

LIMITS FOR MARTINGALE-LIKE SEQUENCES

ANTHONY G. MUCCI

The concept of a martingale is generalized in two ways. The first generalization is shown to be equivalent to convergence in probability under certain uniform integrability restrictions. The second generalization yields a martingale convergence theorem.

1. Introduction. In what follows $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ is a sequence of integrable random variables and sub-sigma fields on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &\text{ is } \mathfrak{B}_n\text{-measurable} \\ \mathfrak{B}_n &\subset \mathfrak{B}_{n+1} \\ \mathfrak{B} &= \sigma\left(\bigcup_1^\infty \mathfrak{B}_n\right). \end{aligned}$$

We call the sequence $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ an adapted sequence. In [2] Blake defines $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ as a game which becomes fairer with time provided

$$E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \geq m \longrightarrow \infty,$$

i.e., provided, for all $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\lim_{n > m} P(|E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m| > \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

It is proven in [1] that if $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ becomes fairer with time, and if there exists $Z \in L_1$ with $|X_n| \leq Z$ for all n , then $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} X$, some $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$.

In the present paper we will show that $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} X$ under the less restrictive assumption that $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable. We will further show that in the presence of uniform integrability $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ becomes fairer with time if and only if $\{X_n\}$ converges in probability, i.e.,

$$E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0 \iff X_n - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Finally, by using the more restrictive concept that $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ is a martingale in the limit, namely,

$$\lim_{n \geq m \rightarrow \infty} (E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

we will prove (Theorem (2)) a generalization of a standard martingale convergence theorem.

2. PROPOSITION 1. *Let the sequence $\{X_n\}$ be uniformly integrable and assume*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A X_n \text{ exists, all } A \in \bigcup_1^\infty \mathfrak{B}_n.$$

Then there exists $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A X_n = \int_A X, \text{ all } A \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\delta > 0$. There exists $A_0 \in \bigcup_1^\infty \mathfrak{B}_n$ with $P(A \Delta A_0) \leq \delta$. This, together with the argument in Neveu [3] (page 117) proves the desired result.

REMARKS. Let $\Omega = [0, 1)$ with Lebesgue measure. Let \mathfrak{B}_n be the σ -field generated by the subintervals $A_{k,n} \equiv [k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$. Set $X_n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^k I_{A_{k,n}}$ where I_A is the indicator function of A . Then for any $A \in \bigcup \mathfrak{B}_n$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A X_n = 0$. Further, $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable. However, $\{X_n\}$ does not converge in the \mathcal{L}_1 -norm.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be uniformly integrable and assume $\{X_n\}$ becomes fairer with time:*

$$(*) \quad \lim_{n \geq m \rightarrow \infty} P(|E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Then there exists $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} X$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}_m$, $p \geq q \geq m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A X_p - \int_A X_q \right| &= \left| \int_A E(X_p | \mathfrak{B}_q) - X_q \right| \\ &\leq \int_{A(|E(X_p | \mathfrak{B}_q) - X_q| > \varepsilon)} |E(X_p | \mathfrak{B}_q) - X_q| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 2 \sup_k \int_{A(|E(X_p | \mathfrak{B}_q) - X_q| > \varepsilon)} |X_k| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By uniform integrability and the assumption (*) we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A X_n \text{ converges, all } A \in \bigcup_1^\infty \mathfrak{B}_n.$$

By Proposition 1, there exists $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A X_n = \int_A X, \text{ all } A \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

Note that $\{E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n), \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ is a martingale and $E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n) \rightarrow X$ both in the \mathcal{L}_1 and the almost sure sense (Levy's Theorem). Since

$$\int |X_n - X| \leq \int |X_n - E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n)| + \int |E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n) - X|,$$

it will be enough to show $\int |X_n - E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n)| \rightarrow 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int |X_n - E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n)| &= \int_{(X_n \geq E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n))} (X_n - E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n)) \\ &\quad + \int_{(X_n < E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n))} (E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n) - X_n). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n' \geq n$ and setting $A = (|E(X_{n'}|\mathfrak{B}_n) - X_n| > \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(X_n \geq E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n))} (X_n - E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n)) &\leq \int_A |X_n| + \int_A |X_{n'}| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{(X_n \geq E(X_n|\mathfrak{B}_n))} (X_{n'} - X) \right| + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 2 \sup_k \int_A |X_k| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{(X_n \geq E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n))} (X_{n'} - X) \right| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By uniform integrability and condition (*), the first integral is small. Letting $n' \rightarrow \infty$, the difference in the remaining integral tends to zero. An identical analysis shows

$$\int_{(X_n < E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n))} (E(X|\mathfrak{B}_n) - X_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

REMARKS. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} X$. Then since

$$\int_A |X_n| \leq \int |X_n - X| + \int_A |X|,$$

we see that $\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable. Further

$$\begin{aligned} P(|E(X_n|\mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m| > \varepsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |E(X_n|\mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |X_n - X_m|, \end{aligned}$$

so $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ becomes fairer with time. It is shown (Neveu [3], page 52):

$\{X_n\}$ is Cauchy in the \mathcal{L}_1 norm $\iff \{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable and $\{X_n\}$ is Cauchy in probability.

