

THE WEIGHTED L^p -BOUNDS FOR CARLESON TYPE MAXIMAL OPERATOR WITH KERNEL SATISFYING MILD REGULARITY

CHENYAN WANG, HUOXIONG WU*

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the Carleson type maximal operator \mathcal{T}^* defined by

$$\mathcal{T}^* f(x) = \sup_{\lambda} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda}(y)} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right|,$$

where $P_{\lambda}(y) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_{\alpha} y^{\alpha}$ is the polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n with real coefficients $\lambda := (\lambda_{\alpha})_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$. Under the assumption of that the kernel function K satisfies an L^r -Hörmander condition with $1 < r \leq \infty$, the authors show that \mathcal{T}^* is bounded on the weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\omega)$ for $r' < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$, which improves and generalizes the previous results obtained by Stein and Wainger in [Math. Res. Lett. 8(2001), 789-800], Ding and Liu in [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140(2012), 2739-2751].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an appropriate Calderón-Zygmund kernel, $P_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ be a polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n with real coefficients $\lambda := (\lambda_{\alpha})_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Define

$$T_{\lambda}(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda}(y)} K(y) f(x-y) dy,$$

and the corresponding Carleson type maximal operator \mathcal{T}^* by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{T}^* f(x) = \sup_{\lambda} |T_{\lambda}(f)(x)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the real coefficients λ of P_{λ} .

It is well-known that \mathcal{T}^* is the generalization of the classical Carleson maximal operators, which were studied successively in [1, 4, 5, 6, 7]. For the general operator \mathcal{T}^* , Stein and Wainger [8] established following result:

Theorem A. *Suppose that $P_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ and K satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) K is a tempered distribution and agrees with a C^1 -function $K(x)$ for $x \neq 0$;
- (2) $\widehat{K} \in L^{\infty}$;
- (3) $|\partial_x^{\gamma} K(x)| \leq A|x|^{-n-|\gamma|}$ for $0 \leq |\gamma| \leq 1$.

Then $\|\mathcal{T}^(f)\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$ for $1 < p < \infty$.*

Subsequently, Ding and Liu [2] extended the result above to the weighted L^p spaces with homogeneous kernels as follows.

Theorem B. *Suppose that $P_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ and $K(x) = \Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-n}$, where Ω is an integrable function of the unit sphere S^{n-1} with mean value zero. If Ω satisfies the*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Carleson operator, L^r -Hörmander condition, A_p weight.

Supported by the NNSF of China (Nos. 12171399, 12271041).

*Corresponding author.

L^r -Dini condition for some $1 < r \leq \infty$, then for $r' := r/(r-1) < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{T}^* f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\omega).$$

Here A_q ($1 < q < \infty$) denote the classical Muckenhoupt classes (see [3] for the properties of A_q), and Ω is said to satisfy an L^r -Dini condition if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_r(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta < \infty,$$

where $\omega_r(\delta)$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$) is called the integral continuous modulus of Ω of degree r , which is defined by

$$\omega_r(\delta) := \sup_{\|\rho\| < \delta} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}} |\Omega(\rho x') - \Omega(x')|^r d\sigma(x') \right)^{1/r} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq r < \infty,$$

and $\omega_\infty(\delta) := \sup_{\|\rho\| < \delta} |\Omega(\rho x') - \Omega(x')|$, where ρ is a rotation in \mathbb{R}^n and $\|\rho\| = \sup\{|\rho x' - x'| : x' \in S^{n-1}\}$, S^{n-1} denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n equipped with normalized Lebesgue measure $d\sigma = d\sigma(\cdot)$.

On the other hand, in studying the weighted L^p -estimates of singular integrals, Waston [10] considered the following L^r -Hörmander's conditions \mathcal{H}_r ($1 \leq r \leq \infty$):

Definition 1.1. Let $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. We say that the kernel K satisfies the L^r -Hörmander condition (we write $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$), if there exist constant $c > 1$, $C_r > 0$ such that for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R > c|y|$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k R)^{n/r'} \left(\int_{2^k R < |x| \leq 2^{k+1} R} |K(x-y) - K(x)|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \leq C_r$$

for $r < \infty$, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2^k R)^n \sup_{2^k R < |x| \leq 2^{k+1} R} |K(x-y) - K(x)| \leq C_\infty$$

for $r = \infty$.

In [10], Waston established the weighted L^p -boundedness of the Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with the kernel $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$ for $1 < r \leq \infty$.

Remark 1.2. It is easy to check that for $1 < r < s < \infty$,

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{H}_\infty^* \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_\infty \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_s \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_r \subsetneq \mathcal{H}_1,$$

where \mathcal{H}_∞^* denotes the classical Lipschitz condition, and that \mathcal{H}_1 is the classical Hörmander condition. Moreover, Waston [10] showed that if Ω satisfies the L^r -Dini condition, then $K(x) = \Omega(x/|x|)|x|^{-n} \in \mathcal{H}_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Based on the above, it is natural to consider the weighted L^p -estimates of \mathcal{T}^* under the assumption of that $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$ for $1 < r \leq \infty$. Our main result can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $P_\lambda(x) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_\alpha x^\alpha$, $1 < r \leq \infty$, $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$ and satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad |K(y)| \leq C|x|^{-n},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \widehat{K} \in L^\infty.$$

Then for $r' < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$, the Carleson type maximal operator \mathcal{T}^* is bounded on the weighted space $L^p(\omega)$, that is, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^p(\omega)$

$$(1.5) \quad \|\mathcal{T}^* f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Remark 1.4. It follows from Remark 1.2 that Theorem 1.3 can be regarded as an essential improvement of Theorem A, even in the un-weighted case, and an extension to Theorem B.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will give some auxiliary lemmas. The proof of our main result will be given in Section 3. We remark that the main ideas in our arguments are taken from [2], which were based on the ideal of linearized maximal operators and TT^* method in [8].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some auxiliary facts and lemmas, which will be used in our arguments.

Lemma 2.1. ([8]) For any set $E \in B_2$, with $B_2 = \{x : |x| \leq 2\}$, we denote by χ_E its characteristic function, and $(\chi_E)_a(x) = a^{-n} \chi_E(a^{-1}x)$ for $a > 0$. We define

$$\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{|E| \leq \varepsilon \\ a > 0}} |f| * (\chi_E)_a(x)$$

with the supremum taken over all subsets E of B_2 of measure $\leq \varepsilon$, and all $a > 0$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$, there exists constant $C > 0$, independent of ε , such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(f)\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon^{1-1/p} \|f\|_{L^p}.$$

Lemma 2.2. ([8]) Let $P(x) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_\alpha x^\alpha$ be a polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n of degree $\leq d$, with real coefficients and no constant term. Denote $|\lambda| = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |\lambda_\alpha|$. Assume that φ is a given $C^{(1)}$ function defined in the unit ball, $B = \{x : |x| \leq 1\}$, and let D be any convex subset of B . Then

$$\left| \int_D e^{iP(x)} \varphi(x) dx \right| \leq c |\lambda|^{-1/d} \sup_{x \in B} (|\varphi(x)| + |\nabla \varphi(x)|).$$

The constant c depends on the dimension n and the degree d , but not otherwise on P , φ , or D .

Lemma 2.3. ([8]) With the same notation as in Lemma 2.2,

$$|\{x \in B : |P(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}| \leq c \varepsilon^{1/d} |\lambda|^{-1/d}, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Again, c does not depend on the coefficient of P , but only on n and d .

To prove Theorem 1.3, we will use the weighted estimates of the Calderón-Zygmund operator T_K associated to K , and the corresponding maximal truncated operator T_K^* , which are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad T_K f(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x) f(x-y) dy,$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad T_K^* f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|y| \geq \varepsilon} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right|.$$

Lemma 2.4. ([10]) *Let $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$, $1 < r \leq \infty$, and $\widehat{K} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

(i) *for $r' < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|T_K f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\omega);$$

(ii) *for $\omega^{r'} \in A_1$,*

$$\|T_K f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^1(\omega).$$

Lemma 2.5. *With the same nation and assumptions as in Lemma 2.4, we have*

$$\|T_K^* f\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad \forall f \in L^p(\omega).$$

Proof. There is a positive sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ tend to zero, such that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} T_{K,\varepsilon_j} f(z) = T_K(z) \quad \text{a.e. } z \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $T_{K,\varepsilon_j}(z) = \int_{|z-y|>\varepsilon_j} K(z-y)f(y)dy$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, let $Q = B(x, \varepsilon/4)$ and $4Q = B(x, \varepsilon)$. Define $f_1 = f\chi_{4Q}$ and $f_2 = f - f_1$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} T_K f_2(x) &= \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x-y)f(y)dy \\ &= \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} [K(x-y) - K(z-y)]f(y)dy \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(z-y)f(y)dy - \int_{|z-y|>\varepsilon_j} K(z-y)f(y)dy \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_{|z-y|>\varepsilon_j} K(z-y)f(y)dy \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in Q$ and satisfies (2.3). Obviously,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} I_3 = T_K f(z).$$

