# BILINEAR OPERATORS ON $L^{\infty}(G)$ OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

#### COLIN C. GRAHAM AND ANTHONY T. M. LAU

Let G and H be compact groups. We study in this paper the space  $\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma = \operatorname{Bil}^\sigma(L^\infty(G), L^\infty(H))$ . That space consists of all bounded bilinear functionals on  $L^\infty(G) \times L^\infty(H)$  that are weak\* continuous in each variable separately. We prove, among other things, that  $\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma$  is isometrically isomorphic to a closed two-sided ideal in  $\operatorname{BM}(G,H)$ . In the case of abelian G,H, we show that  $\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma$  does not have an approximate identity and that  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$  is dense in the maximal ideal space of  $\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma$ . Related results are given.

**0.** Introduction. Let V and W be Banach spaces over the complex numbers, and let Bil(V, W) denote the space of bounded bilinear functions  $F: V \times W \to C$ . Then this is a Banach space under the usual vector space operators and the norm

$$||F|| = \sup\{|F(x, y)| : x \in V, y \in W, ||x|| = ||y|| = 1\}.$$

Furthermore Bil(V, W) may be identified with the dual space of  $V \hat{\otimes} W$ , the projective tensor product of V and W. When X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, then elements in  $Bil(C_0(X), C_0(Y))$ , also denoted by BM(X, Y), are called *bimeasures* (see Graham and Schreiber [7] and Gilbert, Ito and Schreiber [4]).

If V and W are dual Banach spaces, we let  $\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}(V,W)$  denote all  $F \in \mathrm{Bil}(V,W)$  such that  $x \mapsto F(x,y)$  and  $y \mapsto F(x,y)$  are continuous when V and W have the weak\*-topology. Then, as readily checked,  $\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}(V,W)$  is a norm-closed subspace of  $\mathrm{Bil}(V,W)$ . It is the purpose of this paper to study  $\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(G),L^{\infty}(H))$  when G and H are compact groups.

In §1, we shall give some general results on

$$\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(X\,,\,\mu)\,,\,L^{\infty}(Y\,,\,\nu))$$

when X, Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces and  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  are positive regular Borel measures on X and Y, respectively. In §2, we show that if G and H are compact groups, then  $\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma} = \mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(G), L^{\infty}(H))$ 

is isometrically isomorphic to a closed ideal in BM(G, H) with multiplication as defined in [2]. Furthermore,  $Bil^{\sigma}$  has a dense subset consisting of bilinear functionals F such that their Grothendieck measures  $\mu_g$ ,  $\nu_g$  are such that  $d\mu_g/dm_G$  and  $d\nu_g/dm_H$  are bounded away from 0 and from infinity (here  $m_G$  and  $m_H$  denote Haar measure on the respective groups). In §3, we shall concentrate on the case when G and H are both compact and abelian. We shall show that in this case  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$  is dense in the maximal ideal space of  $Bil^{\sigma}$  and that  $Bil^{\sigma}$  is a symmetric Banach algebra. Furthermore  $Bil^{\sigma}$  does not have an (even unbounded) approximate identity when G and H are infinite, compact. In §4, we shall list some open problems related to  $Bil^{\sigma}$ .

The space  $Bil^{\sigma}(U, V)$  has been studied in a different context by Effros [3]. A consequence of Theorem 3.7 (below) is that  $Bil^{\sigma}$  has no virtual diagonals; see the Remark following Theorem 3.7.

1. The space  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, we let  $L^{\infty}(X)$ , C(X),  $C_0(X)$ , and  $C_{00}(X)$  be the spaces of bounded functions on X which are, respectively, Borel measurable, continuous, continuous with limit zero at infinity and continuous with compact support. The supremum norm on each of those spaces will be denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . If X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, we write  $V_0(X,Y) = C_0(X) \hat{\otimes} C_0(Y)$ , the projective tensor product of  $C_0(X)$  and  $C_0(Y)$ . Then the space  $\operatorname{BM}(X,Y)$  may be identified with the dual Banach space of  $V_0(X,Y)$ .

Throughout this section X and Y will denote locally compact Hausdorff spaces and  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  will denote positive regular Borel measures on X and Y constructed from a fixed positive functional on  $C_{00}(X)$  and  $C_{00}(Y)$ , respectively (see [9, §11]). We will write  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  and  $L^{\infty}(\nu)$  for  $L^{\infty}(X,\mu)$  and  $L^{\infty}(Y,\nu)$  respectively. In this case,  $L^{\infty}(\mu) = L^{1}(\mu)^{*}$ , and  $L^{\infty}(\nu) = L^{1}(\nu)^{*}$ . We will write  $\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}$  for  $\mathrm{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(\mu),L^{\infty}(\nu))$ . As usual, the norms for spaces  $L^{p}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , will be denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ . When G is a locally compact group,  $L^{p}(G)$  will denote the  $L^{p}$ -space defined with respect to a fixed left Haar measure  $m_{G}$  on G.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Bil<sup> $\sigma$ </sup> consists exactly of the bilinear functionals F such that, for all  $x \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$  and all  $y \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ ,  $f \mapsto F(f, y)$ , for  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ , is given by integration against an element of  $L^{1}(\mu)$  and  $g \mapsto F(x, g)$ , for  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ , is given by integration against an element of  $L^{1}(\nu)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . Fix  $y \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ . Since  $f \mapsto F(f, y)$  is weak\* continuous in f,  $f \mapsto F(f, y)$  must belong to the dual space of  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ , when  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  is given the weak\* topology, that is,  $f \mapsto F(f, y)$  belongs to  $L^{1}(\mu)$ . The same argument applies to  $g \mapsto F(x, g)$ , for  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ .

On the other hand, suppose that, the bilinear functional F is such that for all  $x \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ ,  $y \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ ,  $f \mapsto F(f,y)$ , for  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ , is given by integration against an element of  $L^{1}(\mu)$  and  $g \mapsto F(x,g)$ , for  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ , is given by integration against an element of  $L^{1}(\nu)$ . Then for each fixed  $y \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ ,  $f \mapsto F(f,y)$  is weak\* continuous in f, and for each fixed  $x \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ ,  $g \mapsto F(x,g)$  is weak\* continuous in g. Hence,  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ .

**PROPOSITION** 1.2. Let  $\omega$  be a non-negative, finite regular Borel measure on  $X \times Y$ . Then  $\omega \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  if and only if the projection of  $\omega$  onto X is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and the projection of  $\omega$  onto Y is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ .

*Proof.* If  $\omega$  has the projection property, then it obviously has the weak\* continuity property that is required for membership in Bil<sup> $\sigma$ </sup>.

