

REDUCING THE ORDER OF THE LAGRANGEAN FOR A CLASSICAL FIELD IN CURVED SPACE-TIME

RICHARD ARENS

We show how the Lagrangean L can be replaced by another, L^* , having the same extremals, but having only first order derivatives and being in fact a first degree polynomial in these derivatives.

1. Introduction. Whittaker [4] showed how to reduce the order and degree of a Lagrangean to 1 in the case of one-dimensional "space-time". As he points out, this leads instantly to Hamilton's canonical formalism. Rodrigues [3] showed how to do this without using coordinates in the configuration space. "Reducing the order" is not an adequate description of the construction since when the order is 1 (as it usually is) it still takes some work to make it of the first degree.

In [1] we treated the case of true (several dimensional) space-time. However, we took it to be \mathbf{R}^4 , and we used coordinates in the field space.

Such a theorem is not usable when several coordinate systems must be used in space-time M . This is because the L^* doing the desired things is not unique.

Our construction of L^* here depends on the choice of an affine connection Γ and a volume element ω in M . The result is not independent of the Γ and ω chosen, but it is independent of coordinates.

2. π -manifolds. In this paper, a suitable order N of differentiability is assumed.

Let M be a manifold which we will call *space-time*. Let P be another manifold. We will call it a π -manifold if there is defined on it a *regular* map $\pi: P \rightarrow M$.

If P and Q are two π -manifolds, let $F(P, Q)$ be the class of all maps f of open sets in P into Q for which

$$(2.1) \quad \pi(f(p)) = \pi(p)$$

whenever $f(p)$ is defined. Let p be a point of P and let $f, g \in F(P, Q)$. Say $f \equiv g$ at p if f and g agree up to the N th order at p . Let $J^N(P, Q)$ be

the set of equivalence classes. An element C of $J^N(P, Q)$ may be represented by a pair (p, f) where C is an equivalence at p and $f \in C$. Define $\pi(C) = \pi(p) = \pi(f(p))$. Then $J^N(P, Q)$ is also a π -space.

Let $X^1, \dots, X^m, y^1, \dots, y^n$ be coordinates in P and Q respectively. Then $(y^i)_{\lambda \dots \nu}$ shall be the function defined in $J^N(P, Q)$ by saying that

$$(2.2) \quad (y^i)_{\lambda \dots \nu}(C) = \frac{\partial^k (y^i \circ f)}{\partial x^\lambda \dots \partial x^\nu}(p).$$

Here k must not exceed N .

A particularly useful kind of coordinates x^1, \dots, x^m are coordinates *exponential at a point* p . For this one must select an affine connection Γ for P . Let $T^1(P)_p$ be the tangent space to P at p . Select a *linear* coordinate system in $T^1(P)_p$ and transfer these to P using the exponential map defined by Γ (see [2].)

3. Lagrangeans. In classical mechanics, a Lagrangean is a function defined on $\mathbf{R} \times T^1(Q)$, the latter being the tangent bundle of configuration space. Now $\mathbf{R} \times T^1(Q)$ is naturally isomorphic with $J^1(M, M \times Q)$ where $M = \mathbf{R}$, and $M \times Q$ has the projection on M . Since we want to use 2.1 we define Lagrangeans in this *milieu*.

Let M be a manifold of dimension m . Let S be a π -manifold. If coordinates t^1, \dots, t^m are chosen for M , then $t^1 \circ \pi, \dots, t^m \circ \pi$ are (independent, by the regularity of π) variables in S . We will abbreviate them to t^1, \dots, t^m .

A *Lagrangean* (of order at most N) is an m -form Λ defined on $J^N(M, S)$ such that in terms of coordinates t^1, \dots, t^m in M ,

$$(3.1) \quad \Lambda = L dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^m.$$

Let the class of these Lagrangeans be called $\mathcal{L}^N(S)$.

3.2. THEOREM. *Let M and S be as above. Let Γ be an affine connection for M . Let ω be a volume element for M . Let K be the cotangent bundle of $J^N(M, S)$. Then Γ, ω define a mapping*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{L}^N(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(K), \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*.$$

This mapping is linear and 1 : 1

3.4. *L^* is a polynomial of the first degree in the derivatives (necessarily only of the first order), and*

3.5. *Λ^* has the same extremals as Λ .*

The precise (and natural) meaning of 3.5 is given in §5 below, together with the proof of 3.2.

Property 3.4 is useful for the following construction.

Let x^1, \dots, x^n (plus those t^1, \dots, t^m) be coordinates in S . $\Lambda^* = L^* dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^m$ and by 3.4, L^* is a sum of a term $-H$ depending only on the x^i and t^λ , and a sum of terms like $a(x^i)_\lambda$. Thus Λ^* is a sum of $-H dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^m$ and of terms like $a(x^i)_\lambda dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^m$. This latter term is congruent modulo $dx^i - (x^i)_\lambda dt^\lambda$ to $a dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^i \wedge \dots \wedge dt^m$, dx^i being in the λ th place. Thus Λ^* is congruent to an m -form involving only the x^i and t^λ , that is, an m -form on S .

4. A vector field U_Γ on $J^N(M, S)$.

4.1. THEOREM. *Let Γ be an affine connection for M . Using Γ one can construct a vector field U_Γ on $J^N(M, S)$. Let $A \in J^N(M, S)$ and let t^1, \dots, t^m be exponential coordinates at $\pi(A)$ in M . Use t^1, \dots, t^m together with some further coordinates x^1, \dots, x^n as coordinates in S . Then at A ,*

$$(4.2) \quad U_\Gamma = (x^i)_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial (x^i)_\lambda} + 2(x^i)_{\lambda\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial (x^i)_{\lambda\mu}} + \dots + N(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial (x^i)_{\lambda\dots\nu}}.$$

A sum is intended in 4.2. For example, by

$$(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial (x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}}$$

where there are k indices, we mean the sum over all sets of k indices such that $1 \leq \lambda \leq \dots \leq \mu \leq m$.

We now prove 4.1. Let $A = (a, f)$ be a point of $J^N(M, S)$. Express f in terms of t^1, \dots, t^m :

$$f = \varphi(t^1, \dots, t^m).$$

For sufficiently small real s and $|t^\lambda|$, f_s can be defined by

$$f_s = \varphi(e^s t^1, \dots, e^s t^m).$$

Define the “moving” point A_s in $J^N(M, S)$ as (a, f_s) . We define U_Γ at A to be the tangent to the curve $s \rightarrow A_s$ for $s = 0$.

We must show that its $(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}$ component is correctly represented in 4.2. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}(A_s) &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^\lambda \dots \partial t^\mu} [x^i \circ f_s] \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= e^{ks} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^\lambda \dots \partial t^\mu} [x^i \circ f] \Big|_{t=0} = e^{ks} (x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Then we take d/ds of this for $s = 0$, obtaining

$$k(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}$$

as 4.2 asserts. The construction of A_s is clearly independent of the coordinates.

4.3. COROLLARY. *Let K be the cotangent bundle $T_1(J)$ of $J = J^N(M, S)$. Then Γ defines a real-valued function on K whose expression in terms of coordinates is*

$$(4.4) \quad p_i^\lambda \overline{(x^i)_\lambda} + 2p_i^{\lambda\mu} \overline{(x^i)_{\lambda\mu}} + \dots + Np_i^{\lambda\dots\nu} \overline{(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\nu}}.$$

Here $p_i^{\lambda\dots\mu}$ is the ‘‘momentum’’ coordinate dual to the configuration coordinate $(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}$ in J .

To prove 4.3, we need only show that 4.4 is independent of the coordinate representation. A point B is a pair (A, g) where A is a point of J as before, and g is a map from a neighborhood of A into \mathbf{R} , where $g(A) = 0$. The value of $p_i^{\lambda\dots\mu}$ at B is

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial (x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}} \Big|_A.$$

The value of $\overline{(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}}$ at B is just $(x^i)_{\lambda\dots\mu}(A)$. Here the latter is defined in J , and the former is the coordinate defined in $T_1(J)$ as obtained from the latter and the projection ρ

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} T_1(J) = K \\ \downarrow \\ J = J^N(M, S) \end{array}$$

Hence the value of 4.4 at B is $U_\Gamma[g]$, the result of applying the operator U_Γ to g , and is thus independent of coordinates.

