corresponds to the connected sum

30 (11)
$$\mathbb{P}_k^n := \bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{P}_1^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}_1^1}_{k \text{ times}} \# \underbrace{\mathbb{T}^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{T}^1}_{(n-k)/2 \text{ times}} \cong \mathbb{P}_k^k \# \mathbb{T}^{(n-k)/2},$$

31
 32 which is known to be homeomorphic to the closed non-orientable surface $\mathbb{P}_n^n := \mathbb{P}_n^n$ of Euler genus n .

33 The C^* -algebras of continuous functions $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_k^n)$ on the surfaces \mathbb{T}^g and \mathbb{P}_k^n are then
 34 isomorphic to the respective subalgebras of all functions $f \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ such that $f(x) = f(y)$ whenever
 35 $x \sim y$, where the equivalence relations are given in (9) and (10), respectively. Comparing the C^* -algebra
 36 extensions (1) and (2), and viewing the symbol map $\sigma : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ as the restriction of continuous
 37 functions on the quantum disk to the boundary, the next definition of closed quantum surfaces becomes
 38 fairly obvious.
 39

40
 41 **Definition 1.** For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, let the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ be divided into $4g$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_{2g}, a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_{2g}^{-1}$
 42 such that the topological quotient $\mathbb{T}^g := \bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$ with the equivalence relation given in (9) yields a

1 realization of a closed orientable surface of genus g . Then an orientable closed quantum surface of
2 genus g is defined by the C*-algebra

$$3 \quad (12) \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma(f)(x) = \sigma(f)(y), \forall x, y \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \text{ such that } x \sim y\},$$

5 where $\sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ denotes the symbol map. Likewise, a quantum 2-sphere is given by

$$7 \quad (13) \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma(f)(e^{\pi it}) = \sigma(f)(e^{-\pi it}), t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

8 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \leq n$, assume that the boundary $\partial\bar{\mathbb{D}}$ is divided into $2n$ arcs $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k,$
9 $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n, a_{k+1}^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}$ such that the topological quotient $\mathbb{P}_k^n := \bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$ with the equivalence relation
10 (10) is homeomorphic to a closed non-orientable surface of Euler genus n . Then the C*-algebra

$$12 \quad (14) \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{T} : \sigma(f)(x) = \sigma(f)(y), \forall x, y \in \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \text{ such that } x \sim y\}$$

14 defines a non-orientable closed quantum surface of Euler genus n .

15 Formally, we have defined a collection of quantum surfaces of the same genus. That is, all different
16 arrangements with the same number of oriented arcs that give classically the same surface define
17 different quantum versions. For instance, the two different orders $a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \dots a_{2g-1} a_{2g} a_{2g-1}^{-1} a_{2g}^{-1}$
18 and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2g-1} a_{2g} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \dots a_{2g-1}^{-1} a_{2g}^{-1}$ yield different subalgebras of \mathcal{T} , but an orientable quantum
19 surfaces of the same genus. The classical cut-and-glue procedure for the classification of closed
20 surfaces does not apply here because the simple C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) := \mathcal{K}$ has no closed ideals, so
21 it cannot be divided into two pieces with a common boundary. In particular, we don't have any
22 topological technique at our disposal to prove that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k',q}^n)$ are isomorphic for $k \neq k'$. In
23 fact, we shall show in Section 4 that these C*-algebras are isomorphic if and only if $k = k'$. On the
24 other hand, all C*-algebras associated to the same *orientable* quantum surface are actually isomorphic.

25 As illustrative examples and for the convenience of the reader, we will give an explicit description
26 of a closed quantum surfaces for each genus. For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, define $4g$ arcs on the circle \mathbb{S}^1 by

$$28 \quad a_k, a_k^{-1} : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad a_k(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{k-1+t}{2g}}, \quad a_k^{-1}(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{2g+k-t}{2g}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2g.$$

30 Apparently, this arrangement differs from the usual "normal form" [6]. Nevertheless $\mathbb{T}^g := \bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim$ with
31 the equivalence relation given in (9) yields a closed orientable surface of genus g and therefore (12)
32 defines an orientable closed quantum surface of genus g . For an example of a non-orientable closed
33 quantum surface of Euler genus n , we may consider the $2n$ arcs

$$35 \quad a_k, b_k : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad a_k(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{k-1+t}{n}}, \quad b_k(t) := e^{\pi i \frac{-k+t}{n}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

36 In both cases, the sign before t determines the orientation of the arcs.

37 Note that, as σ is a *-homomorphism and therefore norm decreasing, our definitions yield indeed C*-
38 subalgebras of \mathcal{T} . Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ since $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{D}_q) := \mathcal{K} = \ker \sigma$.
39 On the boundary, the functions $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ do not separate the
40 identified points along two equivalent arcs. For $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$, it can be checked that all arcs
41 start and end at the same point. Hence $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g))$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n))$ separate the points of $2g$ and n arcs,
42

1 respectively, all starting and ending at the same point. As a consequence,

$$2 \quad \sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong C(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1), \quad \sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \cong C(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1),$$

3 where $\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ denotes the wedge product of N circles. In the case of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$, the image of the symbol
 4 map yields only the continuous functions on a half circle $\mathbb{S}_+^1 := \{x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \text{Im}(x) \geq 0\}$. This observation
 5 leads to the following C*-algebra extensions:

$$6 \quad (15) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$7 \quad (16) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$8 \quad (17) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_+^1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

9 The surjectivity can be verified by lifting a function $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ (or $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_+^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$)
 10 to a continuous function $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$, $\hat{f}(re^{i\theta}) := rf(e^{i\theta})$, and recalling that $\sigma(T_{\hat{f}}) = f$.

11 It is well known (see e. g. [12]) that a C*-extension gives rise to an isomorphic description as a
 12 pullback of C*-algebras via the Busby invariant. Let

$$13 \quad (18) \quad \rho_N : \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}^1 / \sim) \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$$

14 denote the quotient map defined by restricting the quotients in (9) and (10) to the boundary, where
 15 $N = 2g$ and $N = n$, respectively. Then the inclusion $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ corresponds to the pullback
 16 $\rho_N^* : \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and the Busby invariant is determined by

$$17 \quad \tau_N : \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{B} / \mathcal{K}, \quad \tau_N(f) = \sigma(T_{\widehat{\rho_N^*(f)}}),$$

18 where $\widehat{\rho_N^*(f)}$ stands for the extension of $\rho_N^*(f) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to the closed disk as described below (17). By
 19 [12, Prop. 3.2.11], our closed quantum surfaces are naturally isomorphic to the pullback

$$20 \quad (19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) & \\ & (\sigma, \tau_N) & \\ \text{pr}_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\ \mathcal{B} & & \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1), \\ \sigma \searrow & & \swarrow \tau_N \\ & \mathcal{B} / \mathcal{K} & \end{array}$$

1 where $\sigma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ denotes the quotient map. As the image of τ_N lies in $\sigma(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$,
 2 we obtain the same pullback C*-algebra by the reduced pullback diagram

3
 4 (20)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) \oplus_{(\sigma, \rho_N^*)} \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) & \\ \text{pr}_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\ \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) & & \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right), \\ \sigma \searrow & & \swarrow \rho_N^* \\ & \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) & \end{array}$$

10 where we made use of the quantum disk picture $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) := \mathcal{T}$.

11 The pullback diagram (20) allows a nice interpretation of closed quantum surfaces as noncommuta-
 12 tive CW-complexes [5]. Classically, we may view (20) as a dualization of the pushout diagrams

14 (21)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{T}^g & \\ \nearrow & & \nwarrow \\ \bar{\mathbb{D}} & & \mathbb{P}^n \\ \nwarrow & & \nearrow \\ \mathbb{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_{2g}} \bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} \bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1 \end{array}$$

20 where ρ_{2g} in the left diagram and ρ_n in the right diagram are given by the restriction to the boundary
 21 of the topological quotients defined by the equivalence relations in (9) and (10), respectively, and
 22 $\iota : \mathbb{S}^1 \cong \partial\bar{\mathbb{D}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ denotes the inclusion. Clearly, we may view $\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ as a 1-skeleton obtained by
 23 attaching N arcs to a 0-skeleton consisting of a single point. Then the diagrams in (21) amount to
 24 attaching a 2-cell to the 1-skeletons, so that (20) becomes a dualized and quantized version of it. A
 25 generalization of this construction to higher dimensions, including K-theoretic computations by using
 26 spectral sequences, will be given in [10].

