

## QUANTUM FRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK SEMIGROUPS AND ASSOCIATED POTENTIALS

AYMEN ETTAIEB, SONIA MISSAOUI, AND HAFEDH RGUIGUI

ABSTRACT. Using an infinite dimensional nuclear space, we introduce the quantum fractional number operator (QFNO) and the associated quantum fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (O-U) semigroups. Then, we solve the Cauchy problems associated with the QFNO and we show that its solutions can be expressed in terms of the aforementioned semigroups. Besides, we prove that the quantum fractional O-U semigroups satisfy the Feller property. Finally, using an adequate definition of the quantum fractional potentials, we give the solutions of the quantum fractional Poisson equations.

### 1. Introduction

If we go back to the story of the study of fractional powers of operators, we may mention the Abel's work on the tautochrone, the Riemann-Liouville integral and its generalisations by M. Riesz though its general history is recently developed. Hille and Philips tried to prove that one can treat the fractional powers in the framework of an operational calculus which they originated when  $-\mathcal{N}$  is the negative of an infinitesimal generator of a bounded semi-group of operators. In 1959, Balakrishmann [1] accomplished this program thoroughly then, in 1960 [2], made a revolution by giving a new definition and extended his theory to a wider class of operators. Meanwhile Krasnoselskii-Sobolevskii [17] and Kato [16] introduced two different definitions which resulted in further results. The theories of Yosida [31], Kato [15] and Watanabe [30] also some other classical results on the Riemann-Liouville integral (Hardy-Littlewood [12], Love-Young [19]) are reconstructed from a unified point of view. Later, Piech [26] initiated the infinite dimensional analogue of

$$N_d = \sum_{k=1}^{k=d} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

on infinite dimensional abstract Wiener space called the number operator  $N$  which is given as follows:

$$N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tau(s, t) a_s^* a_t ds dt,$$

where  $a_s^*$  and  $a_t$  denote the creation and annihilation operators, respectively, and  $\tau$  is the usual trace operator. Moreover, he gave the solution of the Cauchy problem associated to the number operator using the semigroup approach. After that, in [18], Kuo formulated the number operator and the O-U semigroup as a continuous linear operator acting on the space of test white noise functionals. Some results about the quantum number operators and their associated quantum O-U semigroups

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.*

*Key words and phrases.* Space of entire functions; Quantum fractional number operators; Quantum fractional O-U semigroups; Feller property; Quantum fractional potentials; Quantum fractional Poisson equations.

1 was extended in [28]. Moreover, the author showed that such semigroups are Markovian. Later,  
 2 in [27], the author studied the fractional number operator and gave a probabilistic relation with it  
 3 as well as some regularity properties about it. Moreover, many applications of fractional calculus  
 4 amount to replacing the time derivative in an evolution equation with a derivative of fractional order  
 5 (see [5, 14, 23, 22]). The term potential has its origins from mathematical physics and particularly  
 6 from gravitational problems and electrostatic where the fundamental forces, closely related to the  
 7 potential energy such as electrostatic or gravity, were given as the gradients of potentials, i.e., equations  
 8 which satisfy Laplace equations and more generally the Poisson equations. The latter is still in use  
 9 in many engineering applications and physics fields like electrostatics and heat-conduction. In [29],  
 10 the quantum potentials are introduced and used to solve the quantum Poisson equations. On the other  
 11 hand, the Feller property plays an important role for PDE'S to ensure the uniqueness of the invariant  
 12 measurement for a semigroup  $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , see [6, 7, 21, 20] and the references therein.

13 Motivated by the above description, for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :

- 14 • We define the QFNO (denoted by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha$ ) on the space of entire functions with a  
 15 certain exponential growth condition with two variables.
- 16 • We prove that the associated Cauchy problems can be expressed in terms of the quantum  
 17 fractional O-U semigroups.
- 18 • We show that the quantum fractional O-U semigroups satisfy the Feller property.
- 19 • We show that the solutions of the quantum fractional Poisson equations are expressed in terms  
 20 of the quantum fractional potentials.

21  
 22 From the above mentioned papers, in Section 2, we introduce some preliminary results on nuclear  
 23 algebras of entire functions with two variables. In Section 3, we study some regularity properties about  
 24 QFNO  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$ , left QFNO  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha$  and right QFNO  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha$ . In Section 4, we construct continuous semigroups  
 25 with infinitesimal generators  $-\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$ ,  $-\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha$  and  $-\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha$ . Then, we study the Cauchy problem associated  
 26 with the QFNO. Moreover, we prove that the quantum fractional O-U semigroups satisfy the Feller  
 27 property. In Section 5, we introduce the quantum fractional potentials associated to quantum fractional  
 28 O-U semigroups and we give the solutions of the quantum fractional Poisson equations.

## 30 2. Preliminaries

31  
 32 In this section we shall give some preliminary results which will be used in the sequel. The involvement  
 33 of these results is stated with reference to [3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 13, 24, 25]. For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $H_i$  be a real  
 34 separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|_{i,0}$  and let a positive self-adjoint operator  
 35 denoted  $s_i \geq 1$  on  $H_i$  such that

$$36 \quad s_i e_n = \lambda_{i,n} e_{i,n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

37  
 38 where  $\{e_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a complete orthogonal basis for  $H_i$  and  $\{\lambda_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of  
 39 positive numbers satisfying

$$40 \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i,n}^{-2} = \|s_i^{-1}\|_{HS}^2 < \infty.$$

42

1 For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$2 \quad |\xi|_{i,r}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi, e_{i,n} \rangle_i^2 \lambda_{i,n}^{2r} = |S_i^r \xi|_{i,0}^2, \quad \xi \in H_i.$$

3  
4 In fact, let  $\{(X_i)_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$  a decreasing chain of Hilbert spaces  $((X_i)_q \subseteq (X_i)_r$  for  $r \leq q$ ) with norm  $|\cdot|_{i,p}$   
5 and with natural continuous inclusions

$$6 \quad i_{q,r} : (X_i)_q \hookrightarrow (X_i)_r, \quad r \leq q.$$

7  
8 Besides,  $(X_i)_{-r}$  the  $|\cdot|_{i,-r}$ -completion of  $H_i$  ( $p \geq 0$ ) satisfies

$$9 \quad (X_i)_{-r} \subseteq (X_i)_{-q}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq q.$$

10  
11 Defining the countably nuclear space:

$$12 \quad X_i := \text{proj} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (X_i)_r.$$

13  
14 Then, we obtain the real standard Gel'fand triplet:

$$15 \quad (2.1) \quad X_i \subset H_i \equiv H_i' \subset X_i'.$$

16  
17 For more details, we refer the reader to [11]. Let  $(E_i)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the complexification of  $(X_i)_r$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

18 We denote by  $(E_i)_{-r}$  and  $E_i'$  the strong dual space of  $(E_i)_r$  and  $E_i$ , respectively. Then, we get

$$19 \quad E_i = \text{proj} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (E_i)_r \text{ and } E_i' = \text{ind} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (E_i)_{-r}.$$

20  
21 We denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear form on  $E_i' \times E_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a Young function  
22 (see [10]). The polar function  $\theta^*$  of  $\theta$  given by

$$23 \quad \theta^*(x) = \sup_{s \geq 0} (sx - \theta(s)), \quad x \geq 0,$$

24  
25 is also a Young function. Now, we define  $(\theta)_n$  as follows:

$$26 \quad (2.2) \quad (\theta)_n = \inf_{x > 0} \frac{e^{\theta(x)}}{x^n}, \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

27  
28 In the sequel, we fix  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  a pair of Young function and we suppose that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  satisfy the  
29 following condition

$$30 \quad (2.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_i(r)}{r^2} < \infty, \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

31  
32 If a Young function  $\theta$  satisfies (2.3), there exist two constants numbers  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$33 \quad (\theta)_n \leq \gamma \left( \frac{2e\beta}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

34  
35 Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \|\cdot\|)$  be a complex Banach space and  $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$  be the space of all continuous entire functions on  
36  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a Young function  $\theta$  we define the following spaces

$$37 \quad \mathcal{F}_{\theta}(E_i') := \text{proj} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty; h \downarrow 0} \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H}((E_i)_{-r}, \mathcal{C}); \|g\|_{\theta, h} := \sup_{z \in \mathcal{C}} |g(z)| e^{-\theta(h\|z\|)} < \infty \right\}.$$

1 The space of entire function on  $(E_1)_{-r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{-r_2}$  of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ -exponential growth of minimal type is  
 2 defined by the following expression

$$3 \quad \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$$

$$4 = \text{proj} \lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty; h_1, h_2 \downarrow 0} \left\{ g \in \mathcal{H}((E_1)_{-r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{-r_2}); \|g\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1, h_2)} < \infty \right\}.$$

6 where  $\mathcal{H}((E_1)_{-r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{-r_2})$  is the space of entire functions on  $(E_1)_{-r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{-r_2}$  and

$$8 \quad \|g\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1, h_2)}$$

$$9 = \sup_{(a, b) \in (E_1)_{-r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{-r_2}} \left\{ |g(a, b)| e^{-\theta_1(h_1|a|_{-r_1}) - \theta_2(h_2|b|_{-r_2})} \right\}.$$

12 In particular, we have the following identification

$$13 \quad \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus \{0\}) = \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}(E'_1).$$

15 By using the common symbol  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for the canonical  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear form on  $(E_1^{\otimes l} \otimes E_2^{\otimes k})' \times E_1^{\otimes l} \otimes E_2^{\otimes k}$ ,  
 16 we know that every  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$  admits the following Taylor expansion:

$$18 \quad (2.4) \quad \psi(a, b) = \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle, \quad (a, b) \in E'_1 \times E'_2,$$

21 where  $\psi_{l, k} \in E_1^{\widehat{\otimes} l} \otimes E_2^{\widehat{\otimes} k}$  and  $\widehat{\otimes}$  is the symmetric tensor product. Let  $F_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E_1 \oplus E_2)$  be the space of all  
 22 Taylor coefficients  $\psi_{l, k}$  obtained from (2.4). It is known that

$$23 \quad F_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E_1 \oplus E_2) = \text{proj} \lim_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty; h_1, h_2 \downarrow 0} F_{\theta_1, \theta_2, h_1, h_2}((E_1)_{r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{r_2}),$$

25 where

$$26 \quad F_{\theta_1, \theta_2, h_1, h_2}((E_1)_{r_1} \oplus (E_2)_{r_2}) =$$

$$27 \quad \left\{ \vec{\psi} = (\psi_{l, k})_{l, k \geq 0}; \psi_{l, k} \in (E_1)_{r_1}^{\widehat{\otimes} l} \otimes (E_2)_{r_2}^{\widehat{\otimes} k}, \|\vec{\psi}\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1, h_2)}^2 < \infty \right\}$$

29 and

$$30 \quad \|\vec{\psi}\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1, h_2)}^2 = \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} (\theta_1)_l^{-2} h_1^{-l} (\theta_2)_k h_2^{-k} |\psi_{l, k}|_{r_1, r_2}^2.$$

32 Moreover, equipped with the projective limit topology,  $F_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E_1 \oplus E_2)$  is a nuclear Fréchet space and  
 33 is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$ . Now, let  $\psi \sim (\psi_{l, k})_{l, k \geq 0}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$ . According to [13], for  
 34 each  $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ ,  $h_1, h_2 > 0$ , there exist  $q_1 > r_1$  and  $q_2 > r_2$  such that

$$36 \quad (2.5) \quad |\psi_{l, k}|_{r_1, r_2} \leq \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (q_1, q_2); (h_1, h_2)}$$

$$37 \quad \times e^{l+k} (\theta_1)_l (\theta_2)_k h_1^l h_2^k \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}^l \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS}^k.$$

39 For locally convex spaces  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$ , the space of all continuous linear operator from  $\mathfrak{X}$  into  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is  
 40 denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ . By the nuclearity of the space  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_i}(E'_i)$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ), from the kernel theorem we  
 41 get the following isomorphism

$$42 \quad (2.6) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2)) \simeq (\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}(E'_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2)) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$$

1 where  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1)$  is the topological dual of  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}(E'_1)$ . Therefore, for any white noise operator  $\Xi \in$   
 2  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$ , there exist a unique  $\Phi_{\Xi} \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}(E'_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2)$  such that

3 (2.7) 
$$\langle\langle g, \Xi f \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle f \otimes g, \Phi_{\Xi} \rangle\rangle, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}^*(E'_2).$$

4  
 5 Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$ . Then, we can  
 6 identify  $\Phi_{\Xi}$  with  $\mathcal{H}(\Xi)$ .

