

Existence of solutions for the fractional hybrid differential equation via measure of noncompactness

Anupam Das^a, Bipan Hazarika^b, Syed Abbas^c, Hemant Kumar Nashine^{d,e} and Amar Deep^{f,1}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Cotton University, Panbazar, Guwahati 781001, Assam, India

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781014, Assam, India

^cSchool of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, India

^dDepartment of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Bhupal University, 466114, Madhya Pradesh, India

^eDepartment of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Kingsway Campus, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

^fMathematics Discipline, PDPM, IIITDM, Jabalpur (M.P), India

Email: ^amath.anupam@gmail.com; ^bbh_rgu@yahoo.co.in, bh_gu@gauhati.ac.in;

^cabbas@iitmandi.ac.in; ^dhemantkumarnashine@tdtu.edu.vn;amar54072@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper with the help of a newly defined contraction operator, a fixed point theorem is established and studied the solvability of fractional hybrid differential equation in a Banach space. Also, with the help of proper [examples](#), we investigate our findings.

Key Words: Measure of noncompactness; fractional hybrid differential equation; Fixed point theorem.

AMS subject classification no:34A38, 32A65, 35K90, 45N05, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional differential equations play a significant role to study different types of real life phenomenon. Also, fractional integral equations are extremely useful in solving different real-world situations. Because of the relevance of integral equations of fractional order, it is necessary to understand such equations. The idea of a measure of noncompactness (\mathcal{MNC}) plays a relevant role in fixed point theory. Kuratowski [23] pioneered the concept of \mathcal{MNC} in 1930. In the year 1955, G. Darbo [10] developed a result demonstrating the presence of a fixed point, that is, called condensing operators, utilizing the idea of the \mathcal{MNC} .

¹Corresponding author

February 6, 2023.

Fixed point theory and the \mathcal{MNC} have several applications in the analysis of various integral equations and differential equations that arise in many real-world scenarios, for example readers can see [7, 8, 12, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 29, 30] and references therein.

The hybrid differential equations is a quadratic perturbations of nonlinear differential equations. Many researchers have been works on the theory of hybrid differential equations. The theory of fractional hybrid differential equations ($FHDE$) was investigated by Zhao et al. [33]. Dhage and Lakshmikantham [16] discussed some basic results on hybrid differential equations of first order. Lu et al. [26] and Dhage and Jadhav [15] obtained basic results of hybrid differential equations with linear perturbations of second type. Recently, Li et al. [24] solved boundary value problems for Hadamard sequential fractional hybrid differential inclusions and Das et al. [9] investigated existence of solution of an infinite system of $FHDE$ in a tempered sequence space. Recently, Devi and Borah [14], discussed the existence of solution for a nonlinear hybrid functional fractional differential equation. For details on $FHDE$ and its applications, one can see [1, 3, 13, 25, 28, 31] and references therein.

In the literature an ample amount of work may be seen on the topic of fractional hybrid differential equations connecting \mathcal{MNC} , for convenient one can see [11, 27, 32]. So based on these articles, we are motivated to discuss the existence of solution of hybrid differential equations using \mathcal{MNC} .

The goal of this article is to obtain the generalizations of Darbo's fixed point theorem using alternating distance function and apply it to test the solvability of $FHDE$ in Banach space.

Let \mathbb{D} be a real Banach space with the norm $\| \cdot \|$. Assume $B(\theta, r) = \{t \in \mathbb{D} : \|t - \theta\| \leq r\}$. If $\mathcal{W} (\neq \emptyset) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\text{Conv}\mathcal{W}$ indicate the closure and convex closure of \mathcal{W} .

- \mathbb{R} = Real numbers = $(-\infty, \infty)$,
- \mathbb{R}_+ = $[0, \infty)$,
- \mathbb{N} = Natural numbers,
- $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{D}}$ = Collection of all nonempty and bounded subsets of \mathbb{D} ,
- $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{D}}$ = Collection of all relatively compact sets.

The following definition of a \mathcal{MNC} is as shown in [5].

Definition 1.1. A mapping $\mathcal{H} : \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a \mathcal{MNC} in \mathbb{D} , if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) for all $\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{D}}$, we get $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}) = 0$ implies \mathcal{W} is relatively compact.
- (ii) $\ker \mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{D}} : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\ker \mathcal{H} \subset \mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{D}}$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_1 \implies \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}_1)$.

