

## THE CALCULATION OF AN INVARIANT FOR TOR

BRIAN D. WICK

**Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal such that  $\lambda$  is not cofinal with  $\omega$  and let  $G = \text{Tor}(A, B)$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are reduced  $p$ -groups. It is shown that the invariant defined to be the dimension of the  $Z/pZ$ -vector space  $p^\lambda \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), G/p^\lambda G)/p^{\lambda+1} \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), G/p^\lambda G)$  is zero. If  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  are three totally projective  $p$ -groups then either  $A$  or  $B$  must be the direct sum of countable  $p$ -groups.**

**1. Introduction.** Warfield introduced in [6] the class of  $S$ -groups and showed that these groups can be distinguished by a collection of invariants. These invariants for the group  $G$  consisted of the classical Ulm invariants and the invariant  $k(p^\lambda, G)$ , defined to be the dimension of the  $Z/pZ$ -vector space  $p^\lambda \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), G/p^\lambda G)/p^{\lambda+1} \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), G/p^\lambda G)$  where  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal which is not cofinal with  $\omega$ . In [7], it was shown that the  $S$ -groups are the  $p$ -groups projective relative to a class of short exact sequences. Since the class of  $S$ -groups has a projective characterization and contains the totally projective  $p$ -groups, and since each totally projective  $p$ -group is  $p^\alpha$ -projective for some ordinal  $\alpha$ , it was conjectured that an  $S$ -group would also be  $p^\alpha$ -projective for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . However, it will be shown in this paper that an  $S$ -group is  $p^\alpha$ -projective only if it is totally projective; in fact, it is a summand of a group of the form  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are reduced  $p$ -groups only if it is totally projective, [Corollary 3.6]. These results will follow once it is shown that the invariant  $k(p^\lambda, \text{Tor}(A, B))$  is zero for all reduced  $p$ -groups  $A$  and  $B$ , [Corollary 3.4]. Finally, it is shown that if  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  are three totally projective  $p$ -groups then either  $A$  or  $B$  is the direct sum of countable  $p$ -groups, [Corollary 3.8].

**2. Notation.** If  $G$  is a group then let  $c(G)$  denote the cotorsion hull of  $G$ , i.e.,  $c(G) = \text{Ext}(Z(p^\infty), G)$  where  $Z(p^\infty)$  is the divisible torsion  $p$ -group of  $Q/Z$ .

If  $G$  is a reduced  $p$ -group then  $l(G)$  will denote the length of  $G$ , i.e.,  $l(G)$  is the least ordinal for which  $p^{(G)}G = 0$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the first uncountable ordinal.

**3. Results.** Dr. R. Nunke has communicated orally to me the following result and proof which will be used in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\{A_\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  be a collection of  $p$ -groups, and  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal such that  $\lambda$  is not cofinal with  $\omega$ . If for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma, p^{\lambda c}(A_\alpha) = 0$ , then  $p^{\lambda c}(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$  and  $e \in p^{\lambda c}(A)$  represent the exact sequence  $e: 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\nu} M \rightarrow Z(p^\infty) \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , the pushout sequence by the projection map  $\pi_\alpha: A \rightarrow A_\alpha$  is  $p^\lambda$ -pure; consequently, there exists a map  $\phi_\alpha: M \rightarrow A_\alpha$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 e: 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & Z(p^\infty) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \pi_\alpha \downarrow & & \swarrow \phi_\alpha & & & & \\
 & & A_\alpha & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

To show that the sequence  $e$  splits, it must be shown that  $\phi = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \phi_\alpha: M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha = A$  is a homomorphism, i.e., that for each  $x$  in  $M$  the set  $\{\alpha \mid \phi_\alpha(x) \neq 0, \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is finite. Suppose there exists an  $x$  in  $M$  and a sequence  $\{\alpha_i \in \Gamma\}$  such that  $\phi_{\alpha_i}(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $\beta$  be any ordinal which satisfies the inequalities  $\lambda > \beta$  and  $\beta > \text{height of } \phi_{\alpha_i}(x)$  for each  $i$ . The ordinal  $\beta$  exists since  $\lambda > \alpha_i$  for each  $i$  and  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal which is not cofinal with  $\omega$ . The sequence  $e$  being  $p^\beta$ -pure and the group  $Z(p^\infty)$  being divisible imply there exists an element  $a$  in the subgroup  $\nu(A) = \nu(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha)$  of  $M$ , and an element  $b$  in  $p^\beta M$  for which  $x = a + b$ , [3, 87]. For some  $\alpha_i, \phi_{\alpha_i}(a) = 0$ ; hence,  $\phi_{\alpha_i}(x) = \phi_{\alpha_i}(b)$ . This is a contradiction since the height of  $\phi_{\alpha_i}(x)$  is less than  $\beta$  whereas the height of  $\phi_{\alpha_i}(b)$  is greater than or equal to  $\beta$ .

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal which is not cofinal with  $\omega$  and let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group such that  $p^\lambda G = 0$ . Then  $p^{\lambda c}(\text{Tor}(G, X)) = 0$  for any reduced group  $X$ .*

*Proof.* There exists a reduced  $p^\lambda$ -injective group  $I$  and a  $p^\lambda$ -pure sequence  $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow I \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ , [3, 84]. It follows that  $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(G, X) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(I, X) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(U, X) \rightarrow 0$  is a pure sequence of reduced groups, and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow c(\text{Tor}(G, X)) \rightarrow c(\text{Tor}(I, X)) \rightarrow c(\text{Tor}(U, X)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Once it is shown that  $p^{\lambda c}(\text{Tor}(I, X)) = 0$ , then the lemma is proved. To show this let  $D$  be the injective hull of  $X$  and  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow D \rightarrow D' \rightarrow 0$  be the resulting exact sequence. Consequently,  $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(I, X) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(I, D) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(I, D')$  is an exact sequence of reduced groups. The

group  $\text{Tor}(I, D)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $\gamma$  copies of  $t(I)$ , the torsion subgroup of  $I$ , where  $\gamma$  is the dimension of the  $Z/pZ$ -vector space  $D[p]$ , and  $p^\lambda c(t(I)) \subseteq p^\lambda c(I) = 0$ . Hence, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that  $p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(I, X)) \subseteq p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(I, D)) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal such that  $\lambda$  is not cofinal with  $\omega$ , then  $p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A, B)) = c(p^\lambda \text{Tor}(A, B))$  whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are reduced groups.*

*Proof.* It need only be shown that  $p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A, B))$  is a subset of  $c(p^\lambda \text{Tor}(A, B))$ , since there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow c(p^\lambda \text{Tor}(A, B)) &\rightarrow p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A, B)) \\ &\rightarrow p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A, B)/p^\lambda \text{Tor}(A, B)) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

[1, 56.1].

The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(p^\lambda A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Tor}(A/p^\lambda A, B) \xrightarrow{\delta} (p^\lambda A) \otimes B$$

is exact. If  $X$  is the image of  $\pi$  and  $Y$  the image of  $\delta$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  are reduced subgroups of  $\text{Tor}(A/p^\lambda A, B)$  and  $(p^\lambda A) \otimes B$ , respectively. Therefore it follows that the sequences

$$e: 0 \rightarrow c(\text{Tor}(p^\lambda A, B)) \rightarrow c(\text{Tor}(A, B)) \rightarrow c(X) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$f: 0 \rightarrow c(X) \rightarrow c(\text{Tor}(A/p^\lambda A, B)) \rightarrow c(Y) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact sequences of reduced groups.

$$p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A, B)) \subseteq c(\text{Tor}(p^\lambda A, B))$$

since

$$p^\lambda c(X) \subseteq p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A/p^\lambda A, B)) = 0,$$

[Lemma 3.2]. Similarly,

$$p^\lambda c(\text{Tor}(A, B)) \subseteq c(\text{Tor}(A, p^\lambda B)).$$

The conclusion follows from the identity

$$c(\text{Tor}(A, p^\lambda B)) \cap c(\text{Tor}(p^\lambda A, B)) = c(p^\lambda \text{Tor}(A, B)),$$

[1, 64.2].

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *If  $G$  is a summand of  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are reduced  $p$ -groups, then  $p^\lambda c(G) = c(p^\lambda G)$  (equivalently,  $p^\lambda c(G/p^\lambda G) = 0 = k(p^\lambda, G)$ ) for every limit ordinal  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda$  is not cofinal with  $\omega$ . Consequently, if  $G$  is  $p^\alpha$ -projective for some ordinal  $\alpha$  then  $p^\lambda c(G) = c(p^\lambda G)$  and  $p^\alpha G = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since all the functors commute with direct sums,  $p^\lambda c(G) = c(p^\lambda G)$ .

If  $G$  is  $p^\alpha$ -projective for some ordinal  $\alpha$  then there is a reduced group  $H$  such that  $p^\alpha H = 0$  and  $G$  is a summand of  $\text{Tor}(G, H)$ . Hence,  $p^\lambda c(G) = c(p^\lambda G)$ . Also,  $p^\alpha G = 0$  since  $p^\alpha \text{Tor}(G, H) = 0$ .

The equivalence of  $p^\lambda c(G) = c(p^\lambda G)$  and  $p^\lambda c(G/p^\lambda G) = 0$  follows from the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow c(p^\lambda G) \rightarrow p^\lambda c(G) \rightarrow p^\lambda c(G/p^\lambda G) \rightarrow 0$ , [1, 56.1].

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow M \rightarrow H_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  is a sequence which represents  $p^\lambda$  where  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal which is not cofinal with  $\omega$ , then the torsion subgroup of  $M$  is not  $p^\alpha$ -projective for any ordinal  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be the torsion subgroup of  $M$ .  $G$  is a  $\lambda$ -elementary  $S$ -group and in [6] it is shown that  $k(p^\lambda, G) \neq 0$ , [Corollary 3.4].

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *If  $G$  is an  $S$ -group, then  $G$  is  $p^\alpha$ -projective if and only if  $G$  is a totally projective  $p$ -group and  $p^\alpha G = 0$ . Also,  $G$  is not a summand of a group  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are reduced groups, unless  $G$  is totally projective.*

*Proof.* This result follows from Corollary 3.4 and the fact that an  $S$ -group is totally projective if and only if  $k(p^\lambda, G) = 0$  for every limit ordinal  $\lambda$  which is not cofinal with  $\omega$ , [6].

**THEOREM 3.7.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are two totally projective  $p$ -groups such that  $l(A) \geq l(B) = \alpha > \Omega$ , then  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  is not totally projective.*

*Proof.* The proof will be by transfinite induction on the ordinal  $\alpha$ .

*Case 1.*  $\alpha = \lambda + n + 1$  where  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal and  $n$  is a finite ordinal. Let  $T$  be a  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -high subgroup of the group  $A$  and  $e: 0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow A \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0$  be the resulting exact sequence. Since the sequence  $e$  is  $p^\alpha$ -pure,

[3, 92], and the group  $\text{Tor}(D, B)$  is  $p^\alpha$ -projective, [3, 82], the sequence  $f: 0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(T, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(D, B) \rightarrow 0$  is  $p^\alpha$ -pure, [5, 2], and splits. Hence,  $\text{Tor}(A, B) \simeq \text{Tor}(T, B) \oplus \text{Tor}(D, B)$ . The group  $T$  is an  $S$ -group because  $p^\lambda T$  and  $T/p^\lambda T$  are both  $S$ -groups, [6, 5.3]. Three subcases will now be considered. In each of the subcases  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  will be shown to be not totally projective by showing that it has a summand which is not totally projective.

*Case 1.1.*  $\alpha = \Omega + 1$ . The sequence  $e$  being  $p^\alpha$ -pure implies that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Z(p^\infty), D) \rightarrow p^{\Omega+1}c(T) \rightarrow p^{\Omega+1}c(A) = 0$  is exact, [3, 89]. Since  $D$  is a non-trivial divisible  $p$ -groups,  $p^\Omega c(T) \neq c(p^\Omega T) = 0$  and the group  $T$  is not  $p^\Omega$ -projective, [Corollary 3.6]. Since  $l(T) < l(B)$ ,  $\text{Tor}(T, B)$  is not totally projective, [4, 3.4].

*Case 1.2.*  $\alpha > \Omega + 1$  and the group  $T$  is totally projective. Since  $\Omega < l(T) = \lambda + n < l(B)$ , induction is used to show that  $\text{Tor}(T, B)$  is not totally projective.

*Case 1.3.*  $\alpha > \Omega + 1$  and the group  $T$  is not totally projective. By Corollary 3.6, the group  $T$  is not  $p^{\lambda+n}$ -projective. Consequently,  $\text{Tor}(T, B)$  is not totally projective, [4, 3.4].

*Case 2.*  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal greater than  $\Omega$ . There exists a summand  $W$  of  $B$  such that the group  $W$  is totally projective and  $\Omega < l(W) < \alpha$ , [2, 83.1(e)]. By induction,  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  has a summand which is not a totally projective  $p$ -group.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *If  $A, B$  and  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  are three totally projective  $p$ -groups then either  $A$  or  $B$  is the direct sum of countable  $p$ -groups.*

*Proof.* This corollary follows from Theorem 3.7 and noting that any totally projective  $p$ -group with length at most  $\Omega$  is the direct sum of countable  $p$ -groups.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. I, Academic Press, 1970.
- [2] ———, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. II, Academic Press, 1973.
- [3] P. A. Griffith, *Infinite Abelian Group Theory*, The University of Chicago Press, 1970.
- [4] R. J. Nunke, *On the Structure of Tor*, in *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Abelian Groups*, Budapest, (1964), 115–124.
- [5] ———, *On the Structure of Tor II*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **22** (1967), 453–464.

- [6] R. B. Warfield, Jr., *A Classification Theorem for Abelian  $p$ -groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **210** (1975), 149–168.
- [7] B. D. Wick, *A Projective Characterization for SKT-modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1980), 44–46.

Received November 9, 1981.

UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA  
ANCHORAGE, AK 99508