

Fréchet-Urysohn property of quasicontinuous functions

Alexander V. Osipov

*Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics,
Ural Federal University, Yekaterinburg, Russia*

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the Fréchet-Urysohn property of the space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ of real-valued quasicontinuous functions, defined on a Hausdorff space X , endowed with the pointwise convergence topology.

It is proved that under *Suslin's Hypothesis*, for an open Whyburn space X , the space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if X is countable. In particular, it is true in the class of first-countable regular spaces X .

In *ZFC*, it is proved that for a metrizable space X , the space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if X is countable.

Key words: quasicontinuous function, Fréchet-Urysohn, Lusin space, open Whyburn space, k -Fréchet-Urysohn, γ -space, Suslin's Hypothesis, selection principle

2010 MSC: 54C35, 54C40

1. Introduction

A function f from a topological space X into \mathbb{R} is *quasicontinuous*, $f \in Q(X, \mathbb{R})$, if for every $x \in X$ and open sets $U \ni x$ and $V \ni f(x)$ there exists a nonempty open $W \subseteq U$ with $f(W) \subseteq V$.

The condition of quasicontinuity can be found in the paper of R. Baire [2] in study of continuity point of separately continuous functions from \mathbb{R}^2 into \mathbb{R} . The formal definition of quasicontinuity were introduced by Kempisty in 1932 in [7]. Quasicontinuous functions were studied in many papers, see for examples [3, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18], [20, 26, 22] and other. They found applications in the study of topological groups [4, 23, 25], in the study of dynamical systems [5], in the the study of CHART groups [24] and also used in the study of extensions of densely defined continuous functions [19] and of extensions to separately continuous functions on the product of pseudocompact spaces [27], etc.

Levine [10] studied quasicontinuous maps under the name of *semi-continuity* using the terminology of semi-open sets. A subset A of X is *semi-open* if $A \subset \overline{Int(A)}$. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *semi-continuous* if $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi-open in X for every open set V of Y . A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasicontinuous if and only if f is semi-continuous [10].

Email addresses: OAB@list.ru (Alexander V. Osipov)

Preprint submitted to ...

March 8, 2023

Let X be a Hausdorff topological space, $Q(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all quasicontinuous functions on X with values in \mathbb{R} and τ_p be the pointwise convergence topology. Denote by $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ the topological space $(Q(X, \mathbb{R}), \tau_p)$.

A subset U of a topological space X is called a *regular open set* or an *open domain* if $U = \text{Int}\overline{U}$ holds. A subset F of a topological space X is called a *regular closed set* or a *closed domain* if $F = \overline{\text{Int}F}$ holds. The family of regular open sets of (X, τ) is not a topology. But it is a base for a topology τ_s called the *semi-regularization* of τ . If $\tau_s = \tau$, then (X, τ) is called *semi-regular* (or *quasi-regular*).

In ([11], Corollary 1), it is proved that a semi-regular topology is the coarsest topology of its α -class. Note that all topologies of a given α -class on X determine the same class of quasicontinuous mappings into an arbitrary topological space (Proposition 9, [11]). Since a Hausdorff topology τ has a Hausdorff semi-regularization τ_s and $Q_p((X, \tau), \mathbb{R}) = Q_p((X, \tau_s), \mathbb{R})$, we can further assume that X is a *Hausdorff semi-regular space*.

In this paper we study the Fréchet-Urysohn property of the space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some properties and introduce new property of a topological space X .

(1) A space X is *Fréchet-Urysohn* provided that for every $A \subset X$ and $x \in \overline{A}$ there exists a sequence in A converging to x .

(2) A space X is said to be *Whyburn* if $A \subset X$ and $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ imply that there is a subset $B \subseteq A$ such that $\overline{B} = B \cup \{x\}$.

(3) A space X is said to be *k-Fréchet-Urysohn* if for every open subset U of X and every $x \in \overline{U}$, there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U$ converging to x .

Definition 2.1. A topological space X is called *open Whyburn* if for every open set $A \subset X$ and every $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ there is an open set $B \subseteq A$ such that $\overline{B} \setminus A = \{x\}$.

Let X be a Tychonoff topological space, $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the space of all continuous functions on X with values in \mathbb{R} and τ_p be the pointwise convergence topology. Denote by $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ the topological space $(C(X, \mathbb{R}), \tau_p)$.

Let us recall that a cover \mathcal{U} of a set X is called

- an ω -cover if each finite set $F \subseteq X$ is contained in some $U \in \mathcal{U}$;
- a γ -cover if for any $x \in X$ the set $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : x \notin U\}$ is finite.

A topological space X is called a γ -space if each ω -cover \mathcal{U} of X contains a γ -subcover of X . γ -Spaces were introduced by Gerlits and Nagy in [12] and are important in the theory of function spaces as they are exactly those X for which the space $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ has the Fréchet-Urysohn property [13].

Clear that $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, if $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn then $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn, too. Hence, the property Fréchet-Urysohn of $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ for a Tychonoff space X implies that X is a γ -space.

A set A is called *minimally bounded* with respect to the topology τ in a topological space (X, τ) if $\overline{\text{Int}A} \supseteq A$ and $\text{Int}\overline{A} \subseteq A$ ([1], p.101). Clearly this means A is semi-open and $X \setminus A$ is semi-open. In the case of *open* sets, minimal boundedness coincides with regular openness.

Note that if U is a minimally bounded (e.g. regular open) set of X such that U is not dense subset in X and $B \subset \overline{U} \setminus U$ then there is a quasicontinuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(U \cup B) = 0$ and $f(X \setminus (U \cup B)) = 1$ (see Lemma 4.2 in [18]).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a Fréchet-Urysohn space. Then $\overline{W} \setminus W$ is countable for every minimally bounded set W of X .*

Proof. Let W be a minimally bounded set W of X . Note that $W \cup B$ is a minimally bounded set in X for any $B \subseteq \overline{W} \setminus W$.

Let $M_K = W \cup (\overline{W} \cap K)$ for each $K \in [X]^{<\omega}$.

Suppose that $D = \overline{W} \setminus W$ is uncountable.

Consider the set $C = \{f_K : K \in [X]^{<\omega}\}$ of quasicontinuous functions f_K where

$$f_K := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } M_K \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus M_K. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$g := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } \overline{W} \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus \overline{W}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $g \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \overline{C}$. Since $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn there is a sequence $\{f_{K_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C$ such that $f_{K_i} \rightarrow g$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Since D is uncountable, there is $z \in D \setminus \bigcup K_i$. Consider $[z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})] = \{f \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R}) : f(z) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$. Note that $g \in [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ and $f_{K_i} \notin [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ($f_{K_i}(z) = 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$), it is a contradiction. □

3. Main results

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be an open Whyburn space such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Then every nowhere subset in X is countable.*

Proof. Since the closure of a nowhere dense subset in X is a nowhere dense set, we can consider only closed nowhere dense sets in X .

Assume that A is an uncountable closed nowhere dense set in X . Since X is open Whyburn, for every point $a \in A$ there is a regular open set $O_a \subseteq X \setminus A$ such that $\overline{O_a} \setminus (X \setminus A) = \{a\}$.

For every a finite subset K of X we consider the set

$M_K = S_K \cup \bigcup \{O_a \cup \{a\} : a \in K \cap A\}$ where S_K is a regular open set such that $K \cap (X \setminus A) \subseteq S_K \subseteq X \setminus A$. Note that M_K is minimally bounded set in X .

Consider the set $S = \{f_K : K \in [X]^{<\omega}\}$ of quasicontinuous functions f_K where

$$f_K := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } M_K \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus M_K. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{S}$ where $\mathbf{0}$ denote the constant function on X with value 0. Since $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn, there is a sequence $\{f_{K_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset S$ such that $f_{K_i} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Since A is uncountable, there is $z \in A \setminus \bigcup_i K_i$. Consider $[z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})] = \{f \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R}) : f(z) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$. Note that $\mathbf{0} \in [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ and $f_i \notin [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ($f_i(z) = 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$), it is a contradiction. \square

Definition 3.2. ([9]) A Hausdorff space X is called a *Lusin space* (in the sense of Kunen) if

- (a) Every nowhere dense set in X is countable;
- (b) X has at most countably many isolated points;
- (c) X is uncountable.

Theorem 3.3. Let X be an uncountable open Whyburn space such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Then X is a Lusin space.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, it is enough to prove that X has at most countably many isolated points.

Assume that X has uncountable many isolated points D .

Let $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ where $D_1 \cap D_2 = \emptyset$ and $|D_i| > \aleph_0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Consider the set $W = \text{Int}\overline{D_1}$. Clear that $\overline{W} \cap D_2 = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.1, $|W \setminus D_1| \leq \omega$.

Since X is open Whyburn, for every point $d \in W \setminus D_1$ there is an open subset $O_d \subseteq D_1$ such that $\overline{O_d} \setminus D_1 = \{d\}$.

(a) Suppose that for every point $d \in W \setminus D_1$ there is a neighborhood V_d of d such that $|O_d \cap V_d| \leq \omega$. Let $W_d = O_d \cap V_d$. Then $\overline{W_d} \setminus D_1 = \{d\}$, $W_d \subset D_1$ and $|W_d| \leq \omega$.

For every a finite subset K of W we consider the set

$$P_K = \bigcup\{\{d\} : d \in K \cap D_1\} \cup \bigcup\{\overline{W_d} : d \in K \cap (W \setminus D_1)\}.$$

Consider the set $C = \{g_K : K \in [W]^{<\omega}\}$ of quasicontinuous functions g_K where

$$g_K := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } P_K \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus P_K. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$g := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } W \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus W. \end{cases}$$

Note that $g \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \overline{C}$. Since $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn there is a sequence $\{g_{K_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C$ such that $g_{K_i} \rightarrow g$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Since D_1 is uncountable, there is $z \in D_1 \setminus \bigcup_i P_{K_i}$. Consider $[z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})] = \{f \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R}) : f(z) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$. Note that $g \in [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ and $g_i \notin [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ($g_i(z) = 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$), it is a contradiction.

(b) Suppose that there is a point $d \in W \setminus D_1$ such that $|O_d \cap V_d| > \omega$ for every neighborhood V_d of d . Let $O_d = O_1 \cup O_2$ such that $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$ and $|O_i| > \omega$ for $i = 1, 2$.

There are two cases:

(1) $V_d \cap O_i \neq \emptyset$ for every neighborhood V_d of d and $i = 1, 2$;

(2) $V_d \cap O_i = \emptyset$ for some neighborhood V_d of d and some $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose that the case (2) is true for $i = 1$. Note that in this case $d \in \overline{O_2}$.

Then, for cases (1) and (2), we consider the set $C = \{g_K : K \in [O_1]^{<\omega}\}$ of continuous functions g_K where

$$g_K := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } K \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus K. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$g := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on } O_1 \\ 1 & \text{on } X \setminus O_1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $g \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ (for cases: (1) and (2)) and $g \in \overline{C}$. Since $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn there is a sequence $\{g_{K_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset C$ such that $g_{K_i} \rightarrow g$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Since O_1 is uncountable, there is $z \in O_1 \setminus \bigcup_i K_i$. Consider $[z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})] = \{f \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R}) : f(z) \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})\}$. Note that $g \in [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ and $g_i \notin [z, (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})]$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ($g_i(z) = 1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$), it is a contradiction. \square

Let $I(X)$ denote the set of isolated points of X . Note that a Lusin space has at most countably many isolated points.

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be an open Whyburn space such that $I(X)$ is an uncountable dense subset in X . Then $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is not Fréchet-Urysohn.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a k -Fréchet-Urysohn regular space with countable pseudocharacter. Then X is open Whyburn.*

Proof. Let $x \in \overline{U} \setminus U$ for an open set U in X . Since X is k -Fréchet-Urysohn, there is a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset U$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since X is a regular space with countable pseudocharacter, there is a sequence $\{V_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open neighborhoods of x such that $\bigcap V_i = \{x\}$ and $\overline{V_{i+1}} \subset V_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We can assume that $x_i \in V_i \setminus \overline{V_{i+1}}$. Let W_i be a neighborhood of x_i such that $\overline{W_i} \subset U \cap (V_i \setminus \overline{V_{i+1}})$. Then $W = \bigcup \{W_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset U$ and $\overline{W} \setminus U = \{x\}$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be an uncountable k -Fréchet-Urysohn (Fréchet-Urysohn) regular space with countable pseudocharacter such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Then X is a Lusin space.*

In particular, if X is an uncountable first-countable regular space such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn then X is a Lusin space.

Note that if X is Tychonoff and $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn then $C_p(X^2, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn [13]. However, this is not true for quasicontinuous functions.

Corollary 3.7. *Let X be an uncountable first-countable regular space such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Then $Q_p(X^2, \mathbb{R})$ is not Fréchet-Urysohn space.*

Proof. Since \mathbb{R}^κ is not Fréchet-Urysohn for any $\kappa \geq \omega_1$, X is not discrete space provided that X is an uncountable and $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Clear that $X^2 = X \times X$ is not Lusin space provided that X is a Lusin space and X with a non-isolated point. \square

By Theorem 3.3 and results in [9] (Lemmas 1.2 and 1.5), we get that if X is an uncountable open Whyburn Hausdorff semi-regular space such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn then X is hereditarily Lindelöf (hence, X is perfect normal (see 3.8.A. in [6])) and X is zero-dimensional.

Since a Lusin space X is hereditarily Lindelöf and Hausdorff, it has cardinality at most $\mathfrak{c} = 2^\omega$ (de Groot, [28]).

Corollary 3.8. *Let X be an open Whyburn space of cardinality $> \mathfrak{c}$. Then $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is not Fréchet-Urysohn space.*

In particular, if X is first-countable regular space of cardinality $> \mathfrak{c}$ then $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is not Fréchet-Urysohn space.

Let us note however that Kunen (Theorem 0.0. in [9]) has shown that under *Suslin's Hypothesis* (**SH**) there are no Lusin spaces at all. K.Kunen proved that under **MA**(\aleph_1, \aleph_0 -centred) there is a Lusin space if and only if there is a Suslin line.

The Suslin Hypothesis is neither provable nor refutable in **ZFC**, even if we assume **CH** or \neg **CH**. A typical model of **ZFC** + \neg **SH** is the Gödel constructible universe **L**, while a typical model of **ZFC** + **SH** is the Solovay-Tennenbaum model of **ZFC** + **MA**(\aleph_1) (see p.266 in [21]).

Theorem 3.9. (**SH**). *Let X be an open Whyburn space. The space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if X is countable.*

In particular, for first-countable regular spaces, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. (**SH**). *Let X be a first-countable regular space. The space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if X is countable.*

However, the following result holds in **ZFC**.

Theorem 3.11. *Let X be a metrizable space. The space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if X is countable.*

Proof. Note that a Lusin subspace of a metrizable space is a Lusin set: *an uncountable subset of \mathbb{R} that meets every nowhere dense set in a countable set*. Hence, if $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn then X is a Lusin set and it is a γ -space. But any γ -space $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is *always first category* (see Definition in [29]) and a Lusin set is not *always first category* (p. 159 in [12]). Hence, X is countable.

If X is countable then $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is first countable (Theorem 4.1 in [18]) and, hence, $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. \square

4. Selection principle S_1 and Fréchet-Urysohn at the point $\mathbf{0}$

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be collections of covers of a topological space X .

The symbol $S_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ denotes the selection principle that for each sequence $\langle \mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ of elements of \mathcal{A} there exists a sequence $\langle U_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ such that for each n , $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{B}$ (see [31]).

In this paper \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} will be collections of the following covers of a space X :

Ω : the collection of open ω -covers of X .

Γ : the collection of open γ -covers of X .

Ω^s : the collection of minimally bounded ω -covers of X .

Γ^s : the collection of minimally bounded γ -covers of X .

In [13], it is proved that $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn if and only if X has the property $S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ be Fréchet-Urysohn at the point $\mathbf{0}$. Then X has the property $S_1(\Omega^s, \Gamma^s)$.*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{V}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of minimally bounded ω -covers of X . For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the family $A_i = \{f_{i,V} \in Q_p(X, \mathbb{R}) : V \in \mathcal{V}_i\}$ such that $f_{i,V}(V) = \frac{1}{i}$ and $f_{i,V}(X \setminus V) = 1$ for $V \in \mathcal{V}_i$. Let $A = \bigcup A_i$. Then $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{A} \setminus A$. Since $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn at point $\mathbf{0}$, there is a sequence $\{f_{i,V_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $f_{i,V_i} \in A$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_{i,V_i} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). Note that $\{V_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a minimally bounded γ -cover of X . \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be an open Whyburn space. The space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn at the point $\mathbf{0}$ if and only if X has the property $S_1(\Omega^s, \Gamma^s)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, it is enough to prove a sufficient condition.

Let $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ for some set $A \subset Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the set $\mathcal{U}_i = \{f^{-1}(-\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i}) : f \in A\}$. Clear that \mathcal{U}_i is a semi-open ω -cover of X for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $U \in \mathcal{U}_i$. Since X is an open Whyburn semi-regular space, for each finite subset K of U , there is a minimally bounded set $V_{K,U,i}$ such that $K \subset V_{K,U,i} \subset U$. Thus, the family $\mathcal{V}_i = \{V_{K,U,i} : K \in [U]^{<\omega} \text{ and } U \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ is a minimally bounded ω -cover of X for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X has the property $S_1(\Omega^s, \Gamma^s)$ there exists a sequence $(V_{K_i,U_i,i} : i \in \mathbb{N})$ such that for each i , $V_{K_i,U_i,i} \in \mathcal{V}_i$ and $\{V_{K_i,U_i,i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a minimally bounded γ -cover of X . Then the sequence $(f_i : U_i = f_i^{-1}(-\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i}), i \in \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ ($i \rightarrow \infty$). \square

For any space X and maps $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is continuous and g is quasicontinuous, it is easy to show that the map $f + g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ is quasicontinuous (Proposition 5.4 in [8]).

Corollary 4.3. *Let $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ be Fréchet-Urysohn at the point $\mathbf{0}$. Then $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let X be an open Whyburn space and X has the property $S_1(\Omega^s, \Gamma^s)$. Then X has the property $S_1(\Omega, \Gamma)$.*

5. Examples

Similarly the proof of Proposition 2.2, it is easy to see the following result.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a space with a dense subset D of isolated points such that $D = D_1 \cup D_2$ where $\overline{D_1} = X \setminus D_2$ and $\overline{D_2} = X \setminus D_1$ and let $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a Fréchet-Urysohn space. Then D is countable.*

Proposition 5.2. *There is a compact space X such that $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn, but $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is not.*

Proof. Let $X = \omega_1 + 1$. Here $\omega_1 + 1$ is the space $\{\alpha : \alpha \leq \omega_1\}$ with the order topology. By Proposition 5.1, $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is not Fréchet-Urysohn. It well known that $C_p(Y, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn for a compact space Y if and only if Y is scattered [12]. Hence, $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. \square

Proposition 5.3. *There is an uncountable separable metrizable space X such that $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn, but $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is not.*

By Corollary 3.11, it is enough consider any uncountable γ -space $X \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 5.4. *There is an uncountable T_1 -space X such that $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn.*

Let X be an uncountable set with the cofinite (or co-countable) topology. Then $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} because any quasicontinuous function on X is a constant function, and, hence, $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn.

6. Open questions

Question 1. Suppose that X is a (first-countable, regular) submetrizable and $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Is the space X countable?

Question 2. Suppose that X is an open Whyburn T_2 semi-regular space and $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Is the space X countable?

Question 3. Suppose that X is a T_2 space and $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is Fréchet-Urysohn. Is the space X Lusin?

Question 4. Suppose that X is a Lusin space and a γ -space. Is the space X countable?

Question 5. Suppose that a (an open Whyburn) space X has the property $S_1(\Omega^s, \Gamma^s)$. Will the space $Q_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ have the Fréchet-Urysohn property at each point?

References

- [1] G. Aumann, *Reelle Funktionen*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1954.
- [2] R. Baire, Sur les fonctions de variables réelles, *Annali di Mat.* (3):3, 1899, 1-123.
- [3] J.M. Borwein, Minimal cuscos and subgradients of Lipschitz functions, In: *Fixed point theory and applications (Marseille, 1989)*, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 252, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow 1991, 57–81.
- [4] A. Bouziad, Every Čech-analytic Baire semitopological group is a topological group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996) 953–959.
- [5] A. Crannell, M. Frantz, M. LeMasurier, Closed relations and equivalence classes of quasicontinuous functions, *Real Anal. Exchange* 31 (2006/2007) 409-424.
- [6] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag Berlin (1989).
- [7] S. Kempisty, Sur les fonctions quasi-continues, *Fund. Math.* **19** (1932) 184–197.
- [8] M. Kumar, B.K. Tyagi, Cardinal invariants and special maps of quasicontinuous functions with the topology of pointwise convergence, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* **23**:2 (2022) 303–314.
- [9] K. Kunen, Lusin Spaces, *Topology Proceedings*, vol. 1 (1976) 191–199.
- [10] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **70** (1963) 36–41.
- [11] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, **15** (1965) 961–970.
- [12] J. Gerlits, Zs. Nagy, Some properties of $C(X)$, I, *Topology and its Applications*, **14** (1982) 151–161.
- [13] J. Gerlits, Zs. Nagy, Some properties of $C(X)$, II, *Topology Appl.* **15**:3 (1983), 255–262.
- [14] D. Holý, L. Matejíčka, Quasicontinuous functions, minimal USCO maps and topology of pointwise convergence, *Mathematica Slovaca* **60**:4 (2010) 507–520.
- [15] Ľ. Holá, D. Holý, Pointwise convergence of quasicontinuous mappings and Baire spaces, *Rocky Mount. Math. J.* 41 (2011) 1883—1894.
- [16] Ľ. Holá, D. Holý, Quasicontinuous subcontinuous functions and compactness, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 13 (2016) 4509—4518.

- [17] Ľ. Holá, D. Holý, Quasicontinuous functions and compactness, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 14 (2017) Art. No. 219.
- [18] Ľ. Holá, D. Holý, Quasicontinuous functions and the topology of pointwise convergence, *Topology Appl.* 282 (2020) 107301.
- [19] Ľ. Holá, Spaces of densely continuous forms, USCO and minimal USCO maps, *Set-Valued Anal.* 11 (2003) 133—151.
- [20] Ľ. Holá, D. Holý, W.B. Moors, USCO and Quasicontinuous Mappings, De Gruyter, 2021.
- [21] S. Todorčević, Trees and Linearly Ordered Sets, in *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, K. Kunen and J. Vaughan (eds.), North-Holland (1984), 235–293.
- [22] S. Marcus, Sur les fonctions quasi-continues au sense Kempisty, *Colloq. Math.* 8 (1961) 45—53.
- [23] W.B. Moors, Any semitopological group that is homeomorphic to a product of Čech-complete spaces is a topological group, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 21 (2013) 627—633.
- [24] W.B. Moors, Fragmentable mappings and CHART groups, *Fund. Math.* 234 (2016) 191–200.
- [25] W.B. Moors, Semitopological groups, Bouziad spaces and topological groups, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) 2038–2048.
- [26] T. Neubrunn, Quasi-continuity, *Real Anal. Exchange* 14 (1988) 259–306.
- [27] E. Reznichenko, Extension of mappings from the product of pseudocompact spaces, *Topology Appl.* 332 (2022) 108329.
- [28] J. De Groot, Discrete subspaces of Hausdorff spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.*, 13 (1965) 537–544.
- [29] W. Sierpinski, *Hypothese du Continu* (New York, 1956).
- [30] Z. Szentmiklóssy, S spaces and L spaces under Martin’s Axiom. In *Topology*, **23** of *Coll. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai*, 1139–1145. North-Holland, 1980. Fourth Colloq., Budapest 1978.
- [31] M. Scheepers, *Combinatorics of open covers (I) : Ramsey theory*, *Topology Appl.*, **69** (1996), 31-62.