- (iv) $\mathcal{H}(\bar{\mathcal{W}}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W})$.
- (v) $\mathcal{H}(\text{Conv}\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W})$.
- (vi) $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}\mathcal{W} + (1 - \mathbb{A})\mathcal{W}_1) \leq \mathbb{A}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}) + (1 - \mathbb{A})\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}_1)$ for $\mathbb{A} \in [0, 1]$.
- (vii) if $\mathcal{W}_l \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{D}}$, $\mathcal{W}_l = \bar{\mathcal{W}}_l$, $\mathcal{W}_{l+1} \subset \mathcal{W}_l$ for $l = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}_l) = 0$, so $\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_l \neq \emptyset$.

Now, the family $\ker \mathcal{H}$ is called the *kernel of measure* \mathcal{H} . So, $\mathcal{W}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_l \in \ker \mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}_{\infty}) \leq \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}_l)$ for any l , we conclude $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{W}_{\infty}) = 0$.

The following fundamental theorems are useful for our discussion.

Theorem 1.2. [2, Schauder] *Let \mathcal{W} be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset (NBCCS) of a Banach space \mathbb{D} . Then $\mathfrak{S} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ possesses at least one fixed point, provided that \mathfrak{S} is a compact and continuous mapping.*

Theorem 1.3. [10, Darbo] *Let \mathfrak{D} be a NBCCS of a Banach space \mathbb{D} and let $\mathfrak{S} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. Assume that a constant $B \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}) \leq B\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}.$$

Then, there is a fixed point in \mathfrak{D} for \mathfrak{S} provided that \mathfrak{S} is a continuous mapping.

For an extension of Darbo's theorem, we consider the following functions.

Definition 1.4. [7] *Suppose Υ be a collection of functions $\mathbb{S} : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying:*

- (1) $\max\{\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}\} \leq \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F})$ for $\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F} \geq 0$.
- (2) \mathbb{S} is continuous and nondecreasing.
- (3) $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{E}_1 + \mathbb{E}_2, \mathbb{F}_1 + \mathbb{F}_2) \leq \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{E}_1, \mathbb{F}_1) + \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{E}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

For example, $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{E} + \mathbb{F}$.

Definition 1.5. [4] *Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the continuous mapping of the \mathcal{C} -class if the following axioms are holds:*

- (1) $f(g, k) \leq g$,
- (2) $f(g, k) = g$ implies that either $g = 0$ or $k = 0$.

Also, $f(0, 0) = 0$. Note that the \mathcal{C} -class mapping is symbolized by \mathcal{C} .

As an illustration:

- (a) $f(g, k) = g - k$,
- (b) $f(g, k) = ng$, $0 < n < 1$.

Definition 1.6. [22] *A mapping $\omega : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an alternating distance mapping, if*

- (1) $\omega(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow s = 0$.
- (2) ω is continuous and increasing.

We use Ψ to denote this class of functions.

For example, $\omega(s) = (1 - a)s$, $0 \leq a < 1$.

Definition 1.7. [4] *An continuous function $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an ultra altering distance mapping if $v(0) \geq 0$ and $v(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$.*

We use Φ to denote this class of functions.

Definition 1.8. *Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous mapping of \mathcal{A} -class. If $h(s) > s$ for $s \in (0, \infty)$. Also $h(0) = 0$.*

For example, $h(s) = \bar{m}s$, $\bar{m} > 1$.

2. MAIN THEOREMS

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ψ be a NBCCS of a Banach space \mathbb{D} . If $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ is a continuous mapping such that*

$$h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C})))]] \leq f[\omega\{S(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})))\}, v\{S(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}), \gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})))\}], \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{C} \subset \Psi$ and \mathcal{H} is an arbitrary MNC and $S \in \Upsilon$, $v \in \Phi$, $\omega \in \Psi$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a non-decreasing and continuous mapping. Then there exists at least one fixed point for \mathfrak{S} in Ψ .

Proof. Let us consider a sequence $\{\Psi_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ with $\Psi_1 = \Psi$ and $\Psi_{p+1} = \text{Conv}(\mathfrak{S}\Psi_p)$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Also $\mathfrak{S}\Psi_1 = \mathfrak{S}\Psi \subseteq \Psi = \Psi_1$, $\Psi_2 = \text{Conv}(\mathfrak{S}\Psi_1) \subseteq \Psi = \Psi_1$. By proceeding in the same manner gives $\Psi_1 \supseteq \Psi_2 \supseteq \Psi_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Psi_p \supseteq \Psi_{p+1} \supseteq \dots$.

If $\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p_0}) = 0$ for some $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. So Ψ_{p_0} is a compact set. In this instance, \mathfrak{S} has a fixed point in Ψ , according to Schauder's Theorem.

Again, if $\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p) > 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1})))]] \\ &= h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\text{Conv}\mathfrak{S}\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\text{Conv}\mathfrak{S}\Psi_p)))] \\ &= h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\Psi_p)))] \\ &\leq f[\omega\{S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p)))\}, v\{S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p)))\}] \\ &\leq \omega\{S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p)))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$h \{ \omega \{ S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}))) \} \} \geq \omega \{ S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}))) \}.$$

Hence

$$\omega \{ S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_{p+1}))) \} \leq \omega \{ S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p))) \}.$$

Clearly $\{ \omega \{ S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p))) \} \}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is a non-negative and non-increasing sequence, hence there exists $\sigma \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \omega \{ S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p))) \} = \sigma.$$

If possible, let $\sigma > 0$. As $p \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$h(\sigma) \leq \sigma$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, $\sigma = 0$.

i.e.,

$$\omega \left\{ \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p))) \right\} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} S(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\Psi_p))) = 0$$

which gives

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}(\Psi_p) = 0.$$

Since $\Psi_p \supseteq \Psi_{p+1}$. By Definition 1.1, we obtain $\Psi_{\infty} = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{U}_p$ is non-empty, closed and convex subset of \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{U}_{∞} is \mathfrak{S} invariant.

We conclude that \mathfrak{S} has a fixed point in Ψ based on Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let Ψ be a NBCCS of a Banach space \mathbb{D} . If $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ is a continuous mapping such that*

$$h [\omega \{ \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}) + \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C})) \}] \leq f [\omega \{ \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}) + \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})) \}], v \{ \mu(\mathcal{C}) + \gamma(\mu(\mathcal{C})) \}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{C} \subset \Psi$ and \mathcal{H} is an arbitrary MNC, $v \in \Phi$, $\omega \in \Psi$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a non-decreasing with continuous function. Then there exists at least one fixed point for \mathfrak{S} in Ψ .

Proof. Taking the result leads to $S(v, w) = v + w$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let Ψ be a NBCCS of a Banach space \mathbb{D} . If $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ is a continuous mapping such that

$$h[\omega[\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C})]] \leq f[\omega\{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})\}, v\{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})\}], \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathcal{C} \subset \Psi$ and \mathcal{H} is an arbitrary \mathcal{MNC} , $v \in \Phi$, $\omega \in \Psi$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists at least one fixed point for \mathfrak{S} in Ψ .

Proof. Taking the result leads to $\gamma(t) = 0$ in Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let Ψ be a NBCCS of a Banach space \mathbb{D} . If $\mathfrak{S} : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ is a continuous mapping such that

$$h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C})))] \leq \omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C})))], \quad (2.4)$$

where $\mathcal{C} \subset \Psi$ and \mathcal{H} is an arbitrary \mathcal{MNC} and $S \in \Upsilon$, $\omega \in \Psi$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is non-decreasing with continuous function. Then there exists at least one fixed point for \mathfrak{S} in Ψ .

Proof. Using $f(g, h) \leq g$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.5. Taking $\mathfrak{S}(v, w) = v + w$, $\gamma(s) = 0$, $\omega(s) = s$, $f(g, k) = ng$ and $h(s) = \bar{m}s$, where $0 < m < 1$, $\bar{m} > 1$ in Theorem 2.1, one can obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}) \leq \lambda \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \lambda = \frac{m}{\bar{m}} \in (0, 1).$$

It can be observed that our fixed point theorem is a generalization of Darbo's fixed point theorem.

