## NORMAL · k-TUPLES

## JOHN E. MAXFIELD

1. Introduction. This paper is an extension to k dimensions of most of the known theorems on normal numbers, along with several new results. Certain results are obtained showing some sufficient conditions under which the sum of normal numbers is normal.

DEFINITION 1. A k-tuple  $\beta$  is the k-tuple ( $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ ), each  $\alpha_i$  being a real number.

DEFINITION 2. The nth k-digit of a k-tuple to base r is

$$b^n = (a_1^n, a_2^n, \dots, a_k^n),$$

where  $a_s^n$  is the nth digit of the fractional part of  $\alpha_s$  to base (or scale) r.

DEFINITION 3. A k-tuple  $\beta$  is said to be simply normal to the base r if the number  $n_c$  of occurrences of the k-digit c in the first n k-digits of the fractional part of  $\beta$  has the property

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n_c}{n} = \frac{1}{r^k}$$

for each of the  $r^k$  possible values of c.

DEFINITION 4. A k-tuple  $\beta$  is said to be normal to the scale r if  $\beta$ ,  $r\beta$ ,  $r^2\beta$ , ... are each simply normal to all the scales r,  $r^2$ , ..., where

$$r^{s}\beta = (r^{s}\alpha_{1}, r^{s}\alpha_{2}, \cdots, r^{s}\alpha_{k}).$$

NOTE. If  $\beta_k = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is normal, then any m-tuple,  $m \leq k$ , having any distinct m of the  $\alpha_i$  as components is normal.

2. The correspondent and its use. We make the following definition.

Received February 8, 1952. Results in this paper were included in a doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor Ivan Niven at the University of Oregon. 1951.

Pacific J. Math. 3 (1953), 189-196

DEFINITION 5. The correspondent number to the scale r to a k-tuple  $\beta$  is the number  $\alpha = .a_1 a_2 \cdots$  to the scale r such that

$$a_{(n-1)k+1}, \cdots, a_{nk}$$

is the nth k-digit of  $\beta$  to the scale r.

THEOREM 1. A k-tuple is normal to the scale r if and only if its correspondent number to the scale r is normal to the scale r.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\beta$  to be a normal k-tuple. Its correspondent  $\alpha$  is simply normal to the scales  $r^k$ ,  $r^{2k}$ , .... Also  $r^k\alpha$ ,  $r^{2k}\alpha$ , ... are simply normal to the scales  $r^k$ ,  $r^{2k}$ , .... Thus  $\alpha$  is simply normal to the scale  $r^k$ , and by a result of Wall [4] is normal to the scale r.

Let  $\alpha$ , a normal number, be the correspondent of  $\beta$ . Then  $\alpha$ ,  $r^k \alpha$ ,  $r^{2k} \alpha$ ,  $\cdots$  are simply normal to the scales  $r^k$ ,  $r^{2k}$ ,  $\cdots$ , and  $\beta$  is normal to the scale r.

COROLEARY 1. Given integers  $0 \le c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_j < m$ , and a normal k-tuple  $\beta$ , delete all k-digits except those in positions congruent to  $c_1$  or  $c_2$  or  $\cdots$  or  $c_j$  (mod m). The resulting k-tuple is normal.

COROLLARY 2. If  $\beta$  is a normal k-tuple to scale  $r^s$ , then  $\beta$  is normal to scale r.

Theorem 2. A necessary and sufficient condition that a k-tuple  $\beta$  be be normal to scale r is that every sequence of v k-digits occur with a frequency of  $1/r^{kv}$  for all v.

*Proof.* By application of Theorem 1 and the Niven-Zuckerman paper [3], this result follows immediately.

THEOREM 3. A necessary and sufficient condition that a k-tuple  $\beta$  be normal to scale r is that  $\beta$  be simply normal to the scales r,  $r^2$ , ....

*Proof.* This theorem follows immediately from Theorem 1 and a theorem of S. S. Pillai [4].

THEOREM 4. Let P be any permutation of the digits  $0, 1, \dots, r-1$ , and let  $P\alpha$  be the number obtained from  $\alpha$  by performing this permutation on all the digits of  $\alpha$ .

If  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is normal to the scale r, then so are:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This result can be obtained easily by a counting process.

$$(1) \quad (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1}, P\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_k),$$

(2) the k-tuple obtained from  $\beta$  by the application of P to the k-digits  $b_m$ ,  $b_{2m}$ ,  $b_{3m}$ , ..., giving  $\beta'$ .

*Proof.* Since applying P to  $\alpha_i$  replaces each sequence of digits of  $\alpha_i$  by a prescribed other sequence of digits, (1) follows immediately.

To prove (2), one proceeds as follows. Form  $\gamma$ , the correspondent number to  $\beta$ . Then  $\gamma$  is normal to scale  $r^k$ . Now, considering  $\gamma$  to be written to scale  $r^k$ , form the m-dimensional correspondent  $\delta$  of  $\gamma$ . This is normal to scale r, but the mth component of  $\delta$  is formed from the imth k-digits of  $\beta$  ( $i=1,2,\cdots$ ). Performing the alterations (2) on  $\beta$  will merely replace each digit of the mth component of  $\delta$  by another uniquely. Call the resulting number  $\delta'$ . Thus a one-to-one correspondence will exist between sequences of m-digits of  $\delta$  and those of  $\delta'$ .

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $\Lambda$  such that every fixed sequence of m-digits of length s, say  $c_s$ , of  $\delta$  satisfies the condition

$$\left|\frac{n_{c_s}}{n} - \frac{1}{r^{ms}}\right| < \epsilon \text{ for } n > N,$$

where  $n_{c_s}$  is the number of occurrences of the sequence  $c_s$  among the first n m-digits of  $\delta$ . Under the correspondence, since a fixed sequence in  $\delta$  corresponds to a fixed sequence (not necessarily the same) in  $\delta'$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{n'_{c_s}}{n} - \frac{1}{r^{m_s}}\right| < \epsilon \qquad \text{for } n > N.$$

Thus  $\delta'$  is normal to scale r. Thus by Theorem 1,  $\beta'$  is normal to scale r.

3. An application of uniform distribution theory. We now need some more definitions.

DEFINITION 6. The symbol  $\{f(x)\}\$  represents the nonnegative fractional part of the real function of a real variable f(x).

DEFINITION 7. [2, p.90]. Let n be a given integer, and  $\Im$  an infinite sequence of intervals  $Q \cdots (a \le x < b)$  (a and b integers). Let the number N = N(Q) be the number of lattice points [x] of Q, where N(Q) increases without bound the Q run through  $\Im$ .

To each Q let there correspond a system of n real functions  $f_i(x)$ , which are defined for each lattice point [x] of Q. This function system

$$F(x, n) = [f_i(x)]$$

is said to be uniformly distributed (mod 1), or u.d. (mod 1), in the intervals Q of  $\mbox{if}$  if for each fixed set of numbers  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_n$ , with  $0 \leq \gamma_i \leq 1$ , the number

$$N' = N'(Q) = N'(Q; \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$$

of lattice points [x] of Q for which

$$0 \leq \{f_i\} \leq \gamma_i \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

satisfies the condition

$$\lim \frac{N'(Q)}{N(Q)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i$$

as Q runs through the infinite sequence  $\Im$ .

LEMMA A [2, p. 90]. If the system  $[f_i(x)]$  is defined at each lattice point [x] of the intervals Q, and  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$  are real numbers, where

$$\alpha_i \leq \beta_i \leq \alpha_i + 1$$
  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$ 

and

$$N'(Q) = N'(Q; \alpha_1, \beta_1; \alpha_2, \beta_2; \cdots; \alpha_n, \beta_n)$$

is the number of lattice points of Q satisfying

$$\alpha_i \leq \{f_i(x)\} < \beta_i$$
  $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$ 

and  $[f_i(x)]$  is u.d. (mod 1), then

$$\lim \frac{N'(Q)}{N(Q)} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i - \alpha_i).$$

LEMMA B [2, p.92, Th. 7]. The system  $[f_i(x)]$  is u.d. (mod 1) if and only if, for each fixed set of integers  $(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,

$$\lim \frac{1}{N(Q)} \sum_{(x) \subset Q} e^{2\pi i (h_1 f_1(x) + \dots + h_k f_k(x))} = 0.$$

LEMMA C [2, p. 94]. The real function f(x) of a real variable x is u.d. (mod 1) if for each fixed  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ , the function f(x + q) - f(x) is u.d. (mod 1).

THEOREM 5.<sup>2</sup> The k-tuple  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is normal to scale r.if and only if the function system defined by

$$f_i(x) = \alpha_i r^x \qquad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

is u.d. (mod 1).

Proof. Assume that

$$[f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_k(x)] = F(x; k)$$

is u. d. (mod 1). Consider the sequence of k-digits

$$c = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_s = [a_1 a_2 \cdots a_s, b_1 b_2 \cdots b_s, \cdots, d_1 d_2 \cdots d_s],$$

the  $a_i$  from  $\alpha_1$ ,  $b_i$  from  $\alpha_2$ , ...,  $d_i$  from  $\alpha_k$ . We shall count the occurrences of c in  $\beta$ . Let

$$\epsilon_1 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{a_i}{r^i}$$
,  $\epsilon_2 = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{b_i}{r^i}$ , ...,  $\epsilon_k = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{d_i}{r^i}$ ; and  $\eta = \frac{1}{r^s}$ .

The frequency of occurrence of the sequence of digits c in  $\beta$  is the frequency with which

$$\epsilon_i \leq \{f_i(x)\} < \epsilon_i + \eta$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . From the conclusion of Lemma A this frequency is

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (\epsilon_i + \eta - \epsilon_i) = \prod_{i=1}^k \eta = \frac{1}{r^{sk}}.$$

By Theorem 2,  $\beta$  is normal to scale r.

Now assume that  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is normal to scale r. We must show that the frequency of x's such that

$$\left\{ f_{i}\left(x\right)\right\} <\eta_{i} \qquad \qquad \text{for } 0\leq\eta_{i}\leq1$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This theorem in one dimension was proved by D. D. Wall [5].

is  $\eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_k$ .

Case 1. Suppose first that  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  and  $\cdots$  and  $\eta_k$  are terminating decimals in the scale r. Extend the shorter ones among these terminating decimals to the length of the longest, say m, by adding 0's. There are  $\eta_i r^m$  sequences of m digits which, regarded as decimals, are less than  $\eta_i$ ; thus there are  $\eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_k r^{km}$  that must be counted. However, each of these sequences of k-digits of length m occurs in  $\beta$  with frequency  $1/r^{km}$ , and thus the frequency is  $\eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_k$ , as was desired.

Case 2. Suppose now that  $\eta_i$  is nonterminating for some i. Pick a sequence of terminating decimals  $\eta_i^j \longrightarrow \eta_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We know by Case 1 that the frequency for each j is

$$\eta_1^j \eta_2^j \cdots \eta_k^j \longrightarrow \eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_k$$
 as  $j \longrightarrow \infty$ .

Corollary. The k-tuple  $\beta=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots,\alpha_k)$  is normal if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^k h_i \ \alpha_i$  is a normal number for all

$$(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Proof. The result follows from Lemma B and Theorem 5.

DEFINITION 8. If  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$ , then  $(m_i)\beta$  is defined to be the k-tuple  $(m_1, \alpha_1, m_2, \alpha_2, \dots, m_k, \alpha_k)$ .

THEOREM 6. If  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k)$  is a normal k-tuple, and A is a non-singular  $k \times k$  matrix with rational elements, then the transformed k-tuple  $\gamma = \beta$  A is normal.

*Proof.* By Theorem 5 and Lemma B, when we take lattice points of the form  $(m_1, m_2, m_2, \dots, m_k, h_k)$ ,  $\beta$  is transformed to a new normal k-tuple if each component  $\alpha_i$  is multiplied by a nonzero integer  $m_i$ . To show that multiplication of a component by a nonzero rational preserves normality, we first note that any such rational can be expressed in one of the forms  $b/r^s$ ,  $b/r^s$  ( $r^t-1$ ), where b is an integer. (For 1/b has a scale r expansion containing a period of length t, while s depends on the point at which periodicity begins.)

Now multiplication by an integer b preserves normality, as shown above. Division by  $r^s$  is normality-preserving from the definition. We consider division by  $r^t - 1$ . Let  $\delta$  be normal to scale r. Then it is normal to scale  $r^t$ . Taking  $\delta$  as written to scale  $r^t$ , we conclude that  $(r^{tq} - 1)\delta/(r^t - 1)$ , for any positive

integer q, is also normal to scale  $r^t$ , since the operation involved is multiplication by an integer. Then by the corollary to Theorem 5,

$$\frac{r^{tq}-1}{r^t-1} \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \, \alpha_i \, r^{tx}$$

is u.d. (mod 1) for all

$$(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

By Lemma C,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{h_i \, \alpha_i \, r^{tx}}{r^t - 1}$$

is u.d. (mod 1). Thus by the corollary to Theorem 5,  $\delta/(r^t-1)$  is normal to scale  $r^t$ , and hence, by Corollary 2 to Theorem 1, to scale r.

We have shown that multiplication of  $\alpha_i$  by a rational preserves normality. By choosing  $h_i = h_i' + h_j'$  in Lemma B, we can show that replacement of  $\alpha_j$  by  $\alpha_i + \alpha_j$  preserves normality. Interchange of two  $\alpha$ 's does not affect normality. Thus the elementary operations of which multiplication by the matrix A is composed preserve normality.

THEOREM 7. Almost all k-tuples are normal.

*Proof.* This theorem follows immediately from the foregoing Theorem 5, and Theorems 8 and 15 of [2; pp. 92 and 94].

THEOREM 8. The set of numbers simply normal to no scale is noncountable.

*Proof.* It is not difficult to show that the set of numbers simply normal to a given scale forms a set of the first category [1, p.134]; the sum of a countable number of sets of the first category is also of the first category [1, p.137], and thus the complementary set has the cardinal number of the continuum [1, p.136], and is thus noncountable.

## REFERENCES

1. E. W. Hobson, The theory of functions of a real variable and the theory of Fourier's series, vol. 1, Third Edition, 1950, Harran Press, Washington, D.C.

- 2. J. F. Koksma, Diophantische Approximationen, Chelsea Publishing Company, 1936.
- 3. Ivan Niven and H. S. Zuckerman, On the definition of normal numbers, Pacific J. Math. 1 (1951), 103.
- 4. S. S. Fillai, On normal numbers, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A, 12 (1940), 179-184.
- 5. D. D. Wall, *Normal numbers*, Thesis, 1949, University of California, Berkeley, California.

University of Oregon and Naval Ordnance Test Station