

## ON THE ABSOLUTE HAUSDORFF SUMMABILITY OF A FOURIER SERIES

S. N. LAL AND SIYA RAM

**In this paper a theorem on the absolute Hausdorff summability of a series associated with a Fourier series has been established. This theorem unifies and extends various known results.**

1. Let  $\mu_n$  be a sequence of real or complex numbers and write

$$\Delta^0 \mu_n = \mu_n, \Delta^p \mu_n = \Delta^{p-1} \mu_n - \Delta^{p-1} \mu_{n+1}, \quad p \geq 1.$$

If  $S_n$  denotes the sequence of partial sums of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ , the transformation

$$t_m = \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} (\Delta^{m-n} \mu_n) S_n$$

defines the sequence  $\{t_m\}$  of  $(H, \mu)$  means or the Hausdorff means [3, 12] of the sequence  $\{S_n\}$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $(H, \mu)$  to the sum  $s$  if  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_m = s$  and is said to be absolutely summable  $(H, \mu)$  or summable  $|H, \mu|$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| < C^1.$$

In order that  $(H, \mu)$  should be a convergence preserving transformation it is necessary and sufficient that  $\mu_n$  should be a moment constant, that is, there exists a function  $\chi(x)$  of bounded variation in  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , such that

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 x^n d\chi(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We may suppose without loss of generality that  $\chi(0) = 0$ . If also,  $\chi(1) = 1$  and  $\chi(+0) = \chi(0) = 0$ , so that  $\chi(x)$  is continuous at the origin, then  $\mu_n$  is a regular moment constant and  $(H, \mu)$  is a regular Hausdorff transformation [3]. It is known that the sequence to sequence Hausdorff transformation is absolute convergence preserving or absolutely regular if and only if it is a convergence preserving or regular transformation of the same type [4, 8, 9].

In the case in which

$$\chi(x) = 1 - (1 - x)^\delta, \quad \delta > 0,$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Throughout the paper  $C$  denotes a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

the method  $(H, \mu)$  reduces to the well known Cesàro method  $(C, \delta)$  [3, 12].

2. Let  $f(t)$  be a periodic function with period  $2\pi$  and integrable in the Lebesgue sense in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . Let the Fourier series of  $f(t)$  be

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) ,$$

it being assumed that the constant term is zero.

The  $\varepsilon$ th forward and backward fractional integrals of a function  $g(x)$ , which is Lebesgue integrable in  $(0, 1)$ , are respectively defined as

$$g_{\varepsilon}^{+}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_0^x (x - u)^{\varepsilon-1} g(u) du ,$$

and

$$g_{\varepsilon}^{-}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\varepsilon)} \int_x^1 (u - x)^{\varepsilon-1} g(u) du .$$

These integrals exist almost everywhere for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We write

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x + t) + f(x - t)\} ;$$

$$\Phi_0(t) = \phi(t) ;$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t - u)^{\alpha-1} \phi(u) du , \quad \alpha > 0 ;$$

$$\phi_{\alpha}(t) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) t^{-\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}(t) , \quad \alpha \geq 0 ;$$

$$M(n, x, t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) x^{\nu} (1 - x)^{n-\nu} \cos \nu t ;$$

$$N(n, x, t) = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) x^{\nu} (1 - x)^{n-\nu} \sin \nu t ;$$

$$L(\chi; n, t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) \sin \nu t \int_0^1 x^{\nu} (1 - x)^{n-\nu} d\chi(x) ;$$

$$I(\chi; n, u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \alpha)} \int_u^{\bar{}} (t - u)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} L(\chi; n, t) dt ;$$

$$J(\chi; n, u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \int_0^u v^{\alpha} \frac{d}{dv} I(\chi; n, v) dv .$$

3. In this paper we establish the following:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\varepsilon(t)$  be a positive and monotonic nondecreasing*

function of  $t$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=[1/t]+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+\gamma-\alpha}t^{\gamma-\alpha}} = O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)\right), \quad (0 < t < \pi, k > \pi)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^{\pi} \varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right) |d\phi_{\alpha}(t)| < C.$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either (a) } & \chi(u) = g_{1+\gamma}^+(u) + C, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < \gamma < 1) \\ \text{or (b) } & \chi(u) = g_{1+\gamma}^-(u) + C, \end{aligned}$$

for some function  $g(u)$  which is Lebesgue integrable in  $(0, 1)$ , then the series  $\sum \varepsilon(n)A_n(t)$  is summable  $|H, \mu|$  at the point  $t = x$ , it being assumed that the transformation  $(H, \mu)$  is convergence preserving.

Taking  $\varepsilon(t) = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  the above theorem reduces to a recent result on the absolute Hausdorff summability of a Fourier series ([11], Theorem 1) which in turn includes<sup>2</sup> a result of Bosanquet ([1], Theorem 1) on the absolute Cesàro summability of a Fourier series and the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$  covers another result on the absolute Cesàro summability of a Fourier series ([2], Theorem 1). Also for  $\alpha = 0$  choosing  $\varepsilon(t) = t^{\beta}$  and  $\gamma = \beta + \delta$  ( $\beta > 0, \delta > 0$ ) we get another result ([10], Theorem 1) on the absolute Hausdorff summability which is known to include a theorem on the absolute Cesàro summability of the series  $\sum n^{\beta}A_n(t)$  due to Mohanty ([6], Theorem 1). Further choosing  $\varepsilon(t) = \log(1 + t)$  we get (cf. [7])

**THEOREM A.** *If*

$$\int_0^{\pi} \log \frac{k}{t} |d\phi_{\alpha}(t)| < C \quad (k > \pi)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either (a) } & \chi(u) = g_{1+\gamma}^+(u) + C, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < \gamma < 1) \\ \text{or (b) } & \chi(u) = g_{1+\gamma}^-(u) + C, \end{aligned}$$

for some function  $g(u)$  which is Lebesgue integrable in  $(0, 1)$ , then the series  $\sum \log(n + 1)A_n(t)$  is summable  $|H, \mu|$ , at the point  $t = x$ , it being assumed that the transformation  $(H, \mu)$  is convergence preserving.

4. We require the following lemmas for the proof of our theorem.

---

<sup>2</sup> While this paper was in press, a paper due to B. Kuttner and N. Tripathi (Quart. J. Math., **22** (1971), 229-308) appeared in which it is shown that Tripathi's theorem can be deduced from the result of Bosanquet.

LEMMA 1 [4]. Let  $\{t_n\}$  and  $\{S_n\}$  be the partial sums of the series  $\sum b_n$  and  $\sum a_n$  respectively. Then the sequence to sequence transformation

$$t_m = \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{m}{n} (\Delta^{m-n} \mu_n) S_n$$

can be put in the series to series form as

$$b_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^m \binom{m}{n} (\Delta^{m-n} \mu_n) n a_n ,$$

$$b_0 = a_0 .$$

LEMMA 2 [5]. If  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  are Lebesgue integrable in  $(0, 1)$ , then for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\int_0^1 g_\varepsilon^+(x) h(x) dx = \int_0^1 g(x) h_\varepsilon^-(x) dx .$$

LEMMA 3.

$$I_1 \equiv \int_0^x N(n, 1 - v, t) dv = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{nt}\right)$$

and

$$I_2 \equiv \int_0^x M(n, 1 - v, t) dv = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{t}\right) .$$

uniformly for  $x$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* By Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^x \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \right) \varepsilon(\nu) (1 - \nu)^\nu v^{n-\nu} \sin \nu t \, dv \\ &= \int_0^x \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Delta_\nu \left\{ \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) (1 - v)^\nu v^{n-\nu} \right\} \sum_{r=1}^\nu \sin rt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \binom{n}{n} \varepsilon(n) (1 - v)^n \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sin \nu t \right] dv \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_\nu(\varepsilon(\nu) p_\nu(x))| + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{nt}\right) , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_\nu(x) = \binom{n}{\nu} \int_0^x v^{n-\nu} (1 - v)^\nu dv , \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq n - 1) .$$

We observe that  $\varepsilon(\nu) p_\nu(x)$  ( $1 \leq \nu \leq n - 1$ ) is a nondecreasing function of  $\nu$  for fixed  $x$ , since by hypothesis  $\varepsilon(\nu)$  is non-decreasing and

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_\nu(x) &= \binom{n}{\nu} \int_0^x v^{n-\nu} (1-v)^\nu dv \\
 &= \binom{n}{\nu} \left[ -\frac{v^{n-\nu} (1-v)^{\nu+1}}{\nu+1} \right]_0^x + \binom{n}{\nu} \frac{(n-\nu)}{(\nu-1)} \int_0^x v^{n-\nu-1} (1-v)^{\nu+1} dv \\
 &= \binom{n}{\nu+1} \int_0^x v^{n-\nu-1} (1-v)^{\nu+1} dv - \frac{1}{(\nu+1)} \binom{n}{\nu} x^{n-\nu} (1-x)^{\nu+1} \\
 &= p_{\nu+1}(x) - \frac{1}{(\nu+1)} \binom{n}{\nu} x^{n-\nu} (1-x)^{\nu+1} \\
 &< p_{\nu+1}(x) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} [\varepsilon(\nu+1) p_{\nu+1}(x) - \varepsilon(\nu) p_\nu(x)] + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{nt}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{t}\right) \int_0^x (1-v)^n dv + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{nt}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{nt}\right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

$I_2$  can be similarly estimated. Hence the lemma.