Remark 2.6. We have extended Darbo's fixed point theorem using a new contraction operator that includes a \mathcal{MNC} in order to investigate operators whose properties may be described as intermediate between those of contraction and compact mapping. The significant advantage of this generalization based on a \mathcal{MNC} is that the compactness of the operator's domain, which is crucial to Schauder's theorem, has been extended.

Definition 2.7. [6] An element $(v, w) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W}$ is called a coupled fixed point of the function $\mathfrak{J} : \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ if $\mathfrak{J}(v, w) = v$ and $\mathfrak{J}(w, v) = w$.

Theorem 2.8. [5] Assume that $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n$ be the \mathcal{MNC} in $\mathbb{D}_1, \mathbb{D}_2, \dots, \mathbb{D}_n$, respectively. Moreover, let the mapping $\mathcal{W} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be convex with $\Upsilon(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = 0$ if and only if $v_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, then $\rho(\mathcal{W}) = \Upsilon(\rho_1(\mathcal{W}_1), \rho_2(\mathcal{W}_2), \dots, \rho_n(\mathcal{W}_n))$ define a \mathcal{MNC} in $\mathbb{D}_1 \times \mathbb{D}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{D}_n$, where \mathcal{W}_k denotes the natural projection of \mathcal{W} into \mathbb{D}_k for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Example 2.9. [5] Let ρ be a \mathcal{MNC} on \mathbb{D} . Define $\Upsilon(q, y) = q + y$, $q, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then Υ has all the properties mentioned in the Theorem 2.8. Thus $\rho^{cf}(\mathcal{W}) = \rho(\mathcal{W}_1) + \rho(\mathcal{W}_2)$ is a \mathcal{MNC} in the space $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, where \mathcal{W}_k , $k = 1, 2$ denote the natural projections of \mathcal{W} .

Theorem 2.10. Let Ψ be a NBCCS of a Banach space \mathbb{D} . Also $\mathcal{R} : \Psi \times \Psi \rightarrow \Psi$ is a continuous mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} & h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2))), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)))] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_1) + \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_2)), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_1) + \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_2))] \end{aligned}$$

for any nonempty $\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2 \subseteq \Psi$, where \mathcal{H} is an arbitrary \mathcal{MNC} and $S \in \Upsilon$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$, $\omega \in \Psi$. Also, $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an increasing with continuous mapping. Furthermore, $\gamma(q + y) \leq \gamma(q) + \gamma(y)$, $\omega(q + y) \leq \omega(q) + \omega(y)$, $h(q + y) \leq h(q) + h(y)$. Then \mathcal{R} has at least one coupled fixed point in $\Psi \times \Psi$.

Proof. We observe that $\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathfrak{S}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_1) + \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ is a \mathcal{MNC} on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ for any bounded subset $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, where $\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{S}_2$ denote the natural projection of \mathfrak{S} .

Consider a mapping $\mathcal{R}^{cf} : \Psi \times \Psi \rightarrow \Psi \times \Psi$ by $\mathcal{R}^{cf}(q, y) = (\mathcal{R}(q, y), \mathcal{R}(q, y))$.

It is trivial that \mathcal{R}^{cf} is a continuous. Let $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \Psi \times \Psi$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathcal{R}^{cf}(\mathfrak{S}))), \gamma(\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathcal{R}^{cf}(\mathfrak{S})))] \\ & \leq h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2) \times \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1))), \gamma(\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2) \times \mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)))] \\ & = h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)) + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1))), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)) + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1)))] \\ & \leq h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)) + \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1))), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)) + \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1)))] \\ & \leq h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2)))] + h[\omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1)), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{R}(\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1)))] \\ & \leq \omega[S(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_1) + \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_2)), \gamma(\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_1) + \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{S}_2))] \\ & = \omega[S(\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathfrak{S})), \gamma(\mathcal{H}^{cf}(\mathfrak{S}))]. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.4, we conclude that \mathcal{R}^{cf} has at least one fixed point in $\Psi \times \Psi$. That is, \mathcal{R} has at least one coupled fixed point. \square