For I_2 , when ε_j is small enough, we have $B(z, \varepsilon_j) \subset B(x, \varepsilon)$, thus

$$f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)}(y) = f(y)\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)}(y) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(z,\varepsilon_j)}(y).$$

Thus I_2 can be written as

$$I_2 = - \int_{|z-y|>\varepsilon_j} K(z-y)f_1(y)dy,$$

and by (2.3), we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} I_2 = -T_K f_1(z).$$

By Hölder's inequality and $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} [K(x-y) - K(z-y)]f(y)dy \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k\varepsilon < |x-y| < 2^{k+1}\varepsilon} |K(x-y) - K(z-y)||f(y)|dy \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^k \left(2^k\varepsilon\right)^n \left(\left(2^k\varepsilon\right)^{-n} \int_{2^k\varepsilon < |x-y| < 2^{k+1}\varepsilon} |K(x-y) - K(z-y)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} \\ &\quad \times \left(\left(2^k\varepsilon\right)^{-n} \int_{2^{k+1}4Q} |f(y)|^{r'} dy \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq C_r M_{r'} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_\delta f(x) := (M(|f|^\delta)(x))^{1/\delta}$ for $\delta > 0$, the variant of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M .

Denote $T_K f_2(x)$ by $T_\varepsilon f(x)$ for simplicity. Summing up the estimates for I_1, I_2 and I_3 , we obtained

$$|T_\varepsilon f(x)| \leq C_r M_{r'} f(x) + |T_K f_1(z)| + |T_K f(z)| \quad a.e. \quad z \in B(x, \varepsilon/4).$$

If $T_\varepsilon f(x) = 0$ then there is nothing to prove. If not, fix λ such that $0 < \lambda < |T_\varepsilon f(x)|$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \{z \in Q : |T_K f(z)| > \lambda/3\}, \\ Q_2 &= \{z \in Q : |T_K f_1(z)| > \lambda/3\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_3 = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } C_r M_{r'} f(x) \leq \lambda/3, \\ Q & \text{if } C_r M_{r'} f(x) > \lambda/3. \end{cases}$$

Then $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3$, so $|Q| \leq |Q_1| + |Q_2| + |Q_3|$. However,

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{3}\right)^\eta |Q_1| \leq \int_{Q_1} |T_K f(z)|^\eta dz, \quad 0 < \eta < 1.$$

By the weak (1, 1) inequality for T_ε (see Lemma 2.4) and Kolmogorov's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\lambda}{3}\right)^\eta |Q_2| &\leq \int_{Q_2} |T_K f_1(z)|^\eta dz \\ &\leq C |Q_2|^{1-\eta} \|f_1\|_1^\eta, \end{aligned}$$

by a simple calculation, we have

$$\lambda |Q_2| \leq C \int_{4Q} |f(y)| dy.$$

As for Q_3 , or equal to Q , or empty set, in every case we have that

$$|Q_3| \leq 3C_r |Q| M_{r'} f(x) / \lambda.$$

Based on the above results, we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\leq C \lambda^{1-\eta} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_K f(z)|^\eta dz + C \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{4Q} |f(y)| dy + 3C_r M_{r'} f(x) \\ &\lesssim M_\eta(|T_K f|)(x) + M f(x) + M_{r'} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \rightarrow |T_\varepsilon f(x)|$ and using the weighted L^p boundedness of M (see [3]), we deduce Lemma 2.5. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Note that

$$\mathcal{T}^*(f)(x) = \sup_{\lambda} |T_{\lambda}(f)(x)| \leq \sup_{\lambda \neq 0} |T_{\lambda}(f)(x)| + |T_K(f)(x)|,$$

where T_K is as in (2.1). By Lemma 2.4, we may assume that the supremum is taken over all vectors $\lambda = (\lambda_{\alpha})_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$ with $|\lambda| > 0$, where

$$|\lambda| = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |\lambda_{\alpha}|.$$

Let $P_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ be the polynomial of degree d with coefficients $\lambda = (\lambda_{\alpha})$. For $f \in L^p$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $\lambda(x)$ the nonzero vector $(\lambda_{\alpha}(x))_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$ such that

$$|T_{\lambda(x)}(f)(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\lambda} |T_{\lambda}(f)(x)|.$$