On the other hand, suppose that  $\omega \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . Then  $f \mapsto \int (f \otimes 1) d\omega$  is a non-negative, locally finite, regular Borel measure on X that is the projection of  $\omega$  on X. Also,  $f \mapsto \int (f \otimes 1) d\omega$  is weak\* continuous from  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  to C. If the projection of  $\omega$  (let us call it  $\omega'$ ) were not absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , then we could find a sequence of functions  $f_n$  in C(X) such that  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ ,  $f_n \to 0$  a.e.  $d\mu$  and  $\int f_n d\omega' \not\to 0$ . Of course, that sequence  $f_n \to 0$  weak\* in  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ , so

$$\int (f\otimes 1)\,d\omega\to 0\,,$$

a contradiction. [More abstractly, we could just point out that any linear functional on  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  that is weak\* continuous is necessarily given by integration against an element of  $L^1(\mu)$ , by general Banach space duality.]

A similar argument shows that the projection of  $\omega$  on Y is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

LEMMA 1.3. Let R, S be von Neumann algebras, and let A, B be weak\* dense  $C^*$ -sublagebras of R, S, respectively. Then the mapping given by restricting  $Bil^{\sigma}(R,S)$  to  $(A \hat{\otimes} B)$  is an isometry; that is,  $Bil^{\sigma}(R,S)$  may be identified with a closed subspace of  $(A \hat{\otimes} B)^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(R,S)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $x \in R$ ,  $y \in S$  be of norm one such that  $|F(x,y) - \|F\|| < \varepsilon/3$ . By the Kaplansky density theorem [14, Theorem 4.8], there exist nets  $x_{\alpha} \to x$  and  $y_{\beta} \to y$  with  $x_{\alpha}$  all belonging to the unit ball of A and  $y_{\beta}$  all in the unit ball of B. By the weak\*-weak\* continuity of F,  $F(x,y) = \lim_{\alpha} F(x_{\alpha},y)$ . Hence, for some  $\alpha_0$  we have  $|F(x_{\alpha_0},y) - F(x,y)| < \varepsilon/3$ . Similarly, there exists a  $\beta_0$  such that  $|F(x_{\alpha_0},y_{\beta_0}) - F(x_{\alpha_0},y)| < \varepsilon/3$ . Hence  $|F(x_{\alpha_0},y_{\beta_0}) - \|F\|| < \varepsilon$ , and the result follows.

COROLLARY 1.4. The restriction of elements of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(\mu), L^{\infty}(\nu))$  to the space  $C_0(X) \hat{\otimes} C_0(Y)$  is an isometry. In particular,  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  may be identified with a closed subspace of  $\operatorname{BM}(X,Y)$ .

We define  $\mathscr{L}^{\infty}(X)$  to be the space of all bounded Borel functions on X.

If  $\varphi_X \in \mathscr{L}^{\infty}(X)$ , and  $f_1 = f_2$  locally  $\mu$ -a.e., then  $\varphi_X f_1 = \varphi_X f_2$  locally  $\mu$ -a.e. In particular, for any  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ ,  $\varphi_X f$  defines an element in  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ , and the map  $f \mapsto \varphi_X f$  is weak\*-weak\* continuous.

Given  $\varphi_X \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}(X)$ ,  $\varphi_Y \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}(Y)$ , and  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  we define a bounded bilinear functional  $\varphi \cdot F$  on  $L^{\infty}(\mu) \times L^{\infty}(\nu)$  by

$$\langle \varphi \cdot F, (f, g) \rangle = \langle F, (\varphi_X f, \varphi_Y g) \rangle$$

for  $f \in L^{\infty}(X)$  and  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ . Then  $\varphi \cdot F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  and

$$\|\varphi \cdot F\| \leq \|F\| \|\varphi_X\|_{\infty} \|\varphi_Y\|_{\infty}.$$

We recall that the support of a bimeasure is the smallest closed subset Q in  $X \times Y$  such that  $\langle h, F \rangle = 0$  for all  $h \in V_0(X, Y)$  for which  $h \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of Q.

The following three results are variants (as indicated) of known facts. The proofs are essentially identical to those cited.

PROPOSITION 1.5 [7, Lemma 1.4]. The set of elements of  $Bil^{\sigma}$  that have compact support is norm dense in  $Bil^{\sigma}$ .

PROPOSITION 1.6 [7, Lemma 1.5]. Let X' (resp. Y') be a closed subspace of Y (resp. Y) and  $\mu'$ ,  $\nu'$  denote the restrictions of  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  to those closed subspaces. Then there is a projection of norm one from  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(\mu), L^{\infty}(\nu))$  onto the space  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(\mu'), L^{\infty}(\nu'))$ .

The image in  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(\mu'), L^{\infty}(\nu'))$  of a bimeasure is called the *restriction* of the bimeasure to  $X' \times Y'$  and is written  $F|_{X' \times Y'}$ .

COROLLARY 1.7. Let G (resp. H) be a locally compact group and G' (resp. H') an open subgroup. Then there is a norm one projection from  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(G), L^{\infty}(H))$  onto  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(G'), L^{\infty}(H'))$ 

A bimeasure F is discrete if there exist sequences of finite subsets  $A_n$  of X and  $B_n$  of Y such that  $F = \lim_n F|_{A_n \times B_n}$  (norm limit). A bimeasure is continuous if its restriction to every product of finite sets is zero. Obviously,  $BM_c$  and  $BM_d$  are norm closed vector spaces. The set of discrete bimeasures is denoted  $BM_d(X, Y)$  and the set of continuous bimeasures is denoted  $BM_c(X, Y)$ . Graham and Schreiber showed that topologically  $BM(X, Y) = BM_d(X, Y) \oplus BM_c(X, Y)$  [7, Theorem 1.8].

PROPOSITION 1.8. If either  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  is a continuous measure, then  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is contained in  $\operatorname{BM}_{c}(X,Y)$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is a proper subset of  $\operatorname{BM}(X,Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . By Proposition 1.5, we may assume that F is supported on a compact set  $X' \times Y'$ , so we will not distinguish between F and  $F|_{X' \times Y'}$ . We write  $F = F_1 + F_2$ , where  $F_1$  is continuous and  $F_2$  is discrete. Let  $A_n \subset X'$  (resp.  $B_n \subset Y'$ ) be increasing sequences of finite subsets such that  $F_2 = \lim_n F|_{A_n \times B_n}$ . Let  $A = \bigcup A_n$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is a continuous measure. Then  $\mu(A) = 0$ . By Lusin's Theorem [12, p. 54], (and enlarging A if necessary) there exists a sequence of continuous functions  $\{f_j\}$  such that  $0 \le f_j \le 1$  for all j,  $f_j \to 0$  on A,  $f_j \to 1$  on  $X \setminus A$  (pointwise in both cases), and the  $f_n$  are supported in a common compact superset of  $X' \times Y'$ . It follows that for each integer n, every  $f \in C_0(X)$  and every  $g \in C_0(Y)$ ,

$$F(f, g) = \lim_{j} F(f_{j}f, g) = \lim_{j} (F_{1} + F_{2})(f_{j}f, g),$$

and

$$F_2|_{A_n \times B_n}(f, g) = \lim_j F_2(f_j f, g) = 0.$$

The first equality above follows from the weak\* continuity of F and the second from the fact that  $f_j f \to 0$  on  $A_n$  combined with the dominated convergence theorem. Thus,  $F_2(f, g) = 0$  for all f, g, so  $F_2 = 0$ .

LEMMA 1.9. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be non-negative, locally finite, regular Borel measures on the locally compact spaces X, Y, respectively. Then

for any  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  there exist  $p \in L^{1}(\mu)$  and  $q \in L^{1}(\nu)$  such that  $p \geq 0$ ,  $q \geq 0$ ,  $\|p\|_{1} = \|q\|_{1} = 1$  and

$$(1.1) |F(f,g)| \le K||F|| \left(\int |f|^2 p \, d\mu\right)^{1/2} \left(\int |g|^2 q \, d\nu\right)^{1/2}$$

for all  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$  and  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ , where K is a universal constant.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F \in Bil^{\sigma}$ . By Proposition 1.5, we know that F has  $\sigma$ -compact support. We thus may assume that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite (since they are locally finite). [Indeed, let the support of F be  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j \times Y_j$ , where the  $X_j$ ,  $Y_j$  are compact. Let  $\mu_j$  (resp.  $\nu_j$ ) be the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $X_j$  (resp.  $Y_i$ ). The assumption of local finiteness implies that  $\mu_i$ ,  $\nu_i$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures.] Of course,  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  does not change if we replace  $\mu$  by an equivalent probability measure. Also, weak\* topologies on the  $L^{\infty}$  space induced by the two measures (the probability measure and the original measure) are identical, by the uniqueness of the predual of  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  (see [14, p. 135]). Let the support of F be  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j \times Y_j$ , where the  $X_j$ ,  $Y_j$  are compact. Let  $\mu_j$  (resp.  $\nu_j$ ) be the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $X_j$  (resp.  $Y_j$ ). The assumption of local finiteness implies that  $\mu_j$ ,  $\nu_j$  are finite measures. We may assume that  $\mu_1$  and  $\nu_1$  have norm  $\frac{1}{2}$  and that  $\|\mu_{j+1} - \mu_j\| = 2^{-j}$  and similarly for the  $\nu_i$  for all j. Hence,  $F \in \text{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(\sum \mu_i), L^{\infty}(\sum \nu_i))$ . Thus, we may assume that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are probability measures.

Let a Grothendieck measure pair  $\mu'$ ,  $\nu'$  for F be given. Then the pair  $\mu'$ ,  $\nu'$  has the property that

$$|F(f,g)| \le K||F|| ||f||_{L^2(\mu')} ||g||_{L^2(\nu')}$$
 for all  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $g \in C(Y)$ ,

where K is the usual complex Grothendieck constant. Furthermore,  $\mu'$  is a probability measure on X' and  $\nu'$  is a probability measure on Y'.

Let  $\mu' = \mu_a + \mu_s$ , where  $\mu_a$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\mu_s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ . Let A, B be a partition of X into two disjoint Borel sets such that  $\mu(B) = 0$ , and

$$\mu_a(E) = \mu'(A \cap E)$$
 and  $\mu_s(E) = \mu'(B \cap E)$  for all Borel  $E \subset X$ .

Let  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$  have norm one. By Lusin's Theorem [12, p. 54], there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in C(X) such that  $||f_n|| \le 1$  for all n and  $f(x)\chi_A(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n$  pointwise a.e.  $d(\mu + \mu_s)$ . We note that  $f\chi_A = f$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $f\chi_A = 0$   $d\mu_s$ -a.e. Hence, for each  $h \in L^1(\mu)$ ,

 $f_n \cdot h \to f \cdot h$  pointwise  $d\mu$ -a.e. and  $|f_n \cdot h| \le |f \cdot h| d\mu$ -a.e. for all n. By the dominated convergence theorem (and here we need the actual finiteness of  $\mu$ ),  $\int f_n \cdot h \, d\mu \to \int f \cdot h \, d\mu$ . That is,

(1.3) 
$$f_n \to f$$
 in the weak\* topology of  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ .

Since  $f_n \to 0$  pointwise a.e.  $d\mu_s$ ,  $|f_n| \to 0$  pointwise a.e.  $d\mu_s$ . Since  $|f_n|^2 \le 1$ , the dominated convergence theorem again implies that

(1.4) 
$$\int |f_n|^2 d\mu_s \to \int |f|^2 d\mu_s = 0 \quad \text{and}$$
$$\int |f_n|^2 d\mu_a \to \int |f|^2 d\mu_a.$$

Hence  $\int |f_n|^2 d\mu' \to \int |f_n|^2 d\mu_a$ . Also, by (1.2),

$$(1.5) |F(f_n, g)| \le K||F|| ||f_n||_{L^2(\mu')} ||g||_{L^2(\mu')} \text{for all } g \in C(Y).$$

Now,  $F(f_n, g) \rightarrow F(f, g)$  by (1.3) and

$$||f_n||_{L^2(\mu)}^2 = \int |f_n \chi_A|^2 d\mu'$$

$$\to \int |f|^2 d\mu'$$

$$= \int |f|^2 d\mu_a + \int |f|^2 d\mu_s$$

$$= \int |f|^2 d\mu_a,$$

by (1.4). Therefore,

$$|F(f, g)| \le K||F|| ||f||_{L^2(\mu_g)} ||g||_{L^2(\nu')},$$

by (1.5).

A similar argument applied to  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$  gives

$$|F(f,g)| \le K||F|| \, ||f||_{L^2(\mu_a)} ||g||_{L^2(\nu_a)}.$$

Let f be a Borel function on the locally compact space X, and  $\omega$  be a non-negative, locally finite, regular Borel measure on X. We say that f is bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$  if there exist constants  $0 < c < C < \infty$  such that  $c \le f(x) \le C$  a.e.  $d\omega$ .

LEMMA 1.10. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  denote regular Borel locally measures on the locally compact spaces X and Y. Then  $Bil^{\sigma}$  has a dense subset consisting of the bilinear functionals F such that their Grothendieck

measures  $\mu_g$ ,  $\nu_g$  are such that  $d\mu_g/d\mu$  and  $d\nu_g/d\nu$  are bounded away from zero and away from  $\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . We may assume that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are probability measures and that we have a Grothendieck measure pair  $\mu_g$ ,  $\nu_g$  for F with  $\mu_g \ll \mu$  and  $\nu_g \ll \nu$  The validity of this second assumption follows from Lemma 1.9.

