

**MAXIMAL ORDER GROUP ACTIONS ON RIEMANN SURFACES OF GENUS  $1 + 3p$** 

COY L. MAY AND JAY ZIMMERMAN

ABSTRACT. A natural problem is to determine, for each value of the integer  $g \geq 2$ , the largest order of a group that acts on a Riemann surface of genus  $g$ . Let  $N(g)$  (respectively  $M(g)$ ) be the largest order of a group of automorphisms of a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  preserving the orientation (respectively possibly reversing the orientation) of the surface.

Let  $g = 1 + 3p$  for a large prime  $p$ . It has been established that if  $p$  is congruent to 1 (mod 6), then  $N(g) = M(g) = 24(g - 1)$ . Suppose  $p$  is congruent to 5 (mod 6). We prove that if  $p$  is also congruent modulo 25 to 1, 6, 11 or 16, then  $N(g) = 8(g + 11)$  and  $M(g) = 16(g + 11)$ ; otherwise  $N(g) = 8(g + 1)$  and  $M(g) = 16(g + 1)$ .

**1. Introduction.**

A finite group  $G$  can be represented as a group of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface. In other words,  $G$  acts on a Riemann surface. The group actions were required, in most of the classical work, to preserve the orientation of the Riemann surface. It is possible, of course, to allow a group action to reverse the orientation of the surface.

Among the most interesting group actions for a particular value of the genus  $g$  are those such that the orders of the groups are “large” relative to the genus  $g$ . A natural problem, then, is to determine, for each value of the integer  $g \geq 2$ , the largest order of a group that acts on a Riemann surface of genus  $g$ .

First, let  $N(g)$  be the largest order of a group of orientation preserving automorphisms of a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ . Also, let  $M(g)$  be the largest order of a group of automorphisms of a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  (possibly reversing the orientation of the surface). Clearly,  $N(g) \leq M(g)$ .

Suppose the group  $G$  acts on the Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  (possibly reversing the orientation of  $X$ ). Let  $G^+$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of the orientation preserving automorphisms. Then  $|G^+| \leq N(g)$  and

$$(1) \quad |G| \leq 2|G^+| \leq 2N(g).$$

Consequently, if  $|G| = M(g)$ , we obtain the basic inequalities comparing  $N(g)$  and  $M(g)$ ,

$$(2) \quad N(g) \leq M(g) \leq 2N(g).$$

The classical upper bound of Hurwitz shows that, for all  $g \geq 2$ ,

$$(3) \quad N(g) \leq 84(g - 1) \text{ and } M(g) \leq 168(g - 1).$$

The lower bounds for both parameters have also been established. For all  $g \geq 2$ ,

$$(4) \quad N(g) \geq 8(g + 1) \text{ and } M(g) \geq 16(g + 1).$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 57M60; Secondary: 20F38, 20H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Riemann surface, genus, group action, NEC group.

1 The lower bound for  $N(g)$  was established independently by Accola [1] and Maclachlan [13]. The  
 2 lower bound for  $M(g)$  was obtained by constructing, for each  $g \geq 2$ , a group of order  $16(g+1)$  that  
 3 acts on a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  [22, Th. 1.1]. Singerman noted this in ([21, p. 24]). Each of the  
 4 four bounds in (3) and (4) is the best possible, that is, there are infinitely many  $g$  such that the bound is  
 5 attained.

6 In general, determining  $N(g)$  (or  $M(g)$ ) for a particular  $g$  or for all  $g$  with a particular form is a very  
 7 difficult problem. The difficulty is related to the form of the integer  $g-1$  (which is  $-1/2$  times the  
 8 Euler characteristic of a Riemann surface of genus  $g$ ). Both  $N(g)$  and  $M(g)$  have been completely  
 9 determined for the simplest case, in which  $g-1$  is an odd prime. Accola first determined  $N(1+p)$  for  
 10 all odd primes  $p > 84$  [2, Th. 7.11, p. 84]. Also important here is the work of Belolipetsky and Jones  
 11 [4] on orientation preserving actions on compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $p+1$  for an odd prime  
 12  $p$ . Their work yields another determination of  $N(1+p)$  for all primes  $p$  [4, Th. 2]. The analogous  
 13 result for the parameter  $M(g)$  has also been determined. The main result of [16] is the determination  
 14 of  $M(1+p)$  for all primes  $p$  [16, Th. 1].

15 The next natural step is to determine the parameters  $N(g)$  and  $M(g)$  in case  $g-1$  is a small multiple  
 16 of a prime  $p$ . First, Accola calculated  $N(1+2p)$  for all primes  $p$  [2, Th. 7.17, p. 93]. In [22, Th. 6.3]  
 17 it was shown that  $N(1+2p) = M(1+2p) = 48p$  for  $p$  congruent to 1 (mod 6) and  $p > (24)^2$ . The  
 18 parameter  $M(1+2p)$  has not yet been found for  $p$  congruent 5 (mod 6).

19 Our focus here is the next step, finding  $N(g)$  and  $M(g)$  in case  $g-1$  is 3 times a prime  $p$ . Some of  
 20 the work has already been done. Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and  $p > (36)^2$ , and let  
 21  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Then for any such  $g$ ,  $M(g) = N(g) = 24(g-1)$  [22, Th. 5.7]. This surprising result shows  
 22 that there are infinitely many  $g$  such that  $M(g) = N(g)$ ; this result was the focus of [22].

23 Intuitively, one expects  $M(g)$  to “often” be equal to  $2N(g)$ . The families of groups for which the  
 24 lower bounds in (4) are attained provide examples of groups for which  $M(g) = 2N(g)$ . But it is  
 25 certainly possible that  $M(g) < 2N(g)$  and even for  $M(g) = N(g)$ .

26 In any case, our focus here is to complete the determination of both  $N(1+3p)$  and  $M(1+3p)$  for a  
 27 prime  $p$ . Our main result is the following.

28 **Theorem 1.** *Let  $g = 1 + 3p$  for some prime  $p > (36)^2$ . If  $p$  is congruent to 1 (mod 6), then  $N(g) =$   
 29  $M(g) = 24(g-1)$ . Suppose  $p$  is congruent to 5 (mod 6). If  $p$  is also congruent modulo 25 to 1, 6, 11 or  
 30 16, then  $N(g) = 8(g+11)$  and  $M(g) = 16(g+11)$ ; otherwise  $N(g) = 8(g+1)$  and  $M(g) = 16(g+1)$ .*

31 Alternately, if  $p$  is congruent modulo 150 to 11, 41, 101 or 131 and  $p > (36)^2$ , then  $N(1+3p) =$   
 32  $24p + 96 = 8(g+11)$  and  $M(g) = 2N(g)$ .  
 33

## 34 2. Background results.

35  
 36 Much of the following background information is taken from [15]; also see [7, Section 2]. We shall  
 37 assume that all surfaces are compact. Group actions on Riemann surfaces have often been studied  
 38 using non-euclidean crystallographic (NEC) groups. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the group of automorphisms of  
 39 the open upper half-plane  $U$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}^+$  denote the subgroup of index 2 consisting of the orientation  
 40 preserving automorphisms. An NEC group is a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  (with the quotient space  
 41  $U/\Gamma$  compact). If  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}^+$ , then  $\Gamma$  is called a *Fuchsian* group. Otherwise  $\Gamma$  is called a *proper NEC*  
 42 *group*; in this case  $\Gamma$  has a canonical Fuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma^+ = \Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}^+$  of index 2.

