

## GENERALIZED PRIMITIVE ELEMENTS FOR TRANSCENDENTAL FIELD EXTENSIONS

JAMES K. DEVENEY

Let  $L$  be a finitely generated separable extension of a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Artin's theorem of a primitive element states that if  $L$  is algebraic over  $K$ , then  $L$  is a simple extension of  $K$ . If  $L$  is non-algebraic over  $K$ , then an element  $\theta \in L$  with the property  $L = L'(\theta)$  for every  $L'$ ,  $L \supseteq L' \supseteq K$ , such that  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $L'$  is called a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ . The main result states that if  $[K : K^p] > p$ , then there exists a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ . An example is given showing that if  $[K : K^p] \leq p$ , then  $L$  need not have a generalized primitive element over  $K$ .

**I. Introduction.** Let  $L$  be a finitely generated extension of a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Artin's theorem of the primitive element states that if  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $K$ , then  $L$  is a simple extension of  $K$ . In this paper we examine the following analogue of Artin's theorem in the case where  $L$  is a separable non-algebraic extension of  $K$ . Does there exist an element  $\theta \in L$  with the property that  $\theta$  is a primitive element for  $L$  over every intermediate field  $L'$  such that  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $L'$ ? The main result states that if  $K$  has at least two elements in a  $p$ -basis, then there does exist such a generalized primitive element (Theorem 4). Such elements  $\theta$  are characterized by the condition that  $L$  is reliable over  $K(\theta)$  (Theorem 1). As a corollary, it follows that automorphisms of  $L$  over  $K$  are uniquely determined by their action on a generalized primitive element  $\theta$ . Other results which indicate the essential nature of a generalized primitive element include the following. If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are intermediate fields of  $L/K$  where  $L$  is separable over  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , then  $L_2 \supseteq L_1$  if and only if some generalized primitive element for  $L_1$  is in  $L_2$  (Theorem 6).

**II. Generalized primitive elements.** Throughout we assume  $L$  is a finitely generated extension of a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . As usual, a relative  $p$ -basis for  $L$  over  $K$  is a minimal generating set for  $L$  over  $K(L^p)$ .

**DEFINITION.**  $L$  is a reliable extension of  $K$  if  $L = K(M)$  for every relative  $p$ -basis  $M$  of  $L$  over  $K$ .

In the case where  $L$  is finitely generated over  $K$ ,  $L$  is reliable over  $K$  if and only if there does not exist a proper intermediate field  $L'$  with  $L$  separable over  $L'$  [5, Theorem 1, p. 524]. Using this result it follows that if  $L$  is reliable over  $K$ , then  $L$  is reliable over any intermediate field  $M$ .

**THEOREM 1** [1, Theorem 1.9]. *If  $L$  is finitely generated over  $K$ , then there exists a unique intermediate field  $C$  with the property  $L/C$  is separable and  $C/K$  is reliable.*

In fact,  $C$  is the intersection of all subfields  $L'$  such that  $L/L'$  is separable. If  $L$  is separable over  $K$ , then an element  $\theta$  in  $L$  is a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$  if  $L = L'(\theta)$  for any  $L'$  such that  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $L'$ . Henceforth,  $L$  will be a finitely generated separable (non-algebraic) extension of  $K$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *An element  $\theta$  in  $L$  is a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$  if and only if  $L$  is reliable over  $K(\theta)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\theta$  is a generalized primitive element. It suffices to show there are no proper intermediate fields  $L'$ ,  $L \supset L' \supseteq K(\theta)$ , over which  $L$  is separable. Since  $\theta$  is a generalized primitive element, there are no proper fields over which  $L$  is separable algebraic. But in any finitely generated separable extension  $L/L'$  there exist subfields over which  $L$  is separable algebraic (by applying Luroth's Theorem). Thus  $L/K(\theta)$  is reliable.

Conversely, assume there exists an element  $\theta$  such that  $L$  is reliable over  $K(\theta)$  and let  $L'$  be any intermediate field such that  $L/L'$  is separable algebraic. Then  $L/L'(\theta)$  is also separable. Since  $L/K(\theta)$  is reliable and  $L' \supseteq K$ ,  $L/L'(\theta)$  is reliable and hence  $L = L'(\theta)$ .

The following result of Mordeson and Vinograd is essential to this paper.

**THEOREM 3** [4, Theorem 2]. *Assume  $L$  is a finitely generated separable extension of  $K$ ,  $L \neq K$ , and assume  $[K:K^p] > p$ . Then there exists a field  $M = L(\alpha)$  where  $M$  is reliable over  $K$  and  $\alpha^p$  is in  $L$ .*

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $L$  be a finitely generated separable extension of  $K$  and assume  $[K:K^p] > p$ . Then there exists a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3, there exists a field  $M = L(\alpha)$  which is reliable over  $K$  and  $\alpha^p \in L$ . Let  $\theta = \alpha^p$  and we show  $\theta$  is the desired element. By Theorem 2, it suffices to show  $L$  is reliable over  $K(\theta)$ . Assume there exists an intermediate field  $L'$ ,  $L \supseteq L' \supseteq K(\theta)$

where  $L$  is separable over  $L'$ . Since  $\alpha^p \in K(\theta)$ ,  $\alpha^p \in L'$ . Thus  $L'(\alpha)$  is purely inseparable over  $L'$ . Thus  $L$  and  $L'(\alpha)$  are linearly disjoint over  $L'$ . By [3, Corollary 4, p. 265],  $L'(\alpha)(L) = M$  is separable over  $L'(\alpha)$ . As  $M$  is reliable over  $L'(\alpha)$ ,  $M = L'(\alpha)$  and since  $L$  and  $L'(\alpha)$  are linearly disjoint over  $L'$ , we must have  $L = L'$  and  $L$  is reliable over  $K(\theta)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $L/K$  is nonalgebraic, then any generalized primitive element is transcendental over  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  be a generalized primitive element. If  $\theta$  were algebraic over  $K$ , then  $L/K(\theta)$  would be separable and hence  $L = K(\theta)$ .

The following corollary is a direct result of a calculation in [4]. For completeness, it is presented here.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Assume  $L = K(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n)$  where  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$  are algebraically independent over  $K$  and  $F/K(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$  is nontrivial separable. Let  $\{x, y\}$  be  $p$ -independent in  $K$ . Then  $\theta = \alpha^p$  is a generalized primitive element for  $L/K$  where*

$$\alpha = \sum_1^{n-1} k_j z_j^{p^j} + k_n z_n^{p^{n-1}}$$

and

$$k_1 = y^{-1}$$

$$k_j = (-1)^{j-1} \frac{x^{p^0 + \dots + p^{j-2}}}{y^{p^0 + \dots + p^{j-1}}} \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$k_n = (-1)^{n-1} \left( \frac{x}{y} \right)^{p^0 + \dots + p^{n-2}}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from Theorem 4 and the proof of [4, Theorem 1, p. 44].

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $\theta$  be a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ . Then any automorphism of  $L$  over  $K$  is uniquely determined by its action on  $\theta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma, \tau$  be automorphisms of  $L/K$  and assume  $\sigma(\theta) = \tau(\theta)$ . Then  $\sigma\tau^{-1}(\theta) = \theta$  and  $K(\theta)$  is contained in the fixed field  $L'$  of  $\sigma\tau^{-1}$ . Since  $L$  is separable over  $L'$ ,  $L = L'$  and  $\sigma = \tau$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\theta$  be a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ , and let  $F$  be an intermediate field such that  $L$  is separable nonalgebraic over  $F$ . Then  $F$  is free from  $K(\theta)$  and  $F(\theta)$  is separable over  $K(\theta)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\theta$  were algebraic over  $F$ , then  $L$  would be separable over  $F(\theta)$ , a contradiction to  $L$  being reliable over  $F(\theta)$ . Thus  $K(\theta)$  is free from  $F$ . The remainder of the Lemma follows from [3, Corollary 4, p. 265].

A generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$  will generate  $L$  over any subfield  $L'$  such that  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $L'$ . The following theorem shows that with one exception these are the only subfields with this property.

THEOREM 5. *Let  $\theta$  be a generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ , and let  $L'$  be a subfield of  $L$  containing  $K$ . Then  $L = L'(\theta)$  if and only if either  $L/L'$  is separable algebraic or  $L = K(\theta)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $L = L'(\theta)$ . If  $L/L'$  are not algebraic, then  $L/L'$  would be pure transcendental and hence separable. But then by Lemma 1,  $L/K(\theta)$  would be separable, and hence  $L = K(\theta)$ . Thus we may assume  $L/L'$  is algebraic and  $L \neq K(\theta)$ . Since  $L/K(\theta)$  is not separable and  $L/K$  is,  $\theta \in K(L^p)$  [1, Proposition 1.3]. Thus  $L = L'(L^p)$  and  $L$  is relatively perfect over  $L'$ . Since  $L/L'$  is also finitely generated,  $L/L'$  is separable algebraic [6, Theorem 2, p. 419]. The converse is Theorem 2.

