## ON THE $\Lambda$ -MODULE STRUCTURES OF $\tau$ -HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF $X \wedge S^{1,0}_+$

## Masami HOSOBUCHI

(Received February 1, 1984)

Introduction. Let X be a pointed  $\tau$ -complex. The stable  $\tau$ -homotopy group  $\pi_{p,q}^S(X \wedge S_+^{1,0})$  is the  $E^1$ -term of the forgetful spectral sequence associated with  $\pi_{*,*}^S(X)$  [1], and is isomorphic to  $\pi_{p+q}^S(X)$  additively since  $S_+^{1,0}$  is an equivariant S-dual of itself [3]. Moreover,  $\rho$  acts as -1 on  $\pi_{p,q}^S(X \wedge S_+^{1,0})$  [2]. (See [2], p. 365 for the definition of  $\rho$ ). We define  $\Lambda$  to be the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]/(1-\rho^2)$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem on the (unstable)  $\tau$ -homotopy groups  $\pi_{p,q}(X \wedge S_+^{1,0})$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$ . If  $\pi_k(X \times X, X \vee X) = 0$  for each k,  $q+2 \le k \le p+q+1$ , then there exists an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\phi_{p,q}: \pi_{p,q}(X \wedge S^{1,0}_+) \cong \pi_{p+q}(X) \oplus \pi_{q+1}(X)$$
.

Furthermore, the  $\rho$ -action on  $\pi_{t,q}(X \wedge S^{1,0}_+)$  is given by

$$\rho \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = (-\alpha, \beta), \qquad p \ge 2$$

$$\rho \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = (-\alpha, \alpha + \beta), \qquad p = 1$$

where  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is an element of  $\pi_{p,q}(X \wedge S^{1,0}_+)$  via  $\phi_{p,q}$ .

See §1 for the definition of  $\phi_{p,q}$ .

Example. If  $p+q+2 \le 2n$ , then we have

$$\pi_{p,q}(S^{n}X \wedge S^{1,0}_{+}) \cong \pi_{p+q}(S^{n}X) \oplus \pi_{q+1}(S^{n}X)$$
,

since  $\pi_k(S^nX \times S^nX, S^nX \vee S^nX) = 0$  for  $k \leq 2n-1$ .

Corollary (cf. [2], Proposition 3.6). Let  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\pi_{p,q}^{S}(X \wedge S_{+}^{1,0}) \cong \pi_{p+q}^{S}(X)$  and  $\rho$  acts as -1 on  $\pi_{p,q}^{S}(X \wedge S_{+}^{1,0})$ .

This follows from the above theorem, since  $\pi_{q+n+1}(S^{2n}X)=0$  for sufficiently large n.

Notations and elementary results in [2] are used freely.

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professors S. Araki

and H. Minami for their kind advice.

1. Let Y be a pointed  $\tau$ -complex with involution  $\tau$ . We recall first the forgetful exact sequence ([2], (10.5)),

(1) 
$$\cdots \to \pi_{r-1,s+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_{r-1,s+1}} \pi_{r+s}(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta_{r,s}^*} \pi_{r,s}(Y)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\chi_{r,s}} \pi_{r-1,s}(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi_{r-1,s}} \pi_{r+s-1}(Y) \to \cdots$$

where  $r \ge 1$ . Moreover, by [2] Lemma 12.6, we have

(2) 
$$\delta_{r,s}^* \psi_{r,s} = 1 - \rho \quad \text{(times)}.$$

We denote by  $\tau_*: \pi_{r+s}(Y) \to \pi_{r+s}(Y)$  the homomorphism induced by  $\tau$ . Then we have

Proposition 1.  $\psi_{r,s}\delta_{r,s}^*=1+(-1)^r\tau_*$ .

Proof. Let  $\alpha \in \pi_{r+s}(Y)$ . Since  $\psi_{r,s} \delta_{r,s}^*(\alpha)$  is an element of

$$\pi_{r+s}(Y) = [\sum^{r,s} \wedge S^{1,0}_+, Y]^r$$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \psi_{r,s} \delta_{r,s}^*(\alpha) \left( s_1, \, \cdots, \, s_r, \, t_1, \, \cdots, \, t_s, \, -1 \right) \\ & = \begin{cases} \alpha(s_1, \, \cdots, \, s_{r-1}, \, 2s_r + 1, \, t_1, \, \cdots, \, t_s, \, -1) \,, & -1 \leq s_r \leq 0 \,, \\ \alpha(s_1, \, \cdots, \, s_{r-1}, \, 1 - 2s_r, \, t_1, \, \cdots, \, t_s, \, +1) \,, & 0 \leq s_r \leq 1 \,, \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} \alpha(s_1, \, \cdots, \, s_{r-1}, \, 2s_r + 1, \, t_1, \, \cdots, \, t_s) \,, & -1 \leq s_r \leq 0 \,, \\ \tau_*(\alpha) \left( -s_1, \, \cdots, \, -s_{r-1}, \, 1 - 2s_r, \, t_1, \, \cdots, \, t_s \right) \,, & 0 \leq s_r \leq 1 \,. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

This yields the result.

Let X be a pointed  $\tau$ -complex. Hereafter, we shall consider the case  $Y=X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$ . Let  $X\vee X$  be a  $\tau$ -complex with involution defined by  $\tau(x,*)=(*,x)$ . Since  $X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$  is  $\tau$ -homeomorphic to  $X\vee X([2], p. 370), X\wedge S^{1,0}_+$  may be replaced by  $X\vee X$  in the  $\tau$ -homotopy groups. Thus we may assume that the involution on X is trivial.

As is well known, there exists an isomorphism

$$\pi_{k}(X \vee X) \cong \pi_{k}(X) \oplus \pi_{k}(X) \oplus \pi_{k+1}(X \times X, X \vee X)$$
.

Here we forget the involution of  $X \vee X$ , as usual.

If  $\pi_{k+1}(X\times X, X\vee X)=0$ , then we have

$$\pi_k(X \vee X) \cong \pi_k(X) \oplus \pi_k(X)$$
.

By this isomorphism, we identify  $\pi_k(X \vee X)$  with  $\pi_k(X) \oplus \pi_k(X)$ .

Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$ . In the following Lemmas 2 and 3, we assume  $\pi_{p+q+1}(X \times X, X \vee X) = 0$ .

Lemma 2. 
$$\psi_{p,q}\delta_{p,q}^*(\alpha,\beta)=(\alpha+(-1)^p\beta,\beta+(-1)^p\alpha).$$

Proof. Let  $\tau_*$ :  $\pi_{p+q}(X \vee X) \to \pi_{p+q}(X \vee X)$  be the map induced by  $\tau$ . Then  $\tau_*(\alpha,\beta) = (\beta,\alpha)$  where  $(\alpha,\beta) \in \pi_{p+q}(X \vee X) \cong \pi_{p+q}(X) \oplus \pi_{p+q}(X)$ . Thus, Lemma 2 follows from Proposition 1.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $u \in \pi_{p,q}(X \vee X)$ . Then  $\psi_{p,q}(u)$  is of the form  $(\alpha, (-1)^p \alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in \pi_{p+q}(X)$ .

Proof. Put  $\psi_{p,q}(u) = (\alpha, \beta)$ . It is sufficient to prove  $\beta = (-1)^p \alpha$ . Apply  $\psi_{p,q}$  to  $\delta_{p,q}^* \psi_{p,q}(u) = u - \rho \cdot u$  (2). Since  $\psi_{p,q} \circ \rho = -\psi_{p,q}$  ([2], (9.9)), Lemma 2 shows that

$$(\alpha+(-1)^{\flat}\beta,\,\beta+(-1)^{\flat}\alpha)=(\alpha,\,\beta)+(\alpha,\,\beta)$$
,

and so  $\beta = (-1)^{b}\alpha$  as required.

Since  $\pi_{0,k}(X \vee X) = 0$ , it follows from (1) with  $Y = X \vee X$ , r = 1 and s = q, that there exists an isomorphism

$$\delta_{1,q}^* \colon \pi_{q+1}(X \vee X) \xrightarrow{\approx} \pi_{1,q}(X \vee X) .$$

Suppose that  $\pi_{q+2}(X\times X, X\vee X)=0$ . We then define the homomorphism  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{p,q}\colon \pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)\to \pi_{q+1}(X)$  by the composition  $p_2(\delta_{1,q}^*)^{-1}\chi_{2,q}\cdots\chi_{p,q}$  if  $p\geq 2$ , and define  $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{1,q}$  by  $p_2(\delta_{1,q}^*)^{-1}$ , where  $p_2$  denotes the projection to the second factor.

Moreover, suppose  $\pi_{p+q+1}(X\times X,X\vee X)=0$ . Then we define the homomorphism

$$\phi_{p,q} \colon \pi_{p,q}(X \vee X) \to \pi_{p+q}(X) \oplus \pi_{q+1}(X)$$

by

$$\phi_{\mathfrak{p},q}(u)=(p_1\psi_{\mathfrak{p},q}(u),\,\overline{\chi}_{\mathfrak{p},q}(u))\,,$$

where  $p_1$  denotes the projection to the first factor.

2. We shall prove the theorem by the induction on p. Let p=1. Put  $(\delta_{1,q}^*)^{-1}(u)=(\alpha,\beta)$ , and we obtain

$$\phi_{1,q}(u) = (p_1\psi_{1,q}(u), \overline{\chi}_{1,q}(u))$$
 by definition  

$$= (p_1\psi_{1,q}\delta_{1,q}^*(\alpha,\beta), p_2(\alpha,\beta))$$

$$= (\alpha - \beta, \beta)$$
 by Lemma 2.

Therefore,  $\phi_{1,q}$  is an isomorphism. When  $p \ge 2$ , by the induction hypothesis, we get an isomorphism

$$\phi_{p-1,q}: \pi_{p-1,q}(X \vee X) \cong \pi_{p+q-1}(X) \oplus \pi_{q+1}(X)$$
.

Consider the forgetful exact sequence (1) with  $Y=X\vee X$ , r=p and s=q. Let  $u\in\pi_{p,q}(X\vee X)$ . Then  $\phi_{p-1,q}(\chi_{p,q}(u))=(0,\overline{\chi}_{p,q}(u))$  since  $\psi_{p-1,q}\chi_{p,q}=0$ .

We assume  $\phi_{p,q}(u)=(0,0)$ . Then  $p_1\psi_{p,q}(u)=0$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{p,q}(u)=0$  by definition. Since  $\phi_{p-1,q}$  is an isomorphism, we get  $\mathcal{X}_{p,q}(u)=0$ . Therefore, there exists an element  $(\alpha,\beta)\in\pi_{p+q}(X\vee X)$  such that  $\delta_{p,q}^*(\alpha,\beta)=u$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $(\alpha,\beta)$  is congruent to  $(\alpha+(-1)^p\beta,0)$  mod Im  $\psi_{p-1,q+1}$ . Thus  $\delta_{p,q}^*(\alpha+(-1)^p\beta,0)=u$ . Applying  $p_1\psi_{p,q}$  to this, we get an equality

$$\alpha+(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}}\beta=\mathfrak{p}_{1}\psi_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}(u)=0$$

which implies u=0. Hence  $\phi_{p,q}$  is a monomorphism.

We show that  $\phi_{p,q}$  is an epimorphism. Let  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \pi_{p+q}(X) \oplus \pi_{q+1}(X)$ . By Lemma 3,  $\psi_{p-1,q}(\phi_{p-1,q})^{-1}(0,\beta) = (0,0)$ . Therefore, there exists an element  $v \in \pi_{p,q}(X \vee X)$  such that  $\chi_{p,q}(v) = (\phi_{p-1,q})^{-1}(0,\beta)$ . This implies  $\chi_{p,q}(v) = \beta$ . Then we have

$$\phi_{b,q}(\delta_{p,q}^*(\alpha,0)+v-\delta_{p,q}^*(p_1\psi_{b,q}(v),0))=(\alpha,\beta)$$

as can be easily checked.

We now turn to the  $\rho$ -action on  $\pi_{p,q}(X \vee X)$ . Let  $u \in \pi_{p,q}(X \vee X)$  and  $\phi_{p,q}(u) = (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $\psi_{p,q}(u) = (\alpha, (-1)^p \alpha)$  by Lemma 3. From (2), we obtain  $\delta_{p,q}^*(\alpha, (-1)^p \alpha) = u - \rho \cdot u$ . Recall that  $(\alpha, 0)$  is congruent to  $(0, (-1)^p \alpha)$  mod Im  $\psi_{p-1,q+1}$  if  $p \ge 2$ . Thus  $2 \cdot \delta_{p,q}^*(\alpha, 0) = u - \rho \cdot u$ . Applying  $\phi_{p,q}$ , we obtain

$$2 \cdot (\alpha, 0) = \phi_{p,q}(u) - \phi_{p,q}(\rho \cdot u).$$

This shows that  $\phi_{p,q}(\rho \cdot u) = (-\alpha, \beta)$  for  $p \ge 2$ . Let  $u \in \pi_{1,q}(X \lor X)$  and  $\phi_{1,q}(u) = (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $\psi_{1,q}(u) = (\alpha, -\alpha)$  by Lemma 3. The same method gives rise to

$$\phi_{1,q}\delta_{1,q}^*(\alpha,-\alpha)=(\alpha,\beta)-\phi_{1,q}(\rho\cdot u).$$

By the definition of  $\phi_{1,q}$ , the left hand side coincides with  $(2\alpha, -\alpha)$ . Hence  $\phi_{1,q}(\rho \cdot u) = (-\alpha, \alpha + \beta)$  as required. This completes the proof of the theorem stated in the introduction.

REMARK. Let  $X \times X$  be a  $\tau$ -space with involution defined by  $\tau(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$ . Then we have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\xi_{p,q}$$
:  $\pi_{p,q}(X \times X) \cong \pi_{p+q}(X)$  for  $p \ge 1$ ,  $q \ge 2$ .

The correspondence is given by  $\xi_{p,q}(u) = p_1 \psi_{p,q}(u)$ . Moreover,  $\rho$  acts as -1 on  $\pi_{p,q}(X \times X)$ .

## References

- [1] S. Araki: Forgetful spectral sequences, Osaka J. Math. 16 (1979), 173-199.
- [2] S. Araki and M. Murayama:  $\tau$ -cohomology theories, Japan. J. Math. 4 (1978), 363–416.
- [3] M. Murayama: S-duality in  $\tau$ -cohomology theories, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 16 (1980), 669–692.

Department of Mathematics Kyoto Institute of Technology Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606 Japan