

HARTMAN–WINTNER INEQUALITY FOR A CAPUTO FRACTIONAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

RUI A. C. FERREIRA

ABSTRACT. In this work we derive a Hartman–Wintner type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem depending on the Caputo derivative and with Dirichlet boundary conditions. We explicitly show how this inequality strenghtens previously known results in the literature, in particular the Lyapunov inequality.

1. Introduction

In the theory of second order differential equations, the Hartman–Wintner inequality may be stated as follows (cf. [7]):

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $q \in C[a, b]$. If the boundary value problem,*

$$\begin{aligned} y''(t) &= -q(t)y(t), \quad a < t < b, \\ y(a) &= 0, \quad y(b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

has a nontrivial solution $y \in C^2[c, b]$, then

$$(1) \quad \int_a^b (s-a)(b-s)|q(s)|ds > b-a.$$

The inequality (1) generalizes the classical Lyapunov inequality, i.e.,

$$(2) \quad \int_a^b |q(s)|ds > \frac{4}{b-a}.$$

Recently, boundary value problems depending on fractional derivatives were studied and generalizations of (2) and (1) were obtained in [2, 3, 4] and [8], respectively. We note in passing that several *fractional* Lyapunov-type inequalities were derived for several different boundary value problems (cf. [1, 11, 12, 13, 14] and the references therein). However, we could not find in the literature a generalization of the Hartman–Wintner inequality (1) when the differential equation depends on the Caputo fractional derivative (see (3)–(4) below). That turned out to be our main motivation to complete this work. In the meantime we found some mistakes in a paper dealing with such problems, that we will expose in the next section.

The author was supported by the “Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)” through the program “Stimulus of Scientific Employment, Individual Support–2017 Call” with reference CEECIND/00640/2017.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D10, 26A33.

Key words and phrases. Caputo’s derivative, Lyapunov’s inequality, Hartman–Wintner inequality.

1 We trust that the reader is familiar with the fractional calculus concepts. Nevertheless, we
2 refer the reader to the book [10] in order to get acquainted with the theory.

3 In the next section we present and prove the main results of this manuscript.

4

5

2. Main results

6

7

8

9

$$(3) \quad {}^C D_a^\alpha y(t) = -q(t)y(t), \quad a < t < b, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2,$$

10

$$(4) \quad y(a) = 0, \quad y(b) = 0.$$

11

12

The Green function for the FBVP (3)–(4) is defined by

13

14

15

$$G(t,s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \begin{cases} \frac{(t-a)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{b-a} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ \frac{(t-a)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{b-a}, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

16

17

It means that, for $y \in C^1[a,b]$, (3)–(4) may be written equivalently as (cf. [3])

18

19

$$(5) \quad y(t) = \int_a^b G(t,s)q(s)y(s)ds.$$

20

Now, let us define two auxiliary functions,

21

22

$$(6) \quad x(s) = \frac{s-a}{b-a}(b-s)^{\alpha-1}, \quad s \in [a,b],$$

23

and

24

25

26

$$(7) \quad z(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{2-\alpha}{[(\alpha-1)(b-a)]^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}}(b-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha-2}} - \frac{s-a}{b-a}(b-s)^{\alpha-1}, & s \in [a, b - (\alpha-1)(b-a)], \\ 0, & s \in [b - (\alpha-1)(b-a), b]. \end{cases}$$

27

28

29

30

31

From [3, Lemma 2] we know that x and z are nonnegative functions, x is strictly increasing and z is strictly decreasing on $(a, b - (\alpha - 1)(b - a))$. Since $z(a) - x(a) > 0$ and $z(b - (\alpha - 1)(b - a)) - x(b - (\alpha - 1)(b - a)) < 0$, then there is a unique $\bar{s} \in (a, b - (\alpha - 1)(b - a))$ such that

32

33

$$(8) \quad z(s) > x(s) \text{ on } (a, \bar{s}) \text{ and } z(s) < x(s) \text{ on } (\bar{s}, b - (\alpha - 1)(b - a)).$$

34

35

It follows the Hartman–Wintner type inequality for the FBVP (3)–(4), which is the main result of this work.

36

37

38

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $q \in C[a,b]$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$. If the boundary value problem (3)–(4) has a nontrivial solution $y \in C^1[a,b]$, then

39

40

$$(9) \quad \int_a^{\hat{s}} z(s)|q(s)|ds + \int_{\hat{s}}^b x(s)|q(s)|ds > \Gamma(\alpha),$$

41

42

where x and z are given by (6) and (7) and \hat{s} is the unique solution of $x(s) = z(s)$ on $(a, b - (\alpha - 1)(b - a))$.

