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## 1. Introduction

Let $\pi=\pi(D)$ be a finite projective plane coordinatized by a semifield $D$ of order $q$. Let $A$ be the collineation group of all elations with axis [ $\infty$ ] and $B$ the collineation group of all elations with center $(\infty)$. We denote by $P(\pi)$ the collineation group generated by $A$ and $B$. Set $P=P(\pi)$. Then $P$ has the following properties:
(i) $P=A B,|P|=q^{3}$, where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$, and $A$ and $B$ are elementary abelian normal subgroups of $P$ of order $q^{2}$.
(ii) $a b=b a$ implies $a \in A \cap B$ or $b \in A \cap B$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

A $p$-group $P$ is called a $p$-group of semifield type if it satisfies (i) and (ii) as above. This is the same as a $T$-group satisfying that all $a \in A-A \cap B$ and all $b \in B-A \cap B$ are regular, defined in [1].

In the paper [1], A. Cronheim has proved as patt of a more general theorem that a finite semifield can be constructed for the group $P$ and the ordered pair $(A, B)$. We denote the semifield by $D(A, B)$ and the set of all such ordered pairs $(A, B)$ by $V_{P}$. Let $W_{P}$ denote the set of all abelian subgroups of $P$ of order $q^{2}$. Then one of the following holds (Lemma 4.1).
(i) $p=2$ and $\left|V_{P}\right|=2$.
(ii) $p>2$ and $V_{P}=\left\{(A, B) \mid A \neq B, A, B \in W_{P}\right\}$.

In this paper we will study the semifields constructed for all $(A, B)$ in $V_{P}$.

Let $(A, B)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ be elements in $V_{P}$. Then $D(A, B)$ and $D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ are isotopic if and only if there exists an automorphism $f$ of $P$ which maps $A$ onto $A^{\prime}$ and $B$ onto $B^{\prime}$ (Lemma 4.2). Therefore, we will consider the action of Aut $(P)$ on the set $W_{P}$ and will prove the following.

Theorem 4.8. Let $P$ be a p-group of semifield type of order $p^{3 n}$ for an odd prime $p$ and a positive integer $n$ and assume $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$. Set $L=\operatorname{Aut}(P), G=$ $C_{L}(Z(P))$ and $W=W_{P}$. Then
(i) $|W|=1+p^{r}$ for a positive divisor $r$ of $n$.
(ii) $P S L\left(2, p^{r}\right) \leq G^{W} \leq L^{W} \leq P \Gamma L\left(2, p^{r}\right)$ in the natural doubly transitive representation. Moreover, three-point stabilizer of $G^{W}$ is the identity subgroup.

As an application of the theorem, we will prove the following.
Corollary 5.2. Let $\pi_{1}$ or $\pi_{2}$ be a non-Desarguesian semifield plane and let $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$ be its collineation group generated by all elations, respectively. Then $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are isomorphic as abstruct groups if and only if $\pi_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{2}$ or its dual.

This implies that, as an abstruct group, the group $P(=P(\pi))$ characterizes the semifield plane $\pi$ up to its dual.

For the most part we shall use the notation of [2] and [3]. All set, planes and groups will be finite. Throughout this paper, $p$ will stand for a prime.

## 2. p-groups constructed for semifields

Let $D$ be a set with two binary operations + and $\cdot . \quad D=D(+, \cdot)$ is called a finite semifield (also called a division ring, as in [3]) if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $D(+)$ is a group with identity element 0 .
(ii) $a b=0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$ for all $a, b \in D$.
(iii) If $a, b, c \in D$, then $(a+b) c=a c+b c$ and $c(a+b)=c a+c b$.
(iv) There exists an element $1 \in D-\{0\}$ such that $1 x=x 1=x$ for all $x \in D$.

A semifield is an elementary abelian $p$-group for some prime $p$ with respect to the operation + (Exercise 7.2 of [3]).

Let $D$ be a semifield of order $q\left(=p^{n}\right)$. We define $P(D)$ to be the set of all ordered triples $(x, y, z)$ for $x, y, z \in D$. On $P(D)$, we define the multiplication

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)
$$

for $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i} \in D, 1 \leq i \leq 2$.
Let $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right) \in P(D)$ and set $a_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) a_{3}=$ $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)\left(x_{3}, y_{3}, z_{3}\right)=\left(\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+x_{3},\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)+y_{3},\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)\right.$ $\left.+z_{3}+y_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right), y_{1}+\left(y_{2}+y_{3}\right), z_{1}+\left(z_{2}+z_{3}+y_{3} x_{2}\right)+\left(y_{2}+y_{3}\right) x_{1}\right)=$ $a_{1}\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right)$. Hence $\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right) a_{3}=a_{1}\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right)$. Clearly $(x, y, z)(0,0,0)=(0,0,0)(x, y, z)=$ $(x, y, z)$ and $(x, y, z)(-x,-y,-z+y x)=(-x,-y,-z+y x)(x, y, z)=(0,0,0)$ for all $(x, y, z) \in P(D)$. Thus we have the following.

Lemma 2.1. If $D$ is a semifield, then $P(D)$ is a group of order $q^{3}$ with identity element $(0,0,0)$.

Set $P=P(D), A=\{(x, 0, z) \mid x, z \in D\}$ and $B=(0, y, z)\} \mid y, z \in D\}$. Then the following holds.

Lemma 2.2. (i) $P=A B,|P|=q^{3}$ and $A$ and $B$ are elementary abelian
normal subgroups of $P$ of order $q^{2}$.
(ii) $a b=b a$ implies $a \in A \cap B$ or $b \in A \cap B$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Proof. Since $(x, y, z)=(x, 0, z-y x)(0, y, 0) \in A B$ for every $(x, y, z) \in P$, we have $P=A B$. As $(x, y, z)^{-1}=(-x,-y,-z+y x),\left[\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)\right]=$ $\left(-x_{1},-y_{1},-z_{1}+y_{1} x_{1}\right)\left(-x_{2},-y_{2},-z_{2}+y_{2} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=\left(0,0, y_{2} x_{1}-\right.$ $\left.y_{1} x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)^{-1}=\left(x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}, z_{1}-z_{2}+y_{2} x_{2}-y_{2} x_{1}\right)$. Hence it follows that $A$ and $B$ are abelian normal subgroups of $P$ of order $q^{2}$. Moreover $(x, 0, z)^{p}=(p x, 0, p z)=(0,0,0)$ and $(0, y, z)^{p}=(0, p y, p z)=(0,0,0)$. Therefore (i) holds.

Let $a=\left(x_{1}, 0, z_{1}\right) \in A, b=\left(0, y_{2}, z_{2}\right) \in B$ and assume $a b=b a$. Then $1=$ $a^{-1} b^{-1} a b=\left[\left(x_{1}, 0, z_{1}\right),\left(0, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)\right]=\left(0,0, y_{2} x_{1}\right)$ and so $y_{2} x_{1}=0$, whence $x_{1}=0$ or $y_{2}=0$. Therefore $a \in A \cap B$ or $b \in A \cap B$ and so (ii) holds.

Example 2.3. Let $D=G F\left(p^{n}\right)$ and let $f$ be a mapping from $P(D)$ into $\operatorname{PSL}\left(3, p^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
f(x, y, z)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
x & 1 & 0 \\
z & y & 1
\end{array}\right]^{-1} . \quad \text { Then } f(a b)=f(a) f(b) \text { for all } a, b \in P(D)
$$

Therefore $P(D)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(3, p^{n}\right)$ in this case.
Two semifields $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are said to be isotopic if there exists a triple ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) of nonsingular additive mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ from $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ onto $D_{2}$ such that $\gamma(x y)=\beta(x) \alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in D$. Almost as an immediate consequence of the definition we have

Lemma 2.4. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be semifields. If $D_{1}$ is isotopic to $D_{2}$, then $P\left(D_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $P\left(D_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be an isotopism from $D_{1}$ to $D_{2}$. We define a mapping from $P\left(D_{1}\right)$ to $P\left(D_{2}\right)$ in such a way that $f(x, y, z)=(\alpha(x), \beta(y), \gamma(z))$ for $(x, y, z) \in P\left(D_{1}\right)$. Clearly $f$ is a bijection. On the other hand, $f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ $\times\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{2}+y_{2}, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), \beta\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right), \gamma\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)\right)=$ $\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}\right), \beta\left(y_{1}\right), \gamma\left(z_{1}\right)\right)\left(\alpha\left(x_{2}\right), \beta\left(y_{2}\right), \gamma\left(z_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$. Thus $P\left(D_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $P\left(D_{2}\right)$.

Definition 2.5. Let $D$ be a semifield of order $q$ and let $\pi=\pi(D)$ be a
semifield plane of order $q$ coordinatized by $D$ as defined in [3]. We define an action of every element $(x, y, z) \in P(D)$ on $\pi(D)$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(\infty)^{(x, y, z)}=(\infty), & (a)^{(x, y, z)}=(a+y), \\
{[\infty]^{(x, y, z)}=[\infty],} & {[a]^{(x, y, z)}=[a+x],} \\
& {[a, b]^{(x, y, y)}=(a+x, b+y a+z)} \\
& \\
\text { for } a, b \in D
\end{array}
$$

Set $A=\{(x, 0, z) \mid x, z \in D\}$ and $B=\{(0, y, z) \mid y, z \in D\}$. Then $A$ or $B$ is a collineation group which consists of elations with axis $[\infty]$ or center $(\infty)$, respectively. Since $|A|=|B|=q^{2}$ and the order of $\pi(D)$ is $q, A$ or $B$ is the collineation group of all elations with axis $[\infty$ ] or center $(\infty)$, respectively. If $D$ is not a field, $P(D)=A B$ is a normal subgroup of the full collineation group of $\pi(D)$ by Lemma 8.5 of [3].

Definition 2.6. A $p$-group $P=A B$ is called a $p$-group of semifield type if it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2. Let $V_{P}$ denote the set of all such pairs $(A, B)$. Let $W_{P}$ denote the set of all abelian subgroups of $P$ of order $q^{2}$. Clearly $A, B \in W_{P}$.