LEMMA 4. For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,

$$J_1 \equiv \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} N(n, 1-u, t) du = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right)$$

and

$$J_2 \equiv \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} M(n, 1-u, t) du = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-1} t^\gamma}\right)$$

uniformly for  $x$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad |N(n, 1-u, t)| &\leq \varepsilon(n) \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} (1-u)^\nu u^{n-\nu} \\
 &\leq \varepsilon(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.2) \quad J_1 &= \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} N(n, 1-u, t) du \\
 &= O(\varepsilon(n)) \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} du \\
 &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $x > 1 - 1/nt$ .

On the other hand if  $x < 1 - 1/nt$ , write

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &= \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} N(n, 1-u, t) du \\
 &= \int_0^{1-x-1/nt} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} N(n, 1-u, t) du \\
 &\quad + \int_{1-x-1/nt}^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} N(n, 1-u, t) du \\
 &= J_{1,1} + J_{1,2},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3}$$

say. Since  $\gamma < 1$  and  $(1-x-u)^{\gamma-1}$  is an increasing function of  $u$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{1,1} &= \frac{1}{(nt)^{\gamma-1}} \int_{\eta}^{1-x-1/nt} N(n, 1-u, t) du, \quad \left(0 \leq \eta \leq 1-x-\frac{1}{nt}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.4}$$

by the application of the estimate  $I_1$  of Lemma 3.

And using the estimate (4.1) we have

$$J_{1,2} = O(\varepsilon(n)) \int_{1-x-1/nt}^{1-x} (1-x-u)^{\gamma-1} du = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right).
 \tag{4.5}$$

A combination of the estimates in (4.4) and (4.5), in view of (4.3), yields

$$J_1 = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right)
 \tag{4.6}$$

when  $x < 1 - 1/nt$ . Hence, in view of the estimates in (4.2) and (4.6), the first part of the lemma follows. The second part follows on similar lines.

**5. Proof of the theorem.** In view of the definition and Lemma 1, the absolute Hausdorff summability of the series  $\sum \varepsilon(n)A_n(x)$  is equivalent to the absolute convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n$ , where

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu \binom{n}{\nu} (\Delta^{n-\nu} \mu_\nu) \varepsilon(\nu) A_\nu(x).$$

We first consider the case  $\alpha = 0$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_\nu(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \cos \nu t dt \\
 &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin \nu t}{\nu} d\phi(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

and the transformation  $(H, \mu)$  is convergence preserving,

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= -\frac{2}{n\pi} \int_0^\pi d\phi(t) \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \right) \varepsilon(\nu) x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \sin \nu t \, d\chi(x) \\ &= -\frac{2}{n\pi} \int_0^\pi d\phi(t) \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |b_n| &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |d\phi(t)| \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{[1/t]} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=[1/t]+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x) \right| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^\pi \varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right) |d\phi(t)| < C,$$

it is clear that we have to show that uniformly in  $0 < t \leq \pi$ ,

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_1 \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{[1/t]} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x) \right| = O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)\right),$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_2 \equiv \sum_{n=[1/t]+1}^\infty \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x) \right| = O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)\right).$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} |N(n, x, t)| &\leq \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \nu t \\ &\leq nt\varepsilon(n) \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \\ &\leq nt\varepsilon(n), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{[1/t]} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{[1/t]} \frac{nt\varepsilon(n)}{n} \int_0^1 |d\chi(x)| \\ &= O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

the function  $\chi(x)$  being of bounded variation in  $(0, 1)$ . This completes the proof of the estimate in (5.1). We now proceed to establish (5.2).

Putting

$$\chi(x) = g_{1+\gamma}^+(x) + C$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &\equiv \sum_{n=[1/\ell]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 N(n, x, t) d\chi(x) \right| \\ &= \sum_{n=[1/\ell]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 g_{\gamma}^+(x) N(n, x, t) dx \right| \\ (5.3) \quad &= \sum_{n=[1/\ell]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_0^1 g(x) N_{\gamma}^-(n, x, t) dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

(by the application of Lemma 2)

$$\leq \int_0^1 |g(x)| \left( \sum_{n=[1/\ell]+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |N_{\gamma}^-(n, x, t)| \right) dx ,$$

where  $N_{\gamma}^-(n, x, t)$  means the  $\gamma$ th backward fractional integral of  $N(n, x, t)$  regarded as a function of  $x$ . By the application of Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4) \quad N_{\gamma}^-(n, x, t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_x^1 (u-x)^{\gamma-1} N(n, u, t) du \\ &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma} t^{\gamma}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= O(1) \sum_{n=[1/\ell]+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+\gamma} t^{\gamma}} \int_0^1 |g(x)| dx \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=[1/\ell]+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+\gamma} t^{\gamma}} \\ &= O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of (5.2). The proof of the estimate in (5.2) in the case when

$$\chi(x) = g_{1+\gamma}^-(x) + C$$

follows on similar lines.