3. SOLVABILITY FRACTIONAL HYBRID DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Suppose $\mathbb{D} = C(I)$ represents the space of continuous real functions on $I = [0, T]$. Therefore, equipped with

$$\|\mathcal{W}\| = \sup\{|\mathcal{W}(\sigma)| : \sigma \in I\}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ be bounded. For $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $\delta > 0$, denote by $G(\mathcal{W}, \delta)$ the modulus of the continuity of \mathcal{W} , i.e.,

$$G(\mathcal{W}, \delta) = \sup \{ |\mathcal{W}(\sigma_1) - \mathcal{W}(\sigma_2)| : \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in I, |\sigma_2 - \sigma_1| \leq \delta \}.$$

In addition, we define

$$G(\mathcal{Z}, \delta) = \sup \{ G(\mathcal{W}, \delta) : \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{Z} \}; \quad G_0(\mathcal{Z}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} G(\mathcal{Z}, \delta).$$

It is widely known that the mapping G_0 is a \mathcal{MNC} in \mathbb{D} , with $\Theta(\mathcal{W}) = \frac{1}{2}G_0(\mathcal{W})$ (see [5]) functioning as the Hausdorff \mathcal{MNC} .

For any $\varpi \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < c < 1$, the mapping $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of the *FHDE* [26]

$$D^c[\mu(v)e(v, \mu(v))] = \varpi(v), \quad v \in [v_0, v_0 + a] = I \text{ and } \mu(v_0) = \mu_0 \tag{3.1}$$

iff μ satisfies the hybrid integral equation is

$$\mu(v) = \mu_0 - e(v_0, \mu_0) + e(v, \mu(v)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \int_{v_0}^v (v - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl, \tag{3.2}$$

where $0 < c < 1$.

Let

$$\mathbb{Q}_{r_0} = \{ \mu \in \mathbb{D} : \| \mu \| \leq r_0 \}.$$

Assume that

(A₁) $e : I \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and there exists a constant $D \geq 0$ satisfying

$$|e(v, \mu) - e(v, s)| \leq D |\mu - s|,$$

for $v \in I$ and $\mu, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Also, for all $v \in I$

$$e(v, 0) = 0.$$

(A₂) $\varpi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $\| \varpi \| \leq H, H \geq 0$. Also

$$|\mu_0 - e(v_0, s_0)| \leq X_0 \text{ (say).}$$

(A₃) There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$X_0 + Dr_0 + \frac{Ha^c}{\Gamma(c + 1)} \leq r_0$$

and

$$D < 1.$$

Theorem 3.1. *If the assumptions (A₁)-(A₃) hold, then the equation (3.1) has a solution in $\mathbb{D} = C(I)$.*

Proof. The operator $\mathfrak{S} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is defined as follows

$$(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v) = \mu_0 - e(v_0, \mu_0) + e(v, \mu(v)) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \int_{v_0}^v (v-l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl.$$

Phase (1): We show that the operator \mathfrak{S} maps \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} into \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} . Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_{r_0}$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v)| \\ & \leq |\mu_0 - e(v_0, \mu_0)| + |e(v, \mu(v))| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \int_{v_0}^v (v-l)^{c-1} |\varpi(l)| dl \\ & \leq X_0 + |e(v, \mu(v)) - e(v, 0)| + |e(v, 0)| + \frac{H}{\Gamma(c)} \int_{v_0}^v (v-l)^{c-1} dl \\ & \leq X_0 + D \|\mu\| + \frac{H}{\Gamma(c)} \left[\frac{-(v-l)^c}{c} \right]_{v_0}^v \\ & \leq X_0 + D \|\mu\| + \frac{H}{\Gamma(c+1)} (v-v_0)^c \\ & \leq X_0 + D \|\mu\| + \frac{Ha^c}{\Gamma(c+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\mu\| \leq r_0$ gives

$$\|\mathfrak{S}\| \leq X_0 + Dr_0 + \frac{Ha^c}{\Gamma(c+1)} \leq r_0.$$

Thus \mathfrak{S} maps \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} to \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} .