If  $L$  is a finitely generated separable extension of  $K$ , then any intermediate field  $L'$  is also finitely generated and separable over  $L$ . If  $[K:K^p] > p$ , then  $L'$  will also have a generalized primitive element  $\theta'$  over  $K$ . Moreover, each element of  $L$  will be a generalized primitive element for a unique subfield  $L'$  where  $L/L'$  is separable. For if  $\theta \in L$ , let  $L'$  be the unique intermediate field of  $L/K(\theta)$  such that  $L$  is separable over  $L'$  and  $L'$  is reliable over  $K(\theta)$ . Then  $\theta$  is a generalized primitive element for  $L'$ . Thus any intermediate field  $L'$  where  $L$  is separable over  $L'$  is uniquely determined by any of its generalized primitive elements. The following theorem and corollary indicate how a generalized primitive element is basic in the structure of an intermediate field.

THEOREM 6. *Assume  $L$  is a finitely generated separable extension of  $K$  and let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be two intermediate fields over which  $L$  is separable. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$
- (2) Every generalized primitive element for  $L_1$  is in  $L_2$
- (3) Some generalized primitive element for  $L_1$  is in  $L_2$ .

*Proof.* We show (3) implies (1). Let  $\theta_1$  be a generalized primitive element for  $L_1/K$  and assume  $\theta_1 \in L_2$ . If  $L_2$  is separable over  $K(\theta_1)$ , then  $L$  is separable over  $K(\theta_1)$  and  $L_1$  is separable over  $K(\theta_1)$ . Since  $L_1$  is reliable over  $K(\theta_1)$ ,  $L_1 = K(\theta_1)$  and  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ . If  $L_2$  is inseparable over  $K(\theta_1)$ , then there is a unique field  $C_2$ ,  $L_2 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq K(\theta_1)$  where  $L_2$  is separable over  $C_2$  and  $C_2$  is reliable over  $K(\theta_1)$ . Thus  $L$  is separable over  $C_2$  and  $C_2$  is reliable over  $K(\theta_1)$ . But  $L_1$  is uniquely determined by these properties and hence  $C_2 = L_1$  and  $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Assume  $L$  is separable over  $L_1$ ,  $L \supseteq L_1 \supseteq K$ , and  $\theta_1$  is a generalized primitive element for  $L_1$  over  $K$ . If  $L_2$  is any intermediate field of  $L$  over  $K$  such that  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $L_2$ , then  $L_2(L_1) = L_2(\theta_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $L_2$ ,  $L$  is separable over  $L_2(\theta_1)$ . By Theorem 6,  $L_2(\theta_1) \supseteq L_1$  and hence  $L_2(L_1)$ . Obviously  $L_2(\theta_1) \subseteq L_1(L_2)$  and thus  $L_2(L_1) = L_2(\theta_1)$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** If  $[K:K^p] \leq p$ , then  $L$  may not have a generalized primitive element over  $K$ . Let  $K$  be a perfect field and let  $L = K(x, y, z)$  where  $\{x, y, z\}$  is algebraically independent over  $K$ . We claim there is no generalized primitive element for  $L$  over  $K$ . Assume  $\theta$  is one. Then  $L/K(\theta)$  is reliable. However  $K(\theta)$  has one element in a relative  $p$ -basis and hence by [2, Theorem 7 (iv)]  $L$  is separable over  $(K(\theta))^* \cap L$ , where  $(K(\theta))^*$  is the perfect closure of  $K(\theta)$ . But  $(K(\theta))^* \cap L$  is of transcendence degree at most 1 over  $K$ , and hence  $(K(\theta))^* \cap L \neq L$ . This contradicts  $L$  being reliable over  $K(\theta)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J. Deveney and J. Mordeson, *Subfields and invariants of inseparable field extensions*, preprint.
2. N. Heerema and H. F. Kreimer, *Modularity vs. separability for field extensions*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **27** (1975), 1176–1182.
3. S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1967.
4. J. Mordeson and B. Vinograd, *Inseparable embeddings of separable transcendental extensions*, *Arc H. Math.*, **27** (1976), 42–47.
5. ———, *Relatively separated transcendental field extensions*, *Arch. Math.*, **24** (1973), 521–526.
6. ———, *Separating  $p$ -bases and transcendental extension fields*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **31** (1972), 417–422.

Received January 20, 1976.

VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY

RICHMOND, VA 23284