7  
 8 **3. Quantum fractional number operators**

9 Throughout this paper, we take  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then, we define the following operators

10  
 11 (3.1) 
$$\mathcal{N}^{\alpha} \psi(a, b) := \sum_{l, k=0; (l, k) \neq (0, 0)}^{\infty} (l^{\alpha} + k^{\alpha}) \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle,$$

12  
 13  
 14 (3.2) 
$$\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha} \psi(a, b) := \sum_{l=1, k=0}^{\infty} l^{\alpha} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle,$$

15  
 16  
 17 (3.3) 
$$\mathcal{N}_2^{\alpha} \psi(a, b) := \sum_{l=0, k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle,$$

18  
 19 where  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$  given by

20  
 21 
$$\psi(a, b) = \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle, \quad (a, b) \in E'_1 \times E'_2.$$

22  
 23 **Proposition 1.** *The operators  $\mathcal{N}^{\alpha}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2^{\alpha}$  are linear continuous operators from  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus$   
 24  $E'_2)$  into itself.*

25  
 26 *Proof.* Let  $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$  and  $\psi(a, b) = \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$ . In view of the expres-  
 27 sion of  $\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha}$ , we deduce that

28  
 29 
$$|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha} \psi(a, b)| \leq \sum_{l=1, k=0}^{\infty} l^{\alpha} |a|_{-r_1}^l |b|_{-r_2}^k |\psi_{l, k}|_{r_1, r_2}.$$

30  
 31 Furthermore, using (2.5) and the expression  $l^{\alpha} \leq 2^{\alpha l}$ , we deduce

32  
 33 
$$|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha} \psi(a, b)| \leq \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (q_1, q_2); (h_1, h_2)}$$
  
 34 
$$\times \sum_{l, k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{\alpha} m_1 e^{\|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}}\}^l |a|_{-r_1}^l (\theta_1)_l \{m_2 e^{\|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS}}\}^k |b|_{-r_2}^k (\theta_2)_k,$$

35  
 36 where  $q_1 > r_1, q_2 > r_2$  and  $h_1, h_2 > 0$ . Therefore, for  $h'_1, h'_2, h_1, h_2 > 0, q_1 > r_1$  and  $q_2 > r_2$  such that

37  
 38 
$$\max \left\{ 2^{\alpha} \frac{h_1}{h'_1} e^{\|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}}, \frac{h_2}{h'_2} e^{\|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS}} \right\} < 1,$$

39  
 40 we can easily check that

41  
 42 
$$\|\mathcal{N}_1^{\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (r_1, r_2); (h'_1, h'_2)} \leq c \times \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (q_1, q_2); (h_1, h_2)},$$

1 where

$$2 \quad c := c(r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2) = \left\{1 - 2^\alpha \frac{h_1}{h_1'} e^{\|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}}\right\}^{-1} \left\{1 - \frac{h_2}{h_2'} e^{\|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS}}\right\}^{-1}.$$

4 Hence, we prove the continuity of  $\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha$ . Using a similar calculus, we prove the continuity of  $\mathcal{N}_2^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{N}^\alpha$ .  $\square$

7 **Remark 1.** We can easily note the following decompositions

$$8 \quad \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha = N^\alpha \otimes I; \quad \mathcal{N}_2^\alpha = I \otimes N^\alpha \text{ and } \mathcal{N}^\alpha = N^\alpha \otimes I + I \otimes N^\alpha,$$

10 where  $N^\alpha$  is the standard fractional number operator (see [27]) on  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_i}(E'_i)$  given by

$$12 \quad N^\alpha \psi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes i}, l^\alpha \psi_i \rangle_i, \text{ for } \psi(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes i}, \psi_i \rangle_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_i}(E'_i).$$

15 **Definition 1.** On the space of white noise operators  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$ , we introduce the following operators  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha = \mathcal{K}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha)\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha = \mathcal{K}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_2^\alpha)\mathcal{K}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha = \mathcal{K}^{-1}\mathcal{N}^\alpha\mathcal{K}$ .

18 The operator  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha$  is named left QFNO,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha$  is named right QFNO and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$  is named QFNO.

20 **Proposition 2.** For  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$ , we have

$$22 \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha(\Xi) = \Xi N^\alpha, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha(\Xi) = N^\alpha \Xi \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha(\Xi) = \Xi N^\alpha + N^\alpha \Xi.$$

24 *Proof.* Let  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}^*(E'_2)$ . Then, by applying the kernel theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 26 \quad \langle\langle g, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha(\Xi)f \rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle g, \mathcal{K}^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1^\alpha\mathcal{K})(\Xi)f \rangle\rangle \\ 27 &= \langle\langle f \otimes g, \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha\mathcal{K}(\Xi) \rangle\rangle \\ 28 &= \langle\langle \mathcal{N}_1^\alpha(f \otimes g), \mathcal{K}(\Xi) \rangle\rangle \\ 29 &= \langle\langle (N^\alpha \otimes I)(f \otimes g), \mathcal{K}(\Xi) \rangle\rangle \\ 30 &= \langle\langle N^\alpha(f) \otimes g, \mathcal{K}(\Xi) \rangle\rangle \\ 31 &= \langle\langle g, \Xi N^\alpha(f) \rangle\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

34 which implies that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha(\Xi) = \Xi N^\alpha$ . Similarly, we obtain

$$36 \quad \langle\langle g, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha(\Xi)f \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle g, N^\alpha \Xi f \rangle\rangle$$

38 to acquire  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha(\Xi) = N^\alpha \Xi$ . Finally, we have

$$40 \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha(\Xi) = \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha(\Xi) + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha(\Xi) = \Xi N^\alpha + N^\alpha \Xi,$$

42 which completes the proof.  $\square$

1 **4. Quantum fractional O-U semigroups with infinitesimal generator the Quantum fractional**  
 2 **number operator**

3 For  $s, t \geq 0$ , we define  $Q_{s,t}^\alpha$  as follows:  
 4

5 (4.1) 
$$Q_{s,t}^\alpha \psi(a, b) := \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, e^{-st^\alpha - tk^\alpha} \psi_{l,k} \rangle,$$
  
 6  
 7

8 where  $\psi(a, b) = \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l,k} \rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$ . In the sequel, we will denote  $Q_{s,t}^\alpha$  by  $Q_t^\alpha$ .

9 **Proposition 3.** *The families  $\{Q_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ ,  $\{Q_{t,0}^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Q_{0,t}^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$  are strongly continuous*  
 10 *semigroups from  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$  into itself.*  
 11

12 *Proof.* First of all, it is obvious that  $Q_0^\alpha = I$  and  $Q_t^\alpha Q_s^\alpha = Q_{t+s}^\alpha, \forall s, t \geq 0$ . But we know that  $|e^x - 1| \leq$   
 13  $|x|e^{|x|}$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, for  $t \leq 1$ , we have  
 14

15 
$$|Q_t^\alpha \psi(a, b) - \psi(a, b)| \leq \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} \left( e^{-t(l^\alpha + k^\alpha)} - 1 \right) |a|_{-r_1}^l |b|_{-r_2}^k |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}$$
  
 16  
 17 
$$\leq t \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} (l^\alpha + k^\alpha) e^{l^\alpha + k^\alpha} |a|_{-r_1}^l |b|_{-r_2}^k |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}$$
  
 18  
 19 
$$\leq t \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha(l+k)} e^{l+k} |a|_{-r_1}^l |b|_{-r_2}^k |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}.$$
  
 20  
 21

22 Thus, for all  $q_1 > r_1, q_2 > r_2$  and  $h_1, h_2, h'_1, h'_2 > 0$  such that  
 23

24 
$$\max \left\{ 2^\alpha \frac{h_1}{h'_1} e^2 \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}, 2^\alpha \frac{h_2}{h'_2} e^2 \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS} \right\} < 1,$$
  
 25  
 26

27 we have

28 
$$\|Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (r_1, r_2); (h'_1, h'_2)} \leq t \times K \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (q_1, q_2); (h'_1, h'_2)},$$

29 where

30 
$$K := K(r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2) = \left\{ \left( 1 - 2^\alpha \frac{h_1}{h'_1} e^2 \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS} \right) \left( 1 - 2^\alpha \frac{h_2}{h'_2} e^2 \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS} \right) \right\}^{-1}.$$
  
 31  
 32

33 The last inequality implies the strong continuity of  $\{Q_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ . Similarly, we complete the continuity  
 34 of the semigroups  $\{Q_{s,0}^\alpha, s \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Q_{0,t}^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ . □  
 35

36 Also, we can easily observe that the linear operator  $(Q_{s,t}^\alpha)_{s,t \geq 0}$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$   
 37 into itself. As a result, according to [28] and from (4.1), we introduce  
 38

39 
$$\widetilde{Q}_{s,t}^\alpha := \mathcal{H}^{-1} Q_{s,t}^\alpha \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))).$$
  
 40

41 **Lemma 1.** *The operators  $-\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha, -\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha$  and  $-\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha$  are the infinitesimal generators of the strongly*  
 42 *continuous semigroups  $\{\widetilde{Q}_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ ,  $\{\widetilde{Q}_{t,0}^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$  and  $\{\widetilde{Q}_{0,t}^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ , respectively.*

1 *Proof.* To verify that  $-\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $\{\widetilde{Q}_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ , it is sufficient to verify that  
 2  $-\mathcal{N}^\alpha$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $\{Q_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ , we use the fact that

$$3 \left( \frac{Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi}{t} + \mathcal{N}^\alpha \psi \right) \sim (Q_{l,k}^\alpha)_{l,k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

4 where  $Q_{l,k}^\alpha$  is given by

$$5 Q_{l,k}^\alpha = \left\{ \frac{e^{-t(l^\alpha+k^\alpha)} + t(l^\alpha+k^\alpha) - 1}{t} \right\} \psi_{l,k}, \quad l, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

6 Clearly, for  $p_1, p_2 \geq 0$  and  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$7 |Q_{l,k}^\alpha|_{r_1, r_2} \leq \left| \frac{e^{-t(l^\alpha+k^\alpha)} - 1 + t(l^\alpha+k^\alpha)}{t} \right| |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}.$$

8 Since  $|e^t - 1 - t| \leq t^2 e^{|t|}$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get

$$9 |Q_{l,k}^\alpha|_{r_1, r_2} \leq |t|(l^\alpha+k^\alpha)^2 e^{t(l^\alpha+k^\alpha)} |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}.$$

10 Furthermore, from equation (2.5) and the fact that  $(l^\alpha+k^\alpha)^2 \leq 2^{2\alpha(l+k)}$ ,  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain

$$11 |Q_{l,k}^\alpha|_{r_1, r_2} \leq t \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (q_1, q_2); (h_1, h_2)} (\theta_1)_l (\theta_2)_k$$

$$12 \times \left\{ 2^{2\alpha} h_1 e^t \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS} \right\}^l \left\{ 2^{2\alpha} h_2 e^t \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS} \right\}^k,$$

13 where  $q_1 > r_1, q_2 > r_2$  and  $h_1, h_2 > 0$ . Therefore, for  $t \leq 1, h_1, h_2, h'_1, h'_2 > 0, q_1 > r_1$  and  $q_2 > r_2$  such  
 14 that

$$15 \max \left\{ 2^{2\alpha} e^2 \frac{h_1}{h'_1} \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}, 2^{2\alpha} e^2 \frac{h_2}{h'_2} \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS} \right\} < 1,$$

16 there exists a constant

$$17 C := C(r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2) = \left\{ (1 - 2^{2\alpha} e^2 \frac{h_1}{h'_1} \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS})(1 - 2^{2\alpha} e^2 \frac{h_2}{h'_2} \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS}) \right\}^{-1}$$

18 such that

$$19 \left\| \frac{Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi}{t} + \mathcal{N}^\alpha \psi \right\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (r_1, r_2); (h'_1, h'_2)} \leq t \times C \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (q_1, q_2); (h_1, h_2)}.$$

20 Thus, we can easily see that

$$21 (4.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left\| \frac{Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi}{t} + \mathcal{N}^\alpha \psi \right\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2); (r_1, r_2); (h_1, h_2)} = 0,$$

22 which implies that

$$23 \frac{Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi}{t} \rightarrow -\mathcal{N}^\alpha \psi \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, \text{ in } \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2),$$

24 i.e.,  $-\mathcal{N}^\alpha$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $\{Q_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$ . Hence, by applying the topological isomor-  
 25 phism  $\mathcal{H}$ , we get the desired statement.  $\square$



1 **Theorem 1.** *The following quantum fractional Cauchy problems*

2  
3 (4.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\Pi_t = -\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha \Pi_t, \\ \Pi_0 = \Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2)), \end{cases}$$

4  
5  
6 (4.4) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\Lambda_t = -\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha \Lambda_t, \\ \Lambda_0 = \Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2)), \end{cases}$$

7  
8  
9 (4.5) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\Upsilon_t = -\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha \Upsilon_t, \\ \Upsilon_0 = \Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2)), \end{cases}$$

10  
11 *have a unique solutions given respectively by*

12  
13 
$$\Pi_t = \widetilde{Q}_t^\alpha \Xi,$$
  
14 
$$\Lambda_t = \widetilde{Q}_{t,0}^\alpha \Xi,$$
  
15 
$$\Upsilon_t = \widetilde{Q}_{0,t}^\alpha \Xi.$$
  
16

17 *Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$ . Note that the function

18 
$$U(t, a, b) = Q_t^\alpha \psi(a, b)$$

19 satisfies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U(t, a, b) = \psi(a, b)$  and from (4.2), we obtain

20  
21 
$$\frac{d}{dt}U(t, a, b) = -\mathcal{N}^\alpha Q_t^\alpha \psi(a, b)$$
  
22 
$$= -\mathcal{N}^\alpha U(t, a, b).$$
  
23

24 Therefore, the proof of the first assertion is now reached by applying the topological isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}$ .

25 For the other proofs, we proceed as above. □

26 From the Wiener-Itô-Segal isomorphism,  $L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$  is unitary isomorphic to  $Fock(H_1 \oplus H_2)$ ,  
27 where

28 
$$Fock(H_1 \oplus H_2) = \{ \vec{\psi} = (\psi_{l,k})_{l,k \in \mathbb{N}} : \psi_{l,k} \in H_1^{\widehat{\otimes} l} \widehat{\otimes} H_2^{\widehat{\otimes} k}, \|\vec{\psi}\|_{Fock} < \infty \},$$

29 where

30 
$$\|\vec{\psi}\|_{Fock}^2 = \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} l!k! |\psi_{l,k}|_0^2$$

31 and  $\mu_j$  is the standard Gaussian measure on  $X_j$  uniquely specified by its characteristic function

32  
33 
$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\xi|_{j,0}^2} = \int_{X'_j} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle_j} d\mu_j(x), \quad \xi \in X_j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

34  
35  
36 **Remark 2.** *Let  $\psi \in L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ . Then, we have*

37 
$$\|\overrightarrow{Q_t^\alpha \psi}\|_{Fock}^2 = \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} l!k! e^{-2t(l^\alpha + k^\alpha)} |\psi_{l,k}|_0^2$$
  
38  
39 
$$\leq \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} l!k! |\psi_{l,k}|_0^2 = \|\vec{\psi}\|_{Fock}^2.$$
  
40  
41  
42

1 Therefore,  $\{Q_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$  is continuous from  $L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$  into itself.

2 **Definition 2.** A continuous semigroup  $Q = (Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2))$  satisfies the Feller property if  
 3 there is a separable  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$  on which  $Q$  is strongly continuous:  
 4

$$5 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|Q_t \psi - \psi\|_{L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)} = 0, \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{A},$$

6 and if it leaves such a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -subalgebra invariant:  
 7

$$8 Q_t(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

9 **Theorem 2.** The semigroup  $\{Q_t^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$  satisfies the Feller property.

10 *Proof.* Since the Young functions  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  satisfy the following conditions

$$11 \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_1(x)}{x^2} < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_2(x)}{x^2} < \infty,$$

12 then

$$13 \overline{\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)} = L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2).$$

14 Moreover, for  $\psi \in L^2(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ , we have

$$15 \begin{aligned} 16 \overrightarrow{\|Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi\|_{Fock}^2} &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} n!m! |e^{-t(n^\alpha+m^\alpha)} - 1|^2 |\psi_{n,m}|_0^2 \\ 17 &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} n! (\theta_1)_n^2 \delta_1^n ((\theta_1)_n^{-2} \delta_1^{-n}) m! (\theta_2)_m^2 \delta_2^m ((\theta_2)_m^{-2} \delta_2^{-m}) \\ 18 &\quad \times |e^{-t(n^\alpha+m^\alpha)} - 1|^2 |\psi_{n,m}|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

19 Using the fact that  $|e^a - 1| \leq |a|e^{|a|}$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain

$$20 \begin{aligned} 21 \overrightarrow{\|Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi\|_{Fock}^2} &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} n! (\theta_1)_n^2 \delta_1^n ((\theta_1)_n^{-2} \delta_1^{-n}) m! (\theta_2)_m^2 \delta_2^m ((\theta_2)_m^{-2} \delta_2^{-m}) \\ 22 &\quad \times t^2 (n^\alpha + m^\alpha)^2 e^{2t(n^\alpha+m^\alpha)} |\psi_{n,m}|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

23 On the other hand, using the inequality  $(n^\alpha + m^\alpha) \leq 2^{\alpha(n+m)}$ , we have

$$24 t^2 (n^\alpha + m^\alpha)^2 e^{2t(n^\alpha+m^\alpha)} \leq t^2 4^{\alpha(n+m)} e^{2t(n^\alpha+m^\alpha)}.$$

25 It is obvious that, for  $t \leq 1$ ,

$$26 n! (\theta_i)_n^2 \delta_i^n (t 4^{\alpha n} e^{2tn^\alpha}) \leq tn! (\theta_i)_n^2 \delta_i^n 4^{\alpha n} e^{2n^\alpha} = tn! (\theta_i)_n^2 (\delta_i 4^\alpha e^{2n^{\alpha-1}})^n, i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

27 From Lemma 4.1 in [25], there exist constant numbers  $a_i > 0$  and  $b_i > 0$  such that

$$28 (\theta_i)_n^2 \delta_i^n n! (\delta_i 4^\alpha)^n \leq a_i^2 \left(\frac{2b_i e}{n}\right)^n (\delta_i 4^\alpha)^n n! = a_i^2 (2b_i \delta_i 4^\alpha)^n \frac{\sqrt{ne^n n!}}{n^n \sqrt{n}}.$$

29 Using the Stirling formula, the last fraction tends to  $\sqrt{2\pi}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, for  $\delta_i 4^\alpha < (2b_i)^{-1}$ ,  
 30 we have

$$31 M_i^2 \equiv \sup_{n \geq 0} (\theta_i)_n^2 \delta_i^n 4^{\alpha n} n! < \infty, i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

32

1 Thus,

$$2 \quad \overrightarrow{\|Q_t^\alpha \psi - \psi\|_{Fock}} = tM_1M_2\|\psi\|_{\theta_1, \theta_2, (\delta_1, \delta_2), (r_1, r_2)},$$

3 for all  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0, r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ . Consequently, the restriction of  $Q_t^\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$  is strongly continu-  
4 ous and we have

$$5 \quad Q_t^\alpha \psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2), \psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2).$$

6 □

7 **Remark 3.** Similarly as above, we can prove that the family  $\{Q_{s,0}^\alpha, s \geq 0\}$  and  $\{Q_{0,t}^\alpha, t \geq 0\}$  satisfy the  
8 Feller property.  
9

### 10 5. Quantum fractional potentials associated to quantum fractional O-U semigroups

11 In this section, motivated by the results obtained in Section 4, we study the quantum fractional  
12 potentials associated to quantum fractional O-U semigroups. Moreover, we give the solutions of the  
13 quantum fractional Poisson equations.  
14

15 **Definition 3.** Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E'_1 \oplus E'_2)$  and  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ . We define the following operators

$$16 \quad (5.1) \quad H_\lambda^\alpha \psi(a, b) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} Q_t^\alpha \psi(a, b) dt,$$

$$17 \quad (5.2) \quad H_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{g, \alpha} \psi(a, b) = H_{\lambda_1}^{-, \alpha} \psi(a, b) + H_{\lambda_2}^{+, \alpha} \psi(a, b),$$