4.6. COROLLARY. *Let J and K be as in 4.3. Let $P = J^1(M, K)$. Then Γ defines a real-valued function on P whose value at $C = (c, h)$ is*

$$(4.7) \quad \overline{p_i^\lambda} Z_\lambda^i + \overline{p_i^{\lambda\mu}} Z_{\lambda\mu}^i + \cdots + \overline{p_i^{\lambda\cdots\nu}} Z_{\lambda\cdots\nu}^i$$

where $Z_{\lambda\cdots\mu}^i$ is the sum of all

$$(4.8) \quad \left((x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\hat{\sigma}\cdots\mu} \right)_\sigma.$$

Several explanations are needed.

4.81. In forming the sum $Z_{\lambda\cdots\mu}^i$ we select each index appearing in $\lambda \cdots \mu$, form 4.8, and add the results. $\hat{\sigma}$ means delete ‘ σ ’ from the string $\lambda \cdots \mu$.

4.82. The $\overline{p_i^{\lambda\cdots\mu}}$ is $p_i^{\lambda\cdots\mu} \circ \xi$ where ξ is the projection of $P = J^1(M, K) \rightarrow K$. Hence, for an element $C = (c, h)$ of P , wherein h maps a neighborhood of $c \in M$ into K ,

$$(4.83) \quad \overline{p_i^{\lambda\cdots\mu}}(C) = p_i^{\lambda\cdots\mu}(h(c))$$

which is

$$\left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial (x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu}} \right|_A$$

if $h(c) = (A, g)$, $A \in K$.

4.84. The bar on the $(x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu}$ means that (see 4.5)

$$\overline{(x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu}} = (x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu} \circ \rho.$$

For any real variable z (and thus for $(x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu}$) on K , 2.2 with $N = 1$ gives sense to z_σ for $1 \leq \sigma \leq m$.

To begin the proof of 4.6, we evaluate at $C = (c, h)$ of P . By 2.2, the answer is

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\sigma} \left[\overline{(x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu} \circ h} \right] \right|_c.$$

Let us write ∂_σ for $\partial/\partial t^\sigma$. So

$$Z_{\lambda\cdots\mu}^i = \sum \partial_\sigma \left[(x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\hat{\sigma}\cdots\mu} \circ \rho \circ h \right].$$

We need a somewhat more abstract version of 2.2. Let $\pi_1(C) = p$, $\pi_2(C) = h$ in 2.2. Replace x^λ by t^λ and y^i by x^i , since that is the notation for the present instance. Then 2.2 says

$$(x^i)_{\lambda\cdots\mu} = \left\{ \partial_\lambda \cdots \partial_\mu (x^i \circ \pi_2) \right\} \circ \pi_1.$$

Accordingly,

$$Z_{\lambda \dots \mu}^i = \sum \partial_\sigma \left[\left\{ \partial_{\lambda \dots \hat{\sigma} \dots \mu} (x^i \circ \pi_2) \right\} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho \circ h \right] \Big|_c.$$

We assert that $\pi_1 \circ \rho \circ h$ is the identity map. First of all π_1 is the map π for the π -space J . Then ρ (4.5) is a π -space morphism, for that is the way in which K is made into a π -space. So $\pi_1 \circ \rho = \pi$. But h has to satisfy 2.1, so $\pi \circ h = \pi$. But the π for M itself is the identity (on some neighborhood). Therefore

$$Z_{\lambda \dots \mu}^i = \sum \partial_\sigma \left\{ \partial_{\lambda \dots \hat{\sigma} \dots \mu} (x^i \circ \pi_2) \right\} = k \partial_{\lambda \dots \mu} (x^i \circ \pi_2)$$

where k is the length of the string $\lambda \dots \mu$ with nothing deleted. So

$$Z_{\lambda \dots \mu}^i = k \left\{ \partial_{\lambda \dots \mu} (x^i \circ \pi_2) \right\} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho \circ h \Big|_c = k (x^i)_{\lambda \dots \mu} (A)$$

since $h(c) = (A, g)$. Combine this with 4.83 and obtain that this Z term contributes

$$k (x^i)_{\lambda \dots \mu} (A) \frac{\partial g}{\partial (x^i)_{\lambda \dots \mu}}$$

to the sum 4.7. This sum is evidently $U_\Gamma[g]$ evaluated at A . Thus 4.7 is independent of the coordinates. Thus it defines the function for which 4.6 holds.

5. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let Λ be given. Choose coordinates in M . Then 3.1 defines L , a function defined on $J^N(M, S)$. We have projections

$$\begin{array}{c}
 J^1(M, K) = P \\
 \xi \downarrow \\
 K = T_1(J) \\
 \rho \downarrow \\
 J = J^N(M, S) \\
 \downarrow \\
 S
 \end{array}
 \tag{5.1}$$

Hence L defines a function on $J^1(M, K)$, which we denote by L also, for simplicity. Using ξ , we can lift the function 4.4 up to a function φ on P . The function defined by 4.6 may be called ψ .

Let

$$(5.2) \quad \omega = \lambda dt^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dt^m,$$

$\lambda \neq 0$, be the volume element postulated in 3.2.

We define

$$L^* = L + \lambda(\psi - \varphi) = L - \lambda\varphi + \lambda\psi,$$

and

$$\Lambda^* = L^* dt^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt^m.$$

We consider assertion 3.4. 4.8 is a derivative of first order. The coefficients in 4.7 are mere coordinates, and the Z 's are linear in the derivatives 4.8. Hence 3.4 holds.

To show 3.5 we mention first that L^* has the form

$$\begin{aligned} L^* = L + P_i^\lambda & \left[\overline{((X^i))}_\lambda - \overline{(x^i)}_\lambda \right] \\ & + P_i^{\lambda\mu} \left[\overline{((x^i))}_\lambda{}_\mu + \overline{((x^i))}_\mu{}_\lambda - 2\overline{(x^i)}_{\lambda\mu} \right] \\ & + P_i^{\lambda\mu\nu} \left[\overline{((x^i))}_{\mu\nu}{}_\lambda + \overline{((x^i))}_{\lambda\nu}{}_\mu + \overline{((x^i))}_{\lambda\mu}{}_\nu - 3\overline{(x^i)}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \right] + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_i^\lambda, P_i^{\lambda\mu}, \dots$ are λ (see 5.2) times the $p_i^\lambda, p_i^{\lambda\mu}, \dots$ lifted up to $J^1(M, K)$ by the projections 5.1. It follows from [1, 3.4] that L^* has the “same” extremals as l . The meaning of *same* is as follows. An extremal for L^* gives us expressions

$$(5.3) \quad x^i = f^i(t^1, \dots, t^m),$$

$$(5.4) \quad (x^i)_\lambda = u_\lambda^i(t^1, \dots, t^m)$$

$$(x^i)_{\lambda\mu} = u_{\lambda\mu}^i(t^1, \dots, t^m)$$

⋮

$$P_\lambda^i = g_\lambda^i(t^1, \dots, t^m)$$

⋮

If we abandon 5.4 and those following it, then 5.3 gives an extremal for L in the usual sense. It is shown in [1] that

$$u_\lambda^i = \frac{\partial f^i}{\partial t^\lambda},$$

and so forth.

6. Correction to [1].

(a) Delete 6.5. (Prop. 6.6. remains true, with the φ of 6.7.)

(b) Delete 6.9. (Better results are in the author’s “The dynamic differential forms of the Klein-Gordon field and the conformal group”, Jour. Geometry and Physics, Vol. 1, 1983.)

REFERENCES

- [1] Richard Arens, *Reducing the order of a Lagrangean*, Pacific J. Math., **93** (1981), 1–11.
- [2] Sigurdur Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetry Spaces*, Academic Press, 1962.
- [3] P. R. Rodrigues, *Sur les systèmes mécaniques généralisés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 282, Ser A. (1976), 1307–1309.
- [4] E. T. Whittaker, *Analytic Dynamics...*, Cambridge U. Press, 1937.

Received June 17, 1983.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024