1 *Proof.* By (5), we have

$$2 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)|y(t)|}{\|y\|} \leq \int_a^t \left| \frac{(t-a)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{b-a} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \right| |q(s)| ds + \int_t^b \frac{(t-a)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{b-a} |q(s)| ds.$$

4 By [3, Lemma 2] we know that,

$$5 \max_{t \in [s, b]} \left| \frac{(t-a)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{b-a} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \right| < \max\{x(s), z(s)\}, \quad s \in (a, b),$$

8 whence,

$$9 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)|y(t)|}{\|y\|} < \int_a^t \max\{x(s), z(s)\} |q(s)| ds + \int_t^b \frac{(s-a)(b-s)^{\alpha-1}}{b-a} |q(s)| ds := F(t).$$

12 Now observe that $F'(t) = (\max\{x(t), z(t)\} - x(t))|q(t)|$, which is nonnegative on (a, b) .

13 Therefore, $\max_{t \in [a, b]} F(t) = F(b)$, and

$$14 \Gamma(\alpha) < \int_a^b \max\{x(s), z(s)\} |q(s)| ds,$$

17 from which the desired result follows. \square

18 **Remark 2.2.** In [9, Theorem 3.1] the authors present a Hartman–Wintner type inequality for a
19 certain sequential fractional boundary value problem. In order to obtain it, the authors consider the
20 Green function,

$$21 G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)} \begin{cases} \frac{(t-a)^\beta (b-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(b-a)^\beta} - (t-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1}, & a \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ \frac{(t-a)^\beta (b-s)^{\alpha+\beta-1}}{(b-a)^\beta}, & a \leq t \leq s \leq b, \end{cases}$$

25 with $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ and $1 < \alpha + \beta \leq 2$. They write (without a proof) $G(t, s) \leq G(s, s)$ for $t, s \in [a, b]$
26 (cf. [9, ineq. (23)]), and, three lines after, they use

$$27 (10) \quad |G(t, s)| \leq G(s, s),$$

29 which would be true if G was a nonnegative function. However, the nonnegativity does not hold
30 in general. Indeed, with $\beta = 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, it was explicitly observed in [6] that G is not a
31 nonnegative function. In any case, it is easily seen that (10) does not hold in general; indeed, consider
32 $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = 1$. Then,

$$33 |G(1/2, 1/10)| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{10} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{2}{5} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} - \frac{3}{2\sqrt{10}} \right),$$

36 and

$$37 G(1/10, 1/10) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{10} \left(1 - \frac{1}{10} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{3}{10\sqrt{10}},$$

38 from which we easily conclude that $|G(1/2, 1/10)| > G(1/10, 1/10)$.

41 The Green function defined above was considered for the first time in [4] and with it, it was possible
42 to deduce a Lyapunov-type inequality for a certain sequential fractional boundary value problem (cf.

[4] for the details). We have shown here that the Hartman–Wintner inequality given in [9, ineq. (21)] is not justified. With $\beta = 1$, that inequality follows from Theorem 2.1, but with $\beta < 1$ what such an inequality should be still eludes us.

Corollary 2.2.1 (Fractional Lyapunov’s inequality). Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 it holds the following inequality:

$$(11) \quad \int_a^b |q(s)| ds > \Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{[(\alpha - 1)(b - a)]^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Proof. In [3] it was shown that both the functions x and z are bounded above by $\frac{[(\alpha-1)(b-a)]^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha^\alpha}$. Hence the result follows by Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 2.3. The Lyapunov inequality (2) follows from the previous result by letting $\alpha \rightarrow 2$.

One of the features of Lyapunov or Hartman-Wintner type inequalities is that they provide lower bounds for the eigenvalues of the respective boundary value problems. Then, it is possible to obtain intervals where certain Mittag-Leffler functions possess no real zeros (see, e.g., [1, 2, 3]). So, let us now consider the inequality (9) with $q(t) = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We get,

$$(12) \quad |\lambda| \left[\int_a^{\hat{s}} z(s) ds + \int_{\hat{s}}^b x(s) ds \right] > \Gamma(\alpha).$$