18 where  $H_{\lambda_1}^{-, \alpha}$  and  $H_{\lambda_2}^{+, \alpha}$  are given by

$$19 \quad H_{\lambda_1}^{-, \alpha} \psi(a, b) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda_1} Q_{t,0}^\alpha \psi(a, b) dt,$$

$$20 \quad H_{\lambda_2}^{+, \alpha} \psi(a, b) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda_2} Q_{0,t}^\alpha \psi(a, b) dt.$$

21 It is obvious that  $e^{-t\lambda} Q_t^\alpha \psi$ ,  $e^{-t\lambda_1} Q_{t,0}^\alpha \psi$  and  $e^{-t\lambda_2} Q_{0,t}^\alpha \psi$  are bounded. However, when  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow 0$   
22 and  $\lambda_2 \rightarrow 0$ , we will lose the integrability of the integrand in the right hand side of equations (5.1) and  
23 (5.2). For this reason, we introduce the following normalized operators:  
24

$$25 \quad (5.3) \quad (M^\alpha \psi)(a, b) = \int_0^\infty Q_t^\alpha (\psi - \psi_{0,0})(a, b) dt,$$

$$26 \quad (5.4) \quad (M^{g, \alpha} \psi)(a, b) = M^{-, \alpha} \psi(a, b) + M^{+, \alpha} \psi(a, b),$$

27 where

$$28 \quad (M^{-, \alpha} \psi)(a, b) = \int_0^\infty Q_{t,0}^\alpha (\psi - \psi_{0,0} - \psi_0^-)(a, b) dt,$$

$$29 \quad (M^{+, \alpha} \psi)(a, b) = \int_0^\infty Q_{t,0}^\alpha (\psi - \psi_{0,0} - \psi_0^+)(a, b) dt,$$

30  $\psi(a, b) = \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, \psi_{i,j} \rangle$ ,  $\psi_0^-(a, b) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \langle b^{\otimes j}, \psi_{0,j} \rangle$  and  $\psi_0^+(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i}, \psi_{i,0} \rangle$ . By a  
31 simple calculus, one can show that

$$32 \quad Q_t^\alpha (\psi - \psi_{0,0})(a, b) = \sum_{i,j=0, (i,j) \neq (0,0)}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, e^{-t(i^\alpha + j^\alpha)} \psi_{i,j} \rangle,$$

33

$$Q_{t,0}^\alpha(\psi - \psi_{0,0} - \psi_0^-)(a, b) = \sum_{i=1, j=0}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, e^{-ti^\alpha} \psi_{i,j} \rangle,$$

$$Q_{0,t}^\alpha(\psi - \psi_{0,0} - \psi_0^-)(a, b) = \sum_{i=0, j=1}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, e^{-tj^\alpha} \psi_{i,j} \rangle.$$

**Remark 4.** For  $i \geq 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have  $e^{-ti^\alpha} \leq e^{-t}$ . Then, one can show that  $Q_t^\alpha(\psi - \psi_{0,0})(a, b)$ ,  $Q_{t,0}^\alpha(\psi - \psi_{0,0} - \psi_0^-)(a, b)$  and  $Q_{0,t}^\alpha(\psi - \psi_{0,0} - \psi_0^-)(a, b)$  are bounded by the following integrable function

$$C' e^{-t} \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)} e^{\theta_1(h_1'|a|-r_1) + \theta_2(h_2'|b|-r_2)}$$

where

$$C' := C'(r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2) = \left\{ \left( 1 - e \frac{h_1}{h_1'} \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS} \right) \left( 1 - e \frac{h_2}{h_2'} \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS} \right) \right\}^{-1}.$$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E_1' \oplus E_2')$ . Then, the operators  $H_\lambda^\alpha$ ,  $H_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{g, \alpha}$ ,  $M^\alpha$  and  $M^{g, \alpha}$  are continuous linear operators from  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(E_1' \oplus E_2')$  into itself. Moreover, for  $h_1, h_2, h_1', h_2' > 0$  and  $q_1 > r_1, q_2 > r_2$  such that

$$\max \left\{ \frac{h_1}{h_1'} e \|i_{q_1, r_1}\|_{HS}, \frac{h_2}{h_2'} e \|i_{q_2, r_2}\|_{HS} \right\} < 1,$$

there exists a positive constant  $C' = C'(r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2)$  such that

$$\|H_\lambda^\alpha \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1', h_2')} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)},$$

$$\|H_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^\alpha \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1', h_2')} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right) C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)},$$

$$\|M^\alpha \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1', h_2')} \leq C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)},$$

and

$$\|M^{g, \alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h_1', h_2')} \leq 2C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)}.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $e^{-t\lambda} Q_t^\alpha \psi(a, b)$  is bounded by an integrable function and  $Q_t^\alpha$  is a continuous linear operator. Then, using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda^\alpha \psi(a, b) &= \sum_{l, k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, e^{-t(l^\alpha + k^\alpha)} \psi_{l, k} \rangle dt \\ &= \sum_{l, k=0}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle \int_0^\infty e^{-t(\lambda + l^\alpha + k^\alpha)} dt \\ &= \sum_{l, k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda + l^\alpha + k^\alpha} \langle a^{\otimes l} \otimes b^{\otimes k}, \psi_{l, k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one may write

$$H_\lambda^\alpha \psi \sim \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda + l^\alpha + k^\alpha} \psi_{l, k} \right\}.$$

1 As above, we have

$$2 \quad H_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} \psi \sim \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + l\alpha} \psi_{l,k} \right\},$$

3 and

$$4 \quad H_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha} \psi \sim \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + k\alpha} \psi_{l,k} \right\}.$$

5 Which gives

$$6 \quad H_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{g,\alpha} \psi \sim \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + l\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + k\alpha} \right) \psi_{l,k} \right\}.$$

7 For  $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$ , we deduce that

$$8 \quad |H_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \psi(a, b)| \leq \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda + l\alpha + k\alpha} |a|_{-r_1}^l |b|_{-r_2}^k |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}$$

$$9 \quad \leq \sum_{l,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} |a|_{-r_1}^l |b|_{-r_2}^k |\psi_{l,k}|_{r_1, r_2}.$$

10 Therefore, one can show that, there exists a positive constant  $C' = C'(r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2)$  such that

$$11 \quad \|H_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h'_1, h'_2)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)}.$$

12 Similarly, we have

$$13 \quad \|H_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h'_1, h'_2)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)}$$

14 and

$$15 \quad \|H_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h'_1, h'_2)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)}.$$

16 Hence, we obtain

$$17 \quad \|H_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{g,\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h'_1, h'_2)}$$

$$18 \quad \leq \|H_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h'_1, h'_2)} + \|H_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha} \psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (r_1, r_2), (h'_1, h'_2)}$$

$$19 \quad = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right) C' \|\psi\|_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), (q_1, q_2), (h_1, h_2)}.$$

20 By a similar calculus, we complete the proof. □

21 **Definition 4.** For  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ , we define the quantum fractional  $\lambda$ -potential, the generalized quantum fractional  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ -potential on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$  as follows:

$$22 \quad \widetilde{H}_{\lambda}^{\alpha} := \mathcal{K}^{-1} H_{\lambda}^{\alpha} \mathcal{K},$$

$$23 \quad \widetilde{H}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{g,\alpha} := \mathcal{K}^{-1} H_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}^{g,\alpha} \mathcal{K}$$

$$24 \quad = \mathcal{K}^{-1} H_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{K}^{-1} H_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha} \mathcal{K}$$

$$25 \quad = \widetilde{H}_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} + \widetilde{H}_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha}.$$

1 Similarly, we define the generalized quantum fractional normalized potential on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$   
 2 as follows:

3  
 4 
$$\widetilde{M}^\alpha := \mathcal{K}^{-1}M^\alpha \mathcal{K},$$

5  
 6  
 7 
$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}^{s^\alpha} &:= \mathcal{K}^{-1}M^{s,\alpha} \mathcal{K} \\ &= \mathcal{K}^{-1}M^{-,\alpha} \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{K}^{-1}M^{+,\alpha} \mathcal{K} \\ &= \widetilde{M}^{-\alpha} + \widetilde{M}^{+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

8  
 9  
 10  
 11 For  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(\Xi) = \psi \sim (\psi)_{n,m}$ , we consider the following quantum  
 12 fractional Poisson equations  
 13

14  
 15 (5.5) 
$$(\lambda I + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha)S = \Xi,$$

16  
 17  
 18 (5.6) 
$$(\lambda_1 I + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha)S = \Xi,$$

19  
 20  
 21  
 22 (5.7) 
$$(\lambda_2 I + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha)S = \Xi,$$

23  
 24  
 25  
 26 (5.8) 
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^\alpha S = \Xi - \Xi_{0,0},$$

27  
 28  
 29 (5.9) 
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1^\alpha S = \Xi - \Xi_{0,0} - \Xi_0^-,$$

30  
 31 and

32  
 33 (5.10) 
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_2^\alpha S = \Xi - \Xi_{0,0} - \Xi_0^+,$$

34 where  $\Xi_{0,0} = \psi_{0,0}I$ ,  $\Xi_0^- = \mathcal{K}^{-1}(\psi_0^-)$  and  $\Xi_0^+ = \mathcal{K}^{-1}(\psi_0^+)$ .  
 35

36  
 37  
 38 **Theorem 3.** Let  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(\Xi) = \psi \sim (\psi)_{n,m}$ . Then, the operators  
 39  $\widetilde{H}_\lambda^\alpha \Xi$ ,  $\widetilde{H}_{\lambda_1}^- \Xi$  and  $\widetilde{H}_{\lambda_2}^+ \Xi$  are solutions of the quantum fractional Poisson equations (5.5), (5.6) and  
 40 (5.7), respectively. Moreover,  $\widetilde{M}^\alpha \Xi$ ,  $\widetilde{M}^{-\alpha} \Xi$  and  $\widetilde{M}^{+\alpha} \Xi$  are solutions of the quantum fractional Poisson  
 41 equations (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10), respectively.  
 42

1 *Proof.* For  $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}_{\theta_1}^*(E'_1), \mathcal{F}_{\theta_2}(E'_2))$  such that  $\mathcal{K}(\Xi) = \psi \sim (\psi)_{n,m}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \quad \mathcal{N}^\alpha H_\lambda^\alpha \psi(a, b) &= \mathcal{N}^\alpha \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} Q_t^\alpha \psi(a, b) dt \right) \\
 3 &= \mathcal{N}^\alpha \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, e^{-t(i^\alpha + j^\alpha)} \psi_{i,j} \rangle dt \right) \\
 4 &= \mathcal{N}^\alpha \left( \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda + i^\alpha + j^\alpha} \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, \psi_{i,j} \rangle \right) \\
 5 &= \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty \frac{i^\alpha + j^\alpha}{\lambda + i^\alpha + j^\alpha} \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, \psi_{i,j} \rangle \\
 6 &= \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + i^\alpha + j^\alpha} \right) \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, \psi_{i,j} \rangle \\
 7 &= \psi(a, b) - \lambda H_\lambda^\alpha \psi(a, b).
 \end{aligned}$$

8 Applying the topological isomorphism  $\mathcal{K}$ , we prove that  $\widetilde{H}_\lambda^\alpha$  is a solution of equation (5.5). Similarly,  
9 we obtain

$$10 \quad \mathcal{N}^\alpha H_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} \psi(a, b) = \psi(a, b) - \lambda_1 H_{\lambda_1}^{-,\alpha} \psi(a, b),$$

11 and

$$12 \quad \mathcal{N}^\alpha H_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha} \psi(a, b) = \psi(a, b) - \lambda_2 H_{\lambda_2}^{+,\alpha} \psi(a, b).$$

13 On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 14 \quad \mathcal{N}^\alpha M^\alpha \psi(a, b) &= \mathcal{N}^\alpha \int_0^\infty Q_t^\alpha (\psi - \psi_{0,0})(a, b) dt \\
 15 &= \mathcal{N}^\alpha \int_0^\infty \sum_{i,j=0, (i,j) \neq (0,0)}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, e^{-t(i^\alpha + j^\alpha)} \psi_{i,j} \rangle dt \\
 16 &= \mathcal{N}^\alpha \sum_{i,j=0, (i,j) \neq (0,0)}^\infty \frac{1}{i^\alpha + j^\alpha} \langle x^{\otimes i} \otimes y^{\otimes j}, e^{-t(i^\alpha + j^\alpha)} \psi_{i,j} \rangle \\
 17 &= \sum_{i,j=0, (i,j) \neq (0,0)}^\infty \langle a^{\otimes i} \otimes b^{\otimes j}, e^{-t(i^\alpha + j^\alpha)} \psi_{i,j} \rangle \\
 18 &= \psi(a, b) - \psi_{0,0}(a, b).
 \end{aligned}$$

19 Thus, by the topological isomorphism  $\mathcal{K}$ , we deduce that  $\widetilde{M}^\alpha \Xi$  is solution of (5.8). Similarly, we get  
20 the desired statement for  $\widetilde{M}^{-\alpha} \Xi$  and  $\widetilde{M}^{+\alpha} \Xi$ .  $\square$

## 21 References

- 22 [1] A. V. Balakrishnan, *An operational calculus for infinitesimal generators of semi-groups*, Transactions of the American  
23 Mathematical Society. 91, 330-353 (1959).  
24 [2] A. V. Balakrishnan, *Fractional powers of closed operators and the semi-groups generated by them*, Pacific Journal of  
25 Mathematics. 10, 419-437 (1960).

- 1 [3] A. Barhoumi, H. Ouerdiane and H. Rguigui, *QWN-Euler Operator And Associated Cauchy problem*, Infinite Dimensional  
2 Analysis Quantum Probability and Related Topics, Vol. **15**, No.1, 1250004 (2012) (20 pages).
- 3 [4] A. Barhoumi, H. Ouerdiane and H. Rguigui, *Stochastic heat equation on algebra of generalized functions*, *Infin. Dimens.*  
4 *Anal. Quantum. Probab. Relat. Top.* **15**, 1250026 (2012) [18 pages].
- 5 [5] A. Ben Makhlouf, O. Naifar, M. A. Hammami and B. W. Wu, *FTS and FTB of Conformable Fractional Order Linear*  
6 *Systems*, Mathematical Problems in Engineering. (2018).
- 7 [6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Smoothing properties of transition semigroups in Hilbert spaces*, Stochastics and Stochastics  
8 Reports. Vol. 35, No. 2, 63-77 (1991).
- 9 [7] J. L. Doob, *Asymptotic property of Markoff transition probability*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society,  
10 Vol. 63, No. 3, 393-421 (1948).
- 11 [8] A. Ettaieb, H. Ouerdiane and H. Rguigui, *Powers of quantum white noise derivatives*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis  
12 Quantum Probability and Related Topics, Vol. 17, No.3, 1450018 (2014).
- 13 [9] A. Ettaieb, N. T. Khalifa, H. Ouerdiane and H. Rguigui, *Higher powers of analytical operators and associated \*-Lie*  
14 *algebras*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics, Vol. 19, No.2 (2016).
- 15 [10] R. Gannoun, R. Hachaichi, H. Ouerdiane and A. Rezgui, *Un théorème de dualité entre espace de fonctions holomorphes*  
16 *à croissance exponentielle*, Journal of Functional Analysis. Vol. 171, No. 1, 1-14 (2002).
- 17 [11] I. M. Gel'fand and N. Y. Vilenkin. *Generalized functions*. Academic press New York. Vol. 4, (1964).
- 18 [12] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals*, Mathematische Zeitschrift. 27, 565-606  
19 (1928).
- 20 [13] U. C. Ji, N. Obata and H. Ouerdiane, *Analytic characterization of generalized Fock space operator as two-variables*  
21 *entire function with growth condition*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics. Vol. 5, No.  
22 3, 395-407 (2002).
- 23 [14] A. Jmal, A. Ben Makhlouf, A. M. Nagy and O. Naifar, *Finite-Time Stability for Caputo-Katugampola Fractional-Order*  
24 *Time-Delayed Neural Networks*, Neural Processing Letters. 50(1), 607-621 (2019).
- 25 [15] T. Kato, *Fractional powers of dissipative operators*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan. 13, 246-274 (1961).
- 26 [16] T. Kato, *Note on fractional powers of linear operators*, Proceedings of the Japan Academy. 36, 94-96 (1960).
- 27 [17] M. A. Krasnoselskii and P. E. Sobolevskii, *Fractional powers of operators acting in Banach spaces*, Doklady Akademii  
28 Nauk. 129, 499-502 (1959).
- 29 [18] H.-H. Kuo, *White Noise distribution theory*, CRC Pres (1996).
- 30 [19] E. R. Love and L. C. Young, *On fractional integration by parts*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. 44,  
31 1-35 (1938).
- 32 [20] B. Maslowski, *Strong Feller property for semilinear stochastic evolution equations*, Stochastic Systems and Optimaliza-  
33 tion. Vol. 136, 210-225 (1988).
- 34 [21] B. Maslowski, *Uniqueness and stability of invariant measures for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces*,  
35 Stochastics Reports. Vol. 28, No. 2, 85-114 (1989).
- 36 [22] O. Naifar, A. Jmal, A. M. Nagy and A. Ben Makhlouf, *Improved quasiuniform stability for fractional order neural nets*  
37 *with mixed delay*, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, (2020).
- 38 [23] O. Naifar, G. Rebiai, A. Ben Makhlouf, M. A. Hammami and A. Guezane-Lakoud, *Stability analysis of conformable*  
39 *fractional-order nonlinear systems depending on a parameter*, Journal of Applied Analysis. 26(2), 287-296 (2020).
- 40 [24] N. Obata, *White noise calculus and Fock space*, Lecture notes in Mathematics 1577, Springer-Verlag (1994).
- 41 [25] N. Obata, *Quantum white noise calculus based on nuclear algebras of entire function*, Trends in Infinite Dimensional  
42 Analysis and Quantum Probability. No. 1278, 130-157 (Kyoto 2001).
- [26] M. A. Piech, *Parabolic equations associated with the number operator*, Transactions of the American Mathematical  
Societ. Vol. 194, 213-222 (1974).
- [27] H. Rguigui, *Fractional number operator and associated fractional diffusion equations*, Mathematical Physics, Analysis  
and Geometry. Vol. 21, 1-17 (2018).
- [28] H. Rguigui, *Quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups*, Quantum Studies: Mathematics and Foundations. Vol. 2,  
159-175 (2015).



- 1 [29] H. Rguigui, *Quantum  $\lambda$ -potentials associated to quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups*, Chaos, Solitons and  
2 Fractals. Vol. 73, 80-89 (2015).  
3 [30] J. Watanabe, *On some properties of fractional powers of linear operators*, Proceedings of the Japan Academy. 37,  
4 273-275 (1961).  
5 [31] K. Yosida, *Fractional powers of infinitesimal generators and the analyticity of the semi-groups generated by them*,  
6 Proceedings of the Japan Academy. 36, 86-89 (1960).

7 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF TUNIS UNIVERSITY OF TUNIS EL-MANAR, 1060  
8 TUNIS, TUNISIA.  
9 *Email address:* ettaieb97134@gmail.com

10 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX, UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, ROAD OF SOUKRA, BP  
11 1171 3000 SFAX, TUNISIA.  
12 *Email address:* missaoui7sonia@gmail.com

13 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AL-QUNFUDHAH UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, UMM AL-QURA UNIVERSITY, KSA.  
14 HIGH SCHOOL OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY OF HAMMAM SOUSSE, UNIVERSITY OF SOUSSE, RUE LAMINE  
15 ABASSI, 4011 HAMMAM SOUSSE, TUNISIA.  
16 *Email address:* hmrguigui@uqu.edu.sa  
17 *Email address:* hafedh.rguigui@yahoo.fr

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42