We tie these results together with Proposition 2 to get

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ be an adapted sequence. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *There exists $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} X$.*
- (b) *$\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable and $E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0$.*
- (c) *$\{X_n\}$ is uniformly integrable and $X_n - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0$.*

COROLLARY 1. *Let the adapted sequence $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ be uniformly integrable. Then*

$$E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0 \iff X_n - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

REMARKS. In the absence of uniform integrability we have neither implication. Consider the following two examples:

(1) Set $X_n = \sum_1^n y_k$ where $\{y_k\}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with zero means. Set $\mathfrak{B}_n = \sigma(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. Clearly $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ is a martingale, so $E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0$. But, if, for instance

$$y_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_n - X_m| \geq 1) &= P\left(\left|\sum_1^{n-m} y_k\right| \geq 1\right) \\ &= 1 - P\left(\sum_1^{n-m} y_k = 0\right) \sim 1 - \frac{c}{\sqrt{n-m}} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $X_n - X_m \not\xrightarrow{P} 0$.

(2) Let $\{y_k\}$ independent where $P(y_k = k^2) = 1/k^2$ and $P(y_k = 0) = 1 - 1/k^2$.

Then, setting $X_n = \sum_1^n y_k$ we have

$$|E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m| = E \sum_{m+1}^n y_k \geq 1$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_n - X_m| \geq \varepsilon) &= P\left(\sum_{m+1}^n y_k \geq \varepsilon\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{m+1}^n (y_k \geq \varepsilon)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{m+1}^n P(y_k \geq \varepsilon) = \sum_{m+1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

so in this case $X_n - X_m \xrightarrow{P} 0$ while $E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \not\xrightarrow{P} 0$.

Recall now the definition that $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ be a martingale in the limit, namely:

$$(**) \quad E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m \longrightarrow 0 \text{ almost everywhere.}$$

THEOREM 2. *Let the adapted sequence $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ be uniformly integrable and a martingale in the limit. Then there exists $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that*

$$X_n \longrightarrow X \text{ almost everywhere and in the } \mathcal{L}_1\text{-norm.}$$

Proof. Clearly, $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ becomes fairer with time, so from Theorem 1 there exists $X \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} X$. Now, for an arbitrary subsequence $\{n'\}$,

$$|X_m - X| \leq |X_m - E(X_{n'} | \mathfrak{B}_m)| + |E(X_{n'} - X | \mathfrak{B}_m)| + |E(X | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X|.$$

By Levy's theorem, the third term is less than $\epsilon/3$ for large enough m . The first term is also bounded by $\epsilon/3$ for large m, n' since $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ is a martingale in the limit. We must now show that the second term is small. Note first that for an arbitrary σ -field \mathcal{A} we have

$$E(X_n | \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} E(X | \mathcal{A}).$$

Now start with the σ -field \mathfrak{B}_1 and note that the convergence $E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_1) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} E(X | \mathfrak{B}_1)$ implies the existence of subsequence $\{n_1\} \subset \{n\}$ with $E(X_{n_1} | \mathfrak{B}_1) \rightarrow E(X | \mathfrak{B}_1)$ almost everywhere. Continuing, we have $E(X_{n_1} | \mathfrak{B}_2) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1} E(X | \mathfrak{B}_2)$, and we can extract $\{n_2\} \subset \{n_1\}$ with $E(X_{n_2} | \mathfrak{B}_2) \rightarrow E(X | \mathfrak{B}_2)$ almost everywhere. Thus, there exists a subsequence $\{\bar{n}\} \subset \{n\}$ with $E(X_{\bar{n}} | \mathfrak{B}_m) \rightarrow E(X | \mathfrak{B}_m)$ a.e. for all m , namely the diagonal subsequence. Now choose $\{n'\}$ as a subsequence of $\{\bar{n}\}$, and we can bound the second term above by $\epsilon/3$.

Applications. 1. Let $\{y_k\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables such that

$$\lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \int \left| \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right| = 0.$$

Then $\sum_1^\infty y_k$ exists a.e. and in the \mathcal{L}_1 -norm.

Proof. Set $S_n = \sum_1^n y_k$. Then

$$\int_A |S_n| \leq \int_A |S_m| + \int \left| \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right|,$$

so it is clear that $\{S_n\}$ is uniformly integrable. Further, setting $\mathfrak{B}_n = \sigma(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, we have

$$|E(S_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - S_m| = \left| \int \sum_{m+1}^n y_k \right| \leq \int \left| \sum_{m+1}^n y_k \right|,$$

so $\{S_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale in the limit.

2. Let $\{X_n, \mathfrak{B}_n\}$ be an adapted uniformly integrable sequence with $|E(X_{n+1} | \mathfrak{B}_n) - X_n| \leq c_n$ where $\{c_n\}$ is a sequence of constants with $\sum_1^\infty c_n < \infty$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with $X_n \rightarrow X$ almost everywhere and in the \mathcal{L}_1 -norm.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m &= \sum_m^{n-1} E(X_{k+1} - X_k | \mathfrak{B}_m) \\ &= \sum_m^{n-1} E(E_{k+1} - X_k | \mathfrak{B}_k) | \mathfrak{B}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|E(X_n | \mathfrak{B}_m) - X_m| \leq \sum_m^{n-1} c_k.$$

Editorial note. See also R. Subramanian, "On a generalization of Martingales due to Blake," *Pacific J. Math.*, 48, No. 1, (1973), 275-278.

REFERENCES

1. L. Blake, *A note concerning a class of games which become fairer with time*, to appear, *Glasgow Math. J.*
2. ———, *A generalization of martingales and two consequent convergence theorems*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 35, No. 2, (1970).
3. J. Neveu, *Mathematical Foundations of the Calculus of Probability*, Holden-Day, (1965).

Received June 23, 1972 and in revised form October 2, 1972. This research was supported by a University of Maryland General Research Board Grant, Summer 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND