

1 **RECIPROCITY LAWS FOR DEDEKIND COTANGENT SUMS**

2 ABDELMEJID BAYAD* AND ABDELAZIZ RAOUJ

ABSTRACT. Let a_0, \dots, a_d be pairwise coprime positive integers, m_0, \dots, m_d nonnegative integers, and z_0, \dots, z_d complex numbers. We study expressions of the form

$$\frac{1}{a_0^{m_0+1}} \sum_k \prod_{j=1}^d \cot^{(m_j)} \pi \left(a_j \frac{k+z_0}{a_0} - z_j \right),$$

the summation is taken over all $k \pmod{a_0}$ for which the summand is well defined. These sums generalize and unify various arithmetic sums introduced and studied by Dedekind, Apostol, Carlitz, Zagier, Berndt, Meyer, Sczech, Dieter and Beck. Special cases of these sums appear in various areas such analytic and algebraic number theory, topology, algebraic and combinatorial geometry, and algorithmic complexity. In this paper, without any additional assumption on the parameters a_0, \dots, a_d and z_0, \dots, z_d , we present a simple proof for the reciprocity formula for these generalized Dedekind cotangents sums. We recover the previous known results and improve them. As applications, we give explicit formulae for sums of secant and cosecant values in terms of Apostol-Bernoulli numbers.

3 **1. Introduction and preliminaries**

Let d be a positive integer ≥ 2 , a_0, \dots, a_d be positive integers, m_0, \dots, m_d be non-negative integers and z_0, \dots, z_d complex numbers. We set

$$\vec{A}_i = (a_i; a_0, \dots, \widehat{a}_i, \dots, a_d), \vec{M}_i = (m_i; m_0, \dots, \widehat{m}_i, \dots, m_d), \vec{Z}_i = (z_i; z_0, \dots, \widehat{z}_i, \dots, z_d)$$

4 where the notation \widehat{x}_i means that the term x_i is omitted. Let $\cot^{(m)}$ denote, as usual, the m^{th} -derivative of
5 the cotangent function. For $i = 0, \dots, d$, we consider generalized multiple Dedekind sums defined by

$$(1) \quad C_d(\vec{A}_i, \vec{M}_i, \vec{Z}_i) := \frac{1}{a_i^{m_i+1}} \sum_k^{*i} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^d \cot^{(m_j)} \pi \left(a_j \frac{k+z_i}{a_i} - z_j \right)$$

where the summation \sum_k^{*i} is over all $k \pmod{a_i}$ for which $\cot^{(m_j)} \pi \left(a_j \frac{k+z_i}{a_i} - z_j \right)$ is defined.

Throughout this paper, we take

$$x_i = \Re e(z_i) \in [0, 1[(i = 0, \dots, d)$$

6 without loss of generality in the definition (1) because the function $x \mapsto \cot^{(m_j)}(\pi x)$ is 1-periodic.
7 The Dedekind sums and its generalizations have intrigued mathematicians from various areas such as
8 analytic [1, 23, 25] and algebraic [31, 38] number theory, topology [27, 28, 32, 41], algebraic [17, 34, 40]
9 and combinatorial geometry [11, 24, 33], and algorithmic complexity [29]. The Dedekind cotangent sums
10 include as special cases various generalized Dedekind sums introduced by Rademacher [35], Apostol [2],
11 Carlitz [19], Zagier [41], Berndt [13], Meyer, Sczech [32], and Dieter [26]. The most fundamental and
12 important theorems for any of the generalized Dedekind sums are the reciprocity laws: an appropriate
13 sum of generalized Dedekind sums (usually permuting the arguments in a cyclic fashion) gives a simple

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 11F20, 11L03, 11B68.

*Corresponding Author. abdelmejid.bayad@univ-evry.fr.

14 rational expression.

15

16 In [41], Zagier studies the following Dedekind sums

$$d(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) = (-1)^{d/2} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \prod_{j=1}^d \cot \pi \left(a_j \frac{k}{a_0} \right).$$

17 With the the notation introduced in (1), these sums can be written in the form

$$d(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) = (-1)^{d/2} a_0 C_d(\vec{A}_0, \vec{M}_0, \vec{Z}_0), \text{ with } \vec{M}_0 = \vec{Z}_0 = \vec{0}.$$

18 **Theorem 1** (Zagier [41]). *Let d be an even integer, a_0, \dots, a_d be pairwise coprime positive integers. Then*
 19 *we have*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^d C_d(\vec{A}_i, \vec{0}, \vec{0}) = (-1)^{d/2} \left(1 - \frac{\ell_d(a_0, \dots, a_d)}{a_0 \dots a_d} \right)$$

20 where $\ell_d(a_0, \dots, a_d)$ is the polynomial defined as the coefficient of t^d in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{j=0}^d \frac{a_j t}{\tanh(a_j t)} = \prod_{j=0}^d \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} a_j^2 t^2 - \frac{1}{45} a_j^4 t^4 + \dots \right).$$

21 **Remark.** We quote from Zagier [41, §3, p.158] that

$$\ell_d(a_0, \dots, a_d) = L_k(p_1, \dots, p_k),$$

22 where $k = d/2$ and p_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) is the i -th elementary symmetric polynomial in a_0^2, \dots, a_d^2 , and L_k is
 23 the k -th Hirzebruch L -polynomial. The first few polynomials L_k are

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= 1, \quad L_1(p_1) = p_1/3, \quad L_2(p_1, p_2) = (-p_1^2 + 7p_2)/45, \\ L_3(p_1, p_2, p_3) &= (2p_1^3 - 13p_1p_2 + 62p_3)/945. \end{aligned}$$

24 Take $d = 2$, we obtain the classical Dedekind sums :

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} C_2(\vec{A}_0, \vec{0}, \vec{0}) &= \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi k a_1}{a_0} \right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi k a_2}{a_0} \right) \\ &=: 4\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, a_2) \end{aligned}$$

25 where $\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, a_2)$ is the classical Dedekind-Rademacher sum [8, 9, 10, 13, 26]. This sum was introduced
 26 by Rademacher as a generalization of the well- known Dedekind sums :

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1) = \mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, 1) = \frac{1}{4} C_2(\vec{A}_0, \vec{0}, \vec{0}).$$

27 The first results about reciprocity law for the original Dedekind sums are :

28 **Theorem 2** (Dedekind [23]). *If $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ are relatively prime then*

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1) + \mathfrak{s}(a_1; a_0) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{a_0}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_0 a_1} + \frac{a_1}{a_0} \right).$$

29 **Theorem 3** (Rademacher [35]). *If $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise coprime then*

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, a_2) + \mathfrak{s}(a_1; a_2, a_0) + \mathfrak{s}(a_2; a_0, a_1) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{a_0} + \frac{a_2 a_0}{a_1} + \frac{a_0 a_1}{a_2} \right).$$

30 In a previous work, we have studied this reciprocity law in a general context. Let us recall the definition
 31 of the k -th Bernoulli numbers B_k given by

$$(5) \quad \frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{B_k}{k!} z^k.$$

Theorem 4 (Bayad-Raouj [9]). *Let d be a positive integer, a_0, \dots, a_d be pairwise coprime positive integers and m_0, \dots, m_d be non-negative integers. Assume that the integer*

$$M = d + m_0 + \dots + m_d \text{ is even.}$$

32 Then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^{m_i} m_i! \sum_{\substack{\ell_0, \dots, \ell_d \geq 0 \\ \ell_0 + \dots + \ell_d = m_i}} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^d \frac{a_j^{\ell_j}}{\ell_j!} \right) C_d(\vec{A}_i, \vec{M}_i + \vec{L}_i, \vec{0}) = \begin{cases} R + (-1)^{d/2} & \text{if } m_0 = \dots = m_d = 0, \\ R & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

33 with $\vec{L}_i = (\mathbf{0}; l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_i}, \dots, l_d)$,

$$(6) \quad R = - \frac{(-1)^{M/2} 2^M}{\prod_{i=0}^d a_i^{m_i+1}} \sum_{\substack{j_0, \dots, j_d \geq 0 \\ j_0 + \dots + j_d = M/2}} \prod_{i=0}^d a_i^{2j_i} A_{i,j_i}$$

34 and

$$(7) \quad A_{i,j_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i - 1 - m_i)!(2j_i)} & \text{if } j_i \text{ is an integer } \geq (m_i + 1)/2, \\ (-1)^{m_i} m_i! & \text{if } j_i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

35 **Remark.** According to the proof of the above theorem in [9], the condition on the parity of M is not
 36 necessary. In fact, one can take $R = 0$ when M is odd.

37 On the other hand, when $(z_0, \dots, z_d) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, the multiple generalized Dedekind sums (1), is
 38 studied independently by Dieter ($d = 2, m_0 = m_1 = m_2 = 0$) and Beck (for arbitrary d, m_0, \dots, m_d). We
 39 review Dieter's and Beck's results. Let

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } c_2(z) = \begin{cases} -\cot'(\pi z) & \text{if } z \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

40 **Theorem 5** (Dieter [26]). *Let $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ be pairwise relatively prime, and define A, B, C by*

$$Aa_1a_2 + Ba_2a_0 + Ca_0a_1 = 1.$$

41 Let z_0, z_1, z_2 be real numbers with $0 \leq z_0, z_1, z_2 < 1$ and set

$$x' = a_2z_1 - a_1z_2, \quad y' = a_0z_2 - a_2z_0, \quad z' = a_1z_0 - a_0z_1.$$

42 Then

$$C_2(\vec{A}_0, \vec{0}, \vec{Z}_0) + C_2(\vec{A}_1, \vec{0}, \vec{Z}_1) + C_2(\vec{A}_2, \vec{0}, \vec{Z}_2) = -1 + R_0 + R_1 + R_2$$

43 where

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \frac{a_0}{a_1 a_2} \delta(x') c_2 (Ba_0 y' - (Ca_0 + Aa_2) z'), \\ R_1 &= \frac{a_1}{a_0 a_2} \delta(y') c_2 (Ca_1 z' - (Aa_1 + Ba_0) x'), \\ R_2 &= \frac{a_2}{a_0 a_1} \delta(z') c_2 (Aa_2 x' - (Ba_2 + Ca_1) y'). \end{aligned}$$

44 **Theorem 6** (Beck [10]). Let $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_0, \dots, m_d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $z_0, \dots, z_d \in \mathbb{C}$. Under the assumption that
45 for all distinct $i, j \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ and all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(8) \quad \frac{m + z_i}{a_i} - \frac{n + z_j}{a_j} \notin \mathbb{Z},$$

46 we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^d (-1)^{m_n} m_n! \sum_{\substack{l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_n}, \dots, l_d \geq 0 \\ l_0 + \dots + \widehat{l_n} + \dots + l_d = m_n}} \frac{a_0^{l_0} \dots \widehat{a_n^{l_n}} \dots a_d^{l_d}}{l_0! \dots \widehat{l_n!} \dots l_d!} C_d(\vec{A}_i, \vec{M}_i + \vec{L}_i, \vec{Z}_i) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d/2} & \text{if all } m_k = 0 \text{ and } d \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

47 with $\vec{L}_i = (\mathbf{0}; l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_i}, \dots, l_d)$.

48 In this paper, we establish a generalization of Dieter's result (Theorem 5) and Beck's result (Theorem
49 6) by removing the condition (8). New illustrations to secant and cosecant sums will be also given.

50 2. Statement of main results

51 We first recall the definitions of Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and numbers, and then we introduce
52 new invariants necessary to state our main results.

53 **2.1. Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and numbers.** For this subsection we refer to [3], [7, Section 4].
54 Let λ be complex number. The n^{th} Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials are defined by the generating function :
55

$$(9) \quad \frac{t e^{xt}}{\lambda e^t - 1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t + \log \lambda| < 2\pi).$$

The number $B_n(0; \lambda)$ is called the n^{th} Apostol-Bernoulli number. When $\lambda = 1$, $B_n(0; 1) = B_n$ is the n^{th}
Bernoulli number. For $\lambda \neq 1$, we have $B_0(0; \lambda) = 0, B_1(0; \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda - 1}$, and for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\lambda B_n(0; \lambda) = n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j j! S(n-1, j) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - 1} \right)^{j+1}$$

56 where $S(n, j)$ are the Stirling numbers of second kind. In the sequel we use the following numbers

$$(10) \quad \bar{B}_n(0; \lambda) = \begin{cases} B_n(0; \lambda) & \text{if } n \neq 1, \\ B_1(0; \lambda) + 1/2 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

57 **2.2. Invariants** : $\Delta_{i,j}, \Gamma_{i,j,l}, \mathcal{P}$. Let a_0, \dots, a_d be pairwise coprime positive integers. Let us set

$$(11) \quad \Delta_{ij} := a_i z_j - a_j z_i \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d),$$

and for any non-empty set $I \subset \{0, \dots, d\}$ with $|I| \geq 2$ we denote

$$\Delta_I := (\Delta_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$$

58 which, by definition, is antisymmetric matrix.

59 For distinct indices i, j and ℓ , let A_i, A_j and A_ℓ be integers such that

$$(12) \quad A_\ell a_i a_j + A_i a_j a_\ell + A_j a_\ell a_i = 1.$$

60 We introduce

$$(13) \quad \Gamma_{i,j,\ell} := - \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_j & a_\ell \\ A_i & A_j & A_\ell \\ \Delta_{li} & \Delta_{j\ell} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -A_i a_\ell \Delta_{j\ell} + (A_j a_\ell + A_\ell a_j) \Delta_{li},$$

and for $\bar{I} := \{0, \dots, d\} \setminus I \neq \emptyset$,

$$\Gamma_{\bar{I}} := (\Gamma_{s_I, s'_I, \ell})_{\ell \in \bar{I}} \text{ where } s_I := \min(I), s'_I := \min(I \setminus \{s_I\}).$$

61 The following set

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{P} := \{I \subset \{0, \dots, d\} : |I| \geq 2, \Delta_I \in \mathcal{M}_{|I|}(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } \Gamma_{\bar{I}} \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^{|\bar{I}|} \text{ if } \bar{I} \neq \emptyset\}$$

62 will be considered.

