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#### Abstract

The counting techniques of the author's earlier work on strongly regular graphs are used to prove the converse of a result of R. C. Bose and S. S. Shrikhande on geometric and pseudo-geometric graphs ( $q^{\mathbf{2}}+1, q+1,1$ ).


0. Introduction. In the present paper, we use the counting techniques of the author's earlier work [5] to prove the converse of a result of R.C. Bose and S.S. Shrikhande [3] on geometric and pseudo-geometric graphs $\left(q^{2}+\right.$ $1, q+1,1)$.

Section 1 is devoted to preliminaries on strongly regular graphs and group divisible designs. We also give a brief description of the problem under consideration and a statement of our main result Theorem 1.1. Section 2 contains the proof of Theorem '1.1.

We refer to [3] for the necessary background. Throughout this paper $I$ will denote an identity matrix and $J$ a square matrix of all ones. Also $j$ and $O$ will denote row vectors of all ones and zeros respectively. Finally, $|S|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $S$.

1. Preliminary results and the statement of the main result Theorem 1.1.

A strongly regular graph [1] is a graph on $v$ vertices, without loops or multiple edges and whose standard $(0,1)$ adjacency matrix $A$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A J=J A=n_{1} J \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}=n_{1} I+\lambda A+\mu(J-I-A) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters of a strongly regular graph are then denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v, n_{1}, \lambda, \mu \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v=m n$ objects ( $=$ treatments) be partitioned into $m$ disjoint sets $S_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$, each containing $n$ objects. Let two objects be called adjacent if and only if they belong to the same set $S_{i}$. We then get a strongly regular graph, which is traditionally called a group divisible (G.D.) association scheme. The parameters of a G.D. scheme are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=m n, n_{1}=n-1, \lambda=n-2, \mu=0 \quad(n \geq 2) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that for a G.D. scheme, the $m n \times m n$ adjacency matrix ( $=$ association matrix) $C$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\operatorname{diag}\left[J_{n}-I_{n}, J_{n}-I_{n}, \ldots, J_{n}-I_{n}\right] . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that we have a G.D. scheme on $v=m n$ treatments as above. A G.D. design $D\left(v, b, r, k, m, n, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ is an arrangement of these $v$ treatments $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{v}$ into $b$ distinct subsets $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{b}$ (called blocks) satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $\left|B_{i}\right|=k \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, b)$
(2) Each treatment occurs in exactly $r$ blocks.
(3) Two treatments from the same set $S_{i}$ appear together in exactly $\lambda_{1}$ blocks and two treatments from distinct sets $S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ occur together in exactly $\lambda_{2}$ blocks.

The parameters of a G.D. design are denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v, b, r, k, m, n, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A G.D. design $D$ is called semi-regular group divisible (S.R.G.D.) if $r$ $>\lambda_{1}$ and $r k=\lambda_{2} v$. Bose and Connor [2] have shown that for a S.R.G.D. design, $m$ divides $k$ and each block contains $k / m$ treatments from each set $S_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$.

We now indicate the problem considered in the present paper. Let $D$ be a S.R.G.D. design with parameters (1.6). Let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{v}$ and $B_{1}$, $B_{2}, \ldots, B_{b}$ denote the treatments and blocks of $D$ respectively. Suppose $D$ has the additional property that there exist distinct nonnegative integers $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ satisfying $\left|B_{i} \cap B_{j}\right| \in\left\{\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right\}(i \neq j)$. We construct the block graph $B$ of $D$ as follows. Take the vertices of $B$ to be the blocks of $D$. Define blocks $B_{i}, B_{j}(i \neq j)$ to be adjacent if and only if $\left|B_{i} \cap B_{j}\right|=\mu_{1}$.

Let $N$ denote the usual $v \times b(0,1)$ incidence matrix of $D$. Let $C$ be given by (1.5). Define

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & j_{v} & O_{b}  \tag{1.7}\\
j_{v}^{\prime} & C & N \\
O_{b}^{\prime} & N^{\prime} & B
\end{array}\right]
$$

We note that $A$ is a symmetric $(0,1)$ matrix of size $b+v+1$, and has zero trace. Therefore $A$ is the adjacency matrix of a graph. We wish to find necessary and sufficient conditions on the parameters of $D$, so that $A$ is strongly regular.

In [3], the converse situation was investigated. There, one starts with a very specific strongly regular graph, namely a pseudo-geometric graph $\left(q^{2}\right.$ $+1, q+1,1) \quad(q \geq 2)$. (See $[1]$ for a general discussion of geometric and pseudo-geometric graphs ( $r, k, t)$ ). The adjacency matrix $A$ of this graph can be brought to the form (1.7), where $C, N, B$ are now ( 0,1 ) matrices of the appropriate form. If further, $A$ has the properties $(P)$ and $\left(P^{*}\right)$ as in the notation of [3], then it was shown that $N$ is the incidence matrix of a S.R.G.D. design $D$ and $C$ is given by (1.5). Moreover the blocks of $D$ have two intersection cardinalities $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ and $B$ is the block graph of $D$.

Specifically, the parameters of the S.R.G.D. design $D$ were shown to be

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v=q\left(q^{2}+1\right), b=q^{4}, r=q^{3}, k=q^{2}+1, m=q^{2}+1,  \tag{1.8}\\
n=q, \lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=q^{2}, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=q+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this paper, we shall show that there are only two parametrically possible strongly regular graphs $A$, of the form (1.7), which can be obtained from S.R.G.D. designs in the above manner. One of these graphs is pseu-do-geometric $\left(q^{2}+1, q+1,1\right)$.

The full content of our main result is the following:
Theorem 1.1 Let $N$ be the incidence matrix of a S.R.G.D. design D with parameters $v=m n, b, r, k, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ having $m$ sets of $n$ treatments each. Suppose any two distinct blocks of $D$ intersect in $\mu_{1}$ or $\mu_{2}\left(\neq \mu_{1}\right)$ treatments. Let $C$ be the association matrix of $D$ and let $B$ be the adjacency matrix of the blocks of $D$.

Then,

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & j_{v} & O_{b} \\
j_{v}^{\prime} & C & N \\
O_{b}^{\prime} & N^{\prime} & B
\end{array}\right]
$$

represents a strongly regular graph if and only if the parameters of $D$ are given by
(1) $v=q\left(q^{2}+1\right), b=q^{4}, r=q^{3}, k=q^{2}+1, m=q^{2}+1$, $n=q, \lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=q, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=q+1 \quad(q \geq 2)$
or (2) $v=2 n, b=n^{2}, r=n, k=2, m=2, n$,

$$
\lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=1, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=0 \quad(n \geq 2) .
$$

Moreover, the corresponding strongly regular graphs $A$ are respectively pseudo-geometric ( $q^{2}+1, q+1,1$ ) or pseudo-geometric ( $2, n+1,1$ ).
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $D\left(v, b, r, k, m, n, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ be a S.R.G.D. design based on $m$ sets of $n$ treatments each. Let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{v}$ and $B_{1}$, $B_{2}, \ldots, B_{b}$ denote the treatments and blocks of $D$. We assume further that any two distinct blocks of $D$ intersect in $\mu_{1}$ or $\mu_{2}\left(\neq \mu_{1}\right)$ treatments. Then the parameters of $D$ can be taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=m n, b, r, k, m, n, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N, B, C$ and $A$ be as in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Let $m_{i}$ denote the number of blocks intersecting a given block in $\mu_{i}$ treatments ( $i=1,2$ ). Then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}+m_{2}=b-1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} \mu_{1}+m_{2} \mu_{2}=k(r-1) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $B$ has constant row sum $m_{1}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\frac{k(r-1)+\mu_{2}(1-b)}{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $D$ is a S.R.G.D. design with incidence matrix $N$, we know from [2], that $N N^{\prime}$ has eigenvalues $r k, r-\lambda_{1}$ and $r k-\lambda_{2} v=0$, with multiplicities $1, m(n-1)$ and $m-1$ respectively. Hence $N^{\prime} N$ has eigenvalues $r k, r-\lambda_{1}$ and 0 with multiplicities $1, m(n-1)$ and $b-m(n-1)-$ 1 respectively. But, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{\prime} N=k I+\mu_{1} B+\mu_{2}(J-I-B) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from Frobenius' theorem on commuting matrices, $B$ has eigenvalues $\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{0}=\frac{k(r-1)+\mu_{2}(1-b)}{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}=m_{1}, \text { with multiplicity } 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}=\frac{\left(r-\lambda_{1}\right)+\left(\mu_{2}-k\right)}{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}}, \quad \text { with multiplicity } m(n-1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}=\frac{\left(\mu_{2}-k\right)}{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}, \quad \quad \text { with multiplicity } b-m(n-1)-1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from Lemma $5,[4], B$ is strongly regular $\left(b, m_{1}, \alpha, \beta\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=m_{1}+\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2}, \beta=m_{1}+\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & j_{v} & O_{b}  \tag{2.10}\\
j_{v}^{\prime} & C & N \\
O_{b}^{\prime} & N^{\prime} & B
\end{array}\right]
$$

Suppose $A$ is strongly regular $\left(b+v+1, n_{1}, \lambda, \mu\right)$. Any row sum of $A$ is either $v, n+r$ or $k+m_{1}$. Hence, for regularity we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=v=n+r=k+m_{1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by considering any two treatments or any two blocks which are adjacent or nonadjacent, easy counting arguments in (2.10) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=n-1=(n-1)+\lambda_{1}=\mu_{1}+\alpha \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=k=1+\lambda_{2}=\mu_{2}+\beta \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12), we see that $\lambda_{1}=0$. This together with the Bose-Connor property mentioned in $\S 1$, implies that every block contains exactly one treatment from each set. Hence the parameters (2.1) of $D$ can be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=m n, b=n^{2} \lambda_{2}, r=\lambda_{2} n, k=m, m, n, \lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, consider a treatment $t_{i}$ and a block $B_{j}$ such that $t_{i} \in B_{j}$. Denoting $N=\left(n_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right), C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$, we have from (2.10),

$$
\left.\lambda=\| l: c_{i l}=1=n_{l j}, 1 \leq l \leq v\right\}|+|\left\{l: n_{i l}=1=b_{j l}, 1 \leq l \leq b| | .\right.
$$

Using the Bose-Connor property, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\mid\left\{B_{l}: l \neq j, t_{i} \in B_{l} \text { and }\left|B_{l} \cap B_{j}\right|=\mu_{1}\right\} \mid . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B_{j}=\left\{t_{i}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k-1}\right\}, B_{l}=\left\{t_{i}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right\}$ (say). Since $\lambda_{1}=0$, each pair $\left(t_{i}, y_{p}\right), 1 \leq p \leq k-1$ occurs $\lambda_{2}$ times in the blocks of $D$. Counting the distribution of these pairs in two ways, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{(k-1)\left(\lambda_{2}-1\right)-\left(\mu_{2}-1\right)(r-1)}{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, consider a treatment $t_{i}$ and a block $B_{j}$ such that $t_{i} \notin B_{j}$. Then using the Bose-Connor property, a similar type of counting yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{(k-1) \lambda_{2}+\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)-r \mu_{2}}{\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (2.12), (2.17) and (2.13), (2.18) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)=(k-1)\left(\lambda_{2}-1\right)-\left(\mu_{2}-1\right)(r-1) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k-1)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)=(k-1) \lambda_{2}-r \mu_{2} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (2.19) and (2.20) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}+r-k=(n-k+1)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2}+r-k=(n-k)\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, using (2.13), (2.12), (2.11) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{1}+r-k\right)\left\{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu_{1}-k\right\}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{2}+r-k\right)\left\{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu_{2}-k\right\}+\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}(n-k)=0 . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)(n-k+1)\left\{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu_{1}-k\right\}=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)(n-k)\left\{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu_{1}-k\right\}=0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$, this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu_{1}-k=0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=g$ in (2.27) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{1}=k-g^{2}  \tag{2.28}\\
\mu_{2}=k-g^{2}-g
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting these values in (2.22) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+g) \lambda_{2}=(n+g) g \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, either

$$
\lambda_{2}=g \quad(>0) \quad \text { case }(a)
$$

or

$$
n=-g \quad(\geq 2) \quad \text { case (b) }
$$

If case (a) holds, then $k=m=1+\lambda_{2}=1+g$ and $\mu_{1}=g+1-g^{2}$, $\mu_{2}=1-g^{2}$. But $\mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{1} \geq 0, \mu_{2} \geq 0$ then imply that $D$ has parameters

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v=m n=2 n, b=n^{2}, r=n, k=2, m=2, n  \tag{2.31}\\
\lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=1, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Also, the parameters of $A$ are then

$$
(2.32) b+v+1=(n+1)^{2}, n_{1}=2 n, \lambda=n-1, \mu=2 \quad(n \geq 2) .
$$

Thus $A$ is pseudo-geometric ( $2, n+1,1$ ).
Finally if case (b) holds, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=-g=q \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.20), together with $\lambda_{2} \neq 0, n \geq 2$ implies that $D$ has parameters

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v=q\left(q^{2}+1\right), b=q^{4}, \quad r=q^{3}, k=q^{2}+1, \quad m=q^{2}+1,  \tag{2.34}\\
n=q, \lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=q^{2}, \mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=q+1,(q \geq 2) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

And in this case, it is easily seen that $A$ has parameters

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b+v+1=(q+1)\left(q^{3}+1\right), n_{1}=q\left(q^{2}+1\right)  \tag{2.35}\\
\lambda=q-1, \mu=q^{2}+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, in this case $A$ is pseudo-geometric ( $q^{2}+1, q+1,1$ ).
We have therefore established that if $A$ is strongly regular, then $D$ has parameters given by (2.31) or (2.34). Moreover $A$ is then pseudo-geometric $(2, n+1,1)$ or $\left(q^{2}+1, q+1,1\right)$ respectively.
Conversely it can be easily shown that if $D$ has parameters given by (2.31) or (2.34), then $A$ is strongly regular and is pseudo-geometric $(2, n+1,1)$ or ( $q^{2}+1, q+1,1$ ) respectively.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Remarks. (i) The existence of S.R.G.D. designs $D$ with parameters of case (1) in Theorem 1.1 and partial geometries $\left(q^{2}+1, q+1,1\right)$ is known for $q$ a prime or prime power (See [1] and [3]).
(ii) The design $D$ with parameters of case (2) in Theorem 1.1 is
known for any integer $n$ and is constructed as follows: Arrange $n^{2}$ treatments in an $n \times n$ array as

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\
\hline n+1 & n+2 & \cdots & 2 n \\
\hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\hline n^{2}-n+1 & n^{2}-n+2 & \cdots & n^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Write down $2 n$ blocks corresponding to the rows and columns of $L$. We get a design $E$ where the blocks are the columns in


$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & n+1 & \cdots & n^{2}-n+1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots \\
2 & n+2 & \cdots & n^{2}-n+2 & n+1 & n+2 & \cdots \\
2 n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & 2 n & \cdots & n^{2} & n^{2}-n+1 & n^{2}-n+2 & \cdots \\
n^{2}
\end{array}
$$

The required design $D$ is the dual of $E$. It is easily seen that in this case the line graph $L_{2}(n+1)$ of the complete bipartite graph $K(n+1, n+1)$ has the same parameters as the graph $A$.
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