Now, by (1.1, and using the notation of Lemma 1.9,), if A is a Borel subset of X and B is a Borel subset of Y, then

$$|\langle f\chi_A\otimes g\chi_B,F\rangle|\to 0$$
 as  $\mu(A)\to 0$ , and/or  $\nu(B)\to 0$ ,

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

Thus, given n > 0, define the Borel sets  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  by

$$A_n = \{x \in X : p(x) \notin [1/n, n]\}$$

and

$$B_n = \{ y \in Y : q(x) \notin [1/n, n] \},$$

where p, q are as in Lemma 1.9.

Then  $\mu(A_n) \to 0$  and  $\nu(B_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be given. Then there exists n > 0 such that

$$|\langle f\chi_{A_n}\otimes g\chi_{B_n},\,u\rangle|\leq \frac{\delta}{4}\|f\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{\infty}\quad\text{for all }f\in L^{\infty}(\mu)\,,\ \ g\in L^{\infty}(\nu).$$

We let  $F_1=(\chi_{A_n}\otimes\chi_{B_n})\mu\times\nu+((1-\chi_{A_n})\otimes(1-\chi_{B_n}))F$ . It is then clear that  $\|F-F_1\|\leq\delta$ .

**2.** Locally compact groups. In this section, G and H will be locally compact groups, not both discrete. We now write  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  in place of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}(L^{\infty}(G),L^{\infty}(H))$ . We study the properties of the particular space  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ , where we are already using the group structure to define  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . We remind the reader that we continue the identification of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  with a closed subspace of  $\operatorname{BM}_c(G,H)$  (see Corollary 1.4 and Proposition 1.8).

Furthermore, by Proposition 1.1,  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  consists of the bilinear functionals F such that, for all  $x \in L^{\infty}(m_G)$ ,  $y \in L^{\infty}(m_H)$ ,  $f \mapsto F(f, y)$   $(f \in L^{\infty}(m_G))$  is given by integration against an element of  $L^1(\mu)$  and  $g \mapsto F(x, g)$   $(g \in L^{\infty}(m_H))$  is given by integration against an element of  $L^1(\nu)$ .

We note that  $Bil(L^{\infty}(m_G), L^{\infty}(m_H))$  is a  $(L^{\infty}(m_G), L^{\infty}(m_H))$  module in the sense that the (obviously bounded) operations  $(g \cdot F)$ 

and  $F \cdot f$  are defined by

$$(g \cdot F)(h, k) = F(h, gk)$$
 and  $(F \cdot f)(h, k) = F(fh, k)$ 

for all  $F \in \text{Bil}(L^{\infty}(m_G), L^{\infty}(m_H)), f, h \in L^{\infty}(m_G)$  and  $g, k \in L^{\infty}(m_H)$ .

Also,  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is a closed submodule of the  $\operatorname{Bil}(L^{\infty}(m_G), L^{\infty}(m_H))$ .

We define  $L^{\infty}(\mu)\hat{\otimes}^{\sigma}L^{\infty}(\nu) =_{\operatorname{def}} \left(\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}\right)^{*}$ . Then  $L^{\infty}(\mu)\hat{\otimes}^{\sigma}L^{\infty}(\nu)$  is a dual  $(L^{\infty}(m_{G}), L^{\infty}(m_{H}))$ -module when the operations are defined by

$$\langle g \cdot M, F \rangle = \langle M, g \cdot F \rangle$$
 and  $\langle M \cdot f, F \rangle = \langle M, F \cdot f \rangle$ ,

where  $M \in L^{\infty}(\mu) \hat{\otimes}^{\sigma} L^{\infty}(\nu)$ ,  $F \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ ,  $f \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$  and  $g \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ . A dual module is *normal* if the mappings

$$f \mapsto f \cdot M \text{ from } L^{\infty}(\mu) \to L^{\infty}(\mu) \hat{\otimes}^{\sigma} L^{\infty}(\nu) \quad \text{and} \quad g \mapsto M \cdot g \text{ from } L^{\infty}(\nu) \to L^{\infty}(\mu) \hat{\otimes}^{\sigma} L^{\infty}(\nu)$$

are both weak\*-weak\* continuous.

THEOREM 2.1. Let G and H be locally compact groups. Then  $Bil^{\sigma}$  is an ideal in BM(G, H). Also,  $Bil^{\sigma}$  is a normal  $(L^{\infty}(G), L^{\infty}(H))$  module.

**Proof.** Immediate from Lemma 1.9 and the facts that (i) BM(G, H) is an algebra under convolution (see [7, 2.5] or [4, 2.4]) and (ii) that the Grothendieck measures for a convolution product may be taken to be the convolutions of the Grothendieck measures of the factors [4, loc. cit].

The last assertion is a consequence of [3, Lemma 2.2] and Lemma 1.9 above.

REMARKS 2.2. (a) Note that the mapping

$$\theta: L^{\infty}(G) \otimes L^{\infty}(H) \to L^{\infty}(G) \otimes^{\sigma} L^{\infty}(H)$$

defined by  $\theta(f\otimes g)(F)=F(f,g)$  is one-to-one. Hence, we may identify the space  $L^\infty(G)\otimes L^\infty(H)$  with its image in  $L^\infty(G)\hat{\otimes}^\sigma L^\infty(H)$ . That image is weak\* dense.

Furthermore, if  $M \in L^{\infty}(G) \hat{\otimes}^{\sigma} L^{\infty}(H)$  of norm one, then there is a net  $M_{\alpha} = \sum \lambda_i^{\alpha} (f_i^{\alpha} \otimes g_i^{\alpha})$ , with the  $f_i$ 's and  $g_i$ 's in their respective unit balls, the  $\lambda_i$ 's nonnegative with sum one, such that  $M_{\alpha} \to M$  in the weak\* topology. (See [3, p. 139 and p. 141].)

(b) There is a unique weak\*-continuous extension to  $L^{\infty}(G) \otimes^{\sigma} L^{\infty}(H)$  of the multiplication map

$$\pi\colon L^\infty(G)\otimes L^\infty(G)\to L^\infty(G)$$

given by  $f \otimes g \mapsto f \cdot g$  (see [3, p. 142]).

Theorem 2.3. Let G and H be compact groups. Then  $Bil^{\sigma}$  has a dense subset consisting of the bilinear functionals F such that their Grothendieck measures  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  are such that  $d\mu/dm_G$  and  $d\nu/dm_H$  are bounded away from zero and away from  $\infty$ .

Proof. Immediate from Lemma 1.10.

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be continuous probability measures on the locally compact spaces X and Y respectively. Then there is a projection of norm one from BM(X,Y) onto  $Bil^{\sigma}$ .