28
 29 **4. Isomorphism classes of quantum surfaces**

30 In section we address the question of isomorphism classes of closed quantum surfaces. As in Defini-
 31 tion 1, we will only allow the assignment of $4g$ arcs for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $2n$ arcs for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ in such a way
 32 that the construction yields the classical counterpart if the quantum disk gets replaced by the closed
 33 unit disk. Thus, in the orientable case, only arcs of opposite orientation are pairwise identified, and in
 34 the non-orientable case, there exists at least one pair of identified arcs having the same orientation on
 35 the boundary circle.

36 For the purpose of applying Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory [2, 3], we will use the pullback diagram
 37 (19) and characterize the C*-algebra extension (15) and (16) by a single, essentially normal generator.

38 To begin, we describe $\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ homeomorphically as a compact subset in \mathbb{C} , for instance as a finite
 39 Hawaiian earring:
 40

41 (22)

$$\varphi_N : \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} X_N := \bigcup_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}_{\frac{k+1}{k}}^1 \left(-\frac{1}{k}\right) = \bigcup_{k=1}^N \left\{x \in \mathbb{C} : \left|x + \frac{1}{k}\right| = \frac{k+1}{k}\right\}.$$

1 Here, $\mathbb{S}^1_{\frac{k+1}{k}}(-\frac{1}{k})$ stands for the circle with radius $\frac{k+1}{k}$ and centre $-\frac{1}{k} \in \mathbb{C}$. All these circles have a
 2 common base point at $1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $z : X_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $z(x) = x$ denote the identity function. Clearly, z separates
 3 the points of X_N , hence z, \bar{z} and 1 generate the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}(X_N)$ by the Stone–Weierstrass theorem.
 4 Thus the function $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$,

$$5 \quad (23) \quad \zeta_N := (\varphi_N \circ \rho_N)^* z : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow X_N \subset \mathbb{C}$$

7 separates exactly the points of the arcs after the identification by ρ_N . Therefore any function $h \in$
 8 $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \cong \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1/\sim) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \sigma(\mathcal{T})$ satisfying the same “boundary conditions” from Definition 1
 9 as the function ζ_N can be approximated by polynomials in ζ_N and $\bar{\zeta}_N$. This means that any such h can
 10 be approximated by polynomials in $\sigma(T_{\zeta_N})$ and $\sigma(T_{\zeta_N}^*)$ with the usual extension of $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to a
 11 continuous function
 12

$$14 \quad (24) \quad \widehat{\zeta}_N \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}), \quad \widehat{\zeta}_N(re^{i\theta}) = r\zeta_N(e^{i\theta}), \quad r \in [0, 1], \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

16 Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X_{2g})$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \cong \mathcal{C}(X_n)$, so the closure of the $*$ -algebra generated by $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}$,
 17 $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}^*$, 1 and \mathcal{K} defines a C^* -algebra extension which has a Busby invariant that is isomorphic to τ_N in
 18 (19) via the homeomorphisms $X_N \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1 \cong (\mathbb{S}^1/\sim)$. As a consequence, the C^* -algebra extensions
 19 are isomorphic, see Equation (25) below. By definition, the generator $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}$ yields an essentially normal
 20 operator with essential spectrum $X_N \subset \mathbb{C}$.

22 Assume now that there exists an isomorphism of closed quantum surfaces $\alpha : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q)$,
 23 where $\mathbb{M}_q, \mathbb{M}'_q \in \{\mathbb{T}_q^g, \mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n : g, n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n\}$. As all considered C^* -algebras are subalgebras of
 24 \mathcal{T} and contain the Jacobson radical $\mathcal{K} = \ker(\sigma)$, we get an isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Any such
 25 isomorphism can be implemented by a unitary operator $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$ [8, Remark 2.5.3]. Moreover, each
 26 isomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ has a unique extension to its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K})$. For that
 27 reason, $\alpha : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q)$ can be given by $\alpha(t) = U_\alpha t U_\alpha^*$ with a unique unitary operator U_α .
 28 Therefore the C^* -algebras $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q) \subset \mathcal{T}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist essentially
 29 normal operators $T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)$ and $T' \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}'_q)$ that generate together with 1 and \mathcal{K} the corresponding
 30 C^* -algebras and are unitarily equivalent up to a compact perturbation. The question of the existence of
 31 such a unitary equivalence is exactly the starting point of Brown–Douglas–Fillmore theory. This theory
 32 provides the principal tools for the classification of isomorphism classes in the next theorem.