Associated with the NEC group  $\Gamma$  is its *signature*, which has the form

$$(5) \quad (p; \pm; [m_1, \dots, m_t]; \{(n_{1,1}, \dots, n_{1,s_1}), \dots, (n_{k,1}, \dots, n_{k,s_k})\}).$$

The quotient space  $U/\Gamma$  is a surface with topological genus  $p$  and  $k$  holes. The surface is orientable if the plus sign is used and non-orientable otherwise. Associated with the signature (5) is a presentation for the NEC group  $\Gamma$ ; see [20, p.234]. Further, the non-euclidean area  $\mu(\Gamma)$  of a fundamental region for  $\Gamma$  can be calculated directly from its signature. This is shown in [20, p.235], where  $\mu(\Gamma)$  is given in terms of the topological genus of the quotient surface  $U/\Gamma$  and the periods and link periods of  $\Gamma$ .

An NEC group  $K$  is called a *surface group* if the quotient map from  $U$  to  $U/K$  is unramified. Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ . Then  $X$  can be represented as  $U/K$  where  $K$  is a Fuchsian surface group with  $\mu(K) = 4\pi(g-1)$ . Let  $G$  be a group of dianalytic automorphisms of the Riemann surface  $X$ . Then there are an NEC group  $\Gamma$  and a homomorphism  $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  onto  $G$  such that kernel  $\phi = K$  and thus the group of automorphisms  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma/K$ .

If  $\Delta$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma$ , then  $[\Gamma: \Delta] = \mu(\Delta)/\mu(\Gamma)$ . Then the genus of the surface  $X$  on which  $G$  acts is given by

$$(6) \quad g = 1 + |G| \cdot \mu(\Gamma) / 4\pi.$$

The simpler, classical case is that  $G$  acts on  $X$  preserving orientation. This is the case if and only if  $\Gamma$  is a Fuchsian group and  $G$  is generated by elements  $a_i, b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq h$  and  $x_j$  of order  $m_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  with relation  $x_1 \cdots x_k [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_h, b_h] = 1$ . Then the application of (6) yields the classical Riemann-Hurwitz equation

$$(7) \quad 2g - 2 = |G| \left( 2h - 2 + \sum_{j=1}^k \left( 1 - \frac{1}{m_j} \right) \right).$$

The group  $G$  acts reversing the orientation of  $X$  in case  $\Gamma$  is a proper NEC group. Then it is necessary to check that the surface group  $K$  does not contain orientation-reversing elements, or equivalently, the image  $\alpha(\Gamma^+)$  has index two in  $G$  [19, Th. 1, p. 52]. If this condition holds, then we will say that  $G$  has a particular partial presentation *with the Singerman subgroup condition*. The Riemann-Hurwitz equation in this case is more complicated and is in [7, p. 274], for instance. In this case, though,  $|G| = 2|G^+|$  and (7) can be employed to calculate the relationship between the genus  $g$  and  $|G|$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a proper NEC group. Then  $\Gamma$  has a canonical Fuchsian subgroup  $\Gamma^+$  of index 2. Further, the quotient group  $\Gamma^+/K$  acts on  $X$  preserving orientation. For a particular Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$ , however, there may be more than one type of NEC group  $\Delta$  such that  $\Delta^+$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda$ ; see [21].

Next we quickly survey the Fuchsian groups with relatively small non-euclidean area. We use the notation of [15]. First, an  $(\ell, m, n)$  triangle group is a Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$  with signature

$$(0; +; [\ell, m, n]; \{\}), \text{ where } 1/\ell + 1/m + 1/n < 1.$$

If the group  $G$  is a quotient of  $\Lambda$  by a surface group, then  $G$  has a presentation of the form

$$(8) \quad X^\ell = Y^m = (XY)^n = 1.$$

We will say that  $G$  has partial presentation  $T(\ell, m, n)$ .

There are two types of NEC groups with a triangle group as canonical Fuchsian subgroup. We are interested in the full (or extended)  $(\ell, m, n)$  triangle group is an NEC group  $\Gamma$  with signature

1  $(0; +; [ ]; \{(\ell, m, n)\})$ , where  $1/\ell + 1/m + 1/n < 1$ .

2 If  $G$  is a quotient of  $\Gamma$  (by a surface group), then  $G$  has a presentation of the form

3 (9) 
$$A^2 = B^2 = C^2 = (AB)^\ell = (BC)^m = (CA)^n = 1,$$

4 and, further, the subgroup generated by  $AB$  and  $BC$  (the image of  $\Gamma^+$ ) has index 2. The partial  
5 presentation (9) will be denoted  $FT(\ell, m, n)$ .

6 An  $(\ell, m, n, t)$  quadrilateral group is a Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$  with signature

7 
$$(0; +; [\ell, m, n, t]; \{ \}),$$
 where  $1/\ell + 1/m + 1/n + 1/t < 2$ .

8 A quotient group  $G$  of  $\Lambda$  has a presentation of the form

9 (10) 
$$X^\ell = Y^m = Z^n = (XYZ)^t = 1$$

10 We will denote this partial presentation  $Q(\ell, m, n, t)$ .

### 11 3. The General Approach.

12 Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 2$ , and let the  
13 group  $G$  act on  $X$  preserving orientation. Then, regardless of whether  $p$  is congruent to 1 or 5 modulo  
14 6, we know that if  $p \geq (36)^2$ , then

15 (11) 
$$|G| \leq 24(g - 1)$$

16 [22, Th. 5.6]. We also have the basic lower bound  $N(g) \geq 8(g + 1)$  for all  $g \geq 2$ .

17 Here we will be concerned with primes congruent to 5 modulo 6 and orientation preserving actions  
18 such that

19 (12) 
$$24(g - 1) \geq |G| > 8(g + 1).$$

20 Most of the work here is showing that, except for four special congruence classes of primes, there  
21 are no group actions satisfying (12) (as long as  $p$  is not small). Our general approach is to represent  
22  $X = U/K$  and  $G = \Gamma/K$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a Fuchsian group and  $K$  a surface group and then consider two  
23 cases, depending upon whether or not  $|G|$  is divisible by the prime  $p$ .

24 Corresponding to (12) is a restriction on the non-euclidean area of the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  and the  
25 types of partial presentations that  $\Gamma$  can have. The area restriction is

26 (13) 
$$\frac{1}{12} \leq \mu(\Gamma)/2\pi < \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{g+1} \right).$$

27 A careful check of the signatures gives the following. Here we have added the specific Riemann-  
28 Hurwitz equation for each case. For example, if  $G$  has the partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$ , then  
29  $\mu(\Gamma)/2\pi = (\lambda - 4)/4\lambda$ . Then using (7) gives  $8(g - 1) = |G|(\lambda - 4)/\lambda$ .

30 **Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a group that acts on a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  preserving the orientation  
31 of the surface. If  $24(g - 1) \geq |G| > 8(g + 1)$ , then  $G$  has one of the following partial presentations.  
32 The application of the Riemann-Hurwitz equation is included for each case.*

33 1.  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$ ,  $12(g - 1) = |G|(\lambda - 6)/\lambda$  where  $\lambda \geq 12$ ,

34 2.  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$ ,  $8(g - 1) = |G|(\lambda - 4)/\lambda$  where  $6 \leq \lambda < 2(g + 1)$ ,

35 3.  $T(2, 5, \lambda)$ ,  $20(g - 1) = |G|(3\lambda - 10)/\lambda$  where  $5 \leq \lambda < 20$ ,

- 1 4.  $T(2, 6, \lambda)$ ,  $6(g-1) = |G|(\lambda-3)/\lambda$  where  $6 \leq \lambda < 12$ ,  
 2 5.  $T(2, 7, \lambda)$ ,  $28(g-1) = |G|(5\lambda-14)/\lambda$  where  $7 \leq \lambda \leq 9$ ,  
 3 6.  $T(3, 3, \lambda)$ ,  $6(g-1) = |G|(\lambda-3)/\lambda$  where  $4 \leq \lambda < 12$ ,  
 4 7.  $T(3, 4, \lambda)$ ,  $24(g-1) = |G|(5\lambda-12)/\lambda$  where  $\lambda = 4, 5$ ,  
 5 8.  $Q(2, 2, 2, 3)$ ,  $12(g-1) = |G|$ .

6  
7

8 Now let  $p$  be an odd prime number and  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ , and  
 9 let  $G$  act on  $X$  preserving orientation. If  $G$  satisfies the inequality (12), then  $G$  has one of the partial  
 10 presentations in Theorem A. For each of the partial presentations in Theorem A, then Riemann-Hurwitz  
 11 formulas give  $|G|$  in terms of  $\lambda$  and  $p$ . For example, if  $G$  has partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$ , then  
 12  $|G| = 24p\lambda/(\lambda-4)$ . In addition, as long as  $(\lambda-4)/6 < p$ , then  $|G|$  satisfies inequality (12).

13 Next, as long as the value of  $\lambda$  is bounded above, applying the Riemann-Hurwitz equation in a  
 14 straightforward way shows that  $|G|$  is a multiple of  $p$  for large enough values of  $p$ . It is also clear that  
 15  $p^2$  does not divide  $|G|$ . In cases (3) - (7) in Theorem A, the prime  $p$  needs to be larger than 47 in order  
 16 to guarantee that  $p$  divides the order of  $G$ . The exceptional cases  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$  and  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$  where  $\lambda$   
 17 does not have an upper bound must be treated separately. In summary, we have the following.

18 **Lemma 1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p > 47$ , and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface of*  
 19 *genus  $g$  preserving orientation such that  $|G|$  satisfies the inequality (12). If  $G$  has one of the partial*  
 20 *presentations (3) - (8) in Theorem A, then  $p$  divides  $|G|$ .*

21

22

#### 23 4. $T(2, 3, \lambda)$ groups.

24 Assume  $p$  is a prime, and let  $g = 3p + 1$ . Here it is not necessary to assume  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , but we  
 25 need to assume that  $p$  is not small in order to apply the following useful result of Accola [1, Lemma 5,  
 26 p. 402]. We use the argument from the proof of [22, Lemma 5.1].

27

28 **Accola's Lemma.** *Let  $G$  be a non-abelian group with partial presentation  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$ . If  $G$  has order*  
 29  *$\mu\lambda$ , then  $\lambda \leq \mu^2$ .*

30 **Lemma 2.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface of genus  $g$  preserving*  
 31 *orientation having partial presentation  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$ , with  $\lambda \geq 12$ . If the prime  $p > (36)^2$ , then  $p$  divides*  
 32  *$|G|$ .*

33

34 *Proof.* By Theorem A 1),  $|G| = 36p\lambda/(\lambda-6)$  so that  $72p\lambda = |G|(\lambda-6)$ . Now by Euclid's Lemma,  
 35 either  $p$  divides  $|G|$  or  $p$  divides  $(\lambda-6)$ .

36 Assume that  $p$  divides  $(\lambda-6)$  and write  $\lambda-6 = mp$  for some integer  $m \geq 1$ . Now  $\lambda = mp + 6 >$   
 37  $p > (36)^2$  (by assumption). But on the other hand,  $|G| = 36p\lambda/mp = 36\lambda/m$ . Then the group of  
 38 orientation preserving automorphisms  $G$  is a  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$  group of order  $\mu\lambda$ , where  $\mu = 36/m \leq 36$ .  
 39 Now by Accola's Lemma,  $p < \lambda \leq \mu^2 \leq (36)^2$ , an obvious contradiction. Thus, if  $G$  is a  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$   
 40 group (and  $p > (36)^2$ ), then  $p$  divides  $|G|$ .  $\square$

41

42 Hence, assuming  $p > (36)^2$  guarantees that  $p$  divides  $|G|$  in case  $G$  has partial presentation  $T(2, 3, \lambda)$ .

### 5. $T(2, 4, \lambda)$ groups.

We need to examine the structure of a general  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$  group. Let  $G$  be a group with partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$  of order  $n = \mu\lambda$ . Let  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$  with  $a^2 = b^4 = (ab)^\lambda = 1$ , and set  $c = ab$  and  $d = ba$ . Note that  $G = \langle a, c \rangle$  and that  $d$  is a conjugate of  $c$ . Also  $\mu$  is the index of  $\langle c \rangle$  in  $G$ .

Let  $J = \langle c \rangle \cap \langle d \rangle$ . Then  $J = \langle c^k \rangle$  for some  $k$  that divides  $\lambda$ . Also  $J$  is normal in  $G$ , and  $G/J$  is a  $T(2, 4, k)$  group of order  $\mu k$ .

The notation,  $Z_n$  is the cyclic group of order  $n$ ,  $D_n$  is the dihedral group of order  $2n$  and  $S_n$  is the symmetric group on  $n$  elements, will be used throughout this section. For  $k \leq 5$ ,  $T(2, 4, k)$  is a full presentation of a well-known finite group. Specifically,  $T(2, 4, 1) \cong Z_2$ ,  $T(2, 4, 2) \cong D_4$ ,  $T(2, 4, 3) \cong S_4$  and  $T(2, 4, 5) \cong S_5$ . So for  $k = 1$ , it follows that  $G$  is an extension of  $Z_\lambda$  by  $Z_2$  and has order  $2\lambda$ . Using the Riemann-Hurwitz equation from Theorem A, we see that  $\lambda = 4g$  and therefore,  $|G| = 8g$ . By Theorem A, this group will not have maximal order. So  $k > 1$  for the groups in which we are interested.

Next, if  $k = 2$ , then  $T(2, 4, 2) \cong D_4$  and so  $\mu = 4$ . Also  $T(2, 4, 3) \cong S_4$ , with  $\mu = 8$  and  $T(2, 4, 5) \cong S_5$ , with  $\mu = 24$ .

Since  $G = \langle a, c \rangle$ , the subgroup  $\langle c, d \rangle$  has index one or two in  $G$ . Thus there are two cases. Let  $\ell$  be the index of  $\langle c, d \rangle$  in  $G$  so that  $\ell$  is 1 or 2. Since  $\mu$  is the index of  $\langle c \rangle$  in  $G$ , it follows that  $\mu/\ell$  is the index of  $\langle c \rangle$  in  $\langle c, d \rangle$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $G$  be a group with partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$  of order  $n = \mu\lambda$ . Let  $k = \lambda/|J|$ , where  $J = \langle c \rangle \cap \langle d \rangle$  as defined above. Then  $\mu/\ell \geq k$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the group  $\langle c, d \rangle/J$  of order  $\mu k/\ell$ . Accola [1, p. 401] has shown this group has  $k^2$  distinct elements of the form  $(cJ)^i(dJ)^j$ , where  $i$  and  $j$  are between 0 and  $k - 1$ . So  $\mu k/\ell \geq k^2$  and we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$ . If 4 divides  $k\mu$ , then  $\lambda \leq \mu^2$ .*

*Proof.* The following proof comes directly from Accola [1, Lemma 4, p. 401]. Since  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$ ,  $J$  is central in  $G$ . By Lemma 3,  $\mu \geq k$ . Now, the transfer map into  $J$  is  $g \mapsto g^{k\mu}$ . Since 4 divides  $k\mu$ , this map takes both  $a$  and  $b$  to the identity and so it is the zero map. Hence  $\lambda$  divides  $k\mu$  and we are done.  $\square$

Now we focus on orientation preserving actions on surfaces of genus  $g = 1 + 3p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime. We begin by applying the Riemann-Hurwitz equation and Euclid's Lemma, as in the proof of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface of genus  $g$  preserving orientation having partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$  with  $6 \leq \lambda < 2(g + 1)$ . Then either  $p$  divides  $|G|$  or  $G$  has one of the four partial presentations  $T(2, 4, mp + 4)$  with  $1 \leq m \leq 4$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem A 2),  $|G| = 24p\lambda/(\lambda - 4)$  so that

$$(14) \quad 24p\lambda = |G|(\lambda - 4).$$

Now by Euclid's Lemma, either  $p$  divides  $|G|$  or  $p$  divides  $(\lambda - 4)$ .

1 Assume that  $p$  divides  $(\lambda - 4)$  and write  $\lambda - 4 = mp$  for some integer  $m \geq 1$ . Now  $\lambda = mp + 4$ ,  
 2 and  $\lambda$  divides  $|G|$ . Write  $|G| = \mu\lambda$ . Now we have  $|G| = 24\lambda p/mp = 24\lambda/m$  and  $\mu = 24/m$ . Hence  
 3  $m$  divides 24 and, since  $\lambda < 2(g + 1) = 6p + 4$ ,  $m < 6$ . Thus  $m$  is 1, 2, 3, or 4.  $\square$

4 Thus, if  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$ ,  $G$  has one of four partial presentations. We exhibit these possibilities.  
 5 It is also clear that if  $G$  has one of these partial presentations, then  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$ .

TABLE 1. Partial Presentations of  $G$ 

m	Lambda	Order	Mu
$m = 1$	$\lambda = p + 4$	$ G  = 24\lambda = 8(g + 11)$	$\mu = 24$
$m = 2$	$\lambda = 2p + 4$	$ G  = 12\lambda = 8(g + 5)$	$\mu = 12$
$m = 3$	$\lambda = 3p + 4$	$ G  = 8\lambda = 8(g + 3)$	$\mu = 8$
$m = 4$	$\lambda = 4p + 4$	$ G  = 6\lambda = 8(g + 2)$	$\mu = 6$

15 As we shall see, there are group actions of the first type for infinitely many  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . There  
 16 are no actions of the three remaining types at all, as long as  $p$  is not small.

17 One of the four possibilities requires special treatment.

18 **Lemma 6.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface of genus  $g$  preserving  
 19 orientation having partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$ . If  $G$  has order  $6\lambda$  ( $\mu = 6$ ), then  $\lambda \leq 36$ .*

20 *Proof.* First  $k \leq 3$  is not possible so that  $k \geq 4$ . By Lemma 3,  $6/\ell \geq k$ . Hence  $\ell \neq 2$ . This means  $\ell = 1$ ,  
 21  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$ , and  $k$  must be 4, 5 or 6. If  $k$  is 4 or 6, then 4 divides  $k\mu = 6k$  and  $\lambda \leq 36$  by Lemma 4.

23 Suppose  $k = 5$ . Then the quotient group  $G/J$  would be a non-abelian  $T(2, 4, 5)$  group of order 30.  
 24 Each of the three non-abelian groups of order 30 is obviously not generated by an involution and an  
 25 element of order 4. Thus  $k \neq 5$  and  $\lambda \leq 36$ .  $\square$

26 Now we consider the general case in which  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$ . As in the previous section, we assume that  $p$   
 27 is not small and apply Lemma 4.

29 **Lemma 7.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface of genus  $g$  preserving  
 30 orientation. Suppose  $G$  has partial presentation  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$ , with  $6 \leq \lambda < 2(g + 1)$ . Suppose  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$ .  
 31 If the prime  $p > (24)^2$ , then  $p$  divides  $|G|$ .*

32 *Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 5, if  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$ , then  $\lambda - 4 = mp$  for where  $m$  is 1, 2, 3 or  
 33 4 and  $\mu = 24/m$ . Then  $\lambda = mp + 4 > p > (24)^2$  (by assumption). Assume  $m \neq 4$ . Then by Accola's  
 34 Lemma 4,  $p < \lambda \leq \mu^2 \leq (24)^2$ , an obvious contradiction. Finally, Lemma 6 immediately rules out the  
 35 case with  $m = 4$  and  $\mu = 6$ . Hence  $p$  must divide  $|G|$ .  $\square$

36 Thus, if  $G$  is a  $T(2, 4, \lambda)$  group with  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$  (and  $p > (24)^2$ ), then  $p$  divides  $|G|$ .

37 We still must consider the case in which  $G \neq \langle c, d \rangle$ . Lemma 5 still applies so that either  $p$  divides  
 38  $|G|$  or  $G$  has one of four partial presentations. We focus on these partial presentations.

40 **Lemma 8.** *Assume that  $G$  is a  $(2, 4, \lambda)$  group of order  $n = \mu\lambda$  with  $\mu > 4$ . Let  $a, b \in G$  with  $o(a) = 2$   
 41 and  $o(b) = 4$  and let  $c = ab$  and  $d = ba$ . Suppose that  $G \neq \langle c, d \rangle$ . Then there is a number  $k$  which  
 42 divides  $\lambda$  satisfying  $2 \leq k \leq \mu/2$ .*

1 *Proof.* Since  $G = \langle a, c \rangle$ , we have that  $N = \langle c, d \rangle$  has index 2 in  $G$ . Next define  $J = \langle c \rangle \cap \langle d \rangle$ . Since  
 2 conjugation by  $a$  interchanges  $c$  and  $d$ , the subgroup  $J$  is normal in  $G$ . Define  $k = \lambda/|J|$  and so  $k$   
 3 divides  $\lambda$ . Now let  $\bar{c}$  and  $\bar{d}$  be the image of  $c$  and  $d$  in  $G/J$ . Since  $\bar{c}^m \bar{d}^n$  for  $m, n = 0, 1, \dots, (k-1)$  are  
 4 distinct elements in  $N/J$ , we have that  $k^2 \leq k\mu/2$  and  $k \leq \mu/2$ .  $\square$

5 At this point, we assume that the prime  $p$  is congruent to 5 modulo 6 and that  $p$  is not small.

6  
 7 **Lemma 9.** *Let  $p$  be a prime satisfying  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  with  $p > (24)^2$ . Let  $g = 1 + 3p$  and let  $G$  act on  
 8 a surface of genus  $g$  preserving orientation with partial presentation  $T(2, 4, mp + 4)$  with  $1 \leq m \leq 4$ .  
 9 Then  $m = 1$  and  $p + 4$  is divisible by 5 but not divisible by 25. Further, the group  $G$  contains a cyclic  
 10 normal subgroup  $J$  of odd order with  $G/J \cong S_5$ .*

11 *Proof.* Since  $G$  acts with one of the four partial presentations,  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$ . Since  $p > (24)^2$ ,  
 12 then we must have  $G \neq \langle c, d \rangle$  by Lemma 7. Now, as in the proof of Lemma 8,  $G$  contains a cyclic  
 13 normal subgroup  $J$  of order  $\lambda/k$  for some integer  $k$ . Notice that the quotient group  $G/J$  is a  $(2, 4, k)$   
 14 group.