We now consider the case  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad b_n &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) \sin \nu t \int_0^1 x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n-\nu} d\chi(x) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\pi} \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} L(\chi; n, t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d}{dt} L(\chi; n, t) \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{-\alpha} d\Phi_{\alpha}(u) \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{\pi} d\Phi_{\alpha}(u) \int_u^{\pi} (t-u)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} L(\chi; n, t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi I(\chi; n, u) d\Phi_\alpha(u) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \Phi_\alpha(\pi) I(\chi; n, \pi) - \frac{1}{n} \Phi_\alpha(\pi) J(\chi; n, \pi) + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\pi J(\chi; n, u) d\phi_\alpha(u),
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore in order to prove the theorem we have to show that

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1} |I(\chi; n, \pi)| < C,$$

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1} |J(\chi; n, \pi)| < C$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-1} |J(\chi; n, u)| = O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{u}\right)\right),$$

uniformly in  $0 < u \leq \pi$ .

We show that all the above estimates are true with

$$\chi(x) = g_{i+\gamma}^+(x) + C.$$

The method of proof for  $\chi(x) = g_{i+\gamma}^-(x) + C$  will be similar.

For sake of brevity we write  $g^+$  for  $g_{i+\gamma}^+$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(g^+; n, u) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^{u+n-1} (t-u)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} L(g^+; n, t) dt \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{u+n-1}^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} L(g^+, n, t) dt \\
 &= I_1(g^+; n, u) + I_2(g^+; n, u),
 \end{aligned}$$

say. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(g^+; n, u) &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^{u+n-1} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt \int_0^1 g_\gamma^+(x) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \cos \nu t \right) dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^{u+n-1} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt \int_0^1 g_\gamma^+(x) M(n, x, t) dx \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^{u+n-1} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt \int_0^1 g(x) M_\gamma^-(n, x, t) dx^3 \\
 &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha) \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_u^{u+n-1} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt \int_0^1 g(x) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>  $M_\gamma^-(n, x, t)$  is  $\gamma$ th backward fractional integral of  $M(n, x, t)$  regarded as a function of  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-v)^{\gamma-1} M(n, 1-v, t) dv \\
& = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-1}}\right) \int_u^{u+n^{-1}} t^{-\gamma} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt \int_0^1 |g(x)| dx \\
& = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha} u^{\gamma}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

by the application of the estimate of  $J_2$  in Lemma 4 and using the fact that  $g(x)$  is Lebesgue integrable in  $(0, 1)$ .

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(g^+; n, u) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{u+n^{-1}}^{\pi} (t-u)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} L(g^+; n, t) dt \\
&= \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{u+n^{-1}}^{\zeta} \frac{d}{dt} L(g^+; n, t) dt, \quad (u+n^{-1} < \zeta < \pi)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L(g^+; n, t) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \sin \nu t \right) g_\tau^+(x) dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 g_\tau^+(x) N(n, x, t) dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 g(x) N_\tau^-(n, x, t) dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^1 g(x) dx \int_0^{1-x} (1-x-v)^{\gamma-1} N(n, 1-v, t) dv \\
&= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right) \int_0^1 |g(x)| dx \\
&= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^\gamma t^\gamma}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

by the application of the estimate of  $J_1$  in Lemma 4 and the Lebesgue integrability of  $g(x)$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Hence

$$I_2(g^+; n, u) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha} u^\gamma}\right).$$

Thus we have shown that

$$(5.9) \quad I(g^+; n, u) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha} u^\gamma}\right).$$

Using this estimate we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |I(g^+; n, \pi)| \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+\gamma-\alpha}} < C,$$

and this completes the proof of (5.6).

If in particular we suppose that  $\phi(t) = 1$  for all  $t$ , in which case  $\phi_\alpha(t) = 1$  for all  $t$  and  $b_n = 0$  for every  $n$ , we obtain from (5.5) and the estimate in (5.9)

$$O = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+r-\alpha}}\right) - \frac{J(g^+; n, \pi)}{n}$$

and therefore

$$(5.10) \quad J(g^+; n, \pi) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{r-\alpha}}\right).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |J(g^+; n, \pi)| \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+r-\alpha}} < C,$$

and this establishes the estimate in (5.7). Now it remains to establish (5.8). We note that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\chi; n, u) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left( \int_u^{u+n^{-1}} + \int_{u+n^{-1}}^\pi \right) (t-u)^{-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} L(\chi; n, t) dt \\ &= O(n\varepsilon(n)) \int_u^{u+n^{-1}} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \right) |d\chi(x)| \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu \binom{n}{\nu} \varepsilon(\nu) \int_0^1 x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} d\chi(x) \\ &\quad \times \int_{u+n^{-1}}^\zeta \cos \nu t dt \quad (u+n^{-1} < \zeta < \pi) \\ &= O(n^\alpha \varepsilon(n)) + O(n^\alpha \varepsilon(n)) \int_0^1 |d\chi(x)| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \binom{n}{\nu} x^\nu (1-x)^{n-\nu} \\ &= O(n^\alpha \varepsilon(n)), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (5.11) \quad J(\chi; n, u) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} [v^\alpha I(\chi; n, v)]_0^u - \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \int_0^u v^{\alpha-1} I(\chi; n, v) dv \\ &= O(n^\alpha u^\alpha \varepsilon(n)) + O(n^\alpha \varepsilon(n)) \int_0^u v^{\alpha-1} dv \\ &= O(n^\alpha u^\alpha \varepsilon(n)). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\Gamma(\alpha + 1)[J(\chi; n, \pi) - J(\chi; n, u)] = [v^\alpha I(\chi; n, v)]_u^\pi - \alpha \int_u^\pi v^{\alpha-1} I(\chi; n, v) dv$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(g^+; n, u) &= J(g^+; n, \pi) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha)} [v^\alpha I(g^+; n, v)]_u^\pi \\
 &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \int_u^\pi v^{\alpha-1} I(g^+; n, v) dv \\
 (5.12) \qquad &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha} u^{\gamma-\alpha}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha}}\right) \int_u^\pi v^{-\gamma+\alpha-1} dv \\
 &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{\gamma-\alpha} u^{\gamma-\alpha}}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

using the estimates in (5.9) and (5.10). Now by the application of the estimate in (5.11) and (5.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |J(g^+; n, u)| &= \sum_{n=1}^{[1/u]} n^{-1} |J(g^+; n, u)| + \sum_{n=[1/u]+1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |J(g^+; n, u)| \\
 &= O(u^\alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{[1/u]} n^{\alpha-1} \varepsilon(n) + O(1) \sum_{n=[1/u]+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{n^{1+\gamma-\alpha} u^{\gamma-\alpha}} \\
 &= O\left(\varepsilon\left(\frac{k}{u}\right)\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $0 < u \leq \pi$ . This completes the proof of the estimate in (5.8). Hence the theorem.

We are thankful to the referee for his kind advice.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. S. Bosanquet, *Note on the absolute summability (C) of a Fourier series*, J. London Math. Soc., **11** (1936), 11-15.
2. ———, *The absolute Cesàro summability of a Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2), **41** (1936), 517-526.
3. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, (Oxford 1949).
4. K. Knopp and G. G. Lorentz, *Beitrage zur absoluten limitierung*, Archiv der Math., **2** (1949-50), 10-16.
5. B. Kuttner, *On the 'Second theorem of consistency' for Riesz summability (II)*, J. London Math. Soc., **27** (1952), 207-217.
6. R. Mohanty, *The absolute Cesàro summability of some series associated with a Fourier series and its allied series*, J. London Math. Soc., **25** (1950), 63-67.
7. ———, *Absolute Cesàro summability of a series associated with a Fourier series*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **44** (1952), 152-154.
8. H. Morley, *A theorem on Hausdorff transformation and its application to Cesàro and Hölder means*, J. London Math. Soc., **25** (1950), 168-173.
9. M. S. Ramanujan, *On Hausdorff and quasi-Hausdorff methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math., (Oxford) **8** (1957), 197-213.
10. N. Tripathi, *On the absolute Hausdorff summability of some series associated with a Fourier series and its allied series*, J. Indian Math. Soc., (New series) **32** (1968), 141-154.
11. ———, *On the absolute Hausdorff summability of Fourier series*, J. London Math. Soc., **44** (1969), 15-25.

12. D. V. Widder, Laplace transform (Princeton University Press, 1946)

Received January 13, 1971.

BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY

