## CONCERNING SIU'S METHOD FOR SOLVING y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t)))

## MURIL L. ROBERTSON

A procedure is given, which is parameterized by a certain set of real-valued functions, that yields sufficient conditions on each of g and F to guarantee a solution to y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t))).

The following is the main result.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that f is a real-valued continuous function with connected domain J of real numbers so that

 $(1) \quad 0 \in J \text{ and } f(0) > 0$ 

(2) f is increasing on  $J \cap [0, +\infty)$ 

(3) f is decreasing on  $J \cap (-\infty, 0]$ 

(4) 0 < k < 1, if the range of f is unbounded; and k = 1 if the range of f is bounded

(5) B is a Banach space,  $F: J \times B \rightarrow B$ , and  $N: J \rightarrow R$ 

(6) F is continuous and there is a constant C so that

$$\left| \int_{0}^{x} \left| \left| F(s, 0) \right| \right| ds 
ight| \leq C f(x), \text{ for all } x \text{ in } J.$$

(7)  $||F(t, x) - F(t, y)|| \le N(t) ||x - y||$ , for  $t \in J$  and  $x, y \in B$ 

(8) N is positive and Lebesgue integrable or subintervals of J

(9) g is any continuous function from J into J so that  $g(x) \in f^{-1}[f(0), k| f'^{\text{sign}x}(x)|/N(x)]$  for all  $x \in J$ .  $(f'^{\text{sign}x}$  denotes the right-hand derivative if x > 0 and it denotes the left-hand derivative if x < 0.) (10)  $q \in B$ .

Then, there is a unique function  $y: J \rightarrow B$  so that y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t))), y(0) = q and  $||y(t)|| \leq \text{Constant} \cdot f(t)$ , for all t in J.

LEMMA. If f satisfies conditions (1), (2), and (3) in the statement of Theorem 1, then  $\int_{0}^{x} f'^{\text{signs}}(s) \, ds$  exists in the Lebesgue sense and is less than or equal to f(x) - f(0), for each  $x \in J$ .

Proof of Lemma. Suppose x > 0. Let  $f_n(s) = [f(s + 1/n) - f(s)]n$ . Then  $f'^+(s) = \lim f_n(s)$  for almost all s > 0. Clearly each  $f_n$  is summable, because each  $f_n$  is continuous. Also, for each n

$$\int_0^x f_n(s) = \int_0^x n \left[ f\left(s + \frac{1}{n}\right) - f(s) \right] ds$$
$$= n \int_{1/n}^{x+1/n} f(s) ds - n \int_0^x f(s) ds$$

$$= n \int_{x}^{x+1/n} f(s) ds - n \int_{0}^{1/n} f(s) ds \text{ (which approaches} \\ f(x) - f(0))$$
$$\leq 2 \cdot \{ \text{sup of } f \text{ on } [0, x+1] \}$$

Thus, by Fatou's lemma [see 3, page 39],  $f'^+$  is summable on [0, x] for all x > 0 and  $x \in J$  and

$$\int_0^x f'(s) ds \leq \liminf \int_0^x f_n(s) ds \leq f(x) - f(0) \; .$$

The proof is similar for x < 0.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $||\cdot||$  be the norm of B and define  $|\cdot|$ to be the norm defined by  $|z| = \sup\{||z(x)||/f(x): x \in J\}$  for each z continuous from J into B such that this supremum exists. Let Y denote the Banach space of all such z, with norm  $|\cdot|$ . For each  $z \in Y$ and  $x \in J$ , let  $(Tz)(x) = q + \int_{0}^{x} F(s, z(g(s))) ds$ . Suppose z,  $w \in Y$ . Then

$$egin{aligned} ||\, (Tz)(x)\, -\, (Tw)(x)|| &= \left| \left| \int_{0}^{x} [F(s,\, z(g(s))) \, -\, F(s,\, w(g(s)))] ds 
ight| \ &\leq \left| \int_{0}^{x} N(s) \, ||\, z(g(s)) \, -\, w(g(s)) \, ||\, ds 
ight| \ &\leq \left| \int_{0}^{x} N(s) f(g(s)) ds 
ight| \, |z - w| \; . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $|Tz - Tw| \leq \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{0}^{x} N(s)f(g(s))ds \right| / f(x) \right\} |z - w|$ . The following shows that T is a contraction.

Case 1. Suppose x > 0. Then if  $0 \le s \le x$ ,  $f(g(s)) \in [f(0), kf'^+(s)/N(s)]$ . Thus,  $\left|\int_0^x N(s)f(g(s))ds\right| = \int_0^x N(s)f(g(s))ds \le \int_0^x kf'^+(s)ds \le k(f(x) - f(0))$ , by the lemma.

Case 2. Suppose x < 0. Then if

$$x \leq s \leq 0, f(g(s)) \in [f(0), -kf'^{-}(s)/N(s)]$$
.

Thus,

$$\left|\int_{0}^{x} N(s)f(g(s))ds\right| = \int_{x}^{0} N(s)f(g(s))d \leq s k \int_{0}^{x} f'^{-}(s)ds \leq k(f(x) - f(0)) ,$$

by the lemma.

Thus, in either case  $c = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{0}^{x} N(x) f(g(s)) ds \right| / f(x) \right\} \leq \sup \left\{ k(1 - f(0)/f(x)) \right\}$ . So, if the range of f is unbounded,  $c \leq k$  and if the range of f is bounded by L and k = 1, then  $c \leq 1 - f(0)/L$ . So T

has contraction constant c. The zero function Z is in Y, because  $(TZ)(x) = q + \int_{0}^{x} F(s, 0) ds$  and  $||(TZ)(x)||/f(x) \leq [||q|| + Cf(x)]/f(x) \leq ||q||/f(0) + C$ . Now if  $w \in Y$ ,  $||(Tw)(x)||/f(x) \leq |Tw - TZ| + |TZ|$ . Thus  $Tw \in Y$ . So, by Banach's contraction mapping principle, T has a unique fixed point in Y. This proves Theorem 1.

REMARKS. (1) Given any g one may find an appropriate N and apply Theorem 1, by requiring  $N(x) \leq k |f'^{\text{sign}x}(x)|/f(g(x))$ .

(2) At any particular x, there is an f so that  $f'^{\text{sign}x}(x) = \infty$ and so that t does not have infinite derivative in a deleted neighborhood of x. For this type f, g(x) could be any number.

In [5], Siu essentially uses the method of Theorem 1 with  $f(x) = \exp(|x|/e)$  to obtain:

THEOREM 2 (Siu). If  $|g(x)| \leq |x| + c$ , where 0 < c < 1/e, for all real numbers x, then y' = y(g), y(0) = q has unique solution subject to  $||y(x)|| \leq \text{constant} \cdot \exp(|x|/e)$ 

In [4], the author proves:

THEOREM 3. If  $\{I(i)\}$  is a sequence of intervals so that  $I(0) = \{0\} \subseteq I(i) \subseteq I(i+1), I(i) = [a(i), b(i)], and \max \{a(i-1) - a(i), b(i) - b(i-1)\} < 1$  for each positive integer i; then y' = y(g), y(0) = q has unique solution on  $\cup \{I(i)\}$ , whenever g is countinuous and  $g(I(i)) \subseteq I(i)$  for each positive integer i.

The following theorem is comparable to each of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. Suppose the hypothesis of Theorem 3 holds and k is in (0, 1) such that  $\max \{a(i-1) - a(i), b(i) - b(i-1)\} < k$  for each positive integer i. Then, for each positive integer i, there exists  $\delta(i) > 0$  such that if g is a continuous function from  $\cup \{I(i)\}$  into  $\cup \{I(i)\}$  such that  $g(I(i)) \subseteq [a(i) - \delta(i), b(i) + \delta(i)]$ , then y'(t) = F(t,y(g(t))), y(0) = q has a solution on  $\cup \{I(i)\}$  for any F such that N =1, where F and N satisfy the conditions listed for them in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let f be a positive continuous piecewise linear function with domain  $\cup \{I(i)\}$  such that f has slope M(i) or (b(i-1), b(i)) and slope -M(i) on (a(i), a(i-1)) where the sequence  $\{M(i)\}$  is chosen such that for each nonnegative integer n,

$$egin{aligned} M(n+1) \ &> \max\left\{ \left[ f(0) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^n M(i)(a(i-1)-a(i)) 
ight] \Big/ \left[ k - (a(n)-a(n+1)) 
ight] 
ight, \ & \left[ f(0) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^n M(i)(b(i)-b(i-1)) 
ight] \Big/ \left[ k - (b(n+1)-b(n)) 
ight] 
ight\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{split} \delta(n+1) &= \min \left\{ a(n+1) - a(n+2), \, b(n+2) - b(n+1) , \\ \left[ kM(n+1) - \left[ f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} M(i)(a(i-1) - a(i)) \right] \right] \right/ M(n+2) , \\ \left[ kM(n+1) - \left[ f(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} M(i)(b(i) - b(i-1)) \right] \right] \right/ M/(n+2) \right\} . \end{split}$$

It follows that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold.

REMARK. The solution in Theorem 4 is unique in the Banach space Y of Theorem 1, which depends on f.

The following is a straightforward application of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 5. Suppose

$$(1) -1 < -k < a < 0 < b < k < 1$$

$$(2) m \ge \max \{1/(k+a), 1/(k-b)\}$$

$$(3) nk \ge \max \{(1 - ma)/(1 + mb), (1 + mb)(1 - ma)\}$$

$$(4) \qquad l(x) = egin{cases} x - (\log nk)/n & , & x \leq a \ a - (\log km/(1-ma))/n & , & a < x < b \ -x + a + b - (\log kn(mb+1)/(1-ma))/n & , & b \leq x \end{cases}$$

and

$$u(x) = egin{cases} -x + a + b + (\log nk/(1 - ma)/(1 + mb))/n \ , & x \leq a \ b + (\log km/(1 + mb))/n \ , & a < x < b \ x + (\log nk)/n \ , & b \leq x \end{cases}$$

(5) 
$$l \leq g \leq u \text{ and } g \text{ is continuous }.$$

Then, there is a unique solution to y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t))), y(0) = qwhere F and N satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1, N = 1 and,  $||y(x)|| \leq \text{constant} \cdot f(x)$ , for all real numbers x, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - ma) \exp(-n(x - a)), & x \leq a \\ 1 - mx, & a \leq x \leq 0 \\ 1 + mx, & 0 \leq x \leq b \\ (mb + 1) \exp(n(x - b)), & b \leq x \end{cases}$$

226

REMARK. If a = -b, then u = -l.

The following is a generalization of a theorem by D. R. Anderson [1].

COROLLARY TO THEOREM 5. Suppose  $0 < \beta < 1, \varepsilon > 0$ , and

$$|g(x)| \leq egin{cases} -x + rac{1}{e} - arepsilon & x \leq -eta \ eta + \left( \log rac{1}{eta} 
ight) ig/ e - arepsilon & -eta < x < eta \ x + rac{1}{e} - arepsilon & eta \leq x \;. \end{cases}$$

Then, there is a solution to y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t))), y(0) = q for N = 1any y subject to  $||y(x)|| \leq \text{constant} \cdot f(x)$  for an appropriate f.

Proof. Straightforward.

**REMARK.** As  $\beta$  approaches 0, g is allowed to become indefinitely large at 0.

The author wishes to thank Professor Bob Dorroh for his help with the lemma to Theorem 1.

## References

1. D. R. Anderson, An existence theorem for a solution of f'(x) = F(x, f(g(x))), SIAM Review, **8** (1966), 359-362.

2. R. J. Oberg, On the local existence of solutions of certain functional-differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (1969), 295-302.

3. F. Riesz, and B. Sz. Nagy, Functional Analysis, Ungar, New York, 1955.

4. M. L. Robertson, The equation y'(t) = F(t, y(g(t))), Pacific J. Math., 43 (1972), 483-491.

5. Y. T. Siu, On the solution of the equation  $f'(x) = \lambda f(g(x))$ , Math. Z., **90** (1965) 391, -392.

Received April 16, 1973 and in revised form March 6, 1975.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY