

UNIT INDICES OF SOME IMAGINARY COMPOSITE QUADRATIC FIELDS II

MIKIHITO HIRABAYASHI

Let K be an imaginary abelian number field of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ containing the 8-th cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$. Using the fundamental units of real quadratic subfields of K , we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the unit index Q_K of K to be equal to 2.

1. Introduction and Results.

Let K be an imaginary abelian number field and K_0 the maximal real subfield of K . Let E and E_0 be the groups of units of K and K_0 , respectively, and let W be the group of roots of unity in K . Let Q_K be the unit index of K , i.e.,

$$Q_K = [E : WE_0].$$

In the previous paper [4] we gave a necessary and sufficient condition for Q_K to be equal to 2 when K is an imaginary abelian number field (whose Galois group is) of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ not containing the 8-th cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$. In this paper we give such a condition when K contains $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2})$.

In this paper we use the following notation, unless otherwise specified.

$\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Q}$: the sets of natural numbers, rational integers and rational numbers, respectively,

$\stackrel{=}{2}$ (resp. $\stackrel{=}{2}$ in k) : the equality up to a rational quadratic factor (resp. the equality up to a square of a number of a field k),

d_1, d_2, \dots, d_7 : square-free positive integers such that $d_4 \stackrel{=}{2} d_2 d_3$, $d_5 \stackrel{=}{2} d_3 d_1$, $d_6 \stackrel{=}{2} d_1 d_2$, $d_7 \stackrel{=}{2} d_1 d_2 d_3$ and that $d_3 = 2$.

$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2}, \sqrt{d_3}) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$: an imaginary abelian number field of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$,

$$K_0 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2}, \sqrt{d_3}),$$

E_0^+ : the group of totally positive units of K_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_2}, \sqrt{d_3}), & K_2 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_3}, \sqrt{d_1}), & K_3 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2}), \\ K_4 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2 d_3}), & K_5 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_2}, \sqrt{d_3 d_1}), & K_6 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_3}, \sqrt{d_1 d_2}), \\ K_7 &= \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_2 d_3}, \sqrt{d_3 d_1}), \end{aligned}$$

σ_i : a generator of $\text{Gal}(K_0/K_i)$, i.e., $\langle \sigma_i \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_0/K_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$),
 ε_i : the fundamental unit of $k_i = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$, $\varepsilon_i > 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$),
 $N(x)$, $Sp(x)$: the absolute norm and the absolute trace of an algebraic number x , respectively.

For a totally positive unit η of K_0 , let

$$(1) \quad \xi = \xi(\eta) = \eta + \eta^{\sigma_1} + 2\sqrt{\eta\eta^{\sigma_1}},$$

$$(2) \quad \theta = \theta(\eta) = \xi + \xi^{\sigma_2} + 2\sqrt{\xi\xi^{\sigma_2}}$$

under the condition that

$$(3) \quad \sqrt{\eta\eta^{\sigma_1}} \in K_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{\xi\xi^{\sigma_2}} \in k_3.$$

Let ν be the number of i for which $N(\varepsilon_i) = -1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$), i.e.,

$$\nu = \#\{i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, 7; N(\varepsilon_i) = -1\}.$$

Remark 1. Using Lemmas 3 and 6 we can show that the above condition (3) follows from the equations

$$N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) \stackrel{=}{2} 1 \quad \text{in } K_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 6).$$

Our result is

Theorem. (1) If $\nu \geq 4$, then $Q_K = 1$.

(2) Suppose that $\nu = 3$ and that

$$N(\varepsilon_s) = N(\varepsilon_t) = N(\varepsilon_3) = -1$$

for $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ ($s \neq t$) different from 3. If $d_s d_t \stackrel{=}{2} d_3$ does not hold, then $Q_K = 1$.

(3) Suppose that $\nu \leq 2$ or that $\nu = 3$ and $d_s d_t \stackrel{=}{2} d_3$ holds for above s, t . Then $Q_K = 2$ if and only if there exists a unit η in E_0^+ such that

$$(4) \quad \eta = \prod_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon_i^{a_i} \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{N(\varepsilon_j)=+1} \varepsilon_j^{b_j}} \quad (a_i, b_j = 0 \text{ or } 1)$$

satisfying the following conditions (i), (ii) :

(i)

$$N_{K_0/K_\alpha}(\eta) \stackrel{=}{2} 1 \quad \text{in } K_\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 6),$$

$$N_{K_0/K_\beta}(\eta) \stackrel{=}{2} 1 \quad \text{in } K_0, \text{ but not in } K_\beta \quad (\beta = 3, 4, 5, 7).$$

(ii)

$$\theta = \theta(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 + \sqrt{2} \right) d_1^{e_1} d_2^{e_2} \quad \text{in } k_3 = \mathbf{Q} \left(\sqrt{2} \right)$$

for some $e_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Moreover, in the representation (4) of η , the number of j 's for which $b_j = 1$ is greater than one.

Remark 2. When $\nu = 3$ and $d_s d_t = d_3$ holds for s, t in Theorem, we have examples of $Q_K = 1$ and $Q_K = 2$:

If $d_1 = 5, d_2 = 21$, then $Q_K = 1$, which is checked by Proposition 1.

If $d_1 = 7, d_2 = 41$, then $Q_K = 2$. Because,

$$\eta = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1} \sqrt{\varepsilon_5} = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{14} \right) \cdot \left(2\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7} \right)$$

satisfies the condition (3) of Theorem. In fact,

$$\theta = \theta(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2 + \sqrt{2} \right) 7 \quad \text{in } k_3.$$

Remark 3. In the Theorem, when

$$\prod_{N(\varepsilon_j)=+1} \varepsilon_j^{b_j} = \varepsilon_{j_1} \varepsilon_{j_2},$$

it holds that $d_{j_1} d_{j_2} = d_3 = 2$, as seen in Lemma 5 (2).

The assertions (1) and (2) of the Theorem are easily obtained in §3 from

Proposition 1. Let L be the composite of a 2-power-th cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta)$ ($\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/2^m), m \geq 2$) and n independent real quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_i})$ where D_i are square-free positive integers ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), that is,

$$L = \mathbf{Q} \left(\zeta, \sqrt{D_1}, \sqrt{D_2}, \dots, \sqrt{D_n} \right).$$

If $D_1 \equiv D_2 \equiv \dots \equiv D_n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $Q_L = 1$.

2. Characterization of $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$.

Our argument depends on

Lemma 1 (cf. [3, Satz 15]). $Q_K = 2$ if and only if there exists a unit $\eta \in E_0^+$ such that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})$.

Therefore, in order to determine the alternative $Q_K = 1$ or 2, we investigate such $\eta \in E_0^+$. We replace the definition of \overline{E}_0 in [4] by

$$\overline{E}_0 = \left\{ \eta \in E_0^+ \mid K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}) \right\}.$$

Here we note that if $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$, η is totally positive.

Lemma 2 (cf. [4, Lemma 1]). *For $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$, we have*

$$\eta^2 = \varepsilon_1^{x_1} \varepsilon_2^{x_2} \cdots \varepsilon_7^{x_7}$$

for some $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. For $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$, we can put

$$\eta^4 = \varepsilon_1^{x_1} \varepsilon_2^{x_2} \cdots \varepsilon_7^{x_7} \quad (x_i \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

In fact, for a (2, 2)-extension K/k with Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/k) = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ we have

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{\alpha^{1+\sigma} \alpha^{1+\tau}}{(\alpha^\sigma)^{1+\sigma\tau}}$$

for any $\alpha \in K, \alpha \neq 0$. By this formula we see that $E_0^4 \subseteq E_0^*$, where E_0^* is the subgroup of E_0 generated by $\pm \varepsilon_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$).

We show that every x_i is even.

Since $K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})$, we have $\eta = (2 + \sqrt{2}) \alpha_0^2$ for some $\alpha_0 \in K_0$. Then

$$(5) \quad (2 + \sqrt{2})^4 \alpha_0^8 = \varepsilon_1^{x_1} \varepsilon_2^{x_2} \cdots \varepsilon_7^{x_7}.$$

Taking the norms N_{K_0/k_3} and N_{K_0/k_i} ($i \neq 3$) of this equation (5) and then the positive fourth root, we have

$$(2 + \sqrt{2})^4 N_{K_0/k_3}(\alpha_0)^2 = \varepsilon_3^{x_3} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^2 N_{K_0/k_i}(\alpha_0)^2 = \varepsilon_i^{x_i},$$

respectively. Here we recall that ε_3 and ε_i are positive. These equations show that $\varepsilon_i^{x_i}$ is square in k_i and hence $x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for every i . \square

Lemma 3 ([2, Satz 1]). *Let K_1 be a field with $\text{char}(K_1) \neq 2$ and K_0 a quadratic extension over K_1 . Let η be an element of K_0 which is not a square in K_0 .*

- (1) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_1$ is Galois $\iff N_{K_0/K_1}(\eta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ in K_0 .
- (2) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_1$ is an extension of type (2, 2) $\iff N_{K_0/K_1}(\eta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ in K_1 .
- (3) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_1$ is cyclic $\iff N_{K_0/K_1}(\eta) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ in K_0 , but not in K_1 .

Lemma 4 (cf. [4, Lemma 3]). *Let $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$ and put*

$$\eta^2 = \varepsilon_1^{x_1} \varepsilon_2^{x_2} \cdots \varepsilon_7^{x_7} \quad (x_i \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

- (1) If there exists an even x_i , then $N(\varepsilon_j) = +1$ for each odd x_j .
(2) If $x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv \dots \equiv x_7 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $N(\varepsilon_1) = N(\varepsilon_2) = \dots = N(\varepsilon_7)$.

We can prove this Lemma 4 as in the same way in [4, Lemma 3].

Lemma 5. Let $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$ and put

$$(6) \quad \eta^2 = \varepsilon_1^{x_1} \varepsilon_2^{x_2} \dots \varepsilon_7^{x_7} \quad (x_i \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

- (1) There exist at least two odd integers among the x_i 's.
(2) If x_i, x_j ($i \neq j$) are odd and the others x_k are even, then $d_i \neq 2, d_j \neq 2$ and $d_i d_j \equiv 2$.

Proof of Lemma 5. (1) First we suppose that all x_i are even. Then η is a product of some of ε_i 's. Noting that η is contained in $(E_0^*)^+ = E_0^* \cap E_0^+$, we see by [4, Proposition 1] that η is, up to a square, a product of some of following totally positive units :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i & \quad (\text{when } N(\varepsilon_i) = +1), \\ \eta_{ij} := \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k & \quad (\text{when } d_i d_j \equiv d_k \text{ and } N(\varepsilon_i) = N(\varepsilon_j) = N(\varepsilon_k) = -1), \\ \eta_{ijk} := \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_l & \quad (\text{when } d_i d_j d_k \equiv d_l \text{ and } N(\varepsilon_i) = N(\varepsilon_j) = N(\varepsilon_k) = N(\varepsilon_l) \\ & \quad = -1). \end{aligned}$$

For a unit ε_i with $N(\varepsilon_i) = +1$ we have

$$\eta Sp(\xi) = \xi^2$$

where $\eta = \varepsilon_i$ and $\xi = \varepsilon_i + 1$. For $\eta = \eta_{ij}$ or η_{ijk} we also have by [5, Proof of Zusatz 1] or by [4, Lemma 6] that

$$\eta Sp(\xi) = \xi^2$$

where

$$\xi = \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_k$$

or

$$\xi = \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_l + 1 - (\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k + \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_j \varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_l),$$

respectively. Therefore, $K_0(\sqrt{\varepsilon_i})$, $K_0(\sqrt{\eta_{ij}})$ and $K_0(\sqrt{\eta_{ijk}})$ are 2-elementary extensions over \mathbf{Q} and so is $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})$, which contradicts $\eta \in \overline{E}_0$.

Next we suppose that x_i is odd and the other x_k are even. Choose K_j for which $\sqrt{d_i} \notin K_j$. Taking the norm N_{K_0/K_j} of the equation (6), we have

$$N_{K_0/K_j}(\eta)^2 = N(\varepsilon_i)^{x_i} \varepsilon_u^{2x_u} \varepsilon_v^{2x_v} \varepsilon_w^{2x_w}$$

where $K_j = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_u}, \sqrt{d_v})$ and $d_w = d_u d_v$. Hence, $N(\varepsilon_i) = +1$ and so $i \neq 3$. (Then, as for above j , we can take $j = 3, 4, 5$ or 7 .) Moreover, since x_u, x_v and x_w are even, we have

$$N_{K_0/K_j}(\eta) = \varepsilon_u^{x_u} \varepsilon_v^{x_v} \varepsilon_w^{x_w} = 1 \quad \text{in } K_j.$$

Therefore it follows from Lemma 3 that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_j$ is of type $(2, 2)$. However, the extension $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_j = K_0(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}})/K_j$ is itself a cyclic extension of degree 4. Thus we get a contradiction.

(2) Choose $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ for which $\sqrt{d_i} \in K_k$ and $\sqrt{d_j} \notin K_k$. Taking the norm N_{K_0/K_k} of the equation (6), we have

$$N_{K_0/K_k}(\eta)^2 = \varepsilon_i^{2x_i} N(\varepsilon_j)^{x_j} \eta_k^2$$

where η_k is a unit of K_k . Hence $N(\varepsilon_j) = +1$ and so $d_j \neq d_3 = 2$.

By exchanging i and j , we also have $N(\varepsilon_i) = +1$ and $d_i \neq d_3$.

Finally we show that $d_i d_j = 2$. Assume that this is false. Then, $K_l := \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_i d_3}, \sqrt{d_j d_3})$ contains neither $\sqrt{d_i}$ nor $\sqrt{d_j}$. Taking the norm N_{K_0/K_l} of (6) and then the positive square root, we obtain

$$N_{K_0/K_l}(\eta) = \varepsilon_\alpha^{x_\alpha} \varepsilon_\beta^{x_\beta} \varepsilon_\gamma^{x_\gamma} = 1 \quad \text{in } K_l$$

where $d_\alpha = d_i d_3$, $d_\beta = d_j d_3$ and $d_\gamma = d_\alpha d_\beta$, because, x_α, x_β and x_γ are even. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 3 (2) that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_l$ is an extension of type $(2, 2)$. However, by the definition of K_l , K_l does not contain $\sqrt{d_3}$ and so $K_l \neq K_1, K_2$ or K_6 . Hence $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_l$ is a cyclic extension of degree 4, which is a contradiction. \square

3. Proofs of Proposition 1 and Theorem.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $f(\chi)$ be the conductor of a Dirichlet character χ . For any even character χ_0 of L , we have $2 \nmid f(\chi_0)$ or $2^3 \mid f(\chi_0)$ and $2^{m+1} \nmid f(\chi_0)$. Then, from [2, Satz 22] it follows that $Q_L = 1$. \square

Remark 4. Proposition 1 is also proved in [1 (14.7) Corollary and the comment on p. 87 - 88].

Proof of (1), (2) of Theorem. By the assumption we have

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{d_s}, \sqrt{d_t}), \quad N(\varepsilon_s) = N(\varepsilon_t) = N(\varepsilon_3) = -1$$

for suitable $d_s, d_t \neq d_3$. Then for every odd prime p dividing $d_s d_t$, we have $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In fact, for example, by $N(\varepsilon_s) = -1$ we have $x^2 - d_s y^2 = -4$

for some $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, for an odd prime p dividing d_s , $x^2 \equiv -4 \pmod{p}$ and hence $(-1/p) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 1$, where $(/)$ is the Legendre symbol. Thus we get $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Therefore

$$K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{D_s}, \sqrt{D_t})$$

for some $D_s, D_t \in \mathbf{N}, D_s \equiv D_t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thus Proposition 1 implies that $Q_K = 1$. \square

In the following we prove the assertion (3) of Theorem, for which we need

Proposition 2. *Let K and K_0 be as in the notation in §1. Let η be an element of K_0 which is not square in K_0 .*

(1) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is a Galois extension if and only if

$$(7) \quad N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) \underset{2}{=} 1 \text{ in } K_0 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 7).$$

(2) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is an abelian extension of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ if and only if

$$(8) \quad N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) \underset{2}{=} 1 \text{ in } K_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 7).$$

(3) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is an abelian extension of type $(2, 2, 4)$ and $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k_3$ of type $(2, 2, 2)$ if and only if

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} N_{K_0/K_\alpha}(\eta) \underset{2}{=} 1 \text{ in } K_\alpha & (\alpha = 1, 2, 6), \\ N_{K_0/K_\beta}(\eta) \underset{2}{=} 1 \text{ in } K_0, \text{ but not in } K_\beta & (\beta = 3, 4, 5, 7). \end{cases}$$

Remark 5. This Proposition 2 remains valid if $K_0 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2})$ is replaced by $K_0 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2}, \sqrt{d_3})$ with arbitrary $d_3 \in \mathbf{N}$ (d_3 : square-free, $d_3 \geq 2$). Therefore, the condition (8) leads to the condition (5) of [4].

For the proof of Proposition 2, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let k be an algebraic number field. Let K_0/k be an abelian extension of type $(2, 2)$. Let K_1, K_2 and K_3 be the intermediate fields of K_0/k . Let η be an element of K_0 .*

(1) $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k$ is a Galois extension if and only if

$$N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) \stackrel{=}{2} 1 \quad \text{in } K_0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

(2) Suppose that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k$ is a Galois extension. Let

$$\mu = \#\{i \mid i = 1, 2, 3 ; N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) \stackrel{=}{2} 1 \text{ in } K_i\}.$$

Then, $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k$ is quaternion, abelian of type (2, 4), dihedral or abelian of type (2, 2, 2) if and only if $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ or 3, respectively.

Lemma 7. Let G be a group of order 16. Assume that there exists a normal subgroup N of G of order 2 with quotient group G/N of type (2, 2, 2). Then G is isomorphic to one of the followings :

- (a) a 2-elementary group
- (b) an abelian group of type (2, 2, 4)
- (c) a central product of an abelian subgroup A and a dihedral or quaternion subgroup B of order 8 such that $AB = G, A \cap B = N$. (A is the center of G .)

Lemma 6 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3. Lemma 7 is a special case of [6, (4.16) and Theorem 4.18].

Proof of Proposition 2. (1) Suppose that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is a Galois extension. Then, for any quadratic subfield k of K_0 , $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k$ is also a Galois extension. Hence, by Lemma 6 (1) we have

$$N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) \stackrel{=}{2} 1 \quad \text{in } K_0$$

for every intermediate field K_i of K_0/k .

Conversely, suppose that the condition (7) is satisfied. For an automorphism σ of the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ of \mathbf{Q} , the restriction $\sigma|_{K_0}$ of σ to K_0 belongs to the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbf{Q}) = \{\sigma_0 = 1, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_7\}$. Then

$$\sigma|_{K_0} = \sigma_i$$

for some i . By the assumption, we have

$$\eta\eta^{\sigma_i} = \eta_i^2$$

for some $\eta_i \in K_0$. Therefore,

$$\sqrt{\eta}^\sigma = \pm\sqrt{\eta}^\sigma = \pm\frac{\eta_i}{\sqrt{\eta}}$$

is contained in $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})$ and whence $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is a Galois extension.

(2), (3) At first, we suppose that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group G . Let N be the subgroup of G corresponding to K_0 .

Here we assume that G is not abelian. Then, it follows from Lemma 7 that G is a central product of an abelian subgroup A and a non-abelian subgroup B of degree 8. Let k be the subfield of $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})$ corresponding to B . Since $A \cap B = N$ and since B is of order 8, k is a quadratic subfield of K_0 , i.e., $k = k_a$ for some $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$. Then, $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k_a$ is a quaternion or dihedral extension. Let K'_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be the intermediate fields of K_0/k_a and let

$$\mu = \#\{i \mid N_{K_0/K'_i}(\eta) = 1 \text{ in } K'_i\}.$$

Then, by Lemma 6 (2) we have $\mu = 0$ or 2.

Now, suppose that the condition (9) is satisfied. Then, $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group G . If G is not abelian, then, for above μ and a , we have by the condition (9) that $\mu = 3$ or 1 according as $a = 3$ or not, which is a contradiction. Therefore G must be abelian.

Moreover, the equations

$$N_{K_0/K_\beta}(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{not in } K_\beta \quad (\beta = 3, 4, 5, 7)$$

imply that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_\beta$ is cyclic. Hence it follows from Lemma 7 that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is an abelian extension of type $(2, 2, 4)$. And the equations

$$N_{K_0/K_\alpha}(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{in } K_\alpha \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 6)$$

imply that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/k_3$ is an abelian extension of type $(2, 2, 2)$.

Next, suppose that the condition (8) is satisfied. In a similar way we see that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is an abelian extension.

We show that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$. Assume that this is false, i.e., assume that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}$ is of type $(2, 2, 4)$. Let, as above,

$$G = \text{Gal}(K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/\mathbf{Q}), \quad N = \text{Gal}(K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_0).$$

Then,

$$G/N \cong \text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbf{Q})$$

is of type $(2, 2, 2)$. By the assumption there exists an element σ of G of order 4. Since the order of the coset σN of G/N is at most 2, σ^2 is contained in N . Hence $N = \langle \sigma^2 \rangle$, because N has order 2. Let K_i be the subfield of K_0 corresponding to $\langle \sigma \rangle$. Then $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_i$ is cyclic. Hence, by Lemma 3 (3), we have

$$N_{K_0/K_i}(\eta) = 1 \quad \text{not in } K_i,$$

which is a contradiction to the condition (8).

Thus we have proved the sufficiencies of (2) and (3) of Proposition 2.

Conversely, their necessities are immediately deduced from Lemma 3 .

□

For the proof of (3) of Theorem, we also need

Lemma 8 ([4, Lemma 5]). *Let K_1 be an algebraic number field and K_0 a quadratic extension of K_1 . Let $K_0(\sqrt{\eta_0})$ ($\eta_0 \in K_0$, $\eta_0 \notin K_1$) be a bi-quadratic bicyclic extension of K_1 with $\text{Gal}(K_0(\sqrt{\eta_0})/K_1) = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ and $\text{Gal}(K_0(\sqrt{\eta_0})/K_0) = \langle \tau \rangle$. Let F be the intermediate field of $K_0(\sqrt{\eta_0})/K_1$ fixed by σ . Then we have*

$$F = K_1(\sqrt{\eta_0} + \sqrt{\eta_0}^\sigma).$$

Proof of (3) of Theorem. Suppose that $Q_K = 2$. Then, by Lemma 1 there exists a unit η in E_0^+ such that

$$K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0\left(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}\right).$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\eta^2 = \varepsilon_1^{x_1} \varepsilon_2^{x_2} \cdots \varepsilon_7^{x_7}$$

for some $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$). And we see by Lemma 5 (1) that there are at least two odd integers among x_i 's.

If all x_i are odd, then it follows from Lemma 4 (2) that

$$N(\varepsilon_1) = N(\varepsilon_2) = N(\varepsilon_3) = \cdots = N(\varepsilon_7) = -1,$$

and so $\nu = 7$, which contradicts our assumption $\nu \leq 3$. Then there exists at least one even integer among x_i 's. Hence Lemma 4 (1) implies that $N(\varepsilon_i) = +1$ for odd x_i . Therefore we may represent the η in question as

$$\eta = \prod_{i=1}^7 \varepsilon_i^{a_i} \cdot \sqrt{\prod_{N(\varepsilon_j)=+1} \varepsilon_j^{b_j}} \quad (a_i, b_j = 0 \text{ or } 1),$$

and Lemma 5 (1) shows that there are at least two $b_j = 1$.

Since $K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0\left(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}\right)$ is an extension of type (2, 2, 4) over \mathbf{Q} and of type (2, 2, 2) over $k_3 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, Proposition 2 (3) implies the condition (3) (i) of Theorem.

Moreover, it follows from Lemma 8 that $K_1(\sqrt{\xi}) = K_1(\sqrt{\eta_0} \pm \sqrt{\eta_0}^\sigma)$ is the intermediate field of $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_1$ fixed by σ or $\tau\sigma$, where σ is an automorphism of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ over \mathbf{Q} such that $\sigma|_{K_0} = \sigma_1$, $\langle \sigma_1 \rangle = \text{Gal}(K_0/K_1)$ and τ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_0)$. Consequently we have $K_1(\sqrt{\xi}) \neq K_0$. Similarly we can show that $k_3(\sqrt{\theta})$ is an intermediate field of $K_1(\sqrt{\xi})/k_3$ and that $k_3(\sqrt{\theta}) \neq K_1$. Therefore

$$k_3(\sqrt{\theta}) = k_3\left(\sqrt{(2 + \sqrt{2})d_1^{e_1}d_2^{e_2}}\right)$$

for some $e_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus we obtain the condition (3) (ii) of Theorem.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a unit $\eta \in E_0^+$ satisfying the conditions (3) (i), (ii) of Theorem. Then, it follows from Proposition 2 (3) that $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})$ is of type $(2, 2, 4)$ over \mathbf{Q} and of type $(2, 2, 2)$ over $k_3 = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. By Lemma 8, we see that $K_1(\sqrt{\xi})$ is an intermediate field of $K_0(\sqrt{\eta})/K_1$ and $K_1(\sqrt{\xi}) \neq K_0$. Then we have

$$K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0(\sqrt{\xi}).$$

In the same way we get

$$K_1(\sqrt{\xi}) = K_1(\sqrt{\theta}).$$

Therefore,

$$K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0(\sqrt{\xi}) = K_0(\sqrt{\theta}).$$

By the condition (3) (ii) of Theorem we have

$$K_0(\sqrt{\theta}) = K_0\left(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}\right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$K_0(\sqrt{\eta}) = K_0\left(\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}\right),$$

from which Lemma 1 implies $Q_K = 2$, as desired. □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Ken-ichi Yoshino for his many helpful comments. The author would also like to express his hearty thanks to the referee for his or her various suggestions.

References

- [1] P. E. Conner and J. Hurrelbrink, *Class Number Parity*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1988.

- [2] F. Halter-Koch, *Arithmetische Theorie der Normalkörper von 2-Potenzgrad mit Diedergruppe*, J. Number Theory, **3** (1971), 412-443.
- [3] H. Hasse, *Über die Klassenzahl abelscher Zahlkörper*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1952 (reproduction: Springer Verlag, (1985)).
- [4] M. Hirabayashi, *Unit Indices of Some Imaginary Composite Quadratic Fields*, Pacific J. Math., **164** (1994), 87-104.
- [5] T. Kubota, *Über den Bicyklischen Biquadratischen Zahlkörper*, Nagoya Math. J., **10** (1956), 65-85.
- [6] M. Suzuki, *Group Theory II*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo, 1986.

Received September 17, 1993. This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research No.05640072, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

KANAZAWA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
7-1, OGIGAOKA, NONOICHI-MACHI
ISHIKAWA 921, JAPAN