15 First suppose that  $\lambda = 4p + 4$  so that  $|G| = 6\lambda$ . By the proof of Lemma 6,  $G = \langle c, d \rangle$ , an obvious  
 16 contradiction. Hence, it is not possible for  $G$  to act on a surface of genus  $g = 3p + 1$  with this partial  
 17 presentation.

18 Next, consider  $\lambda = 3p + 4$  and  $|G| = 8\lambda$ . Since  $\lambda$  is odd, so is  $k$ . Also by Lemma 8 we have that  
 19  $k \leq 4$ . Therefore,  $k = 3$ . Now  $\lambda$  is divisible by 3, by Lemma 8 and this case does not occur.

20 Now suppose  $\lambda = 2p + 4$  so that  $|G| = 12\lambda$ . Then  $k \leq 6$ . Since  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , we see that  $\lambda \equiv 2$   
 21  $\pmod{6}$  and so 3 and 6 do not divide  $\lambda$ . If  $k = 2$ , then  $G/J$  is a  $(2, 4, 2)$  group and hence dihedral  
 22 of order 8. Thus  $|G/J| \neq 24 = 12k$ . Therefore,  $k = 4$  or  $k = 5$ . However, a search using Magma  
 23 shows that there are no  $(2, 4, 4)$  groups of order 48 and no  $(2, 4, 5)$  groups of order 60. It follows that  
 24  $\lambda \neq 2p + 4$ .

25 Finally, suppose  $\lambda = p + 4$ , the only remaining possibility. Since  $\lambda$  is odd, so is  $k$ . We have  
 26  $|G| = 24\lambda$  so that  $k \leq 12$ . Further,  $|G/J| = 24k$  and  $G/J$  is a  $(2, 4, k)$  group. Now  $k = 3$  gives that  
 27  $G/J \cong S_4$  and  $|G/J| = 72$ . Likewise, if  $k = 9$ , then a MAGMA search shows that there are no  $(2, 4, 9)$   
 28 groups of order 216 and for  $k = 11$ , there are no  $(2, 4, 11)$  groups of order 264. Therefore,  $k = 5$  or  
 29  $k = 7$ .

30 Suppose that  $k = 7$ . It follows that  $Q = G/J$  is a  $(2, 4, 7)$  group of order 168. A MAGMA search  
 31 reveals that  $Q$  must be  $PSL(2, 7)$ , the only  $(2, 4, 7)$  group of order 168. Therefore,  $G$  is an extension of  
 32 an odd order cyclic group  $J$  by the simple group  $Q$ . Since  $Q$  must act trivially on the cyclic group, we  
 33 have a central extension. The equivalence class of central extensions is in one to one correspondence  
 34 with the second cohomology group  $H^2(Q, J)$  [18, Th. 11.4.10]. The Schur multiplier of the group  $Q$  is  
 35 relevant to this central extension (See [18, p. 347]). The simple group  $PSL(2, 7)$  has Schur Multiplier  
 36  $M(Q) \cong Z_2$ . The Universal Coefficients Theorem [18, Th. 11.4.18] says that

$$37 \quad (15) \quad H^2(Q, J) \cong Hom(M(Q), J) \times Ext(Q_{ab}, J),$$

39 where  $Q_{ab} \cong Q/Q'$  is the abelianization of  $Q$ . Thus, the second cohomology group is trivial and so  $G$   
 40 must be a direct product. This is impossible and  $k \neq 7$ .

41 Therefore  $k = 5$  and  $G$  has a cyclic normal subgroup  $J$  with  $G/J$  is a  $(2, 4, 5)$  group of order 120. A  
 42 Magma search shows that  $S_5$  is the only such group. Thus  $G/J \cong S_5$ .



1 Suppose that 25 divides  $p + 4$ . Now  $G$  is an extension of a cyclic group  $Z_n$  by  $S_5$ , where 5 divides  $n$ .  
 2 Let  $\tau : G \rightarrow S_5$  be the surjection. There is an element  $g$  of order  $p + 4$  in the group  $G$ . Now  $\tau(g)$  is an  
 3 element of order 5 in  $S_5$ . Therefore,  $\tau(g) \in A_5$ . Consider the group  $H = \tau^{-1}(A_5)$ . So  $H$  is a central  
 4 extension of  $Z_n$  by  $A_5$ . The group  $H$  cannot be a direct product, since the direct product has no element  
 5 of order 25 and  $H$  does have such an element. However, the Schur Multiplier  $M(A_5) \cong Z_2$  and by the  
 6 Universal Coefficients Theorem (15), the second cohomology group is trivial. Therefore,  $H$  must be  
 7 the direct product and we have a contradiction. Thus, 25 does not divide  $p + 4$ .  $\square$

8 Now we construct a family of groups with partial presentation  $T(2, 4, p + 4)$  and order  $24(p + 4)$   
 9 that act on a surface of genus  $g = 3p + 1$ , preserving its orientation.

10 **Lemma 10.** *Let  $p$  be a prime satisfying  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . Suppose  $\lambda = p + 4$  is divisible by 5 but not  
 11 divisible by 25. Let  $G_\lambda = Z_{\lambda/5} \times_\phi S_5$  be the semidirect product of  $Z_{\lambda/5}$  and the symmetric group  $S_5$ , with  
 12 the action  $\phi$  being inversion. Then  $G_\lambda$  is a  $(2, 4, p + 4)$  group of order  $8(g + 11)$  that acts on a surface  
 13 of genus  $g = 1 + 3p$  preserving orientation. Consequently, for such a value of  $g$ ,  $N(g) \geq 8(g + 11)$ .*

14 *Proof.* Let

$$15 \quad (16) \quad G_\lambda = \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = b^5 = (ab)^4 = [a, b^2]^2 = c^{(\lambda/5)} = [b, c] = (ca)^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

16 First, note that  $S_5 \cong \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $\langle c \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_\lambda$ . Now  $G_\lambda = Z_{\lambda/5} \times_\phi S_5$  with the  
 17 action being inversion. Let  $x = ca$  and  $y = ab$ . Next,  $xy = cb$  has order  $\lambda$ . Since  $(xy)^5 = c^5$  and  
 18 5 does not divide the order of  $c$ , we see that  $G = \langle x, y \rangle$  and so  $G$  is a  $(2, 4, p + 4)$  group of order  
 19  $24\lambda = 8(g + 11)$ .  $\square$

20 Combining the last two lemmas gives the following.

21 **Theorem 2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime satisfying  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  with  $p > (24)^2$ , and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Suppose  
 22 that  $G$  is a  $(2, 4, mp + 4)$  group of order larger than  $8(g + 1)$ . Then if  $G$  acts on a surface of genus  $g$   
 23 preserving orientation, then  $m = 1$ ,  $\lambda = p + 4$  is divisible by 5 and not by 25. Furthermore, if  $p + 4$  is  
 24 divisible by 5 and not by 25, then there exists a group  $G$  that is a  $(2, 4, p + 4)$  group of order  $8(g + 11)$ .*

25 Next, we show that groups  $G$  with order greater than  $8(g + 1)$  and  $p$  divides  $|G|$  cannot act on a  
 26 surface of genus  $g = 3p + 1$  preserving its orientation.

## 31 6. $p$ divides $|G|$ .

32 Let  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface  $X$  of genus  $g$   
 33 preserving orientation such that  $|G|$  satisfies the inequality (12). Now we assume that  $p$  divides  $|G|$   
 34 and  $p > 72$ . We show that in this case, none of the partial presentations in Theorem A are possible. We  
 35 let the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup act on  $X$  and follow the approach in [22, Section 5].

36 **Lemma 11.** *The Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a cyclic normal subgroup in  $G$  isomorphic to  $Z_p$ .*

37 *Proof.* We have  $|G| \leq 24(g - 1) = 24 \cdot 3p = 72p$ . Obviously,  $p^2$  does not divide  $|G|$ , we are done.  $\square$

38 Now let the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $S$  act on  $X$  with  $Y = X/S$  the quotient space,  $\gamma$  the genus of  $Y$  and  
 39  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  the quotient map. For a detailed proof of the following, see [22, Lemma 5.3].

1 **Lemma 12.** *The quotient map  $\pi$  is unramified, and the quotient space  $Y = X/S$  has genus  $\gamma = 4$ .*  
 2 *Further, the quotient group  $Q = G/S$  is a group of orientation-preserving automorphisms of  $Y$  with*  
 3  *$40 < |Q| \leq 72$ .*

4 Orientation preserving group actions on Riemann surfaces of genus 4 are well understood. These  
 5 group actions were considered in determining the groups of strong symmetric genus 4 [14, Table 1].  
 6 The groups with order larger than 36 are groups of reflexible regular maps [14, Lemma 1]. There are  
 7 three possibilities for the quotient group  $Q$  here, and they are presented in Table 2. The regular maps  
 8 of genus 4 were first classified by Garbe [10, p. 53]. These maps also appear in [6, Table 1]. In Table  
 9 2, we give the group number in the MAGMA small groups library. Map symbols are from [6].

TABLE 2. Group Actions on Surfaces of Genus 4

Group	Order	Library Number	Partial Presentation	Map Symbol	$G/G'$
$Z_3 \times S_4$	72	42	$T(2, 3, 12)$	R4.1	$Z_6$
$(2, 4, 6; 2)$	72	40	$T(2, 4, 6)$	R4.3	$(Z_2)^3$
$A_5$	60	5	$T(2, 5, 5)$	R4.6	1

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 The group  $G$  is an extension of  $Z_p$  by  $Q$ . Since  $|Q|$  is relatively prime to  $p$ , the group  $G$  is a  
 21 semidirect product, by the Schur-Zassenhaus Lemma.

22  
23 **Lemma 13.**  $G \cong Z_p \times_{\phi} Q$ .

24 The following is important here. The proof is an exercise using the definition of semidirect product.

25  
26 **Lemma 14.** *Let  $H$  be the semidirect product  $K \times_{\phi} Q$ , and let  $L = \text{kernel}(\phi)$ . Then  $L$  is normal in the*  
 27 *big group  $H$ .*

28 For each of the possibilities for  $Q$ , we show that  $G$  cannot have the relevant partial presentation.

29 First suppose there were such a group  $G$  of order  $72p$  with partial presentation  $T(2, 3, 12)$ . Let  $\Delta$  be  
 30 a Fuchsian group with signature  $(0; +; [2, 3, 12]; \{\})$  and presentation

$$31 \quad (17) \quad X^2 = Y^3 = (XY)^{12} = 1.$$

32  
33 Then  $G \cong \Delta/K$  and is generated by two elements of orders 2 and 3. Let  $\alpha : \Delta \rightarrow G$  be the quotient  
 34 map.

35 We have  $G \cong Z_p \times_{\phi} Q$ , where  $Q \cong Z_3 \times S_4$ . Let  $L = \text{kernel}(\phi)$ . Since  $\phi : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z_p) \cong Z_{p-1}$ ,  
 36  $Q/L$  is cyclic. It follows that  $Q' \subset L \subset Q$ . Now the commutator quotient group  $Q/Q' \cong Z_6$ . Thus  $L$   
 37 must have index 1, 2, 3 or 6 in  $Q$ , and  $L$  is normal in  $G$  by Lemma 14. Let  $T = G/L$  and let  $\rho : G \rightarrow T$   
 38 be the quotient map of  $G$  onto  $T$ . Also let  $\theta = \rho \circ \alpha$  be the composition of  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$  so that  $\theta : \Delta \rightarrow T$   
 39 maps  $\Delta$  onto  $T$ . We eliminate all the possibilities for the quotient group  $T$ .

40 The following preliminary results will be helpful. Let  $\Delta$  have presentation (17).

41  
42 **Lemma 15.** *The only nontrivial odd order quotient of  $\Delta$  is  $Z_3$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\beta : \Delta \rightarrow W$  be a homomorphism of  $\Delta$  onto the nontrivial odd-order group  $W$ . If  $J$  is an involution in  $\Delta$ , then  $\beta(J) = 1$ . In particular,  $\beta(X) = 1$  and hence  $W = \langle \beta(X), \beta(Y) \rangle = \langle \beta(Y) \rangle \cong Z_3$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 16.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $p > 3$ . Then  $D_p$  is not a quotient of  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $D \cong D_p$ , and assume that  $\beta : \Delta \rightarrow D$  be a homomorphism of  $\Delta$  onto  $D$ . Then  $D = \langle \beta(X), \beta(Y) \rangle$  so that  $\beta(X)$  and  $\beta(Y)$  must be non-identity elements of  $D$ . But  $D$  has no elements of order 3 so that  $\beta(Y) = 1$ . Hence  $D \cong D_p$  is not a quotient of  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

Now we consider the possible indices of  $L$  in  $Q$ . First suppose  $L = Q$  so that  $G \cong Z_p \times Q$ . Then  $G$  and hence  $\Delta$  would have  $Z_p$  as a quotient which is not possible by Lemma 15.

Next assume  $[Q : L] = 2$  so that the quotient group  $T = G/L$  has order  $2p$ . Then  $T$  is isomorphic to either  $Z_{2p}$  or the dihedral group  $D_p$ . Suppose  $T = Z_{2p}$ . Then  $T$  and hence  $\Delta$  would have  $Z_p$  as a quotient, which is not possible by Lemma 15. But  $D_p$  is not a quotient either, by Lemma 16.

Suppose  $[Q : L] = 3$  so that the quotient group  $G/L$  has odd order  $3p$ . This is not possible by Lemma 15.

Finally, suppose  $[Q : L] = 6$ . Then the quotient group  $G/L$  has order  $6p$ , and there are four possibilities for the group  $G/L$ , since 3 does not divide  $p - 1$ . (There are two additional groups of order  $6p$  if 3 divides  $p - 1$ .) There are the cyclic group  $Z_{6p}$ , the dihedral group  $D_{3p}$ , and the direct products  $Z_3 \times D_p$  and  $Z_p \times D_3$ .

We have to consider the four possibilities for the quotient group  $T = G/L$ . First suppose  $T = Z_{6p}$ . Then  $T$  and hence  $\Delta$  would have  $Z_p$  as a quotient, which is not possible by Lemma 15.

Assume next that  $T \cong D_{3p}$ . Then  $T$  has a characteristic subgroup  $V$  of order 3 with  $T/V \cong D_p$ . This is not possible by Lemma 16. Lemma 16 also eliminates the direct product  $Z_3 \times D_p$  which has  $D_p$  as a quotient, and Lemma 15 eliminates the direct product  $Z_p \times D_3$ , which has a nontrivial odd order quotient.

In summary, there is no group of order  $72p$  with partial presentation  $T(2, 3, 12)$ .

Next suppose there were such a group  $G$  of order  $72p$  with partial presentation  $T(2, 4, 6)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a Fuchsian group with signature  $(0; +; [2, 4, 6]; \{ \})$  and presentation

$$(18) \quad X^2 = Y^4 = (XY)^6 = 1.$$

Then  $G \cong \Gamma/K$  and is generated by two elements of orders 2 and 4. Let  $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  be the quotient map.

We have  $G \cong Z_p \times_{\phi} Q$ , where the quotient group  $Q \cong (2, 4, 6; 2)$  (see [9, p. 142] for a presentation). Let  $L = \text{kernel}(\phi)$ . Since  $\phi : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z_p) \cong Z_{p-1}$ ,  $Q/L$  is cyclic. It follows that  $Q' \subset L \subset Q$ . Now a calculation shows that the commutator quotient group  $Q/Q' \cong (Z_2)^2$ . Thus  $L$  must have index 1 or 2 in  $Q$ , and  $L$  is normal in  $G$  by Lemma 14. Let  $T = G/L$  and let  $\rho : G \rightarrow T$  be the quotient map of  $G$  onto  $T$ . Also let  $\theta = \rho \circ \alpha$  be the composition of  $\alpha$  and  $\rho$  so that  $\theta : \Gamma \rightarrow T$  maps  $\Gamma$  onto  $T$ . We eliminate all the possibilities for the quotient group  $T$ .

The following preliminary results will be helpful. Let  $\Gamma$  have presentation (18).

**Lemma 17.** *The group  $\Gamma$  has no nontrivial odd order quotients at all.*

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then  $D_p$  is not a quotient of  $\Gamma$ .*

1 *Proof.* Write  $D \cong D_p$ , and assume that  $\beta : \Gamma \rightarrow D$  be a homomorphism of  $\Gamma$  onto  $D$ . Then  $D =$   
 2  $\langle \beta(X), \beta(Y) \rangle$  so that  $\beta(X)$  and  $\beta(Y)$  must be non-identity elements of  $D$ . The dihedral group  $D$  has  
 3 reflections and rotations of order  $p$ . Then  $\beta(X), \beta(Y)$  must be reflections so that the product  $\beta(X)\beta(Y)$   
 4 is a rotation of order  $p$ . But  $[\beta(XY)]^6 = 1$ . This means  $\beta(X) = \beta(Y)$  and  $D$  would be abelian. Hence  
 5  $D \cong D_p$  is not a quotient of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

6 Now we consider the two possible indices of  $L$  in  $Q$ . First suppose  $L = Q$  so that  $G \cong Z_p \times Q$ . Then  
 7  $G$  and hence  $\Gamma$  would have  $Z_p$  as a quotient which is not possible by Lemma 17.

8 Next assume  $[Q : L] = 2$  so that the quotient group  $T = G/L$  has order  $2p$ . Then  $T$  is isomorphic  
 9 to either  $Z_{2p}$  or the dihedral group  $D_p$ . Suppose  $T = Z_{2p}$ . Then  $T$  and hence  $\Gamma$  would have  $Z_p$  as a  
 10 quotient, which is not possible by Lemma 17. But  $D_p$  is not a quotient either, by Lemma 18.

11 In summary, there is no group of order  $72p$  with partial presentation  $T(2, 4, 6)$ .

12 Finally suppose there were such a group  $G$  of order  $60p$  with partial presentation  $T(2, 5, 5)$ . Let  $\Lambda$   
 13 be a Fuchsian group with signature  $(0; +; [2, 5, 5]; \{\})$  and presentation

$$14 \quad (19) \quad X^2 = Y^5 = (XY)^5 = 1.$$

16 Then  $G \cong \Lambda/K$  and is generated by two elements of orders 2 and 5. Let  $\alpha : \Lambda \rightarrow G$  be the quotient  
 17 map.

18 We have  $G \cong Z_p \times_{\phi} Q$ , where the quotient group  $Q \cong A_5$ . Since  $A_5$  is simple, this means  $G \cong Z_p \times A_5$ .

19 **Lemma 19.** *The only nontrivial odd order quotient of  $\Lambda$  is  $Z_5$ .*

21 *Proof.* Let  $\beta : \Lambda \rightarrow W$  be a homomorphism of  $\Lambda$  onto the nontrivial odd-order group  $W$ . If  $J$  is an  
 22 involution in  $\Lambda$ , then  $\beta(J) = 1$ . In particular,  $\beta(X) = 1$  and so  $W = \langle \beta(X), \beta(Y) \rangle = \langle \beta(Y) \rangle \cong Z_5$ .  $\square$

23 But the group  $G$  and hence  $\Lambda$  have  $Z_p$  as quotients, with  $p > 5$ . Thus there is no group of order  $60p$   
 24 with partial presentation  $T(2, 5, 5)$ .

25 Therefore, in this case, none of the partial presentations in Theorem A are possible, and consequently,  
 26  $|G| \leq 8(g+1)$ . In summary, we have the following.

28 **Lemma 20.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime with  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , and let  $g = 1 + 3p$ . Let  $G$  act on a surface  $X$   
 29 of genus  $g$  preserving orientation. If  $p$  divides  $|G|$  and  $p > 72$ , then  $|G| \leq 8(g+1)$ .*

30 **Theorem 3.** *Let  $g = 1 + 3p$  for some prime  $p > (36)^2$ . Suppose  $p$  is congruent to  $5 \pmod{6}$ . If  $p$  is  
 31 also congruent modulo 25 to 1, 6, 11 or 16, then  $N(g) = 8(g+11)$ ; otherwise  $N(g) = 8(g+1)$ .*

33 Finally, we check that the maximal order groups that give an orientation preserving action can be  
 34 extended to a maximal order orientation reversing action.

## 36 7. Extensions to Orientation Reversing Actions

37 Next, we want to determine if  $G_{\lambda}$  has an extension to a group of order  $48\lambda = 16(g+11)$ . In order to  
 38 do this, we need a presentation of  $G_{\lambda}$  as a  $(2, 4, \lambda)$  group. In the cases that we are interested in,  $\lambda$  is  
 39 odd, divisible by 5 and not divisible by 25. Therefore,  $\lambda \equiv 5, 15, 35, 45 \pmod{50}$ .

40 For  $\lambda \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{50}$ , define

$$42 \quad (20) \quad H_{\lambda} = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^4 = (xy)^{\lambda} = y^{-1}(xy)^5 y(xy)^5 = [y, (xy)^{\lambda/5}]^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

1 For  $\lambda \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{50}$ , define

$$2 \quad (21) \quad H_\lambda = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^4 = (xy)^\lambda = y^{-1}(xy)^5 y(xy)^5 = [y, (xy)^{\lambda/5}]^3 = 1 \rangle.$$

3  
4 Notice that since  $(xy)^5$  is inverted by conjugation by  $y$  and centralized by  $(xy)$ ,  $\langle (xy)^5 \rangle$  is a normal  
5 subgroup of  $H_\lambda$  in both cases. Next, modifying the presentations (20) and (21) by setting  $(xy)^5 = 1$   
6 and putting them in Magma, we see that the quotient is isomorphic to  $S_5$  and hence  $G_\lambda$  and  $H_\lambda$  have  
7 the same order.

8 **Theorem 4.** For  $\lambda \equiv 5, 15, 35, 45 \pmod{50}$ ,  $G_\lambda \cong H_\lambda$ . A group  $H_\lambda^*$  of order  $16(g+11)$  acting on a  
9 surface of genus  $g$  reversing orientation exists. Consequently, for such a value of  $g$ ,  $M(g) \geq 16(g+11)$ .  
10

11 *Proof.* We will use the presentation for  $H_\lambda$  in equations (20) and (21) and for  $G_\lambda$  in (16). Define  
12  $\nu : H_\lambda \rightarrow G_\lambda$  by  $\nu(x) = ca$  and  $\nu(y) = ab$ . Clearly,  $x^2$ ,  $y^4$  and  $(xy)^\lambda$  are all mapped to the identity by  
13  $\nu$ . Next,  $(xy)^5$  is mapped to  $c^5$ . Therefore,  $\nu$  maps  $y^{-1}(xy)^5 y(xy)^5$  to  $(b^{-1}a^{-1})c^5(ab)c^5$  which is the  
14 identity in  $G_\lambda$ . Now, we need to consider two cases depending on whether  $H_\lambda$  has presentation (20) or  
15 (21).  
16

17 Case 1: Suppose  $\lambda \equiv \pm 15 \pmod{50}$ . So  $H_\lambda$  has presentation (20). The image of  $[y, (xy)^{\lambda/5}]^2$  under  $\nu$   
18 is the identity and so  $\nu$  is an isomorphism by Van Dyke's Theorem.

19 Now suppose that  $\phi : H_\lambda \rightarrow H_\lambda$  by  $\phi(x) = x^{-1} = x$  and  $\phi(y) = y^{-1}$ . The image of all relators of  $H_\lambda$   
20 under  $\phi$  is the identity. Therefore,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of order 2 and so the extension  $H_\lambda^*$  exists by  
21 Singerman [21, Th. 2]. The group  $H_\lambda^*$  has partial presentation  $FT(2, 4, \lambda)$ .  
22

23 Case 2: Suppose  $\lambda \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{50}$ . So  $H_\lambda$  has presentation (21). The image of  $[y, (xy)^{\lambda/5}]^3$  under  $\nu$   
24 is the identity and so  $\nu$  is an isomorphism by Van Dyke's Theorem.

25 Now suppose that  $\kappa : H_\lambda \rightarrow H_\lambda$  by  $\kappa(x) = x^{-1} = x$  and  $\kappa(y) = y^{-1}$ . As in case 1 all relators map to  
26 the identity. Therefore,  $\kappa$  is an isomorphism of order 2 and again the extension  $H_\lambda^*$  exists by Singerman  
27 [21, Th. 2].  
28

□

29 Since  $M(g) \geq 16(g+1)$  in all cases, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.  
30

## 31 8. Recent Related Results

32  
33 We end by mentioning some recent results on related topics. A compact Riemann surface is called  
34 *psuedo-real* if it admits anticonformal automorphisms, but none of order 2. In [5], some limitations on  
35 the order of the largest group of automorphisms of a psuedo-real surface are obtained. For orientation  
36 preserving actions on Riemann surfaces, the paper [3] determines  $N(g)$  for  $g = qp^m + 1$  where  $q$  and  $p$   
37 are certain primes. This result gives some information on the asymptotics of  $N(g)$ . If  $S$  is a compact  
38 Riemann surface of genus  $p+1$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $G \leq \text{Aut}(S)$  of order  $\rho(g-1)$  where  $\rho \geq 3$ ,  
39 then [12, Th. 1] classifies the groups  $G$  that can occur. As a corollary, the authors classify the maps  
40 and hypermaps corresponding to the cases in [12, Th. 1]. The paper [17, Th. 1] classifies the surfaces  
41 of genus  $p-1$  for a prime  $p$  which have a group of automorphisms of order  $\rho(g+1)$  for some  $\rho \geq 1$ .  
42 Similar problems for complex one-dimensional families were studied in [8], and these results were

1 recently extended to the higher dimensional case in [11].

2

3 We would like to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions and for calling our attention to the  
4 research in this section.

5

6

7

8

9

## References

10

[1] R. Accola, On the number of automorphisms of a closed Riemann surface, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (1968), 398 - 407.

11

[2] R. Accola, *Topics in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, LNM 1595, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994.

12

[3] C. Bagiński, and G. Gromadzki, On the orders of largest groups of automorphisms of compact Riemann surfaces, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 225, No. 12(2021), Paper No. 106758, 14 pp.

13

14

[4] M. Belolipetsky and G. Jones, Automorphism groups of Riemann surfaces of genus  $p + 1$ , where  $p$  is prime, *Glasgow Math. J.* 47 (2005), 379-393.

15

16

[5] E. Bujalance, F.J. Cirre and M.D.E. Conder, Bounds on the orders of groups of automorphisms of a pseudo-real surface of a given genus, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 101 (2020), No. 2, 877 - 906.

17

18

[6] M.D.E. Conder and P. Dobcsanyi, Determination of all regular maps of small genus, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory (Series B)*, 81, No. 2, (2001), 224-242.

19

20

[7] M.D.E. Conder and T.W. Tucker, The symmetric genus spectrum of finite groups, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 4 No. 2 (2011), 271 - 289.

21

22

[8] A.F. Costa, M. Izquierdo, One-dimensional families of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  with  $4g+4$  automorphisms, *RACSAM* 112, 623–631 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-017-0429-0>

23

24

[9] H.S.M. Coxeter, W.O.J. Moser, *Generators and Relations for Discrete Groups*, 4th Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, Tokyo, 1980.

25

26

[10] D. Garbe, Uber die regularen Zerlegungen geschlossener orientierbarer Flachen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 237 (1969), 39-55.

27

28

[11] M. Izquierdo, S. Reyes - Carocca and A. Rojas, On families of Riemann surfaces with automorphisms, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 225, No. 10 (2021) Paper No. 106704, 21 pp.

29

30

[12] M. Izquierdo, G. Jones and S. Reyes - Carocca, Groups of automorphisms of Riemann surfaces and maps of genus  $p + 1$  where  $p$  is a prime, *Ann. Fenn. Math.* 46, No. 2 (2021), 839 - 867.

31

32

[13] C. Maclachlan, A bound for the number of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 44 (1968), 265-272.

33

34

[14] C.L. May and J. Zimmerman, Groups of strong symmetric genus 4, *Houston J. Math.* 31(2005), 21-35.

35

36

[15] C.L. May, J. Zimmerman, The groups of symmetric genus  $\sigma \leq 8$ , *Communications in Algebra* 36 (2008), 4078 - 4095.

37

38

[16] C.L. May, J. Zimmerman, Maximal order group actions on Riemann surfaces of genus  $1 + p$ , to appear.

39

40

[17] S. Reyes - Carocca and A. Rojas, On large prime actions on Riemann surfaces, *J. Group Theory* 25, No. 5 (2022), 887 - 940.

41

42

[18] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 80, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

43

44

[19] D. Singerman, Automorphisms of compact non-orientable Riemann surfaces, *Glasgow Math. J.* 12 (1971), 50-59.

45

46

[20] D. Singerman, On the structure of non-Euclidean crystallographic groups, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 76 (1974), 233-240.

47

48

[21] D. Singerman, Symmetries of Riemann surfaces with large automorphism group, *Math. Ann.* 210 (1974), 17-32.

49

50

[22] J. Zimmerman and C. L. May, Maximal order group actions on Riemann surfaces, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 22 (2022), doi:10.26493/1855-3974.2257.6de.

1 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 7800 YORK ROAD, TOWSON UNIVERSITY, TOWSON, MD 21252, U.S.A.  
2 *Email address:* cmay@towson.edu

3 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 7800 YORK ROAD, TOWSON UNIVERSITY, TOWSON, MD 21252, U.S.A.  
4 *Email address:* jzimmerman@towson.edu

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42