Proof. It is well-known (and easy to see) that BM(X,Y) may be imbedded isometrically in  $Bil(M(X)^*,M(Y)^*)$ . Let  $f_0\in M(X)^*$ , be such that  $f_0$  is one a.e. with respect to (the image of)  $\mu$  and zero with respect to (the image of) all measures on X that are singular with respect to  $\mu$ . Define  $g_0\in M(X)^*$  analogously. Then the composition of  $F\mapsto (f_0\times g_0)F$  with the restriction of the resulting element to  $C(Y)\times C(Y)$  is a linear norm-reducing mappping P of BM(X,Y). Furthermore, PF=F for all  $F\in Bil^\sigma$ . Finally, (straightforward computations show that)  $f\mapsto PF(f,g)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  for all  $g\in C(Y)$  and that  $g\mapsto PF(f,g)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  for all  $f\in C(X)$ . That is,  $FF\in Bil^\sigma$ . It follows that P is the required projection.

Theorem 2.5. Let G and H be locally compact groups. Then there is no projection from  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  onto the closed subspace of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  generated by  $L^1(G \times H)$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate from [7, Theorem 1] and Lemma 2.4 above.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.6.** Let G be a compact group and U an open subset of G. Then there exists an integer  $n \ge 1$  such that  $U^n$  is an open subgroup of G.

**Proof.** Let  $y \in U$ . The closed semigroup H generated by y is a compact semigroup. Therefore H contains an idempotent [2, 1.8]; that idempotent is necessarily the identity of G. (Alternatively, we can apply the fact [2, 1.10] that a compact subsemigroup of a group is a subgroup, so  $e \in H$ , which is, in fact, a group.) In any case, e is in the closure of  $\{y^l\}$ .

Let V be any symmetric neighborhood of e. We may assume that V is so small that  $yV\subseteq U$ . Then

$$y^l V \subseteq (yV)^l \subseteq U^l$$
.

Since  $\{y^l\}$  accumulates at e, there are large l's such that  $y^l \in V^{-1} = V$ . Therefore  $y^{-l} \in V$ , so

$$e = y^l y^{-l} \in y^l V \subseteq U^l$$
.

That is,  $e \in U^l$ . Thus, we may assume  $e \in U^{lm}$  for all m > 0. In particular, the sets  $U^{lm}$  are increasing. Again, consider the closed subgroup H generated by  $y \in U^{lm_0}$  for some  $m_0 > 0$ . (H is a subgroup by [2, 1.10].) If that closed subgroup is finite, then eventually it is contained in  $U^{lm}$  for some  $m \ge m_0$ . Otherwise, every element of it is an accumulation point of the set  $\{y^n \colon n > 0\}$ . (That also follows from the fact that a compact semigroup in a compact group is necessarily a group.) Hence, every element of H belongs to some  $U^{lm}$ . This argument applies to every element of  $\bigcup_{m \ge 1} U^{lm}$ . That is, the group  $K = \bigcup_{m \ge 1} U^{lm}$ .

Since  $\bigcup_{m\geq 1} U^{lm}$  is a group, and open, it is also a closed subgroup, and therefore it is compact. Therefore  $\bigcup_{m\geq 1} U^{lm} = U^{lm(0)}$  for some m(0).

By the monotonicity of the  $U^{lm}$ ,  $K = U^{lm(0)}$ 

We can now give a variant of Lemma 1.10.

THEOREM 2.7. (1) Let G, H be compact and connected groups. Then the set of those  $u \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  for which there is an  $n \geq 1$  for which the Grothendieck measures for  $u^n$  are Haar measure is a dense subset of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ .

(2) Let G, H be compact groups. Then the set of those  $F \in Bil^{\sigma}$  for which there is an  $n \geq 1$  for which the Grothendieck measures for  $F^n$  are Haar measure on an open subgroup of G is a dense subset of  $Bil^{\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  be Grothendieck measures for F. Then  $\mu = (f+g)m_G$ , where f is continuous and  $\|g\|$  is small. Similarly for  $\nu$ . Then the Grothendieck measures for  $F^n$  are  $\mu^n$  and  $\nu^n$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $f^n > 0$  on an open subgroup of G. We may throw away the terms involving g in  $(f+g)^n$ , thus obtaining the required conclusion for both (i) and (ii).

3. Compact abelian groups. Suppose that G and H are compact abelian groups with character groups  $\widehat{G}$  and  $\widehat{H}$ , respectively.

Let  $u \in BM(G, H)$ . The Fourier transform  $\hat{u}$  of u is defined by

$$\hat{u}(\gamma, \rho) = \langle \bar{\gamma} \otimes \bar{\rho}, u \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \hat{G}, \ \rho \in \hat{H}.$$

Then  $\hat{u}$  is well-defined and  $\|\hat{u}\|_{\infty} \leq \|u\|$  (see [7, p. 97]).

Remark. The multipliers of  $Bil^{\sigma}$  are exactly the elements of BM(G, H).

This is immediate upon taking weak\* limits, since the unit ball of  $Bil^{\sigma}$  is dense in the unit ball of BM, even though (see below)  $Bil^{\sigma}$  does not have an approximate identity. Here are some details.

We first note that the measures in the unit ball of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  are weak\* dense in that ball (one proof of that is known as Riemann sums for double integrals; another is known as "bounded spectral synthesis" for sets whose union is a Kronecker set [13, Corollary 4]). The argument in the "bounded spectral synthesis" form easily adapts to the case of approximation by measures belonging to a fixed L-space that is weak\* dense in  $M(G \times H)$ . Hence, the measures in the unit ball of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  are dense in the unit ball of  $\operatorname{BM}(G, H)$ .

Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a function defined on  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$  such that  $\varphi \widehat{u}$  is the Fourier transform (see below) of an element of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  for all  $u \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . Then  $\|\varphi \widehat{u}\| \leq C \|u\|$  for all u and some constant C. We note that the set Fourier-Stieltjes transform of  $\operatorname{BM}(G,H)$  is closed under bounded pointwise convergence (that follows from a diagonalization argument and the fact that the unit ball of  $\operatorname{BM}(G,H)$  is compact in the weak\* topology). By taking weak\* limits (within the unit ball), we conclude that  $\varphi$  is a multiplier of  $\operatorname{BM}(G,H)$ . Since  $\operatorname{BM}(G,H)$  has an identity, the remark follows.

Suppose that we have a u whose Grothendieck measures  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  are such that  $d\mu/dm_G$  and  $d\nu/dm_H$  are bounded away from zero and away from  $\infty$ . Then, by using that and the Plancherel Theorem, we can identify  $L^2(\mu)$  with  $L^2(\widehat{G})$  and  $L^2(\nu)$  with  $L^2(\widehat{H})$ . Using those identifications, we can explicitly compute the linear mapping  $T\colon L^2(\widehat{G})\to L^2(\widehat{H})$ . Here, T is the mapping associated with the Grothendieck measures. Of course, we have lost information about the constant in the Grothendieck inequality. The new mapping T is given by:

$$(T\hat{f})(\rho) = \sum_{\gamma} \hat{u}(\gamma, \, \rho) \hat{f}(\gamma),$$

where  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\hat{G})$ . That follows at once from the fact that

$$\langle u\,,\,f\otimes g\rangle=\sum_{\gamma\,,\,\rho}\hat{u}(\gamma\,,\,\rho)\hat{f}(\gamma)\hat{g}(\rho)\,,\quad\text{for all }f\in C(G)\,,\ g\in C(H)\,,$$

which, in turn, is an easy calculation from

$$\langle u, f \otimes g \rangle = \langle u, \left( \sum \hat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\gamma} \right) \otimes \left( \sum \hat{g}(\rho) \overline{\rho} \right) \rangle.$$

The norm of the new T is now bounded by the product of three numbers: the norm of the old T, the supremum of  $d\mu/dm_G$ , and the reciprocal of the infimum of  $d\nu/dm_H$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let G and H be compact abelian groups. Let  $u \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ . Suppose that u has  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  for its Grothendieck measures with  $d\mu/dm_G$  and  $d\nu/dm_H$  both bounded away from zero and away from  $\infty$ . Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that  $\sum_{\gamma} |\hat{u}(\gamma, \rho)|^2 < C$  for every fixed  $\rho \in \widehat{H}$  and  $\sum_{\sigma} |\hat{u}(\gamma, \rho)|^2 < C$  for every fixed  $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ .

*Proof.* By the discussion preceding the statement of Proposition 3.1, we see that there is a linear transformation  $T: L^2(G) \to L^2(H)$  such that

$$\langle u, f \otimes g \rangle = \langle Tf, g \rangle$$
 for all  $f \in C(G)$  and all  $g \in C(H)$ .

(This transformation is the composition of the transformation discussed above with two Plancherel transformations.) Then

$$\sum_{\gamma} |\hat{u}(\gamma, \rho)|^2 \le ||T^*(\rho)||_2^2 \le ||T||.$$

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $u \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ , where G, H are compact abelian groups. Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists N > 0 such that for each  $\rho \in \widehat{H}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Card}\{\gamma: |\hat{u}(\gamma, \rho)| > \varepsilon\} \leq N.$$

*Proof.* We fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let v be such that  $||u-v|| < \varepsilon/3$  and such that v satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1. We let N be any integer greater than  $9C/\varepsilon^2$  (the C is from Proposition 3.1 applied to v). Then  $|\hat{u}(\gamma, \rho)| > \varepsilon$  implies  $|\hat{v}(\gamma, \rho)| > \varepsilon/3$ , and that can occur at most  $9C/\varepsilon^2$  times.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $u \in Bil^{\sigma}$ , where G, H are compact abelian groups. Then the spectral radius of u is

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}, \ \rho \in \widehat{H}} |\widehat{u}(\gamma, \ \rho)|.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3, we may assume that there is a Grothen-dieck measure pair  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  for u such that  $d\mu/dm_G$  and  $d\nu/dm_H$  are both bounded away from zero and infinity. Thus, we may assume that there is bounded linear transformation  $T \colon L^2(G) \to L^2(H)$  such that  $\langle u, f \otimes g \rangle = \langle Tf, g \rangle$  for all  $f \in C(G)$  and  $g \in C(H)$ . Furthermore, for all continuous f on G, g on H,

(3.1) 
$$\sum_{\gamma,\rho} |\hat{u}^2(\gamma,\rho)| |\hat{f}(\gamma)| |\hat{g}(\rho)| = |\langle u * \tilde{u}, f \otimes g \rangle|$$

$$\leq C' \|u\|^2 \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2,$$

where C' is the product of four numbers: K (the Grothendieck constant), the norm of u, the supremum of  $d\mu/dm_G$ , and the reciprocal of the infimum of  $d\nu/dm_H$ .

Let  $f_1$  denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $d\mu/dm_G$ . Then  $f_1$  has  $L^1$ -norm 1 and is bounded away from zero and infinity. Therefore, the *n*th convolution powers of  $f_1$  converge to 1 uniformly, by Lemma 3.4 below. The same applies to  $g_1 = d\nu/dm_H$ 

That means that the Grothendieck measures (call them  $\mu_n$ ,  $\nu_n$ ) for  $u^n$  become closer and closer to Haar measures, so the norm of the isomorphisms (and of their inverses) between  $L^2(\mu_n)$  and  $L^2(\widehat{G})$  on the one hand, and  $L^2(\nu_n)$  and  $L^2(\widehat{H})$  on the other hand, approach one. Thus, for sufficiently large n, we may assume that

$$||u^n||_{\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma} \le C \sup\{|\langle u^n, f \otimes g \rangle| : ||f||_2 ||g||_2 \le 1\},$$

where C does not depend on n, and the supremum is taken over all f, g of uniform norm one.

But

$$\langle u^n, f \otimes g \rangle = \sum_{\gamma, \rho} \hat{u}^n(\gamma, \rho) \hat{f}(\gamma) \hat{g}(\rho).$$

Therefore

$$|\langle u^n, f \otimes g \rangle| \le ||\hat{u}^{n-2}||_{\infty} \sum_{\gamma, \rho} |\hat{u}^2(\gamma, \rho)| \, |\hat{f}(\gamma)| \, |\hat{g}(\rho)|.$$

It follows that

$$||u^n||_{\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}} \le C ||\hat{u}^{n-2}||_{\infty} \sup \sum_{\gamma,\rho} |\hat{u}^2(\gamma,\rho)||\hat{f}(\gamma)||\hat{g}(\rho)|,$$

where C does not depend on n, and the supremum is taken over all f, g of uniform norm one. By (3.1),

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{\infty} \le 1, \|g\|_{\infty} \le 1} \sum_{\gamma, \rho} |\hat{u}^2(\gamma, \rho)| |\hat{f}(\gamma)| |\hat{g}(\rho)| = \sup |\langle u * \tilde{u}, f \otimes g \rangle|$$

$$\leq C' \|u\|^2 \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$$
,

so  $||u^n||_{\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}} \leq C'' ||\hat{u}^{n-2}||_{\infty}$  for all n.

The conclusion about the spectral radius now follows easily.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let f be a bounded non-negative Borel function on the compact group G that is bounded away from zero and has  $L^1$ -norm one. Then the sequence of convolution powers of f converges uniformly to 1.

Proof. Since f is bounded,  $f \in L^2(G)$  and  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\widehat{G})$ . Therefore  $f^2 = f * f$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series, so, in particular,  $\hat{f} \in c_0(\widehat{G})$ . Since f > 0 and  $||f||_1 = 1$ ,  $\hat{f}(0) = 1$ . We apply the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem to  $\hat{f}^n$  (with  $|\hat{f}|^2$  being the dominating function and n > 2) to conclude that  $\hat{f}^n$  converges in  $l^1$ -norm to a function f' that is equal to the characteristic function of a finite subset of  $\widehat{G}$  (finite because  $\hat{f} \in c_0(\widehat{G})$ ). Of course, that means that  $f^n$  converges uniformly to a function  $f_1$  that is non-zero everywhere (the infimum of  $f^n$  is increasing with  $f_1$  in Thus,  $f_1 f_1 f_2 f_2 f_3$  is an idempotent probability measure. By [11, 3.2.4],  $f_1 f_1 f_2 f_2 f_3$  is Haar measure on a compact subgroup of  $f_2 f_3 f_3$ . Since  $f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_4 f_4 f_5$  is unite support, that subgroup has finite index. If the index were greater than 1,  $f_1$  would be zero somewhere, a contradiction. Therefore  $f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_4 f_5 f_6$  everywhere.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let G and H be compact abelian groups. Then  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$  is dense in the maximal ideal space of  $Bil^{\sigma}$  and  $Bil^{\sigma}$  is a symmetric Banach algebra

*Proof.* This is a standard argument: the result is more or less immediate from Theorem 3.3. Here are the details.

We first note that  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is self-adjoint. For if  $S \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is such that its Gelfand transform  $\widehat{S}$  is real on  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$ , but not real on all of  $\Delta \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  (the maximal ideal space), then for an appropriate k > 1,  $\exp(ikS)$  has Gelfand transform larger than one at that non-real value, but has Fourier-Stieltjes transform at most one, thus contradicting Theorem 3.3.

Since the space of Gelfand transforms  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma_{-}}$  is self-adjoint and separating, it is uniformly dense in  $C_0(\Delta \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma})$ . If  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$  were not dense in  $\Delta \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ , then there would be a continuous function f on  $\Delta \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  such that  $\|f\|_{\infty} = 1$  and |f| < 1/2 on  $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$ . By estimating f uniformly by an element of  $\operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$ , we again contradict Theorem 3.3.

We now give an example of an element of  $Bil^{\sigma}$ . The example is simple; we use it to show that  $Bil^{\sigma}$  does not have approximate identities, even unbounded ones.

Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  denote regular Borel probability measures on the locally compact spaces X and Y. Suppose that  $\{\gamma_{\alpha}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu)$ , and that  $\{\rho_{\beta}\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\nu)$ . Let subsequences of those bases be chosen. Let  $F(\gamma_{\alpha}, \rho_{\beta})$  be defined by

 $F(\gamma_{\alpha_j}, \, \rho_{\beta_k}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2^{-k/2}, & 2^k \le j \le 2^{k+1} - 1 \text{ and } j \ge 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{array} \right.$ 

and

 $F(\gamma_{\alpha}, \rho_{\beta}) = 0$  if there is no pair j, k with  $\alpha = \alpha_{j}$  and  $\beta = \beta_{k}$ .

Proposition 3.6. With the above hypotheses,

- (1) F is a bilinear functional on  $L^2(\mu) \times L^2(\nu)$  that is bounded by 1;
- (2) F represents an element of  $Bil^{\sigma}$ ; and
- (3) Grothendieck measures for F are given by  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ .

*Proof.* For the first part, let  $x, y \in L^2(\mu) \times L^2(\nu)$ , and let  $x_j = \langle x, \gamma_{\alpha_j} \rangle$  for all j and  $y_k = \langle y, \rho_{\beta_k} \rangle$  for all k. Let also  $F_{j,k} = F(\gamma_{\alpha_j}, \rho_{\beta_k})$ . Then

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{k} \sum_{j=2^{k+1}-1}^{2^{k+1}-1} F_{j,k} x_{j} y_{k}.$$

We may assume that the  $x_j$  and  $y_k$  are non-negative. For each k,

$$\sum_{j=2^{k}}^{2_{k+1}-1} F_{j,k} x_{j} \leq \left(\sum_{j=2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}-1} x_{j}^{2}\right)^{1/2},$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Therefore,

$$|F(x,y)| \le \sum_{k} \left( \sum_{j=2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}-1} x_{j}^{2} \right)^{1/2} y_{k}$$

$$\le \left( \sum_{k} \sum_{j=2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}-1} x_{j}^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{k} y_{k}^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

That is,

$$(3.2) F(x, y) \le ||x||_{L^{2}(\mu)} ||y||_{L^{2}(\nu)}.$$

For the second assertion, by the first part and the fact that  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  are probability measures,  $|F(x,y)| \leq \|x\|_{\infty} \|y\|_{\infty}$  for all  $x \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ ,  $y \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ . Hence F represents an element of  $\operatorname{Bil}(L^{\infty}(\mu), L^{\infty}(\nu))$ . We must show that F is weak\* continuous in each variable separately. Suppose that  $x_{\lambda} \to x$  weak\* in  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  and that  $y \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ . Note that  $L^{\infty}(\mu) \subseteq L^{2}(\mu) \subseteq L^{1}(\mu)$ . By the latter containment,  $x_{\lambda}$  converges weak\* in  $L^{2}(\mu)$  Since  $L^{\infty}(\mu)$  is dense in  $L^{2}(\mu)$ ,

$$x_{\lambda} \to x$$
 weakly in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

Let

$$z = \sum_{k} \langle y, \rho_{\beta_k} \rangle \left( \sum_{j=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \langle x, \gamma_{\alpha_j} \rangle \gamma_{\alpha_j} \right).$$

Then  $z \in L^2(\mu)$  and  $\langle w, z \rangle = F(w, y)$  for all  $w \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ . Since  $z \in L^2(\mu)$ ,

$$\lim_{\lambda} F(x_{\lambda}, y) = \lim_{\lambda} \langle x_{\lambda}, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle = F(x, y).$$

The weak\* continuity in y is proved identically.

For the last assertion, we just apply (3.2) that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  have the required property.

THEOREM 3.7. Let G and H be infinite compact abelian groups. Then  $Bil^{\sigma}$  does not have an (even unbounded) approximate identity.

REMARK. A virtual diagonal for a Banach algebra A is a bounded net  $\{m_{\alpha}\}$  in  $A \hat{\otimes} A$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha} (m_{\alpha} a - a m_{\alpha}) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\alpha} \pi(m_{\alpha}) a = a$  for each  $a \in A$ , where  $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$ . The Banach algebra A is amenable if and only if A has a virtual diagonal. If A is amenable, then A has a bounded approximate identity. Hence,  $\text{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is never amenable when G, H are compact abelian groups. See [1, p. 243] and [10, p. 50, Ex. 36].

*Proof.* Let the elements of  $\widehat{G}$  be denoted by  $\gamma_{\alpha}$  and the elements of  $\widehat{H}$  be denoted by  $\rho_{\beta}$ . We apply the example of Proposition 3.6, only replacing  $\mu$  with  $m_G$  and  $\nu$  with  $m_H$ . Suppose that  $L \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  were such that  $\|L*F-F\| \leq \frac{1}{2K}$ , where K is the usual complex Grothendieck constant.

By [4, 2.4], Grothendieck measures for a convolution of bimeasures are the convolution of Grothendieck measures of the factors. Combining that with the third item of Proposition 3.6, we see that Grothendieck measures for  $L*F-F=(L-\delta_0)*F$  are exactly Haar measure. That is, for all  $x \in L^2(G)$  and  $y \in L^2(H)$ ,

$$(3.3) |\langle L * F - F, x \otimes y \rangle| \le K ||L * F - F|| \, ||x||_2 \, ||y||_2.$$

For simplicity, denote  $F(\gamma_{\alpha_j}, \rho_{\beta_k})$  by  $F_{j,k}$  and  $L(\gamma_{\alpha_j}, \rho_{\beta_k})$  by  $L_{j,k}$ . For each k, let us compare the values of L\*F and F at  $\gamma_{\alpha_j}$ ,  $\rho_{\beta_k}$ , for  $2^k \le j \le 2^{k+1} - 1$ .

We will apply when x is the element of  $L^2(G)$  such that the Fourier transform of x is  $2^{-k/2}e^{-\theta(j,k)}$ , where  $\theta(j,k)$  is the argument of  $L_{j,k}-1$  if that difference is non-zero, and zero otherwise and  $y=\rho_{\beta_k}$ . Then

$$(3.4) \langle L * F - F, x \otimes y \rangle = \sum_{j=2^{k}}^{2^{k+1}-1} |L_{j,k} - 1| 2^{-k}$$

$$\leq K ||L * F - F|| \, ||x||_2 \, ||y||_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, for at least half the terms in (3.4),  $|L_{j,k}-1| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . That means that

$$(3.5) |L_{j,k}| \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

for at least  $2^{k-1}$  terms. For k sufficiently large, that contradicts Corollary 3.2.

When G is a compact abelian group,  $L^1(G)$  has a dense subset consisting of elements whose Fourier transforms have finite support. That is not possible for  $\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma$ , since the characteristic function of any graph of a one-to-one function from  $\widehat{G}$  to  $\widehat{H}$  is the Fourier transform of an element of  $\operatorname{Bil}^\sigma$ . In view of Corollary 3.2, one might hope that "finitely supported" could be replaced by "summable on sets of the form  $\gamma \times \widehat{H}$ , with uniform bound on the sums." That is not possible, as the next result asserts.

Theorem 3.8. Let G and H be compact abelian groups. Then the set of elements L in Bil<sup> $\sigma$ </sup> for which  $\sup_{\rho} \sum_{\gamma} |L(\gamma, \rho)| < \infty$  is not dense.

*Proof.* We adapt the proof of Theorem 3.7, using the same F as there.

Suppose that  $L \in \operatorname{Bil}^{\sigma}$  is close to F. Then the Grothendieck measures for L must be close (in an  $L^2$  sense) to those of F, that is they must be near to the respective Haar measures. That means that if  $\|F - L\|$  is sufficiently small, then

$$|\langle F - L, x \otimes y \rangle| < 2K||F - L|| ||x||_2 ||u||_2$$

for all  $x \in L^2(G)$ ,  $y \in l^2(G)$ .

Suppose that  $\|L-F\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2K}$ . Suppose also that  $\sup_{\rho}\sum_{\gamma}|\widehat{L}(\gamma)|<\infty$ . Then for sufficiently large k,  $|L_{j,k}|<2^{-1-k/2}$  for at least half the j in the range  $2^k\leq j\leq 2^{k+1}-1$ .

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{2^k \le j \le 2^{k+1} - 1}} |L_{j,k} - F_{j,k}| 2^{-k/2} \ge 2^{-k/2} 2^{-1-k/2} 2^{k-1} = 2^{-2}$$

(evaluate at the same x, y as in the proof of Theorem 3.7). That implies that  $|L_{i,k} - 2^{-k/2}| < \varepsilon$ , which is impossible for small  $\varepsilon$ .  $\square$ 

- 4. Problems. We list in this section some open questions.
- (1) What happens if  $L^{\infty}$  is replaced with LUC(G)? C(G)? [And one looks at the corresponding spaces defined via weak\* limits?]
  - (2) What happens when we replace  $L^{\infty}$  with VN(G)?
- (3) Does either  $Bil^{\sigma}$  or  $Bil(L^{\infty}(m_G), L^{\infty}(m_H))$  characterize the underlying groups? (Wendel's Theorem.)
  - (4) Same question for BM(G, H).
  - (5) What is the dual of  $Bil(L^{\infty}(m_G), L^{\infty}(m_H))$ ?

### REFERENCES

- [1] F. F. Bonsal and J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [2] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrandt, and R. J. Koch, *The Theory of Topological Semigroups*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.
- [3] E. G. Effros, Amenability and virtual diagonals for von Neumann algebras, J. Funct. Anal., 78 (1988), 137-153.
- [4] J. Gilbert, T. Ito, and B. M. Schreiber, *Bimeasure algebras on locally compact groups*, J. Funct. Anal., **64** (1985), 134–162.
- [5] C. C. Graham, A. T.-M. Lau, and M. Leinert, Separable translation-invariant subspaces of M(G) and other dual spaces on locally compact groups, Colloq. Math., 55 (1988), 131-145.
- [6] \_\_\_\_\_, Continuity of translation in the dual of  $L^{\infty}(G)$  and related spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 328 (1991), 589-618.
- [7] C. C. Graham and B. M. Schreiber, *Bimeasure algebras on LCA groups*, Pacific J. Math., 115 (1984), 91-127.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Projections in spaces of bimeasures, Canad. Math. Bull., 31 (1) (1988), 19-25.

- [9] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [10] A. L. Patterson, Amenability, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1988.
- [11] W. Rudin, Fourier Analysis on Groups, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw Hill, New York, 1966.
- [13] S. Saeki, Tensor products of C(X)-spaces and their conjugate spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (1976), 33-47.
- [14] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras*, Vol I., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.

Received October 30, 1990 and in revised form February 1, 1992. Research partially supported by grants from the NSERC.

## LAKEHEAD UNIVERSITY

THUNDER BAY, ONTARIO, CANADA P7B 5E1 E-mail address: ccgraham@thunder.lakeheadu.ca

#### AND

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G E-mail address: usertlau@ualtamts.ca