In general it is impossible to determine explicitly \hat{s} . Nevertheless, observe that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} x(s) = z(s) &\iff 2 \frac{s-a}{b-a} (b-s)^{\alpha-1} = \frac{2-\alpha}{[(\alpha-1)(b-a)]^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}} (b-s)^{\frac{(\alpha-1)^2}{\alpha-2}}, \\ &\iff 2 \frac{s-a}{b-a} (b-s)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha-2}} = \frac{2-\alpha}{[(\alpha-1)(b-a)]^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha-2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that we may at least obtain \hat{s} analytically for when the left hand side of the previous equality is a polynomial of degree at most 4. For the sake of simplicity we now consider $\alpha = 3/2$. Then, from (13), it is not hard to conclude that

$$\hat{s} = \frac{a+b}{2} - \frac{(b-a)\sqrt{2}}{4}.$$

If we now let $a = 0$ and $b = 1$, then from (12) we find that

$$(14) \quad |\lambda| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{120} \sqrt{482 + 329\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{120} (28 - \sqrt{482 + 89\sqrt{2}})} \approx \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \times 3.5167.$$

If we now use the same parameters in (11), we find that

$$(15) \quad |\lambda| > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \approx \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \times 2.5981.$$

1 As expected we see that (14) is better than (15). In particular, we may follow the same steps
 2 of the proof of [3, Theorem 2] to conclude that the Mittag-Leffler function $E_{3/2,2}(x)$ has no
 3 real zeros for

$$4 \quad x \in \left[-\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{120}\sqrt{482+329\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{120}(28 - \sqrt{482+89\sqrt{2}})}, 0 \right).$$

7 **Remark 2.4.** In [5] it was shown that $E_{\alpha,2}(x)$ has no real zeros for

$$8 \quad x \in [-\Gamma(\alpha)(1+\alpha), 0) \supset \left[-\Gamma(\alpha) \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{(\alpha-1)^{\alpha-1}}, 0 \right),$$

10 with $1 < \alpha < \bar{\alpha}$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is such that $\frac{\bar{\alpha}^{\bar{\alpha}}}{(\bar{\alpha}-1)^{\bar{\alpha}-1}} = \bar{\alpha} + 1$. We wish to emphasize that $\bar{\alpha} \approx 1.447 < 3/2$.
 11 Therefore, we cannot use this result with $\alpha = 3/2$.
 12

13 References

- 15 1. R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner and A. Özbekler, *Lyapunov inequalities and applications*, Springer, Cham, [2021]
 16 ©2021.
- 17 2. R. A. C. Ferreira, A Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem, *Fract. Calc. Appl.*
 18 *Anal.* **16** (2013), no. 4, 978–984.
- 19 3. R. A. C. Ferreira, On a Lyapunov-type inequality and the zeros of a certain Mittag-Leffler function, *J. Math.*
 20 *Anal. Appl.* **412** (2014), no. 2, 1058–1063.
- 21 4. R. A. C. Ferreira, Lyapunov-type inequalities for some sequential fractional boundary value problems, *Adv.*
 22 *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **11** (2016), no. 1, 33–43.
- 23 5. R. A. C. Ferreira, Fractional de La Vallée Poussin inequalities, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **22** (2019), no. 3, 917–930.
- 24 6. R. A. C. Ferreira, Note on a uniqueness result for a two-point fractional boundary value problem, *Appl.*
 25 *Math. Lett.* **90** (2019), 75–78.
- 26 7. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, On an oscillation criterion of Liapounoff, *Amer. J. Math.* **73** (1951), 885–890.
- 27 8. M. Jleli, M. Kirane and B. Samet, Hartman-Wintner-type inequality for a fractional boundary value problem
 28 via a fractional derivative with respect to another function, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2017**, Art. ID 5123240, 8
 29 pp.
- 30 9. A. Kassymov, M. Kirane and B. T. Torebek, Lyapunov, Hartman-Wintner and de La Vallée Poussin-type
 31 inequalities for fractional elliptic boundary value problems, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **67** (2022), no. 1,
 32 246–258.
- 33 10. A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava, J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-
 34 Holland Mathematics Studies, 204, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- 35 11. D. Ma and Z. Yang, Lyapunov-type inequality and solution for a fractional differential equation, *J. Inequal.*
 36 *Appl.* **2020**, Paper No. 181, 15 pp.
- 37 12. X. Meng and M. Stynes, Green's functions, positive solutions, and a Lyapunov inequality for a Caputo
 38 fractional-derivative boundary value problem, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **22** (2019), no. 3, 750–766.
- 39 13. S. K. Ntouyas and B. Ahmad, Lyapunov-type inequalities for fractional differential equations: a survey, *Surv.*
 40 *Math. Appl.* **16** (2021), 43–93.
- 41 14. J. Rong and C. Bai, Lyapunov-type inequality for a fractional differential equation with fractional boundary
 42 conditions, *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2015**, 2015:82, 10 pp.

GRUPO FÍSICA-MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO, 2,
 1649-003 LISBOA, PORTUGAL.

Email address: raferreira@fc.ul.pt