Therefore, to prove (1.5), it suffices to prove that, under the conditions of Theorem 1.3, there exists a constant C , independent of the choice of $\lambda(\cdot)$, such that

$$(3.1) \quad \|T_{\lambda(\cdot)}(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Employing the arguments in [2, 8], take a nonnegative function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(\psi) \subseteq \{1/4 < |y| \leq 1\}$ and ψ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j(y) = 1 \quad \text{for } y \neq 0,$$

where $\psi_j(y) = \psi(2^{-j}y)$. Denote $N(\lambda) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{1/|\alpha|}$. Then $N(\lambda(x)) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j(N(\lambda(x))y) = 1 \quad \text{for } y \neq 0.$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\psi_{j,\lambda}(y) = \psi_j(N(\lambda(x))y)$ for short. Write K as

$$K(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} K_j(y),$$

where $K_0(y) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 \psi_{j,\lambda}(y)K(y)$ and $K_j(y) = \psi_{j,\lambda}(y)K(y)$ for $j \geq 1$. Hence,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |T_{\lambda(x)}(f)(x)| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} K_0(y) f(x-y) dy \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} K_j(y) f(x-y) dy \right| \\ &=: T_{\lambda(x)}^0(f)(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $T_{\lambda(\cdot)}^0(f)(x)$, note that $\text{supp}(K_0) \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| \leq \frac{1}{N(\lambda(x))}\}$ and $K_0(y) = K(y)$ for $|y| \leq \frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\lambda(x)}^0(f)(x)| &\leq \left| \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))}} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right| \\ &\quad + C \int_{\frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))} \leq |y| \leq \frac{1}{N(\lambda(x))}} |K(y)| |f(x-y)| dy \\ &\leq \left| \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))}} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right| + CMf(x) \\ &=: I(x) + CMf(x), \end{aligned}$$

where M denotes the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Since $N(\lambda(x))|y| \leq 1$ and $|\lambda_\alpha(x)| \leq N(\lambda(x))^{|\alpha|}$, we get

$$\left| e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} - 1 \right| \leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |\lambda_\alpha(x)| |y^\alpha| \leq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} N(\lambda(x))^{|\alpha|} |y|^{|\alpha|} \leq CN(\lambda(x))|y|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I(x) &\leq \left| \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))}} (e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} - 1) K(y) f(x-y) dy \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))}} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right| \\ &\leq CN(\lambda(x)) \int_{|y| \leq \frac{1}{2N(\lambda(x))}} \frac{1}{|y|^{n-1}} |f(x-y)| dy \\ &\quad + \left| p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right| + \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} K(y) f(x-y) dy \right| \\ &\leq CM(f)(x) + |T_K(f)(x)| + T_K^*(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$|T_{\lambda(x)}^0(f)(x)| \leq |T_K(f)(x)| + T_K^*(f)(x) + CM(f)(x),$$

where C is independent of the choice of $\lambda(\cdot)$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 and the weighted L^p -boundedness of M , we know that, under the conditions of Theorem 1.3,

$$(3.3) \quad \|T_{\lambda(\cdot)}^0(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}, \quad r' < p < \infty, \omega \in A_{p/r'},$$

where the constant C is independent of $\lambda(\cdot)$.

Next, we will estimate $\|T_{\lambda(\cdot)}^j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We take $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp}(\phi) \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y| \leq 2^{-5}\}$ and $\|\phi\|_{L^1} = 1$. For $t > 0$, denote $\phi_t(x) = t^{-n}\phi(x/t)$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\sigma > 0$ small enough, which will be chosen later, let

$$L_{j,\lambda(x)}(y) := K_j * \phi_{\frac{2^j(1-\sigma)}{N(\lambda(x))}}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{j,\lambda(x)}(y) := K_j(y) - L_{j,\lambda(x)}(y).$$

Define operators $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(\cdot)}^j$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(\cdot)}^j$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} L_{j,\lambda(x)}(y) f(x-y) dy,$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} R_{j,\lambda(x)}(y) f(x-y) dy,$$

respectively. Then

$$(3.4) \quad |T_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)| \leq |\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)| + |\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)|.$$

In what follows, we will estimate $|\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)|$ and $|\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)|$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. It is obvious that $L_{j,\lambda}$ is smooth by its definition and

$$(3.5) \quad \text{supp}(L_{j,\lambda}) \subseteq \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{2^{j-3}}{N(\lambda(x))} \leq |y| \leq \frac{2^{j+1}}{N(\lambda(x))} \right\}.$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$(3.6) \quad |L_{j,\lambda}(y)| \leq C(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))^n 2^{jn\sigma},$$

where C is independent of j and $\lambda(\cdot)$. It follows from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x) \leq C2^{jn\sigma} M(f)(x).$$

Recall $\lambda(x) = (\lambda_\alpha(x))_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda = \left(\left(\frac{2^j}{N(\lambda(x))} \right)^{|\alpha|} \lambda_\alpha(x) \right)_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$$

for convenience. Note that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{\lambda(x)}(y) &= \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_\alpha(x) y^\alpha = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \lambda_\alpha(x) \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\lambda(x))} \right)^{|\alpha|} (2^{-j}N(\lambda(x))y)^\alpha \\ &= P_{A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x))y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x))y)} L_{j,\lambda(x)}(y) f(x-y) dy.$$

From now on, we denote $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)$ by $\mathcal{L}_j(f)(x)$ for simplicity. Note that for a $(\nu_\alpha)_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$,

$$N(A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \left(\left(\frac{2^j}{N(\nu)} \right)^{|\alpha|} |\nu_\alpha| \right)^{1/|\alpha|} = \frac{2^j}{N(\nu)} \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |\nu_\alpha|^{1/|\alpha|} = 2^j.$$

Since there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $N(\nu) \leq c_0|\nu|$ for any vector ν satisfying $N(\nu) \geq 1$, we get

$$(3.9) \quad |A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda| \geq 2^j/c_0 \text{ for all } \lambda(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For $r \geq 2^j/c_0$, let

$$U_{j,r} = \{x : r \leq |A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda| \leq 2r\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{j,r}(f)(x) = \mathcal{L}_j(f)(x) \chi_{U_{j,r}}(x).$$

$\mathcal{L}_{j,r}^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{L}_{j,r}$. It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda(x)}^j(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iP_{\lambda(x)}(x-y)} L_{j,\lambda(x)}(x-y) g(x) \chi_{U_{j,r}}(x) dx,$$

and

$$(\mathcal{L}_{j,r}\mathcal{L}_{j,r}^*)(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(x, z)f(z)dz,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x, z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} e^{-iP_{\lambda(z)}(z-x+y)} L_{j,\lambda(x)}(y) L_{j,\lambda(z)}(z-x+y) dy \chi_{U_{j,r}}(x) \chi_{U_{j,r}}(z) \\ &= (e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(\cdot)} L_{j,\lambda(x)}(\cdot)) * (e^{-iP_{\lambda(z)}(\cdot)} L_{j,\lambda(z)}(\cdot))(x-z) \chi_{U_{j,r}}(x) \chi_{U_{j,r}}(z). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that for $r \geq 2^j/c_0$ and fixed $x, z \in U_{j,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad |\mathcal{K}(x, z)| &\leq C(2^{-j}N(\lambda(z)))^n 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} [r^{-2\delta} \chi_{B_4}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(z)))(x-z)) \\ &\quad + \chi_{E_{\lambda(z)}^j}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(z)))(x-z)] \\ &\quad + C(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))^n 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} [r^{-2\delta} \chi_{B_4}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))(x-z)) \\ &\quad + \chi_{E_{\lambda(x)}^j}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))(x-z)]. \end{aligned}$$

where the sets $E_{\lambda(x)}^j, E_{\lambda(z)}^j \subset B_4 = \{|y| \leq 4\}$ satisfy $|E_{\lambda(x)}^j|, |E_{\lambda(z)}^j| \leq r^{-4\delta}$ with $\delta = (6d)^{-1}$.

Accepting (3.10), we first show how to deduce the weighted boundedness of $\mathcal{L}_j(f)$ from (3.10). To do this, we need a variant of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M and its L^p boundedness. Let $B_4 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 4\}$. For a measurable set $E \subset B_4$, denote by χ_E the characteristic function of E . For $\varepsilon > 0$, the maximal operator \mathcal{M}_ε is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(f)(x) = \sup_{\substack{E \subset B_4, |E| \leq \varepsilon, \\ a > 0}} |f| * (\chi_E)_a(x),$$

where $(\chi_E)_a(x) = a^{-n} \chi_E(x/a)$. Denote $\varepsilon = r^{-4\delta}$ and by (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\mathcal{L}_{j,r}\mathcal{L}_{j,r}^*(f), g)| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{K}(x, z)| |f(z)| |g(x)| dz dx \\ &\leq Cr^{-2\delta} 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(z)| (2^{-j}N(\lambda(z)))^n \int_{|x-z| \leq \frac{4 \cdot 2^j}{N(\lambda(z))}} |g(x)| dx dz \\ &\quad + C2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(z)| (2^{-j}N(\lambda(z)))^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{E_{\lambda(z)}^j}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(z)))(x-z) |g(x)| dx dz \\ &\quad + Cr^{-2\delta} 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| (2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))^n \int_{|x-z| \leq \frac{4 \cdot 2^j}{N(\lambda(x))}} |f(z)| dz dx \\ &\quad + C2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| (2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{E_{\lambda(x)}^j}(2^{-j}N(\lambda(x)))(x-z) |f(z)| dz dx \\ &\leq Cr^{-2\delta} 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(z)| M(g)(z) dz + 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(z)| \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(g)(z) dz \\ &\leq Cr^{-2\delta} 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| M(f)(x) dx + 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon(f)(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, the L^2 boundedness of M and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$(3.11) \quad |(\mathcal{L}_{j,r}\mathcal{L}_{j,r}^*(f), g)| \leq Cr^{-2\delta} 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

Note that

$$\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda| \geq \frac{2^j}{c_0}\right\} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{x : \frac{2^{j+k}}{c_0} \leq |A_{j,\lambda} \circ \lambda| < \frac{2^{j+k+1}}{c_0}\right\}.$$

Now we take $r = 2^{j+k}/c_0$ successively for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and denote $\mathcal{L}_j^{(k)} := \mathcal{L}_{j,r}$. Then $\mathcal{L}_j(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_j^{(k)}(f)(x)$ and by (3.11) we have

$$(3.12) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{L}_j^{(k)}(f)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq C 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{j+k}/c_0)^{-\delta} \leq C 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} 2^{-j\delta}.$$

By (3.7), we also have

$$(3.13) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^s} \leq 2^{j\sigma n} \|f\|_{L^s} \text{ for } 1 < s < \infty.$$

Using the Riesz-Thörin interpolation theorem between (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$(3.14) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^p} \leq 2^{j\sigma(n+1)} 2^{-j\gamma(p)\delta} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad r' < p < \infty,$$

where $\gamma(p) = \min\{2/p, 2/p'\}$.

On the other hand, using (3.7) again and the weighted L^p boundedness of M for $1 < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_p$, we have

$$(3.15) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq 2^{j\sigma n} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Since $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\omega^{1+\varepsilon} \in A_{p/r'} \subset A_p$ (see [3]). Thus by (3.15), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega^{1+\varepsilon})} \leq 2^{j\sigma n} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega^{1+\varepsilon})}.$$

Applying the Stein-Weiss interpolation theorem with change of measure (see [9]) between (3.14) and (3.16), we get for $r' < p < \infty$ and $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$,

$$(3.17) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq 2^{j\sigma(n+1)} 2^{-j\gamma(p)\delta \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Hence, taking $0 < \sigma < \frac{\gamma(p)\delta\varepsilon}{(n+1)(1+\varepsilon)}$, and letting $\theta = \gamma(p)\delta \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} - (n+1)\sigma$, we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C 2^{-j\theta} \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

Now, we give the proof of (3.10). Define $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu}(u) = (e^{iP_\nu(\cdot)} L_{j,\nu}(\cdot)) * (e^{-iP_\mu(\cdot)} L_{j,\mu}(\cdot))(u),$$

where $\nu = (\nu_\alpha)_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$ and $\mu = (\mu_\alpha)_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d}$, to satisfy

$$r \leq |A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu|, |A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu| < 2r.$$

Let $h = \frac{N(\mu)}{N(\nu)}$. We assume that $h = \frac{N(\mu)}{N(\nu)} \leq 1$. Hence, by (3.8) we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i[P_{A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu}(y) - P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}(hy-u)]} L_{j,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\nu)} y \right) L_{j,\mu} \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\mu)} (hy-u) \right) \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\nu)} y \right)^n dy. \end{aligned}$$

Below we give the estimate of $\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right)$ by dividing into two cases: h is near the origin and h is away from the origin.