34 **Theorem 2.** For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ denote an orientable closed quantum surface as defined in Defini-
 35 tion 1. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra generated by $1, T_g, T_g^*$ and the compact operators
 36 $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(H_g)$, where

$$38 \quad H_g := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2g} \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad T_g := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2g} (U - \frac{1}{j}),$$

40 and U stands for the unitary bilateral shift on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

41 Given $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq n$, let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ denote a non-orientable closed quantum surface from
 42 Definition 1. Then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra generated by $1, T_{n,k}, T_{n,k}^*$ and the compact

1 operators $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(H_{n,k})$, where

$$2$$

$$3 \quad H_{n,k} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^n \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad T_{n,k} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{j+1}{j} S^2 - \frac{1}{j}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=k+1}^n \left(\frac{j+1}{j} U - \frac{1}{j}\right),$$

$$4$$

5 and S stands for the unilateral shift from (3).

6 In particular, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^{g'})$ are isomorphic if and only if $g = g'$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and
 7 $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k',q}^{n'})$ are isomorphic if and only if $n = n'$ and $k = k'$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ is never isomorphic to
 8 $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$, and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$ is neither isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ nor to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$.

10
 11 *Proof.* First observe that, if we replace in the diagram (20) the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right)$ by its isomorphic
 12 image $(\varphi_N^*)^{-1} : \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(X_N)$, with φ_N given in (22), then we obtain isomorphic pullbacks
 13

$$14$$

$$15 \quad (25) \quad \varphi_N : \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) \bigoplus_{(\sigma, \rho_N^* \circ \varphi_N^*)} \mathcal{C}(X_N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}_q) \bigoplus_{(\sigma, \rho_N^*)} \mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right), \quad \varphi_N((t, f)) := (t, \varphi_N^*(f)).$$

$$16$$

$$17$$

18 Hence we can use the homeomorphism φ_N from (22) to order the circles from the wedge product in a
 19 certain “normal form”. Suppose therefore without loss of generality that the closed quantum surface
 20 is defined by the pairwise identification of $2N$ arcs such that the first k circles correspond to pairs of
 21 arcs that had the same *positive* orientation, and the remaining $N - k$ circles correspond to pairs of arcs
 22 that have been identified in opposite orientation. Here the homeomorphism φ_N may be used to flip the
 23 orientation and to change the order of the arcs. As our assignment of arcs leads in the classical case to
 24 a closed surface, we get $\bar{\mathbb{D}}/\sim \cong \mathbb{P}_k^N \cong \mathbb{P}^k \# \mathbb{T}^{(N-k)/2}$ as in (11) and $\mathbb{P}_0^N = \mathbb{T}^{N/2}$ for $k = 0$ by definition.

25 The operators $\sigma(U), \sigma(S^2) \in \mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ have both the spectrum $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$, thus $\text{spec}(T_{N,k}) = X_N \cong$
 26 $\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$. However, $\text{Ind}(U) = 0$ and $\text{Ind}(S^2) = -2$. Furthermore, $S^* \oplus S \cong U + K$, where the compact
 27 operator $K \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0) \oplus \ell_2(\mathbb{N}_0)) \cong \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ maps $\ker(S^*)$ unitarily onto $\text{Ran}(S)^\perp \cong \text{coker}(S)$. On
 28 the other hand, it was explained in the beginning of this section that the C^* -algebra of the closed
 29 quantum surface is generated by $T_{\hat{\zeta}_N}, T_{\hat{\zeta}_N}^*, 1$ and \mathcal{K} , where $\hat{\zeta}_N$ has been defined in (23) and $\hat{\zeta}_N$ denotes
 30 its extension to the closed disk as in (24). So the proof of the theorem boils down to the question of
 31 when $T_{\hat{\zeta}_N}$ is unitarily equivalent to a compact perturbation of $T_{N,k}$.
 32

33 Recall, e. g. from [1, Section 16.2], that the essentially normal operators with essential spectrum
 34 $X_N \subset \mathbb{C}$ are classified, up to compact perturbations, by $K^1(X_N) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N))$. By (22), $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N)) \cong$
 35 $K_1(\mathcal{C}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1\right))$, and since the wedge sum of circles $\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ can be obtained by the one-point compacti-
 36 fication of N open, disjoint intervals, we have that $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}[u_j]$, where $u_j \in \mathcal{C}(X_N)$ is any
 37 invertible function with winding number 1 (or -1) on the j -th circle and winding number 0 on all the
 38 others. Moreover, the winding number of an invertible function $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is related to the Fredholm
 39 index of the Toeplitz operator T_Φ by (7), and Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory tells us that the Fredholm
 40 index is a principal obstruction for unitary equivalence of essentially normal operators up to compacts.
 41
 42

1 Let $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\widehat{\zeta}_N \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$ be given by (23) and (24), respectively. Then the essentially
 2 normal generator $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N} \in \mathcal{T}$ of the corresponding closed quantum surface has essential spectrum
 3 $\text{Ran}(\sigma(T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N})) = \text{Ran}(\zeta_N) = X_N$. After applying φ_N from (22) to bring the circles into normal form,
 4 the function ζ_N from (23) winds along an arc $a_j \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ once around the circle $\mathbb{S}_{\frac{j}{j+1}}^1(-\frac{1}{j})$ in positive
 5 direction, and along the arc $a_j^{-1} \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ once around the same circle $\mathbb{S}_{\frac{j}{j+1}}^1(-\frac{1}{j})$, but in negative direction.
 6 As the circles are ordered in normal form, and there are $2k$ arcs corresponding in the classical case to
 7 the connected sum $\mathbb{P}^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{P}^1$ of k projective spaces, the function ζ_N winds exactly twice in positive
 8 direction around each of the first k circles. The remaining $N - k$ circles correspond to the connected sum
 9 $\mathbb{T}^1 \# \dots \# \mathbb{T}^1$ of $(N - k)/2$ tori, where any arc occurs also in the opposite direction, so the function ζ_N has
 10 winding number 0 around each of these circles. From the classification of essentially normal operators
 11 by winding numbers in [1, Theorem 16.2.1 and Example 16.2.4], together with the relation between
 12 winding numbers and the Fredholm index of shift operators in (6) and (7), it follows that the generator
 13 $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}$ is unitarily equivalent to a compact perturbation of $T_{N,k}$ defined in the theorem. Consequently the
 14 C^* -algebra generated by $T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}, T_{\widehat{\zeta}_N}^*, 1$ and \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra generated by $T_{N,k}, T_{N,k}^*, 1$
 15 and \mathcal{K} .

16 Finally, two operators $T_{N,k}$ and $T_{N',k'}$ are unitarily equivalent up to a perturbation by a compact
 17 operator if and only if they have the same essential spectrum, and $\sigma(T_{N,k})$ and $\sigma(T_{N',k'})$ have the same
 18 winding numbers, i.e., $N = N'$ and $k = k'$. This implies the last claims of the theorem. \square
 19
 20

21

22

23

24 Theorem 2 has two interesting consequences. First, we did not use in the proof the condition that the
 25 classical counterpart yields a closed surface. So there are assignments of arcs, always with starting and
 26 endpoint identified, that do not give rise to a 2-dimensional manifold in the classical case, but define a
 27 C^* -algebra isomorphic to a closed quantum surface. Thus, on the one hand the Toeplitz quantization
 28 decreases degeneracy by distinguishing between $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k',q}^n)$ for $k \neq k'$, and on the other
 29 hand it increases degeneracy by allowing for “non-admissible” prescriptions of arcs that do not even
 30 yield topological manifolds in the classical case.

31 Second, there is an abuse of notation in Definition 1. Equations (12) and (14) define actually families
 32 of different C^* -subalgebras of \mathcal{T} , i.e., different arrangements yield different subalgebras. However,
 33 Theorem 2 shows that each admissible arrangement leads to a C^* -algebra that is isomorphic to exactly
 34 one from Definition 1.

35

36

37

5. K-theory of closed quantum surfaces

38 In Section 3, closed quantum surfaces were defined by analogy to the classical case. In this section, we
 39 will show that the topological invariants in the disguise of K-groups are not changed by the quantization
 40 process. A motivation for this fact was already given at the end of Section 2.