Phase (2): We will show that \mathfrak{S} is continuous on \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} . Let $\delta > 0$ and $\mu, s \in \mathbb{Q}_{r_0}$ such that $\|\mu - s\| < \delta$ and $s(v_0) = s_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v) - (\mathfrak{S}s)(v)| \\ & = |\mu(v_0) - s(v_0)| + |e(v_0, \mu(v_0)) - e(v_0, s(v_0))| + |e(v, \mu(v)) - e(v, s(v))| \\ & \leq |\mu(v_0) - s(v_0)| + D|\mu(v_0) - s(v_0)| + D|\mu(v) - s(v)| \\ & < \delta + D\delta + D\delta \\ & = (1 + 2D)\delta, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $|(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v) - (\mathfrak{S}s)(v)| \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore, \mathfrak{S} is continuous on \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} .

Phase (3): An estimate of \mathfrak{S} with respect to G_0 . Now, assuming $\Omega_\mu \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{r_0}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary and choosing $\mu \in \Omega_\mu$ and $v_1, v_2 \in I$ such as $|v_2 - v_1| \leq \delta$ with $v_2 \geq v_1$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v_2) - (\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v_1)| \\
& \leq |e(v_2, \mu(v_2)) - e(v_1, \mu(v_1))| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \left| \int_{v_0}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl - \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_1 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl \right| \\
& \leq |e(v_2, \mu(v_2)) - e(v_1, \mu(v_2))| + |e(v_1, \mu(v_2)) - e(v_1, \mu(v_1))| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \left| \int_{v_0}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl - \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_1 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl \right| \\
& \leq \gamma_{r_0}(e, \delta) + DG(\mu, \delta) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} \left| \int_{v_0}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl - \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_1 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_{r_0}(e, \delta) = \sup \{ |e(v_2, \mu) - e(v_1, \mu)| : |v_2 - v_1| \leq \delta, v_1, v_2 \in I, \|\mu\| \leq r_0 \}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{v_0}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl - \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_1 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl \right| \\
& \leq \left| \int_{v_0}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl - \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl \right| \\
& + \left| \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl - \int_{v_0}^{v_1} (v_1 - l)^{c-1} \varpi(l) dl \right| \\
& \leq \int_{v_1}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} |\varpi(l)| dl + \int_{v_0}^{v_1} \{ (v_1 - l)^{c-1} - (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \} |\varpi(l)| dl \\
& \leq H \int_{v_1}^{v_2} (v_2 - l)^{c-1} dl + H \int_{v_0}^{v_1} \{ (v_1 - l)^{c-1} - (v_2 - l)^{c-1} \} dl \\
& = \frac{H}{c} (v_2 - v_1)^c + \frac{H}{c} [(v_2 - v_1)^c + (v_1 - v_0)^c - (v_2 - v_0)^c] \\
& \leq \frac{2H\delta^c}{c} + \frac{H}{c} [(v_1 - v_0)^c - (v_2 - v_0)^c].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v_2) - (\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v_1)| \\
& \leq \gamma_{r_0}(e, \delta) + DG(\mu, \delta) + \frac{2H\delta^c}{\Gamma(c+1)} + \frac{H}{\Gamma(c+1)} [(v_1 - v_0)^c - (v_2 - v_0)^c].
\end{aligned}$$

As $\delta \rightarrow 0$, $v_2 \rightarrow v_1$ so

$$|(\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v_2) - (\mathfrak{S}\mu)(v_1)| \leq DG_0(\mu),$$

i.e.,

$$G(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}_\mu, \delta) \leq DG_0(\mathcal{C}_\mu).$$

As $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$G(\mathfrak{S}\mathcal{C}_\mu) \leq DG_0(\mathcal{C}_\mu).$$

Thus by Corollary 2.5, \mathfrak{S} has a fixed point in \mathbb{Q}_{r_0} . i.e., the equation (3.2) has a solution in \mathbb{D} . \square

Example 3.2. Consider the fractional hybrid differential equation as follows

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\mu(v) - \frac{\mu(v)}{6v} \right] = v^2, \quad \mu(1) = 1 \quad (3.3)$$

for $v \in [1, 3] = I$.

Solution: Here $c = \frac{1}{2}$, $a = 2$, $v_0 = 1$, $\mu(v) = 1 = \mu_0$. Also,

$$e(v, \mu(v)) = \frac{\mu(v)}{6v}, \quad \varpi(v) = v^2, \quad e(v, 0) = 0$$

and

$$H = 9.$$

Therefore

$$|e(v, \mu(v)) - e(v, s(v))| \leq \frac{|\mu(v) - s(v)|}{6},$$

and

$$D = \frac{1}{6} < 1.$$

Also,

$$|\mu_0 - e(v_0, \mu_0)| = \frac{5}{6} = X_0.$$

Substituting these values in the inequality of assumption (A_3) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{5}{6} + \frac{r_0}{6} + \frac{9(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} &\leq r_0 \\ \implies \frac{5r_0}{6} &\geq \frac{5}{6} + \frac{9(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \\ \implies r_0 &\geq 1 + \frac{54(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{5\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

However, assumption (A_3) is also fulfilled for $r_0 = 1 + \frac{54(2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{5\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}$.

We see that all of the assumptions from (A_1) to (A_3) in Theorem 3.1 are achieved.

Therefore by Theorem 3.1, we conclude that equation (3.3) has a solution in $\mathbb{D} = C(I)$.

Example 3.3. Consider another fractional hybrid differential equation as follows

$$D^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[\mu(v) - \frac{\mu(v)}{3v^2 + 1} \right] = v^3, \quad \mu(1) = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

for $v \in [1, 2] = I$.

Solution: Here $c = \frac{1}{2}$, $a = 1$, $v_0 = 1$, $\mu(v) = 1 = \mu_0$. Also,

$$e(v, \mu(v)) = \frac{\mu(v)}{3v^2 + 1}, \quad \varpi(v) = v^3, \quad e(v, 0) = 0$$

and

$$H = 8.$$

Therefore

$$|e(v, \mu(v)) - e(v, s(v))| \leq \frac{|\mu(v) - s(v)|}{4},$$

and

$$D = \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

Also,

$$|\mu_0 - e(v_0, \mu_0)| = \frac{3}{4} = X_0.$$

Substituting these values in the inequality of assumption (A_3) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{4} + \frac{r_0}{4} + \frac{8}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} &\leq r_0 \\ \implies \frac{3r_0}{4} &\geq \frac{3}{4} + \frac{8}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} \\ \implies r_0 &\geq 1 + \frac{32}{3\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})}. \end{aligned}$$

However, assumption (A_3) is also fulfilled for $r_0 = 1 + \frac{32}{3\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})}$.

We see that all of the assumptions from (A_1) to (A_3) in Theorem 3.1 are achieved. By Theorem 3.1, we can conclude that equation (3.3) has a solution in $\mathbb{D} = C(I)$.

Acknowledgments. The authors are thankful to the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the better presentation and readability of the article.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors contributions. All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.I. Abbas, M.A. Ragusa, On the hybrid fractional differential equations with fractional proportional derivatives of a function with respect to a certain function. *Symmetry* 2021, 13(2), 264; <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13020264>
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, Fixed point theory and applications. Cambridge University Press (2004).
- [3] A. Amara, Existence results for hybrid fractional differential equations with three-point boundary conditions. *AIMS Math.* 5(2)(2020) 1074–1088. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020075>.
- [4] A. H. Ansari, Note on $\phi - \psi$ contractive type mappings and related fixed point, The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics and Applications. Payame Noor University, (2014), 377–380.
- [5] J. Banaś, K. Goebel, Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 60, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1980.
- [6] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Huang, Coupled fixed point theorems with applications, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 33(3)(1996) 575–585.
- [7] A. Das, B. Hazarika, P. Kumam, Some new generalization of Darbo's fixed point theorem and its application on integral equations, *Mathematics* 7(3)(2019), 214; <https://doi.org/10.3390/math7030214>.
- [8] A. Das, B. Hazarika, V. Parvaneh, M. Mursaleen, Solvability of generalized fractional order integral equations via measures of noncompactness, *Math. Sci.* 15(3)(2021) 241–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40096-020-00359-0>.
- [9] A. Das, B. Hazarika, B.C. Deuri, Existence of an infinite system of fractional hybrid differential equations in a tempered sequence space, *Frac. Cal. Appl. Anal.* 25(4)(2022) 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13540-022-00084-6>.
- [10] G. Darbo, Punti uniti in trasformazioni a codominio non compatto (Italian), *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 24(1955) 84–92.
- [11] M.A. Darwish, K. Sadarangani, Existence of solutions for hybrid fractional pantograph equations. *Appl. Anal. Dis. Math.* 9(2015) 150–167. doi:i0.2298/AADMi50i26002D
- [12] Amar Deep, Deepmala, B. Hazarika, An existence result for Hadamard type two dimensional fractional functional integral equations via measure of noncompactness, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 147(2021), 110874.
- [13] C. Derbazi, H. Hammouche, M. Benchohra, Y. Zhou, Fractional hybrid differential equations with three-point boundary hybrid conditions. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* (2019) 2019:125 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2067-7>
- [14] D. Devi, J. Borah, Existence of solution for a nonlinear hybrid functional fractional differential equation, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 220705-Borah.
- [15] B.C. Dhage, N. Jadhav, Basic results in the theory of hybrid differential equations with linear perturbations of second type. *Tamkang J. Math.* 44(2)(2013) 171–186
- [16] B.C. Dhage, V. Lakshmikantham, Basic results on hybrid differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* 4(3)(2010) 414–424.
- [17] B. Hazarika, R. Arab, M. Mursaleen, Applications of measure of noncompactness and operator type contraction for existence of solution of functional integral equations, *Complex Anal. Operator Theory* 13(2019) 3837–3851.

- [18] M. Kazemi, R. Ezzati, Existence of solution for some nonlinear two-dimensional Volterra integral equations via measures of noncompactness, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 275(2016) 165–171.
- [19] M. Kazemi, R. Ezzati, Existence of solutions for some nonlinear Volterra integral equations via Petryshyn’s fixed point theorem, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 9(1)(2018) 1–12.
- [20] M. Kazemi, On existence of solutions for some functional integral equations in Banach algebra by fixed point theorem, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 13(1)(2022) 451–466.
- [21] M. Kazemi, A.R. Yaghoobnia, Application of fixed point theorem to solvability of functional stochastic integral equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 417(2022) 126759.
- [22] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 30(1984) 1–9.
- [23] K. Kuratowski, Sur les espaces complets, *Fund. Math.* 15(1930) 301–309.
- [24] N. Li , H. Gu, Y. Chen, BVP for Hadamard sequential fractional hybrid differential inclusions, *J. Function Spaces.* 2022, Article ID 4042483, 27 pages, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4042483>
- [25] F. Li, L. Zhang, H. Wang, On fractional hybrid nonlinear differential equations involving three mixed fractional orders with boundary conditions. *Symmetry* 2022, 14, 1189. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14061189>
- [26] H. Lu, S. Sun, D. Yang, H. Teng, Theory of fractional hybrid differential equations with linear perturbations of second type, *Boundary Value Prob.* 2013, 2013:23.
- [27] A. Salem, M. Alnegga, Measure of noncompactness for hybrid Langevin fractional differential equations. *Axioms* 2020, 9, 59; doi:10.3390/axioms9020059
- [28] I. Slimane, Z. Dahmani, J.J. Nieto, T. Abdeljawad, Existence and stability for a nonlinear hybrid differential equation of fractional order via regular Mittag-Leffler kernel. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 2021, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.7349>
- [29] P. Verma, M. Kumar, Exact solution with existence and uniqueness conditions for multi-dimensional time-space tempered fractional diffusion-wave equation, *Engineering with Computers* 38(2022) 271–281.
- [30] P. Verma, M. Kumar, Analytical solution with existence and uniqueness conditions of non-linear initial value multi-order fractional differential equations using Caputo derivative, *Engineering with Computers* 38(2022) 661–678.
- [31] J. You, Z. Han, Analysis of fractional hybrid differential equations with impulses in partially ordered Banach algebras. *Nonlinear Anal.: Modelling and Control* 26(6)(2021) 1071–1086. <https://doi.org/10.15388/namc.2021.26.24939>
- [32] M.B. Zada, M. Sarwar, H.K. Nashine, Solution of infinite system of ordinary differential equations and fractional hybrid differential equations via measure of noncompactness. *J. Taibah University for Science.* 13(1)(2019) 1119–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2019.1686862>
- [33] Y. Zhao, S. Sun, Z. Han, Q. Li, Theory of fractional hybrid differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62(3) 1312–1324 (2011)