Case1. $0 < h \leq \eta \ll 1$, where η will be chosen later. By (3.5), we get

$$|u| \leq |hy - u| + h|y| \leq 4.$$

By a trivial calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu}(y) - P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}(hy - u) &= \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} ((A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu)_\alpha + O(h|A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu|))y^\alpha \\ &\quad - P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}(-u). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$r \leq |A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu| = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |(A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu)_\alpha| < 2r,$$

and $|A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu| < 2r$. Hence if η is chosen small enough, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |((A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu)_\alpha + O(h|A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu|))| &\geq \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |(A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu)_\alpha| + C\eta|A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu| \\ &\geq C \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} |(A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu)_\alpha| \geq Cr. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2 and (3.19), we have

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-j}N(\mu))^n 2^{j\sigma(n+1)} r^{-1/d} \chi_{B_4}(u).$$

Case 2. $\eta < h \leq 1$. By the assumption on polynomials in Theorem 1.3, there was no first-order term in y in $P_{A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu}(y)$. Thus, the first-order term in y in $P_{A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu}(y) - P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}(hy - u)$ is

$$-h \sum_{k=1}^n P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}^{(k)}(u) y_k,$$

where

$$P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}^{(k)}(u) = \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \alpha_k \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\mu)} \right)^{|\alpha|} \mu_\alpha u^{\alpha - e_k},$$

and $e_k = (0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$ with 1 in the k^{th} component. Applying Lemma 2.2 and (3.19) again, we get

$$\left| \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-j}N(\mu))^n 2^{j\sigma(n+1)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}^{(k)}(u)| \right)^{-1/d} \chi_{B_4}(u).$$

For $\rho > 0$, denote

$$E_\mu^j = \left\{ u \in B_4 : \sum_{k=1}^n |P_{A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu}^{(k)}(u)| \leq \rho \right\}.$$

Thus, for $u \in (E_\mu^j)^c$, it is obvious that

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-j}N(\mu))^n 2^{j\sigma(n+1)} \rho^{-1/d} \chi_{B_4}(u).$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$|E_\mu^j| \leq C_{n,d} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \alpha_k \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\mu)} \right)^{|\alpha|} |\mu_\alpha| \right)^{-1/d} \rho^{1/d}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \alpha_k \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\mu)} \right)^{|\alpha|} |\mu_\alpha| \geq \sum_{2 \leq |\alpha| \leq d} \alpha_k \left(\frac{2^j}{N(\mu)} \right)^{|\alpha|} |\mu_\alpha| = |A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu| \geq r.$$

Thus for $u \in E_\mu^j$, we have

$$\left| \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-j} N(\mu))^n 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \chi_{E_\mu^j}(u),$$

with $|E_\mu^j| \leq C_{n,d}(\rho/r)^{1/d}$. We now take $\rho = (C_{n,d})^{-d} r^{1/3}$ and denote $\delta = 1/6d$. Then, for $u \in E_\mu^j$, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \left| \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-j} N(\mu))^n 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} \chi_{E_\mu^j}(u),$$

and $|E_\mu^j| \leq r^{-4\delta}$. Note that $r \geq 2^j/c_0$. It follows from (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) that

$$\left| \mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu} \left(\frac{2^j u}{N(\mu)} \right) \right| \leq C(2^{-j} N(\mu))^n 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} [r^{-2\delta} \chi_{B_4}(u) + \chi_{E_\mu^j}(u)].$$

Then, for μ and ν with

$$r \leq |A_{j,\nu} \circ \nu| \leq 2r, \quad r \leq |A_{j,\mu} \circ \mu| \leq 2r \quad \text{and} \quad h \leq 1,$$

we have

$$(3.23) \quad |\mathcal{F}_j^{\mu,\nu}(u)| \leq C(2^{-j} N(\mu))^n 2^{2j\sigma(n+1)} [r^{-2\delta} \chi_{B_4}(2^{-j} N(\mu)u) + \chi_{E_\mu^j}(2^{-j} N(\mu)u)].$$

For fixed $x, z \in U_{j,r}$, let $\nu = \lambda(z)$, $\mu = x - z$. By the symmetry of μ and ν and by (3.23), we obtain (3.10).

Finally, we will estimate $R_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iP_{\lambda(x)}(y)} R_{j,\lambda}(y) f(x-y) dy,$$

where $R_{j,\lambda}(y) = K_j(y) - L_{j,\lambda}(y)$. Thus,

$$R_{j,\lambda}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [K(y)\psi_{j,\lambda}(y) - K(y-z)\psi_{j,\lambda}(y-z)] \phi_{\frac{2^j(1-\sigma)}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) dz.$$