41

42

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$, $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ be as defined in Definition 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{2g} \mathbb{Z}, \\
 K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)) &\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)) &\cong 0, \\
 K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}, & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, all closed quantum surfaces from Definition 1 have the same K-groups as their classical counterparts.

Proof. The K-groups can easily be computed by applying the 6-term exact sequence of to the C*-algebra extensions (15)–(17):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (26) & K_0(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{l_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) \\
 & \uparrow \text{ind} & & & & \downarrow \text{exp} \\
 & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) & \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xleftarrow{l_*} & K_1(\mathcal{K}),
 \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{M}_q \in \{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n), \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2) : g, n, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n\}$. As discussed in Section 2, $K_1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$ and $K_0(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbb{Z}[1 - SS^*]$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) &= K_0((\bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{C}_0(0, 1)) \dot{+} \mathbb{C}1) = (\bigoplus_{k=1}^N K_0(\Sigma \mathbb{C})) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1] = \mathbb{Z}[1], \\
 K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) &= K_1((\bigoplus_{k=1}^N \mathcal{C}_0(0, 1)) \dot{+} \mathbb{C}1) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^N K_1(\mathcal{C}_0(0, 1)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \dot{+} \mathbb{C}1$ means adjoining a unity to the non-unital C*-algebra \mathcal{A} and $\Sigma \mathcal{A}$ denotes the suspension of \mathcal{A} . Inserting these K-groups into (26) yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (27) & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{l_*} & K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & \mathbb{Z}[1] \\
 & \uparrow \text{ind} & & & & \downarrow \text{exp} \\
 & \mathbb{Z}^N & \xleftarrow{\sigma_*} & K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) & \xleftarrow{l_*} & 0,
 \end{array}$$

Now $0 \rightarrow \ker(\sigma_*) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} \mathbb{Z}[1] \rightarrow 0$ is split exact with a splitting homomorphism given by $[1] \mapsto [1]$. Thus it follows from the exactness of (27) that

$$(28) \quad K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\text{Im}(\text{ind}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1], \quad K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \cong \text{Ker}(\text{ind}).$$

Hence it remains to determine the index map $\text{ind} : K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K})$.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \subset \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ by Definition 1 and Equation (1). As explained at the end of Section 2, describing the index map amounts to lifting a unitary (matrix) Φ in $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)$ to

1 a Fredholm operator F_Φ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) \subset \mathcal{T}$ and computing its Fredholm index $\text{Ind}(F_\Phi) \in \mathbb{Z} \cong K_0(\mathcal{K})$.
 2 Moreover, the Fredholm index $\text{Ind}(F_\Phi)$ coincides with the negative winding number $-\text{wind}[\Phi]$, see
 3 (7). We mentioned in the proof of Theorem 2 that $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N)) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^N \mathbb{Z}[u_j]$, where $X_N \cong \bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1$ and u_j is
 4 any invertible function that has winding number 1 (or -1) on the j -th circle and winding number 0 on
 5 all the others. Moreover, it was stated below (18) that the inclusion $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ corresponds
 6 to the pullback $\rho_N^* : \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with ρ_N from (18).

7
 8 Now let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ and $N = 2g$. Then, by (9), each arc, say a_j , occurs exactly once more
 9 with its negative orientation a_j^{-1} . As a consequence, the winding numbers of all invertible functions
 10 $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ are 0, so $\text{ind} \equiv 0$ and thus $K_0(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[1]$ and $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{M}_q)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ by
 11 (27) and (28).

12
 13 Next we consider $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Assume that the circles of $\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1$ are ordered
 14 in such a way that the first k circles correspond to arcs that occur twice with the same orientation
 15 (i.e. $a_j(t) \sim b_j(t)$) and the other pairs with opposite orientations (i.e. $a_j(t) \sim a_j^{-1}(t)$). Therefore, an
 16 invertible function $u \in \mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with winding number $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ along a_j has also winding
 17 number m along b_j if $j \leq k$. On the other hand, if $j > k$, then a function with winding number $m \in \mathbb{Z}$
 18 along a_j will have winding number $-m$ along a_j^{-1} so that these winding numbers add up to 0. For the
 19 generators $[u_j]$ of $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}[u_j]$ described above, we get

$$\text{ind}[u_j] = 2, \quad j \leq k, \quad \text{ind}[u_j] = 0, \quad j > k,$$

20 so that $\text{ind}(m_1, \dots, m_n) = 2(m_1 + \dots + m_k)$ in the exact sequence (27). In particular, $\text{Im}(\text{ind}) = 2\mathbb{Z}$ and
 21 $\text{Ker}(\text{ind}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ from which the result follows by (28).

