

F. S. Cater, Department of Mathematics, Portland State University,
Portland, Oregon 97207, USA

ON THE DINI DERIVATES OF A PARTICULAR FUNCTION

Abstract

We construct a continuous strictly increasing function such that at each point one of its right Dini derivatives is 0 or ∞ , and at each point one of its left Dini derivatives is 0 or ∞ . Thus at no point can it have a positive real unilateral derivative.

In [1, (18.8)] there is discussed a continuous strictly increasing function F (attributed chiefly to Riesz-Nagy) that has no real positive derivative at any point. Consequently $F' = 0$ almost everywhere.

Put another way, F satisfies the condition:

(*) there are no positive real number y and point x such that

$$D^+F(x) = D_+F(x) = D^-F(x) = D_-F(x) = y$$

where D^+ , D_+ , D^- , D_- denote the usual Dini derivatives.

But F may not satisfy the stronger condition:

(**) at each point x , either $D^+f(x) = +\infty$ or $D_+f(x) = 0$, and at each point x , either $D^-f(x) = \infty$ or $D_-f(x) = 0$.

In this note we will construct a strictly increasing continuous function f satisfying condition (**). Thus f cannot have a positive real unilateral derivative at any point.

It is worth comparing f with a nondifferentiable function p constructed in [2]. At each point x either $D^+p(x)$ ($D^-p(x)$) is as large as possible, ∞ , or $D_+p(x)$ ($D_-p(x)$) is as small as possible, $-\infty$. For our continuous increasing function f , at each point x either $D^+f(x)$ ($D^-f(x)$) is as large as possible, ∞ , or $D_+f(x)$ ($D_-f(x)$) is as small as possible, 0.

Key Words: Dini derivate, derivative, strictly increasing function.
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A24, 26A48.
Received by the editors October 29,1999

The construction of f begins with the construction of two sequences of sets (A_n) and (B_n) such that each A_n and B_n is the union of finitely many compact intervals.

Among other things, $A_n \cup B_n$ will be $[0, 1]$, and $A_n \cap B_n$ will be a finite set. We will proceed by induction on n . Let $A_1 = [0, 1/2]$ and $B_1 = [1/2, 1]$. To form A_2 delete from each component I of A_1 an open symmetric subinterval J of I such that

$$2^2(\text{length } J) = (\text{length } I).$$

Make B_2 the closure of $[0, 1] \setminus A_2$. To form B_3 delete from each component I of B_2 an open symmetric subinterval J of I such that

$$2^3(\text{length } J) = (\text{length } I).$$

Make A_3 the closure of $[0, 1] \setminus B_3$. If A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} and B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} have been constructed and if n is even, form A_n by deleting from each component I of A_{n-1} , the open symmetric subinterval J of I with

$$2^n(\text{length } J) = (\text{length } I),$$

and make B_n the closure of $[0, 1] \setminus A_n$. If n is odd, form B_n by deleting from each component I of B_{n-1} the open symmetric subinterval J of I such that

$$2^n(\text{length } J) = (\text{length } I),$$

and make A_n the closure of $[0, 1] \setminus B_n$. By inductive construction, A_n and B_n have been constructed for all indices n . Note that the lengths of the components of A_n and B_n tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Put

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} A_j \quad \text{and} \quad B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} B_j.$$

It follows that $A \cup B = [0, 1]$. (The set $A \cap B$ is nonvoid, but that will not affect our argument.)

Lemma 1. *Let $[a, b]$ be a component interval of A_n and $a \leq x < b$. Let m denote the Lebesgue measure. Then*

$$m([x, b] \cap B) \leq 2^{1-n}(b-x) \quad \text{and} \quad m([x, b] \cap A) \geq (1 - 2^{1-n})(b-x).$$

PROOF. Either $B_{n+1} \setminus B_n$ is void or $[a, b] \cap (B_{n+1} \setminus B_n)$ consists of one subinterval of $[a, b]$ depending on whether n is even or odd. It follows from the construction that the length of this interval is not greater than $2^{-n}(b-x)$.

Now b is the right endpoint of a component of A_{n+k} for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Thus $[x, b] \cap A_{n+k}$ consists of finitely many components of A_{n+k} and/or a

compact interval containing x . Repeated applications of the principle in the preceding paragraph and $B_{n+k} \setminus B_{n+k-1} \subset A_{n+k-1}$ show that

$$\begin{aligned} m\left([x, b] \cap (B_{n+k} \setminus B_{n+k-1})\right) &= m\left([x, b] \cap (B_{n+k} \setminus B_{n+k-1}) \cap A_{n+k-1}\right) \\ &\leq 2^{1-n-k} m\left([x, b] \cap A_{n+k-1}\right) \leq 2^{1-n-k}(b-x). \end{aligned}$$

But $m(A_n \cap B_n) = 0$, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m\left([x, b] \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{n+k}\right)\right) &= m\left([x, b] \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (B_{n+k} \setminus B_{n+k-1})\right)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{1-n-k}(b-x) = 2^{1-n}(b-x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $m([x, b] \cap B) \leq 2^{1-n}(b-x)$. But $A \cup B = [0, 1]$, so $m([x, b] \cap A) \geq (1 - 2^{1-n})(b-x)$. This proves Lemma 1. \square

Let the function h be the indefinite integral of the characteristic function of A . Now, if x lies in $[a_n, b_n)$ for components $[a_n, b_n]$ of infinitely many sets A_n , then from

$$m([x, b_n] \cap A)/(b_n - x) \geq (1 - 2^{1-n})$$

it follows that $D^+h(x) = 1$. By reversing the roles of the sets A_n and B_n , we see that if x lies in $[c_n, d_n)$ for components $[c_n, d_n]$ of infinitely many sets B_n , then from

$$m([x, d_n] \cap A)/(d_n - x) \leq 2^{1-n}$$

it follows that $D_+h(x) = 0$. Thus for $x \in [0, 1)$, either $D^+h(x) = 1$ or $D_+h(x) = 0$. We reverse left and right to see that for $x \in (0, 1]$, either $D_-h(x) = 0$ or $D^-h(x) = 1$.

Now any subinterval I of $[0, 1]$ contains component intervals of some A_n and B_n , and from the inequalities in the preceding paragraph it follows that the functions $h(x)$ and $x - h(x)$ are strictly increasing.

Put $k = h^{-1}$ on the set $h(0, 1)$. Then the function $k(y) - y$ is strictly increasing on $h(0, 1)$ because $x - h(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$. From $D^+h(x_0) = 1$ we obtain $D_+k(y_0) = 1$ where $y_0 = h(x_0)$, and from $D_+h(x_0) = 0$ we obtain $D^+k(y_0) = \infty$. Likewise from $D^-h(x_0) = 1$ we obtain $D_-k(y_0) = 1$, and from $D_-h(x_0) = 0$ we obtain $D^-k(y_0) = \infty$. At each point in $h(0, 1)$, either $D^+k = \infty$ or $D_+k = 1$, and either $D^-k = \infty$ or $D_-k = 1$.

Finally, $f(y) = k(y) - y$ is a continuous strictly increasing function on $h(0, 1)$ satisfying condition (**).

We conclude with the observation that if all that is required is a strictly increasing continuous function whose derivative vanishes almost everywhere, one solution is well-known and easily constructed. It can be found in [3, p. 101].

References

- [1] E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1965.
- [2] A. P. Morse, *A continuous function with no unilateral derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1938), 496–507.
- [3] S. Saks, *Theory of the Integral*, Dover, New York, 1964.