By

$$\text{supp}(R_{j,\lambda}) \subseteq \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{2^{j-3}}{N(\lambda(x))} \leq |y| \leq \frac{2^{j+1}}{N(\lambda(x))} \right\},$$

and $|z| \leq \frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)-5}}{N(\lambda(x))}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{j,\lambda}(y)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\psi_{j,\lambda}(y-z)| |K(y) - K(y-z)| \phi_{\frac{2^j(1-\sigma)}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(y)| |\psi_{j,\lambda}(y) - \psi_{j,\lambda}(y-z)| \phi_{\frac{2^j(1-\sigma)}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) dz \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(y) - K(y-z)| \phi_{\frac{2^j(1-\sigma)}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{|y|^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |2^{-j} N(\lambda)z| \phi_{\frac{2^j(1-\sigma)}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(y) - K(y - z)| \phi_{\frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) dz + C 2^{-j\sigma} \frac{1}{|y|^n}.$$

Consequently,

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) \int_{\frac{2^{j-3}}{N(\lambda(x))} \leq |y| \leq \frac{2^{j+1}}{N(\lambda(x))}} |K(y) - K(y - z)| |f(x - y)| dy dz + C 2^{-j\sigma} M(f)(x).$$

Set $r_j = \frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}$. Then we can write $|y| \approx 2^{j\sigma} r_j$ for $\frac{2^{j-3}}{N(\lambda(x))} \leq |y| \leq \frac{2^{j+1}}{N(\lambda(x))}$. Then by Hölder's inequality and $K \in \mathcal{H}_r$, the first term on the right side above is controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) \left(\int_{|y| \approx 2^{j\sigma} r_j} |K(y) - K(y - z)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} \left(\int_{|y| \approx 2^{j\sigma} r_j} |f(x - y)|^{r'} dy \right)^{1/r'} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) (2^{j\sigma} r_j)^{n/r'} \left(\int_{|y| \approx 2^{j\sigma} r_j} |K(y) - K(y - z)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} \\ & \quad \times \left((2^{j\sigma} r_j)^{-n} \int_{|y| \approx 2^{j\sigma} r_j} |f(x - y)|^{r'} dy \right)^{1/r'} dz \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) (2^{j\sigma} r_j)^{n/r'} \left(\int_{|y| \approx 2^{j\sigma} r_j} |K(y) - K(y - z)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} dz M_{r'}(f)(x) \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{\frac{2^{j(1-\sigma)}}{N(\lambda(x))}}(z) 2^{j(\sigma-1)n/r'} (2^j r_j)^{n/r'} \left(\int_{|y| \approx 2^j r_j} |K(y) - K(y - z)|^r dy \right)^{1/r} dz M_{r'}(f)(x) \\ &\leq C 2^{j(\sigma-1)n/r'} M_{r'}(f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(x)}^j(f)(x)| \leq C [2^{-j\sigma} M(f)(x) + 2^{j(\sigma-1)n/r'} M_{r'}(f)(x)],$$

where C is independent of the choice of $\lambda(\cdot)$. Since $p > r'$ and $\omega \in A_{p/r'}$, applying the weighted L^p boundedness of M again, we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{\lambda(\cdot)}^j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C (2^{-j\sigma} + 2^{j(\sigma-1)n/r'}) \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)}.$$

This, combing (3.4) with (3.18), implies that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_{\lambda(\cdot)}^j(f) \right\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_{\lambda(\cdot)}^j(f)\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\omega)},$$

which, together with (3.2) and (3.3), leads to (3.1) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgments: The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for his invaluable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Carleson, On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series, Acta Math. 111 (1966), 361-370.
- [2] Y. Ding and H. Liu, Weighted L^p boundedness of Carleson-type maximal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140(8) (2012), 2739-2751.
- [3] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, Mathematics Studies, 116, North-Holland, 1985.

- [4] R.A. Hunt, On the convergence of Fourier series, Orthogonal Expansions and their Continuous Analogues (Proc. Conf., Edwardsville, Ill., 1967), Southern Illinois Univ. Press, Carbondale, Ill., 1968, pp. 235-255
- [5] R.A. Hunt and W. Yong, A weighted norm inequality for Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 274-277.
- [6] E. Prestini and P. Sjölin, A Littlewood-Paley inequality for the Carleson operator, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6 (2000), 457-466.
- [7] P. Sjölin, Convergence almost everywhere of certain singular integral and multiple Fourier series, Ark. Mat. 9 (1971), 65-90.
- [8] E.M. Stein and S. Wainger, Oscillatory integrals related to Carleson's theorem, Math. Res. Lett. 8 (2001), 789-800.
- [9] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, Interpolation of operators with change of measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958), 159-172.
- [10] D.K. Watson, Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, Duke Math. J. 60(2) (1990), 389-399.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, CHINA
E-mail address: `chenyanwangxmu@163.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, CHINA
E-mail address: `huoxwu@xmu.edu.cn`