22 In the case of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$ from (13), a complex number $e^{\pi i t} \in \mathbb{S}^1$ is identified with its complex conjugate
 23 $e^{-\pi i t} \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The resulting quotient space \mathbb{S}^1 / \sim is homeomorphic to a closed interval and therefore
 24 contractable. Replacing $\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{j=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)$ by \mathbb{C} in (26), the lower row becomes 0 and the upper row becomes
 25 exact, which yields the stated K-groups for $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}_q^2)$.

26 The last claim follows by comparing with the classical K-groups. □

27 For concrete calculations, it is convenient to have a suitable description of the generators of the
 28 K-groups. Let $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ be the identity function $u(e^{i\theta}) := e^{i\theta}$. Then the identity function $z \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{D})$,
 29 $z(re^{i\theta}) := re^{i\theta}$ is an extension of u to the closed disk. Moreover, $[u]$ generates $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u]$. Set

$$P_{\text{Bott}} := \begin{pmatrix} T_z T_z^* & T_z \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{T}_z^* T_z} \\ \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z} T_z & 1 - T_z^* T_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T_z \\ \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z} \end{pmatrix} \circ (T_z^*, \sqrt{1 - T_z^* T_z})$$

30 Since the index map in the diagram (5) is an isomorphism, it follows from (8) with z instead of ζ
 31 that $\text{ind}[u] = [P_{\text{Bott}}] - [1]$ generates $K_0(\mathcal{K})$. Note that $[P_{\text{Bott}}] - [1]$ is never in the image of the index
 32 map from (26). Hence, for any closed quantum surface from Definition 1, the K_0 -group is generated

1 by [1] and $[P_{\text{Bott}}]$. However, in the case of non-orientable quantum surfaces, we have the relation
 2 $2([P_{\text{Bott}}] - [1]) = 0$ as this element belongs to the image of the index map. On the other hand, we
 3 can lift $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ to the shift operator $S \in \mathcal{T}$, see (4). As described in the paragraph before (6),
 4 $\text{ind}[u] = -[1 - SS^*]$, thus the relation $[1] - [P_{\text{Bott}}] = [1 - SS^*]$ holds in $K_0(\mathcal{K})$.

5 To describe the generators of the K_1 -groups, consider the following (non-unitary) generators $[v_j]$
 6 of $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_N))$ with winding number 1 along the j -th circle and winding number 0
 7 along the others. More explicitly, set

8
 9 (29)
$$v_j(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}_{\frac{j+1}{j}}^1(-\frac{1}{j}) \subset X_N \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^N \mathbb{S}^1, \quad v_j(x) = 1 \text{ otherwise,}$$

11 and $u_j := (\varphi_N \circ \rho_N)^*(v_j) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with ρ_N from (18) and φ_N from (22). Let $\widehat{u}_j \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})$ denote the
 12 extension of u_j to the closed disk as given in (24). In the proof of the last theorem, we have seen that
 13 $\text{ind}(T_{\widehat{u}_j}) = -\text{wind}(u_j) = 0$ in the orientable case, thus $\dim(\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_j})) = \dim(\text{Coker}(T_{\widehat{u}_j}))$. Choosing a
 14 compact isometry K_j between $\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_j})$ and $\text{Im}(T_{\widehat{u}_j})^\perp$ and defining $T_j := T_{\widehat{u}_j} + K_j$, we get an invertible
 15 operator in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)$ such that $\sigma(T_j) = u_j$. Hence T_j , or equivalently $U_j := T_j|T_j|^{-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, 2g$,
 16 generate $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g)) \cong \sigma_*(K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}_q^g))) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^{2g} \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_{2g}))$. Under the isomorphism from
 17 Theorem 2, the operator T_j corresponds to a compact perturbation of

18
 19
 20
$$\text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \oplus (\frac{j+1}{j}U - \frac{1}{j}) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \in \mathcal{B}(H_g)$$

21 as both have the same essential spectrum and the same winding numbers.

22 In the non-oriented case, we consider the functions $v_{1,j}$ on $X_n \cong \bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1$ given by

23
 24
 25
$$v_{1,j}(x) = \bar{x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}_2^1(-1), \quad v_{1,j}(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}_{\frac{j+1}{j}}^1(-\frac{1}{j}), \quad v_{1,j}(x) = 1 \text{ otherwise,}$$

26 for $j = 2, \dots, k$, and $v_{1,j} := v_j$ for $j > k$ with v_j from (29). As before, let $u_{1,j} := (\varphi_N \circ \rho_N)^*(v_{1,j})$
 27 denote its pullback to $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and write $\widehat{u}_{1,j} \in \mathcal{C}(\widehat{\mathbb{D}})$ for its extension to the closed disk as in (24).
 28 Note that $u_{1,j} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ has winding number 0 for all $j = 2, \dots, n$ so that $\text{ind}[v_{1,j}] = \text{ind}[u_{1,j}] = 0$. As a
 29 consequence, $\text{ind}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}}) = 0$, or equivalently, $\dim(\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}})) = \dim(\text{Coker}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}}))$. Choosing compact
 30 isometries G_j between $\text{Ker}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}})$ and $\text{Im}(T_{\widehat{u}_{1,j}})^\perp$, the operators $R_i := T_{\widehat{u}_{1,i+1}} + G_{i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,
 31 become invertible in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)$ and $\sigma(R_i) = u_{1,i+1}$. Thus R_i , or equivalently $V_i := R_i|R_i|^{-1}$, defines an
 32 element in $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n))$. Comparing the function $u_{1,i+1}$ with the generators $[v_j]$ of $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\bigvee_{k=1}^n \mathbb{S}^1)) \cong$
 33 $K_1(\mathcal{C}(X_n))$ given in (29), we see that $\sigma_*([R_i]) = [u_{1,i+1}] = -[v_1] + [v_{i+1}]$ by counting the winding
 34 numbers along circles. In particular, $\sigma_*([R_i]) \in \text{Ker}(\text{ind})$. Moreover, $[v_{i+1}] - [v_1]$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,
 35 generate $\text{Ker}(\text{ind}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Therefore $[R_1] = [V_1], \dots, [R_{n-1}] = [V_{n-1}]$ generate $K_1(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{P}_{k,q}^n)) \cong \text{Ker}(\text{ind})$.
 36 Finally, under the isomorphism from Theorem 2, the operator R_i is a compact perturbation of

37
 38
 39
$$(2S^{*2} - 1) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \oplus (\frac{i+2}{i+1}S^2 - \frac{1}{i+1}) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{n,k}), \quad i < k,$$

40
 41
$$\text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \oplus (\frac{i+2}{i+1}U - \frac{1}{i+1}) \oplus \text{Id} \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Id} \in \mathcal{B}(H_{n,k}), \quad i \geq k,$$

42 as these operators (for i fixed) have the same essential spectrum and the same winding numbers.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from CIC-UMSNH, from the CONACYT project A1-S-46784 “Grupos cuánticos y geometría no conmutativa”, and from the EU project 101086394 “Operator Algebras that One Can See”.

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extension of C^* -algebras*, in Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 345, pp. 58–128, Springer, New York, 1973
- [3] L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas and P. A. Fillmore, *Extensions of C^* -algebras and K -homology*, Ann. Math. **105** (1977), 265–324.
- [4] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [5] F. D’Andrea, P. M. Hajac, T. Maszczyk, A. Sheu and B. Zielinski, *The K -theory type of quantum CW-complexes*, arXiv:2002.09015 [math.KT]
- [6] W. Fulton, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer, New York, 1995.
- [7] M. J. Gotay, *On the Groenewold-Van Hove problem for \mathbb{R}^{2n}* , J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), 2107–2116.
- [8] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Analytic K -Homology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [9] S. Klimek and A. Lesniewski, *A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), 1–23.
- [10] A. Sierra Acosta and E. Wagner, *On the K -Theory of quantum CW complexes*, in preparation.
- [11] N. L. Vasilevski, *Commutative algebras of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [12] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -Algebras: A Friendly Approach*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.

CENTRO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, CAMPUS MORELIA, UNAM, MORELIA, MEXICO

Email address: arleysierra23@gmail.com

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA Y MATEMÁTICAS, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, EDIFICIO C-3, MORELIA, MEXICO

Email address: elmar.wagner@umich.mx