63 By Lemma 5 below we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{I \subset \{0, \dots, d\} : |I| \geq 2, \forall i \neq j \in I, \Delta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and if } \bar{I} \neq \emptyset, (\Delta_{i,\ell}, \Delta_{j,\ell}) \notin \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \forall \ell \in \bar{I}\} \\ &= \{I \subset \{0, \dots, d\} : |I| \geq 2, \Delta_I \in \mathcal{M}_{|I|}(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and if } \bar{I} \neq \emptyset, (\Delta_{s_I, \ell}, \Delta_{s'_I, \ell}) \notin \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \forall \ell \in \bar{I}\}. \end{aligned}$$

64 The properties of the invariants $\Delta_{i,j}, \Gamma_{i,j,l}, \mathcal{P}$ will be studied in section 3.

65 **2.3. Main result.** We set

$$(15) \quad C_{j_k}(m_k) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{m_k} m_k! & \text{if } j_k = 0, \\ \frac{(-1)^{j_k} 2^{2j_k-1} B_{2j_k}}{(2j_k-1-m_k)! j} & \text{if } j_k \text{ integer } \geq (m_k+1)/2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

66 and we denote

$$(16) \quad \tilde{C}_{m_k+j_k+1}(m_k; \theta) = \frac{(2i)^{m_k+j_k+1}}{j!(m+j+1)} \bar{B}_{m_k+j_k+1}(0; e^{2\pi i \theta}), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

67 For $I \in \mathcal{P}$, let

$$(17) \quad R_I := - \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_d}^{(1)} \prod_{i \in I} C_{j_i}(m_i) a_i^{2j_i - m_i - 1} \prod_{k \notin I} a_k^{j_k} \tilde{C}_{m_k+j_k+1}(m_k; \Gamma_{s_I, s'_I, k})$$

where the sum $\sum_{j_0, \dots, j_d}^{(1)}$ is over all integers $j_0 \geq 0, \dots, j_d \geq 0$ for which

$$2 \sum_{i \in I} j_i + \sum_{i \notin I} j_i = \sum_{i \in I} (m_i + 1) - 1.$$

68 The main result of this paper is the following reciprocity theorem for the generalized Dedekind
69 cotangents sums (1).

Theorem 7 (Main result). *Let d be a positive integer ≥ 2 , a_0, \dots, a_d pairwise coprime positive integers, m_0, \dots, m_d non-negative integers and z_0, \dots, z_d complex numbers. We have the reciprocity law*

$$\sum_{n=0}^d (-1)^{m_n} m_n! \sum_{l_0 + \dots + \widehat{l_n} + \dots + l_d = m_n} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq n}}^d \frac{a_j^{l_j}}{l_j!} \right) C_d(\vec{A}_n, \vec{M}_n + \vec{L}_n, \vec{Z}_n) = \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) + \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} R_I,$$

where $\vec{L}_n = (\mathbf{0}; l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_n}, \dots, l_d)$ and

$$\varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d/2} & \text{if } m_0 = \dots = m_d = 0 \text{ and } d \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

70 In sections 4 and 6 we give several applications of our main result. Its proof is given in section 5.

71 3. Algebraic properties of the invariants $\Delta_{i,j}, \Gamma_{i,j,\ell}$ and \mathcal{P}

72 Let d be a positive integer ≥ 2 , a_0, \dots, a_d pairwise coprime positive integers, and z_0, \dots, z_d complex
73 numbers.

Lemma 1. *Let i, j be two different positive integers in $\{0, \dots, d\}$. We assume that there exist $(k_i, k_j) \in [0, a_i[\times [0, a_j[$ such that $\frac{k_i + z_i}{a_i} = \frac{k_j + z_j}{a_j}$. Then, for all $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$, we have*

$$a_\ell \frac{k_i + z_i}{a_i} - z_\ell \equiv \Gamma_{i,j,\ell} \pmod{1}.$$

74 *Proof.* We see that $\Delta_{j\ell}, \Delta_{\ell i}$ and Δ_{ij} satisfy the following dependency relation :

$$(18) \quad a_i \Delta_{j\ell} + a_j \Delta_{\ell i} + a_\ell \Delta_{ij} = 0.$$

Therefore, the relation $\frac{k_i + z_i}{a_i} = \frac{k_j + z_j}{a_j}$ implies that

$$k_i a_j \equiv \Delta_{ij} \pmod{a_i}.$$

Since a_0, a_1 and a_2 are pairwise coprime, we have the equality (12). Hence

$$k_i \equiv A_i a_\ell \Delta_{ij} \pmod{a_i}$$

75 and

$$\begin{aligned} a_\ell \frac{(k_i + z_i)}{a_i} - z_\ell &= \frac{1}{a_i} (a_\ell k_i + a_\ell z_i - a_i z_\ell) \\ &= \frac{1}{a_i} (a_\ell^2 A_i \Delta_{ij} + \Delta_{\ell i}) \pmod{1} \\ &= \frac{1}{a_i} (-a_\ell A_i a_i \Delta_{j\ell} - a_\ell A_i a_j \Delta_{\ell i} + \Delta_{\ell i}) \pmod{1} \\ &= a_\ell A_i \Delta_{j\ell} + (A_j a_\ell + A_\ell a_j) \Delta_{\ell i} \pmod{1}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Consider the function

$$f(z) = \prod_{i=0}^d f_i(z) \text{ where } f_i(z) = \cot^{(m_i)} \pi(a_i z - z_i).$$

76 **Lemma 2.** *Let $I \subset \{0, \dots, d\}$ with $|I| \geq 2$. Then*

77 (i) *The matrix $\Delta_I \in \mathcal{M}_{|I|}(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if there exist a unique w_I common pole of all $f_i, (i \in I)$ with*
78 $\Re e(w_I) \in [0, 1[;$

(ii) if $I \not\subseteq \{0, \dots, d\}$ and $\Delta_I \in \mathcal{M}_I(\mathbb{Z})$, we have

$$\Gamma_{\bar{I}} \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^{\bar{I}} \iff \{k = 0, \dots, d : w_I \text{ pole of } f_k\} = I.$$

Proof. We prove (i). It's clear that if the functions $f_i (i \in I)$ have the common pole $w = w_I$, then for all pair (i, j) of distinct integers of I , there exist $(k_i, k_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$w = \frac{k_i + z_i}{a_i} = \frac{k_j + z_j}{a_j}.$$

Therefore $\Delta_{ij} = a_i z_j - a_j z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Conversely, we assume that for all pair (i, j) of distinct integers of I , $\Delta_{ij} = a_i z_j - a_j z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We shall prove that all $f_i (i \in I)$ have a common pole. By Bezout's identity, there exist $(k_i, k_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that

$$k_i a_j - k_j a_i = a_i z_j - a_j z_i.$$

79 Therefore $w = \frac{k_i + z_i}{a_i} = \frac{k_j + z_j}{a_j}$ is a common pole to f_i and f_j . From Lemma 1, we have $a_\ell w - z_\ell$ is an integer
80 for all $\ell \in I \setminus \{i, j\}$. This implies that w is a common pole of f_ℓ for all $\ell \in I$.

81 Let us now prove the uniqueness of the pole w . In fact, we check that if (i, j) is a 2-uplet of distinct
82 integers in $\{0, \dots, d\}$, then f_i and f_j have at most one common pole. If we take w, w' two common poles
83 of f_i and f_j then

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \frac{k_i + z_i}{a_i} = \frac{k_j + z_j}{a_j}, \\ w' &= \frac{k'_i + z_i}{a_i} = \frac{k'_j + z_j}{a_j} \end{aligned}$$

with k_i and k'_i integers in $[0, a_i[$, k_j and k'_j integers in $[0, a_j[$. Thus

$$\frac{k_i - k'_i}{a_i} = \frac{k_j - k'_j}{a_j}$$

equivalently

$$a_i(k_j - k'_j) = a_j(k_i - k'_i).$$

84 Therefore $k_i = k'_i$ and $k_j = k'_j$ since a_i and a_j are coprime.

85 This prove the property (i). The part (ii) is an immediate consequence of (i) and Lemma 1. \square

86

Remark. By use of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\Gamma_{s_I, s'_I, \ell} \equiv \Gamma_{i, j, \ell} \pmod{1}$$

87 for all $I \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\{0, \dots, d\}\}$ and for all $(i, j, \ell) \in I \times I \times \bar{I}$, with $i \neq j$. Therefore in the formula (17) and
88 the equation (14), we can use any two different $i \neq j$ in I , instead of s_I and s'_I .

Lemma 3. Let I_1 and I_2 are two elements of \mathcal{P} . Then

$$|I_1 \cap I_2| \geq 2 \iff I_1 = I_2.$$

89 In other words, for any distinct elements I_1 and I_2 of \mathcal{P} , we have $|I_1 \cap I_2| \leq 1$.

90 *Proof.* We put $I = I_1 \cap I_2$. By the Lemma 2, there exist a common pole w_I for $f_i, i \in I$. By the uniqueness
91 of w_I , we obtain $w_I = w_{I_1}$ and $w_I = w_{I_2}$. Thus, by ii) of Lemma 1, the set $\{k = 0, \dots, d : w_I \text{ pole of } f_k\}$ is
92 equal to I_1 and also to I_2 . \square

93 **Lemma 4.** Let $d \geq 2$ and i, j, k three distinct integers in $[0, d]$. Assume that $(\Delta_{i, j}, \Gamma_{i, j, k}) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then

- 94 (i) $(\Delta_{i,k}, \Delta_{j,k}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.
 95 (ii) *There exists unique set $I \in \mathcal{P}$ such that : $i, j, k \in I$.*

96 *Proof.* First the uniqueness is obvious by Lemma 2. From definitions (12), (11) and (13) we have

$$(19) \quad A_k a_i a_j + A_i a_j a_k + A_j a_k a_i = 1,$$

$$(20) \quad a_i \Delta_{j,k} + a_j \Delta_{k,i} + a_k \Delta_{i,j} = 0,$$

$$(21) \quad \Gamma_{i,j,k} = -A_i a_k \Delta_{j,k} + (A_j a_k + A_k a_j) \Delta_{k,i} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

97 Multiply by $\Delta_{i,k}$ (resp. $\Delta_{j,k}$) the equation 19, and use the equations (21) and (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{i,k} &= a_i (A_k a_j + A_j a_k) \Delta_{i,k} + A_i a_j a_k \Delta_{i,k}, \\ &\equiv -a_i A_i a_k \Delta_{j,k} + A_i a_j a_k \Delta_{i,k} \pmod{1} \\ &\equiv -A_i a_k (a_i \Delta_{j,k} + a_j \Delta_{k,i}) \pmod{1} \\ &\equiv A_i a_k^2 \Delta_{i,j} \pmod{1} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{1}; \end{aligned}$$

98 and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j,k} &= a_j (A_i a_k \Delta_{j,k}) + a_i (A_j a_k + A_k a_j) \Delta_{j,k}, \\ &\equiv a_j (A_j a_k + A_k a_j) \Delta_{k,i} + a_i (A_j a_k + A_k a_j) \Delta_{j,k} \pmod{1}, \\ &\equiv (A_j a_k + A_k a_j) (a_i \Delta_{j,k} + a_j \Delta_{k,i}) \pmod{1} \\ &\equiv -a_k (A_j a_k + A_k a_j) \Delta_{i,j} \pmod{1} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{1}. \end{aligned}$$

99 Therefore $\Delta_{i,j}, \Delta_{k,i}, \Delta_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies, by the use of Lemma 2, the existence of $I \in \mathcal{P}$ such that
 100 $i, j, k \in I$.

101 An immediate consequence of the preceding lemma is the following result.

102 **Lemma 5.** *Assume that $\mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$ and $I \subset \{0, \dots, d\}$ with $|I| \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$I \in \mathcal{P} \iff \begin{cases} \Delta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall i, j \in I, \\ (\Delta_{i,k}, \Delta_{j,k}) \notin \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \forall i \neq j \in I, k \notin I. \end{cases}$$

103 **Remark.** We note that

- 104 (i) $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset \iff \Delta_{i,j} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, for all distinct $0 \leq i, j \leq d$;
 105 (ii) $\mathcal{P} = \{I\}$, with $2 \leq |I| \leq d+1 \iff \Delta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i, j \in I$, and $\Delta_{i,j} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for any $0 \leq i < j \leq d$
 106 such that $\{i, j\} \not\subset I$;
 107 (iii) in general, we have: $\mathcal{P} = \{I_1, \dots, I_m\}$, with $2 \leq |I_k| \leq d+1$ ($k = 1, \dots, m$) and
 108 $|I_k \cap I_{k'}| \leq 2$ ($1 \leq k < k' \leq m$) $\iff \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\} : \Delta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i, j \in I_k$ and $\Delta_{i,j} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for
 109 any $0 \leq i < j \leq d$ such that $\{i, j\} \not\subset I_k$.

110 4. Further illustrations and examples

111 In this section we apply our study to some few special cases.

4.1. **Case $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$.** In this case, $\Delta_{i,j} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all distinct $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. Then, since the integers a_0, \dots, a_d are pairwise coprime, $\frac{k_i+z_i}{a_i} - \frac{k_j+z_j}{a_j} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k_i, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for all distinct $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. Furthermore, Theorem 7 gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^d (-1)^{m_n} m_n! \sum_{l_0+\dots+\widehat{l_n}+\dots+l_d=m_n} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq n}}^d \frac{a_i^{l_i}}{l_i!} \right) C_d(\vec{A}_n, \vec{M}_n + \vec{L}_n, \vec{Z}_n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d/2} & \text{if } m_0 = \dots = m_d = 0, \text{ and } d \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

112 with $\vec{L}_n = (\mathbf{0}; l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_n}, \dots, l_d)$.

113 This is exactly Beck's result [10](Theorem 6).

114 4.2. **Case $\mathcal{P} = \{I\}$ with $I = \{0, \dots, d\}$.** From Theorem 7 we obtain

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n=0}^d (-1)^{m_n} m_n! \sum_{l_0+\dots+\widehat{l_n}+\dots+l_d=m_n} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq n}}^d \frac{a_i^{l_i}}{l_i!} \right) C_d(\vec{A}_n, \vec{M}_n + \vec{L}_n, \vec{Z}_n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d/2} \left[1 - 2^d \sum_{j_0+\dots+j_d=d/2} \prod_{i=0}^d \frac{B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i)!} a_i^{2j_i-1} \right] & \text{if } m_0 = \dots = m_d = 0 \text{ and } d \text{ even,} \\ R & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

115 where

$$(23) \quad R = \begin{cases} - \sum_{j_0+\dots+j_d=M/2} \prod_{i=0}^d C_{j_i}(m_i) a_i^{2j_i-m_i-1} & \text{if } M := d + \sum_{i=0}^d m_i \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

116 and $\vec{L}_n = (\mathbf{0}; l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_n}, \dots, l_d)$.

117 The formula (22) is a reformulation of the Zagier's result [41, Equation (47), p.158] : the polynomial function $\ell_d(a_0, \dots, a_d)$ is explicitly given in terms of Bernoulli numbers

$$\ell_d(a_0, \dots, a_d) = 2^d \sum_{j_0+\dots+j_d=d/2} \prod_{i=0}^d \frac{B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i)!} a_i^{2j_i}.$$

118 4.3. **Case $d = 2$.** By using Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we describe all possibilities for \mathcal{P} , and give the
119 corresponding reciprocity laws. We have

120 (i) $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ if and only if $\Delta_{i,j} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, for all distinct $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$.

121 We note that for $\mathcal{P} = \emptyset$ the Theorem 7 is completely described in the subsection 4.1 for any $d \geq 2$.

122 (ii) $\mathcal{P} = \{I\}$ with $I = \{0, 1, 2\}$ if and only if $\Delta_{0,1}, \Delta_{0,2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the term R_I in Theorem 7 is given
123 by the formula 17.

124 (iii) $\mathcal{P} = \{I\}$ with $I = \{i, j\}, i \neq j$ if and only if $\Delta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Delta_{i,k} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, for $k \neq i, j$.

125 • In fact, if $|I| = 2$, we have $I = \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}$ or $\{1, 2\}$ and expressions

$$R_{\{0,1\}} = - \sum_{\substack{2(j_0+j_1)+j_2= \\ 1+m_0+m_1}} C_{j_0}(m_0)C_{j_1}(m_1)\tilde{C}_{m_2+j_2+1}(m_2; \Gamma_{0,1,2})a_0^{2j_0-m_0-1}a_1^{2j_1-m_1-1}a_2^{j_2},$$

$$R_{\{0,2\}} = - \sum_{\substack{2(j_0+j_2)+j_1= \\ 1+m_0+m_2}} C_{j_0}(m_0)C_{j_2}(m_2)\tilde{C}_{m_1+j_1+1}(m_1; \Gamma_{0,2,1})a_0^{2j_0-m_0-1}a_2^{2j_2-m_2-1}a_1^{j_1},$$

$$R_{\{1,2\}} = - \sum_{\substack{2(j_1+j_2)+j_0= \\ 1+m_1+m_2}} C_{j_1}(m_1)C_{j_2}(m_2)\tilde{C}_{m_0+j_0+1}(m_0; \Gamma_{1,2,0})a_1^{2j_1-m_1-1}a_2^{2j_2-m_2-1}a_0^{j_0}.$$

126 We obtain for $m_0 = m_1 = m_2 = 0$, by use of Lemma 6 that

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{\{0,1\}} &= -\frac{a_2}{a_0 a_1} \cot'(\pi \Gamma_{0,1,2}), \\ R_{\{0,2\}} &= -\frac{a_1}{a_0 a_2} \cot'(\pi \Gamma_{0,2,1}), \\ R_{\{1,2\}} &= -\frac{a_0}{a_1 a_2} \cot'(\pi \Gamma_{1,2,0}). \end{aligned}$$

127 Note that in the case $d = 2, m_0 = m_1 = m_2 = 0$, we recover Theorem 5 proved in Dieter's paper [26]:

$$C_2(\vec{A}_0, \vec{0}, \vec{Z}_0) + C_2(\vec{A}_1, \vec{0}, \vec{Z}_1) + C_2(\vec{A}_2, \vec{0}, \vec{Z}_2) = -1 + R_0 + R_1 + R_2.$$

128

5. Proof of the main result

129 Let us prove a lemma connecting Apostol-Bernoulli numbers and derivatives of the cotangent.

5.1. **Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and numbers.** The Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and numbers have many interesting combinatorial interpretations. Moreover, they can be written as Fourier series at $x = 0$. In fact, from [7] we have

$$\lambda^x B_n(x; \lambda) = -\frac{n!}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_k^{(*)} \frac{e^{2\pi i k x}}{(k - \frac{\log \lambda}{2\pi i})^n}, \quad (0 \leq x < 1, n \in \mathbb{N})$$

130 where the summation $\sum_k^{(*)}$ is over $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\lambda \neq 1$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ otherwise.

Lemma 6. For any non-negative integer n , we have

$$\cot^{(n)}(\pi z) = \frac{(2i)^{n+1}}{n+1} \bar{B}_{n+1}(0; e^{2\pi i z}) \quad (z \notin \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof.

• **Method 1:** We have the following Taylor expansion

$$\pi z \cot(\pi z + \pi \theta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\cot^{(n)}(\pi \theta)}{n!} (\pi z)^{n+1}.$$

On the other hand

$$\pi z \cot(\pi z + \pi \theta) = \pi i z \frac{e^{2\pi i(z+\theta)} + 1}{e^{2\pi i(z+\theta)} - 1} = \pi i z + \frac{2\pi i z}{e^{2\pi i \theta} e^{2\pi i z} - 1}.$$

Thus

$$\pi z \cot(\pi(z + \theta)) = \pi i z + \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(0; e^{2\pi i \theta}) \frac{(2\pi i z)^n}{n!},$$

or $B_0(0; e^{2\pi i\theta}) = 0$ for all $\theta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, therefore

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\cot^{(n)}(\pi\theta)}{n!} (\pi z)^{n+1} = \pi iz + \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{n+1}(0; e^{2\pi i\theta}) \frac{(2\pi iz)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

131 By comparing the coefficients of z^{n+1} in the both sides of the above equation; we obtain the lemma.

• **Method 2:** Using Fourier expansions :

$$B_n(x; e^{2\pi i\theta}) = \frac{-n!}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{2\pi i k x}}{(k - \theta)^n} \quad (0 \leq x < 1)$$

and

$$(-1)^n \cot^{(n)}(\pi\theta) = \frac{-n!}{\pi^{n+1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}^{(*)} \frac{1}{(k + \theta)^{n+1}}.$$

132 For $x = 0$, we obtain the desired formula. \square

133 **5.2. Proof of Theorem 7.** Let X and Y be positive real numbers. We consider the rectangular path
 134 $\gamma := [A, B, C, D, A]$ where $A = X + Yi$, $B = X - 1 + Yi$. $C = \bar{B}$ and $D = \bar{A}$. We choose X and Y such that
 135 all poles of f are inside γ . By the Cauchy' residue theorem, we get

$$(25) \quad \sum_{w \text{ pole of } f} \text{Res}(f(z), z = w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{\pi} & \text{if all } m_i \text{ are zero and } d \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

(for details, see [9, p.340]). Let w be a pole of f . There is a nonempty set $I = I_w$ of $\{0, \dots, d\}$ such that for all $i \in I$, w is a pole of f_i , and for all $\ell \in \bar{I} = \{0, \dots, d\} \setminus I$, the function f_{ℓ} is analytic at the point w . Let

$$M_I = \sum_{i \in I} (m_i + 1) - 1.$$

It is clear that by definition $\text{Res}(f(z), z = w)$ is the coefficient of $(z - w)^{M_I}$ in the Taylor expansion of

$$\prod_{i \in I} (z - w)^{m_i + 1} \cot^{(m_i)}(\pi a_i(z - w)) \prod_{i \in \bar{I}} \cot^{(m_i)}(\pi a_i(z - w)).$$

Then, by writing

$$\cot^{(m_i)}(\pi a_i(z - w)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j!} (\pi a_i)^j \cot^{(m_i + j)}(\pi(a_i w - z_i)) (z - w)^j \quad (i \notin I),$$

and

$$(z - w)^{m_i + 1} \cot^{(m_i)}(\pi a_i(z - w)) = \sum_{j \geq 2} C_j(m_i) (\pi a_i)^{2j - m_i - 1} (z - w)^{2j} \quad (i \in I)$$

136 where the definition of $C_j(m_i)$ is given by (15), we obtain

$$(26) \quad \text{Res}(f(z), z = w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{2 \sum_{i \in I} j_i + \sum_{i \notin I} j_i = M_I} \left(\prod_{i \in I} C_{j_i}(m_i) a_i^{2j_i - m_i - 1} \right) \left(\prod_{i \notin I} \frac{a_i^{j_i}}{j_i!} \right) \prod_{i \notin I} \cot^{(m_i + j_i)} \pi(a_i w - z_i).$$

137 On one hand, by Lemma 2, for any $I \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists a unique pole $w = z_I$ of f such that $I = I_w$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{w \text{ pole of } f \\ |\mu_w| \geq 2}} \text{Res}(f(z), z = w) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_d}^{(1)} \prod_{i \in I} C_{j_i}(m_i) a_i^{2j_i - m_i - 1} \prod_{i \notin I} \frac{a_i^{j_i}}{j_i!} \prod_{i \notin I} \cot^{(m_i + j_i)} \pi(a_i w_I - z_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{j_0, \dots, j_d}^{(1)} \prod_{i \in I} C_{j_i}(m_i) a_i^{2j_i - m_i - 1} \prod_{i \notin I} \frac{a_i^{j_i}}{j_i!} \prod_{i \notin I} \cot^{(m_i + j_i)} (\pi \Gamma_{s_I, s'_I, i}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 1.

On the other hand, with $\vec{L}_n = (\mathbf{0}; l_0, \dots, \widehat{l_n}, \dots, l_d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^d \sum_{\substack{w \text{ pole of } f \\ I_w = \{n\}}} \text{Res}(f(z), z = w) &= \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^d (-1)^{m_n} m_n! \sum_{l_0 + \dots + \widehat{l_n} + \dots + l_d = m_n} \left(\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq n}}^d \frac{a_i^{l_i}}{l_i!} \right) C_d(\vec{A}_n, \vec{M}_n + \vec{L}_n, \vec{Z}_n). \end{aligned}$$

138 The proof of the theorem is thus completed by using Lemma 6. \square

139

6. New trigonometric identities

6.1. **Notations.** Let d, m_0, \dots, m_d be nonnegative integers,. We denote

$$\varepsilon(d) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{d/2} & \text{if } d \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

140 and

$$(27) \quad A_{i, j_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i - 1 - m_i)!(2j_i)} & \text{if } j_i \text{ is an integer } \geq (m_i + 1)/2, \\ (-1)^{m_i} m_i! & \text{if } j_i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For every real $\alpha > 0$, let J_α be Jordan's totient function defined for all positive integer n by

$$J_\alpha(n) := n^\alpha \sum_{m|n} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^\alpha},$$

where μ is the Mobius function. Since the arithmetical function $J_\alpha(n)/n^\alpha$ is multiplicative, we can write

$$J_\alpha(n) = n^\alpha \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^\alpha} \right), \text{ see [36, p.11,p.219].}$$

141 For $\alpha = 1$, this is, of course, Euler's function φ .

142

143 **6.2. Arithmetic sums.** In this part we fix some parameters. $m_0 = 0, a_1 = \dots = a_d = 1, z_0 = \dots = z_d = 0,$
 144 $M = d + m_0 = \dots + m_d.$ Then $\mathcal{P} = \{I\}$ with $I = \{0, \dots, d\}.$ By Theorem 7 we obtain :

145 **Theorem 8.** For $a_0 \geq 2$ integer, we get

$$(28) \quad \sum_{t=1}^{a_0-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right) = \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) a_0 + S$$

where

$$S = \begin{cases} -(2i)^M \sum_{\substack{j_0, \dots, j_d \geq 0 \\ j_0 + \dots + j_d = M/2}} \left(\prod_{i=0}^d A_{i, j_i} \right) a_0^{2j_0} & \text{if } M \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

146 By the Möbius inversion formula we obtain the following

147 **Corollary 1.** For $a_0 \geq 2$ integer, we get

$$(29) \quad \sum_{(t, a_0)=1} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right) = \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) \varphi(a_0) + W$$

where

$$W = \begin{cases} -(2i)^M \sum_{\substack{j_0, \dots, j_d \geq 0 \\ j_0 + \dots + j_d = M/2}} \left(\prod_{i=0}^d A_{i, j_i} \right) J_{2j_0}(a_0) & \text{if } M \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

148 For $m_0 = \dots = m_d = 0$ we obtain

149 **Corollary 2.** For $a_0 \geq 2, d$ be an even integer, we have

$$(30) \quad \sum_{t=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right)^d = (-1)^{d/2} a_0 - (2i)^d \sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_d = d/2} \left(\prod_{k=0}^d \frac{B_{2j_k}}{(2j_k)!} \right) a_0^{2j_0},$$

150 and

$$(31) \quad \sum_{(t, a_0)=1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right)^d = (-1)^{d/2} \varphi(a_0) - (2i)^d \sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_d = d/2} \left(\prod_{k=0}^d \frac{B_{2j_k}}{(2j_k)!} \right) J_{2j_0}(a_0),$$

151 (By convention, theses sums vanish if d is odd).

152 Different proofs and different formulations of the identity (30) have been given by Berndt in [14,
 153 (2.16),p.364], Chu and Marini [21, p. 137] and D. Cvijović and J. Klinowski [22].

154

155 For $m_0 = 0, m_1 \dots = m_d = 0$ we obtain

Corollary 3.

$$(32) \quad \sum_{t=1}^{a_0-1} \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right)^{2d}} = -2^{2d} \sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_d = d} \left(\prod_{k=0}^d \frac{(2j_k - 1) B_{2j_k}}{(2j_k)!} \right) a_0^{2j_0},$$

156

$$(33) \quad \sum_{(t, a_0)=1} \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right)^{2d}} = -2^{2d} \sum_{j_0 + \dots + j_d = d} \left(\prod_{k=0}^d \frac{(2j_k - 1) B_{2j_k}}{(2j_k)!} \right) J_{2j_0}(a_0).$$

157 The sum (33) can be used to give an elementary new proof for Stark's conjecture on the rational field
158 \mathbb{Q} . See Szezech [39].

159 **6.3. Arithmetic sums with parameter.** We fix $m_0 = 0, a_1 = \dots = a_d = 1, z_1 = \dots = z_d = 0, z_0 \notin \mathbb{Z}$,
160 and $M = d + m_0 = \dots + m_d$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{I\}$ with $I = \{1, \dots, d\}$. We will apply Theorem 7 and Möbius
161 inversion formula to obtain the following identities.

162 **Theorem 9.** For $a_0 \geq 2$ integer and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, m_1, \dots, m_d$ be nonnegative integers, we get

$$(34) \quad \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{t=0}^{a_0-1} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi \frac{z_0 + t}{a_0} \right) = \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) + R(a_0, z_0),$$

163 with

$$(35) \quad R(a_0, z_0) = -(2i)^M \sum_{j_0+2(j_1+\dots+j_d)=M-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d A_{i,j_i}(m_i) \right) \frac{\bar{B}_{j_0+1}(0; e^{2\pi i z_0})}{(j_0+1)!} a_0^{j_0}.$$

164

$$(36) \quad \sum_{(t,a_0)=1} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi \frac{z_0 + t}{a_0} \right) = \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) \varphi(a_0) + V,$$

$$V = -(2i)^M \sum_{j_0+2(j_1+\dots+j_d)=M-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d A_{i,j_i}(m_i) \right) \left(\sum_{m|a_0} \mu(m) (a_0/m)^{j_0+1} \frac{\bar{B}_{j_0+1}(0; e^{2\pi i z_0/m})}{(j_0+1)!} \right).$$

Proof. Let us prove the identity (36). We consider the function

$$g(a_0) = \sum_{t \bmod a_0} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi z_0 + \frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right).$$

165 We have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} g(a_0) &= \sum_{m|a_0} \sum_{\substack{(t,a_0)=m \\ 1 \leq t \leq a_0}} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi z_0 + \frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m|a_0} \sum_{\substack{(t/m, a_0/m)=1 \\ 1 \leq t/m \leq a_0/m}} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi z_0 + \frac{\pi t/m}{a_0/m} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r|a_0} \sum_{\substack{(k,r)=1 \\ 1 \leq k \leq r}} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi z_0 + \frac{\pi k}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

166 By witting $g(a_0) = \sum_{r|a_0} f(r)$ with $f(r) = \sum_{\substack{(k,r)=1 \\ 1 \leq k \leq r}} \prod_{i=1}^d \cot^{(m_i)} \left(\pi z_0 + \frac{\pi k}{r} \right)$ and by Möbius inversion formula,
167 we see

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_0) &= \sum_{m|a_0} \mu(m) g\left(\frac{a_0}{m}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m|a_0} \mu(m) \frac{a_0}{m} \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) + \sum_{m|a_0} \mu(m) \frac{a_0}{m} R(a_0/m, a_0 z_0) \\ &= \varepsilon(d; m_0, \dots, m_d) \varphi(a_0) - (2i)^M \sum_{j_0+2(j_1+\dots+j_d)=M-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d A_{i,j_i}(m_i) \right) \left(\sum_{m|a_0} \mu(m) (a_0/m)^{j_0+1} \frac{\bar{B}_{j_0+1}(0; e^{2\pi i \frac{a_0}{m} z_0})}{(j_0+1)!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

168 Now, in the above formula replace z_0 by z_0/a_0 to obtain our desired formula. □

169 **Corollary 4.** For $a_0 \geq 2$ integer and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$(37) \quad \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{t=0}^{a_0-1} \cot \left(\pi \frac{z_0+t}{a_0} \right)^d = \varepsilon(d) + R_I,$$

with

$$R_I = -(2i)^d \sum_{j_0+2(j_1+\dots+j_d)=d-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i)!} \right) \frac{\bar{B}_{j_0+1}(0; e^{2\pi iz_0})}{(j_0+1)!} a_0^{j_0}.$$

170 **Corollary 5.** For $a_0 \geq 3$ odd integer and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, we get for d even

$$(38) \quad \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{t=0}^{a_0-1} \tan \left(\frac{\pi t}{a_0} \right)^d = \varepsilon(d) + R,$$

with

$$R = -(2i)^d \sum_{j_0+2(j_1+\dots+j_d)=d-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i)!} \right) \frac{\bar{B}_{j_0+1}(0; -1)}{(j_0+1)!} a_0^{j_0},$$

and

$$B_n(0; -1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j+1} j! 2^{-(j+1)} S(n-1, j).$$

171 **Corollary 6.** For $a_0 \geq 2$ integer and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$\sum_{t=0}^{a_0-1} \frac{1}{\sin \left(\pi \frac{z_0+t}{a_0} \right)^{2d}} = -2^{2d} \sum_{j_0+2(j_1+\dots+j_d)=2d-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{(2j_i-1)B_{2j_i}}{(2j_i)!} \right) \frac{\bar{B}_{j_0+1}(0; e^{2\pi iz_0})}{(j_0+1)!} a_0^{j_0+1}.$$

172

REFERENCES

173 1. G. ALMKVIST, *Asymptotic formulas and generalized Dedekind sums*, *Exp. Math.* **7**, no. 4 (1998), 343–359.
 174 2. T. M. APOSTOL, *Generalized Dedekind sums and transformation formulae of certain Lambert series*, *Duke Math. J.* **17**
 175 (1950), 147–157.
 176 3. T. M. APOSTOL, *On the Lerch zeta junction*, *Pacific J. Math.* **1** (1951), 161–167.
 177 4. T. M. APOSTOL, *Introduction to analytic number theory*, Springer, New York (1998).
 178 5. T. M. APOSTOL, T. H. VU, *Identities for sums of the Dedekind type*, *J. Number Th.* **14** (1982), 391–396.
 179 6. A. I. BARVINOK, *Computing the Ehrhart polynomial of a convex lattice polytope*, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **12** (1994),
 180 35–48.
 181 7. A. BAYAD, J. CHIKHI, *Möbius inversion formulae for Apostol-Bernoulli type polynomials and numbers*, *Math. Comp.* **82**
 182 (2013), 2327–2332.
 183 8. A. BAYAD, A. RAOUI, *Reciprocity formulae for general multiple Dedekind-Rademacher sums and enumerations of lattice*
 184 *points*, *Acta Arith.* **145** (2010), 137–154.
 185 9. A. BAYAD, A. RAOUI, *Arithmetic of higher dimensional Dedekind-Rademacher sums*, *J. Number Th.* **132** (2012), 332–347.
 186 10. M. BECK, *Dedekind cotangent sums*, *Acta Arith.* **109** (2003), no. 2, 109–130.
 187 11. M. BECK, S. ROBINS, *Computing the continuous discretely: Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra*, Undergraduate Texts
 188 in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007.
 189 12. M. BECK, S. ROBINS, *Dedekind sums: a combinatorial-geometric viewpoint*, DIMACS: Series in Discrete Mathematics
 190 and Theoretical Computer Science.
 191 13. B. C. BERNDT, *Reciprocity theorems for Dedekind sums and generalizations*, *Adv. in Math.* **23**, no. 3 (1977), 285–316.
 192 14. B. C. BERNDT, B. P. YEAP, *Explicit evaluations and reciprocity theorems for finite trigonometric sums*, *Adv. in Applied*
 193 *Mathematics.* **29**, Issue 3 (2002), 358–385.
 194 15. B. C. BERNDT, U. DIETER, *Sums involving the greatest integer function and Riemann-Stieltjes integration*, *J. reine angew.*
 195 *Math.* **337** (1982), 208–220.

- 196 16. B. C. BERNDT, BOON PIN YEAP, *Explicit evaluations and reciprocity theorems for finite trigonometric sums*, *Adv. In*
 197 *Appl. Math.* **29** (2002), 358–385.
- 198 17. M. BRION AND M. VERGNE, *Residue formulae, vector partition functions and lattice points in rational polytopes*, *J. Amer.*
 199 *Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), no. 4, 797–833.
- 200 18. M. BRION, M. VERGNE, *Lattice points in simple polytopes*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), 371–392.
- 201 19. L. CARLITZ, *Some theorems on generalized Dedekind sums*, *Pacific J. Math.* **3** (1953), 513–522.
- 202 20. L. CARLITZ, *A note on generalized Dedekind sums*, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954), 399–404.
- 203 21. W. CHU, A. MARINI, *Partial fractions and trigonometric identities*, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **23** (1999), 115–175.
- 204 22. D. CVIJOVIĆ AND J. KLINOWSKI, *Finite cotangent sums and the Riemann zeta function*, *Math. Slovaca* **50** (2000),
 205 149–157.
- 206 23. R. DEDEKIND, *Erläuterungen zu den Fragmenten XXVIII*, in *Collected works of Bernhard Riemann*, Dover Publ., New
 207 York (1953), 466–478.
- 208 24. R. DIAZ, S. ROBINS, *The Erhart polynomial of a lattice polytope*, *Annals of Math.* **145** (1997), 503–518.
- 209 25. U. DIETER, *Das Verhalten der Kleinschen Funktionen $\log \sigma_{g,h}(w_1, w_2)$ gegenüber Modultransformationen und verallge-*
 210 *meinerte Dedekindsche Summen*, *J. reine angew. Math.* **201** (1959), 37–70.
- 211 26. U. DIETER, *Cotangent sums, a further generalization of Dedekind sums*, *J. Number Th.* **18** (1984), 289–305.
- 212 27. F. HIRZEBRUCH, D. ZAGIER, *The Atiyah-Singer theorem and elementary number theory*, Publish or Perish, Boston
 213 (1974).
- 214 28. R. KIRBY, P. MELVIN, *Dedekind sums, μ -invariants and the signature cocycle*, *Math. Annalen* **299** (1994) 231–267.
- 215 29. D. KNUTH, *The art of computer programming*, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1981).
- 216 30. M. I. KNOPP, *Hecke operators and an identity for Dedekind sums*, *J. Number Th.* **12** (1980), 2–9.
- 217 31. C. MEYER, *Über einige Anwendungen Dedekindscher Summen*, *J. reine angew. Math.* **198** (1957), 143–203.
- 218 32. W. MEYER, R. SCZECH, *Über eine topologische und zahlentheoretische Anwendung von Hirzebruchs Spitzenauflösung*,
 219 *Math. Ann.* **240** (1979), 69–96.
- 220 33. L. J. MORDELL, *Lattice points in a tetrahedron and generalized Dedekind sums*, *J. Indian Math.* **15** (1951), 41–46.
- 221 34. J. POMMERSHEIM, *Toric varieties, lattice points, and Dedekind sums*, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), 1–24.
- 222 35. H. RADEMACHER, *Some remarks on certain generalized Dedekind sums*, *Acta Arith.* **9** (1964), 97–105.
- 223 36. M. RAM MURTY, *Problems in Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag (2001).
- 224 37. H. RADEMACHER, E. GROSSWALD, *Dedekind sums*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of
 225 America (1972).
- 226 38. D. SOLOMON, *Algebraic properties of Shintani's generating functions: Dedekind sums and cocycles on $PGL_2(\mathbb{Q})$* ,
 227 *Compositio Math.* **112**, no. 3 (1998), 333–362.
- 228 39. R. SCZECH, *A remark on Stark's Conjecture*, Private communication in Evry, (2011).
- 229 40. G. URZÚA, *Arrangements of curves and algebraic surfaces*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **19** (2010), no. 2, 335–365.
- 230 41. D. ZAGIER, *Higher dimensional Dedekind sums*, *Math. Ann.* **202** (1973), 149–172.

231 ABDELMEJID BAYAD. LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET MODÉLISATION D'ÉVRY(LAMME), CNRS UMR 8071,
 232 UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, BÂTIMENT I.B.G.B.I., 3ÈME ÉTAGE,, 23 BD. DE FRANCE, 91037 EVRY CEDEX, FRANCE,
 233 *E-mail address:* abdelmejid.bayad@univ-evry.fr

234 ABDELAZIZ RAOUJ. DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ CADI AYYAD, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES
 235 SEMLALIA, MARRAKECH, MOROCCO,
 236 *E-mail address:* raouj@uca.ac.ma