## 3. Properties of $\boldsymbol{p}$-groups of semifield type

Throughout this section let $P$ be a $p$-group of semifield type of order $q$ with $q=p^{n}$ for a prime $p$ and let $(A, B) \in V_{P}$. Set $Z=A \cap B$. Since $A$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group, $A=A_{1} \times Z$ for a subgroup $A_{1}$ of $A$. Similarly $B=B_{1} \times Z$ for a subgroup $B_{1}$ of $B$. By a definition, $\left|A_{1}\right|=\left|B_{1}\right|=|Z|=q$. We can then write each element $x$ of $P$ uniquely in the form $x=a b z$ for $a \in A_{1}$, $b \in B_{1}$ and $z \in Z$.

## Lemma 3.1. The following hold.

(i) $[P, P]=Z(P)=Z$.
(ii) $[x y, z]=[x, z][y, z],[x, y z]=[x, y][x, z]$ for $x, y, z \in P$ and $\left[x^{i}, y^{j}\right]=$ $[x, y]^{i j}$ for all integers $i, j$.
(iii) If $u \in P-A$ and $v \in P-B$, then $Z=\left\{\left[a_{1}, u\right] \mid a_{1} \in A_{1}\right\}=\left\{\left[v, b_{1}\right] \mid b_{1} \in B_{1}\right\}$.
(iv) If $x \in P-Z$, then $\left|C_{P}(x)\right|=q^{2}$. Moreover $\left\{g^{-1} x g \mid g \in P\right\}=x Z$.

Proof. Since $P=A B$ and $C_{B}(A)=Z, C_{P}(A)=A$. Similarly $C_{P}(B)=B$. Thus $Z(P) \leq C_{P}(A) \cap C_{P}(B)=A \cap B=Z . \quad$ Since $P / A$ and $P / B$ are abelian, $[P, P]$ $\leq A \cap B=Z$. On the other hand, since $|\{[a, b] \mid b \in B\}|=\left|B / C_{B}(a)\right|=|Z|$, $[a, B]=Z$ for $a \in A-Z$. Therefore (i) holds and (ii) follows immediately from Theorem 2.2.1 and Lemma 2.2.2 of [2].

Let $v \in P-B$. Then $v=a b$ for suitable $a \in A-Z$ and $b \in B$. As above, $Z=[a, B]=[v, B]=\left[v, B_{1}\right]$. Similarly $Z=\left[A_{1}, u\right]$ for $u \in P-A$. Thus (iii) holds.

Let $x \in P-Z$. Then $x \in P-A$ or $x \in P-B$. Hence $[x, P]=Z$ by (i) and (ii), so that $\left|C_{P}(x)\right|=\mid P /[x, P \mid]=q^{2}$. Thus (iv) holds.

Definftion 3.2. Let $a_{0} \in A_{1}-\{1\}$ and $b_{0} \in B_{1}-\{1\}$ and let $D$ be any set of symbols with cardinal $q$ such that $0,1 \in D, 0 \neq 1$. Let $D^{3}$ be the set of all ordeted triples $(x, y, z)$ with $x, y, z \in D$. We define a mapping $s$ fiom $D^{3}$ onto $P$ in the following way.
(i) $s(0,0,0)=1, s(1,0,0)=a_{0}$ and $s(0,1,0)=b_{0}$.
(ii) $s$ maps the set $\{(x, 0,0) \mid x \in D, x \neq 0,1\}$ onto $A_{1}-\left\{1, a_{0}\right\}$ in an arbitrary manner.
(iii) Let $s(0,0, x)=[s(x, 0,0), s(0,1,0)]$ (cf. Lemma 3.1 (iii)).
(iv) Let $s(0, y, 0)$ be a unique element in $B_{1}$ such that $s(0,0, y)=[s(1,0,0)$, $s(0, y, 0)$ ] (cf. Lemma 3.1 (iii)).
(v) Set $s(x, y, z)=s(0,0, z) s(0, y, 0) s(x, 0,0)$.

We define binary operations of addition + and multiplication - into $D$ : For $a, b \in D, a+b$ and $a \cdot b$ denote elements of $D$ such that $s(a, 0,0) s(b, 0,0)=$ $s(a+b, 0,0)$ and $s(0,0, b a)=[s(a, 0,0), s(0, b, 0)]$, respectively.

By definition, $\mathrm{D}(+)$ is isomorphic to $A_{1}$, hence it is an abelian group with identity element 0 .

Lemma 3.3. The following hold.
(i) $s(a, 0, b) s(c, 0, d)=s(a+c, 0, b+d)$ for $a, b, c, d \in D$.
(ii) $s(0, a, b) s(0, c, d)=s(0, a+c, b+d)$ for $a, b, c, d \in D$.

Proof. $s(a, 0, b) s(c, 0, d)=s(0,0, b) s(0,0, d) s(a, 0,0) s(c, 0,0)=\left[s(b, 0,0), b_{0}\right]$ $\times\left[s(d, 0,0), b_{0}\right] s(a+c, 0,0)=\left[s(b+d, 0,0), b_{0}\right] s(a+c, 0,0)(c f$ Lemma 3.1 (ii) $)=$ $s(0,0, b+d) s(a+c .0,0)=s(a+c, 0, b+d)$. Hence (i) holds. Similarly we have (ii).

Lemma 3.4. $s\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) s\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=s\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)$ for triples $\left(x_{1}, y_{1} z_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right) \in D^{3}$.

Proof. By definition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, $s\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) s\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=s\left(0,0, z_{1}\right)$ $\times s\left(0, y_{1}, 0\right) s\left(x_{1}, 0,0\right) s\left(0,0, z_{2}\right) s\left(0, y_{2}, 0\right) s\left(x_{2}, 0,0\right)=s\left(0,0, z_{1}+z_{2}\right) s\left(0, y_{1}+y_{2}, 0\right)$ $s\left(x_{1}, 0,0\right)\left[s\left(x_{1}, 0,0\right), s\left(0, y_{2}, 0\right)\right] s\left(x_{2}, 0,0\right)=s\left(0,0, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right) s\left(0, y_{1}+y_{2}, 0\right)$ $s\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, 0,0\right)=s\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)$. Hence the lemma holds.

We define a multiplication into $D^{3}$ in such a way that $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=$ $\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}, z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)$. Then we have

Proposition 3.5. (i) $D=D(+, \cdot)$ is a semifield.
(ii) $D^{3}=P(D)$ and $D^{3}$ is isomorphic to $P$.

Proof. $\quad D(+)$ is an abelian group with identity element 0 as stated earlier.
By Definition 3.2 (iii) (iv), $1 x=x, y 1=y$ for all $x, y \in D$. Hence 1 is identity element with respect to multiplication.

Let $a, b \in D$ and assume $a b=0$. Then $[s(b, 0,0), s(0, a, 0)]=s(0,0,0)=1$ and so $s(b, 0,0) \in Z \cap A_{1}=1$ or $s(0, a, 0) \in Z \cap B_{1}=1$. Thus $a=0$ or $b=0$.

Let $a, b, c \in D$. Then $s(0,0,(a+b) c)=[s(c, 0,0), s(0, a+b, 0)]=[s(c, 0,0)$, $s(0, a, 0) s(0, b, 0)]=[s(c, 0,0), s(0, a, 0)][s(c, 0,0), s(0, b, 0)]=s(0,0, a c+b c)$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). Hence $(a+b) c=a c+b c$. Similarly $c(a+b)=c a+c b$. Thus we have (i), and (ii) follows immediately from (i) and Lemma 3.4.

The definition of $D(+, \cdot)$ depends on the choice of the direct factors $A_{1}$, $B_{1}$ and the elements $a_{0} \in A_{1}, b_{0} \in B_{1}$, whence we will denote it by $D\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$.

Lemma 3.6. The definition of $D(+, \cdot)$ is independent of the choice of $A_{1}, B_{1}, a_{0} \in A_{1}-\{1\}$ and $b_{0} \in B_{2}-\{1\}$ and uniquely determined up to isotopism. (We denote $D(+, \cdot)$ by $D(A, B)$.)

Proof. Let $A=A_{i} \times Z, B=B_{i} \times Z, a_{i} \in A_{i}-\{1\}, \quad b_{i} \in B_{i}-\{1\}, D_{i}=$ $D\left(A_{i}, B_{i}, a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ and let $s_{i}$ be the isomorphism from $P\left(D_{i}\right)$ onto $P$ defined in Definition 3.2 for $i=1,2$. Set $A_{1}=\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}\right\rangle$ and $B_{1}=\left\langle d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}\right\rangle$. Since $A=A_{1} Z=A_{2} Z$ and $B=B_{1} Z=B_{2} Z, A_{2}=\left\langle c_{1} u_{1}, c_{2} u_{2}, \cdots, c_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle$ and $B_{2}=$ $\left\langle d_{1} v_{1}, d_{2} v_{2}, \cdots, d_{n} v_{n}\right\rangle$ for suitable elements $u_{i}, v_{i} \in Z, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $g$ be a mapping from $P$ onto itself defined by $g\left(\prod_{i} c_{i}{ }^{x} \prod_{j} d_{j}{ }^{y}{ }_{j} z\right)=\prod_{i} c_{i}{ }^{x_{i}} \prod_{j} d_{j}{ }^{y_{j}} \prod_{i} u_{i}{ }^{x_{i}}$ $\prod_{j} v_{j} y_{j} z$ for integers $x_{i}, y_{j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $z \in Z$. It is easily verified that $g$ is an automorphism of $P$. Set $h=s_{2}^{-1} g s_{1}$. Then $h$ is an isomorphism from $P\left(D_{1}\right)$ to $P\left(D_{2}\right)$.

We now define three mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ in such a way that $(\alpha(x), 0,0)=$ $h(x, 0,0),(0, \beta(y), 0)=h(0, y, 0)$ and $(0,0, \gamma(z))=h(0,0, z)$. Then $h(x, y, z)=$ $h(0,0, z) h(0, y, 0) h(x, 0,0)=(0,0, \gamma(z))(0, \beta(y), 0)(\alpha(x), 0,0)=(\alpha(x), \beta(y), \gamma(z))$. Since $h\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}, v_{2}, z_{2}\right)=h\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) h\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right), \quad\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), \quad \beta\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.\gamma\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(x_{2}\right), \beta\left(y_{1}\right)+\beta\left(y_{2}\right), \gamma\left(z_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(z_{2}\right)+\beta\left(y_{2}, \alpha\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right)\right.$ for all $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2} \in D_{1}$. Therefore $\mu(x+y)=\mu(x)+\mu(y)$ for $\mu \in\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ and $\gamma(y x)=\beta(y) \alpha(x)$ for all $x, y \in D_{1}$. Hence $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is an isotopism from $D_{1}$ onto $D_{2}$ and so the lemma holds.

Lemma 3.7. Let $P=A B$ be a p-group of semifield type with $(A, B) \in V_{P}$ and let $x$ be an automorphism of $P$ which fixes $A$ and $B$ and centralizes $Z=A \cap B$. If $x$ centralizes a nontrivial element of the factor group $P / Z$, then $x$ centralizes $P / Z$.

Proof. Let $Z \neq u Z \in C_{P / Z}(x)$. Then $u=a b$ for suitable $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Since $Z \neq u Z, a \notin Z$ or $b \notin Z$. We may assume $a \notin Z$. Then $\left[a b Z, b_{1}\right]=\left[a b Z, b_{1}\right]^{x}$ $=\left[a b Z, b_{1}\right]^{x}$ for every $b_{1} \in B$. Hence $\left[a b Z, b_{1}^{-1} b_{1}^{x}\right]=1$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii), and so $b_{1}^{-1} b_{1}^{x} \in Z$ as $b_{1}^{-1} b_{1}^{x} \in B$ and $a \in A-Z$. This implies that $b_{1} Z \in C_{P / Z} x$ ) for all $b_{1} \in B$. Therefore $B / Z \leq C_{P / Z}(x)$, and similarly $A / Z \leq C_{P / Z}(x)$. Thus we have the lemma.

## 4. The action of $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ on the set $\boldsymbol{W}_{P}$

Throughout this section, let $P=A B$ be a $p$-group of semifield type of order $q^{3}, q=p^{n}, p$ a prime and let $V_{P}$ and $W_{P}$ be as in Definition 2.6. Clearly $(A, B),(B, A) \in V_{P}$ and $A, B \in W_{P}$. Furthermore, for each $C \in W_{P}, C$ is a normal subgroup of $P$ which contains $Z=A \cap B$ by Lemma 3.1 (i) (iv).

## Lemma 4.1. The following hold.

(i) If $p=2$, then $V_{P}=\{(A, B),(B, A)\}$.
(ii) If $p>2$, then $V_{P}=\left\{\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \mid A^{\prime} \neq B^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \in W_{P}\right\}$.

Proof. Set $D=D(A, B)$. By Proposition $3.5, D$ is a semifield and $P$ is isomorphic to $P(D)$. Let $C \in W_{P}-\{A, B\}$. For $(x, y, z) \in P(D)$ and a positive integer $m,(x, y, z)^{m}=(m x, m y, m z+(1+2+\cdots+(m-1)) y x)$. Hence $C$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group if $p>2$, while $C$ is a homocyclic 2 -group of exponent 4 if $p=2$. In particular $V_{p}=\{(A, B),(B, A)\}$ if $p=2$.

Let $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} \in W_{P}$ with $A^{\prime} \neq B^{\prime}$ and suppose $p>2$. Then $A^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$ are elementary abelian normal $p$-subgroups of $P$ of order $q^{2}$ which contain $Z$. By Lemma 3.1 (iv), $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=Z$. Therefore $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}=P$. Let $a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ and assume $a^{\prime} b^{\prime}=b^{\prime} a^{\prime}$. If $a^{\prime} \notin Z$, then $b^{\prime} \in C_{P}\left(a^{\prime}\right) \cap B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=Z$. Thus $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \in V_{P}$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $(A, B)$ and $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \in V_{P}$. Then $D(A, B)$ is isotopic to $D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exists an automorphism $f$ of $P$ which maps $A$ onto $A^{\prime}$ and $B$ onto $B^{\prime}$.

Proof. Set $D_{1}=D(A, B), D_{2}=D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ and let $s_{i}$ be the isomorphism from $P\left(D_{i}\right)$ to $P$ defined in Definition 3.2 for $i=1,2$.

Suppose $D_{1}$ is isotopic to $D_{2}$ and let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be an isotopism from $D_{1}$ to $D_{2}$. Let $h$ be a mapping from $P\left(D_{1}\right)$ onto $P\left(D_{2}\right)$ such that $h(x, y, z)=$ $(\alpha(x), \beta(y), \gamma(z))$ for $x, y, z \in D_{1}$. For $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right) \in P\left(D_{1}\right)$, $h\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(2, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), \beta\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right), \gamma\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(x_{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.\beta\left(y_{1}\right)+\beta\left(y_{2}\right), \gamma\left(z_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(z_{2}\right)+\beta\left(y_{2}\right) \alpha\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=h\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) h\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$. Hence $h$ is an isomorphism from $P\left(D_{1}\right)$ onto $P\left(D_{2}\right)$. Set $f=s_{2} h s_{1}^{-1}$. Then $f$ is an automorphism of $P$ which maps $A$ onto $A^{\prime}$ and $B$ onto $B^{\prime}$.

Conversely, let $f$ be an automorphism of $P$ which maps $A$ onto $A^{\prime}$ and $B$ onto $B^{\prime}$. We set $h=s_{2}^{-1} f s_{1}$ and define three mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ from $D_{1}$ onto $D_{2}$ in such a way that $h(x, y, z)=(\alpha(x), \beta(y), \gamma(z))$ for $x, y, z \in D_{1}$. By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.6, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is an isotopism from $D_{1}$ onto $D_{2}$. Thus we have the lemma.

Let $D$ be a semifield and let $N_{l}, N_{m}$ or $N_{r}$ be its left, middle or right nucleus, respectively (cf. [3]). We note that $N_{l}, N_{m}$ and $N_{r}$ are fields and that $N_{l}=N_{r}$ if $D$ is commutative.

Proposition 4.3. Let $P=A B$ be a $p$-group of semifield type with $(A, B) \in V_{P}$. Then the following hold.
(i) $D(A, B)$ is isotopic to a commutative semifield if and only if $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$.
(ii) Suppose $D(A, B)$ is isotopic to a commutative semifield $D_{0}$ and set $Q=$ $P\left(D_{0}\right)$. Then $Q$ is isomorphic to $P$ and $W_{Q}=\left\{C_{k} \mid k \in N_{m} \cup \infty\right\}$, where $N_{m}$ is the middle nucleus of $D_{0}$ and $C_{k}=\left\{(x, k x, z) \mid x, z \in D_{0}\right\}, C_{\infty}=\left\{(0, y, z) \mid y, z \in D_{0}\right\}$
for $k \in N_{m}$.
Proof. To prove (ii) and "only if" part of (i), we may assume that $D=$ $D(A, B)$ is commutative and $P=P(D)$ by Lemmas 2.4, 4.2 and Proposition 3.5 (ii). Then $A=\{(x, 0, z) \mid x, z \in D\}$ and $B=\{(0, y, z) \mid y, z \in D\}$. Let $k \in N_{m}-\{0\}$ and set $C_{k}=\{(x, k x, z) \mid x, z \in D\}$. Since $k \in N_{m}$ and $D$ is commutative, $\left[(x, k x, z),\left(x^{\prime}, k x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left(0,0,\left(k x^{\prime}\right) x-(k x) x^{\prime}\right)=1$ and so $C_{k}$ is an abelian subgroup of order $q^{2}$. In particular $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$. Conversely, let $C \in W_{P}-\{A, B\}$. Since $C \cap B=\{(0,0, z) \mid z \in D\}$, there is a unique element $k \in D$ such that $(1, k, 0) \in C$. By Lemma 3.1 (iv), $C=C_{P}(1, k, 0)=\{(x, k x, z) \mid x, z \in D\}$. Therefore $1=\left[(x, k x, z),\left(x^{\prime}, k x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left(0,0,\left(k x^{\prime}\right) x-(k x) x^{\prime}\right)$ and hence $\left(k x^{\prime}\right) x=(k x) x^{\prime}$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in D$. Thus $k \in N_{m}$.

We now assume $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$ and let $C \in W_{P}, C \neq A, B$. Let $c \in C-Z$. Then there are $a_{0} \in A$ and $b_{0} \in B$ such that $c=a_{0} b_{0}$. Since $C \cap A=C \cap B=Z$, neither $a_{0}$ nor $b_{0}$ is contained in $Z$. Hence we can choose subgroups $A_{1}$ of $A$ and $B_{1}$ of $B$ such that $a_{0} \in A_{1}, b_{0} \in B_{1}, A=A_{1} \times Z$ and $B=B_{1} \times Z$. Set $D_{0}=$ $D\left(A_{1}, B_{1}, a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$. By Lemma 3.6, $D$ is isotopic to $D_{0}$. Let $s$ be an isomorphism from $P\left(D_{0}\right)$ onto $P$ defined in Definition 3.2. Since $s^{-1}(c)=s^{-1}\left(a_{0}\right) s^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right)=$ $(1,0,0)(0,1,0)=(1,1,1), s^{-1}(c)=s^{-1}\left(C_{P}(C)\right)=C_{P\left(D_{0}\right)}(1,1,1)=\left\{(x, x, z) \mid x, z \in D_{0}\right\}$. Therefore $\left\{(x, x, z) \mid x, z \in D_{0}\right\}$ is abelian and so $1=\left[(x, x, z),\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right]=$ $\left(0,0, x^{\prime} x-x x^{\prime}\right)$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in D_{0}$. Hence $x^{\prime} x=x x^{\prime}$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in D_{0}$, so that $D_{0}$ is commutative.

Theorem 4.4. Let $D$ be a semifield of order $q$ and set $\pi=\pi(D), P=P(D)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\pi$ is a Desarguesian plane of order $q$.
(ii) $\left|W_{P}\right|=q+1$.
(iii) $C_{P}(x)$ is abelian for all $x \in P-Z(P)$.

Proof. Suppose (i). By Lemma 2.4, we may assume that $D$ is a field. Clearly the middle nucleus of $D$ is equal to $D$. Using Proposition 4.3, $\left|W_{P}\right|=$ $\left|N_{m}\right|+1=|D|+1=q+1$, so (i) implies (ii).

Suppose (ii). Set $Z=Z(P)$. Then $|P-Z| /|A-Z|=q+1=\left|W_{P}\right|$ for $A \in W_{P}$. By Lemma 3.1 (iv), $A \cap B=Z$ for all $A, B \in W_{P}(A \neq B)$. Hence $\underset{A \in W_{P}}{\cup} A-Z=$ $P-Z$. Thus (ii) implies (iii).

Suppose (iii). Then, obviously $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$ and so, by Proposition 4.3 (ii), $D$ is isotopic to a commutative semifield $D_{0}$. Hence $P$ is isomorphic to $P\left(D_{0}\right)$ by Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.5. Let $k$ be any element in $D_{0}$. Since $(1, k, 0) \notin Z\left(P\left(D_{0}\right)\right), C_{P\left(D_{0}\right)}(1, k, 0)=\left\{(x, k x, z) \mid x, z \in D_{0}\right\}$ isa belian. From this, $1=\left[(x, k x, z),\left(x^{\prime}, k x^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left(0,0,\left(k x^{\prime}\right) x-(k x) x^{\prime}\right)$ and so $\left(k x^{\prime}\right) x=(k x) x^{\prime}$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in D_{0}$. As $D_{0}$ is commutative, this implies that $k$ is an element of the middle nucleus of $D_{0}$ for all $k \in D_{0}$. Therefore $D_{0}$ is a field and so $\pi=\pi\left(D_{0}\right)$ is
a Desarguesian plane of order $q$. Thus (iii) implies (i).
Let $P=A B$ be a $p$-group of semifield type. By Proposition 4.3, $\left|W_{P}\right|=$ $1+p^{r}$ for a non negative integer $r$. Since automorphic images of abelian subgroups are also abelian, the automorphism group of $P$ induces a permutation group on $W_{P}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$ the automorphism group of $P$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $D_{0}$ be a commutative semifield of odd order and let $N_{m}$ or $N_{r}$ be the middle or right nucleus of $D_{0}$, respectively. For $a, b, c, d \in N_{m}$ with $0 \neq a d-b c \in N_{r}$, we define a mapping $f=f_{(a, b, c, d)}$ from $P\left(D_{0}\right)$ into itself in the following way:

$$
f(x, y, z)=(a x+b y, c x+d y,\{x(a c x)+y(b d y)\} / 2+x(b c) y+(a d-b c) z) .
$$

## Then the following hold.

(i) $f$ is an automorphism of $P\left(D_{0}\right)$.
(ii) Let $C_{k}, k \in N_{m} \cup \infty$ be as defined in Proposition 4.3 (ii). The action of $f=f_{(a, b, c, d)}$ on $W_{P\left(D_{0}\right)}$ is equivalent to that of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \in G L\left(2, N_{m}\right)$ on $P G\left(1, N_{m}\right)=$ $\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ k\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, k \in N_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right) \in P\left(D_{0}\right)$ and set $x_{0}=x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{0}=y_{1}+y_{2}$, $z_{0}=z_{1}+z_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}$. Then $f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)=\left(a x_{0}+b y_{0}, c x_{0}+d y_{0}, z^{\prime}\right)$. Here $z^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1}\left(a c x_{1}\right)+y_{1}\left(b d y_{1}\right)\right\} / 2+x_{1}(b c) y_{1}+(a d-b c) z_{1}+\left\{x_{2}\left(a c x_{2}\right)+y_{2}\left(b d y_{2}\right)\right\} / 2+x_{2}(b c) y_{2}$ $+(a d-b c) z_{2}+\left(c x_{2}+d y_{2}\right)\left(a x_{1}+b y_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{1}\left(a c x_{1}\right)+2 x_{1}\left(a c x_{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(a c x_{2}\right)\right\} / 2+\left\{y_{1}(b d) y_{1}\right.$ $\left.+2 y_{1}\left(b d y_{2}\right)+y_{2}(b d) y_{2}\right\} / 2+\left\{x_{1}(b c) y_{1}+x_{2}(b c) y_{2}+x_{2}(b c) y_{1}+x_{1}(b c) y_{2}\right\}+\left\{-x_{1}(b c) y_{2}\right.$ $\left.+x_{1}(a d) y_{2}+(a d-b c)\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)\right\}=\left\{x_{0}\left(a c x_{0}\right)+y_{0}\left(b d y_{0}\right)\right\} / 2+x_{0}(b c) y_{0}+(a d-b c) z_{0}$ because $a, b, c, d \in N_{m}$ and $a d-b c \in N_{r}=N_{l}$. Hence we have $f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ $=f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}\right)$ and so $f$ is a homomorphism. Assume $f(x, y, z)=1$ for some $(x, y, z) \in P\left(D_{0}\right)$. Then $a x+b y=0$ and $c x+d y=0$. Since $a, b, c, d \in N_{m}$ and $a d-b c \neq 0$, we have $x=y=0$ and so $(a d-b c) z=0$. Hence $(x, y, z)=(0,0,0)$. Therefore (i) holds.

Let $C_{k}, k \in N_{m} \cup \infty$ be as defined in Proposition 4.3 (ii). Then $f(x, k x, z)=$ $\left((a+b k) x,(c+d k) x, z^{\prime}\right)$ and $f(0, y, z)=\left(b y, d y, z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for some $z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime} \in D_{0}$. Hence $f\left(C_{k}\right)=C_{k^{\prime}}, k^{\prime}=(c+d k) /(a+b k)$. Here we set $(c+d \infty) /(a+b \infty)=d / b$ and $u / 0=\infty$. Then (ii) holds.

Lemma 4.6. Let $p$ be an odd prime and let $P$ be a $p$-group of semifield type of order $q^{3}, q=p^{n}$. Suppose $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$ and set $\left|W_{P}\right|=1+p^{r}(r \geq 1)$. Then there exists an automorphism group $M$ of $P$ which has the following properties:
(i) $M$ fixes every element of $Z(P)$.
(ii) The restriction of $M$ on $W_{P}$ is isomorphic to $P S L\left(2, p^{r}\right)$ in its natural permutation representation on $P G\left(1, p^{\gamma}\right)$.

Proof. By Propositions 3.5 and 4.3, we may assume that $P=P\left(D_{0}\right)$ for a commutative semifield $D_{0}$. We apply Lemma 4.5 to $D_{0}$. Let notations be as in Lemma 4.5 and let $M$ denote the group generated by all $f_{(a, b, c, d)}$ such that $a, b, c, d \in N_{m}$ and $a d-b c=1$. Then $M$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of the lemma.

Lemma 4.7. Let $P$ be a p-group of semifield type for an odd prime $p$. Let $f$ be an autom orphism of $P$ which fixes each element of $Z(P)$ and fixes three distinct elements of $W_{P}$. Then $f$ acts trivially on $W_{P}$.

Proof. Suppose $A^{f}=A, B^{f}=B, C^{f}=C$ for $A, B, C \in W_{P}$ with $A \neq B \neq$ $C \neq A$. Let $x \in A-Z$. By Lemma 4.1, $A \cap B=B \cap C=C \cap A=Z$. Hence, there is $b \in B-Z$ such that $x b \in C-Z$. Then $1=\left[x b,(x b)^{f}\right]=\left[b, x^{f}\right]\left[x, b^{f}\right]=$ $\left[b, x^{f}\right]\left[x, b^{f}\right]^{f^{-1}}$ and so $\left[b, x^{f}\right]=\left[b, x^{f^{-1}}\right]$. Hence $x^{f} \in x^{f^{-1} Z}$ for $x \in A-Z$. Similarly $y^{f} \in y^{f-1} Z$ for $y \in B-Z$. Thus $f^{2}$ centralizes $P / Z$. By Lemma 3.7, $f=1$ or $f$ inverts $P / Z$ and so the lemma holds.

Notation: Let $X$ be a group which acts on a set $S$. We denote by $X^{s}$ the restriction of $X$ on $S$.

Using Lemma 4.7, we now prove the following.
Theorem 4.8. Let $P$ be a p-group of semifield type of order $p^{3 n}$ for an odd prime $p$ and a positive integer $n$ and assume $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$. Set $L=\operatorname{Aut}(P)$, $G=C_{L}(Z(P))$ and $W=W_{P}$. Then
(i) $|W|=1+p^{r}$ for a positive divisor $r$ of $n$.
(ii) $P S L\left(2, p^{r}\right) \leq G^{W} \leq L^{W} \leq P \Gamma L\left(2, p^{r}\right)$ in the natural doubly transitive representation. Moreover, three-point stabilizer of $G^{W}$ is the identity subgroup.

Proof. Since $\left|W_{P}\right|>2$, we can apply Proposition 4.3 and Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7. Let $M, D_{0}, N_{m}$ and $C_{k}$ be as in them. Since $D_{0}$ is a vector space over $N_{m},|W|=1+p^{r}$ for a positive divisor $r$ of $n$ by Proposition 4.3. By Lemma 4.6, $G^{W} \geq M^{W}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$ and so $G^{W}$ is doubly transitive. Let $H$ be the stabilizer of $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ and set $N=M \cap H$. By a property of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$, $N$ has exactly two orbits on $W-\left\{C_{0}, C_{1}\right\}$. By Lemma 4.7, $\left|H^{W}: N^{W}\right|=1$ or 2 , so that $\left|G^{W}: M^{W}\right|=1$ or 2 . Hence $L^{W} \triangleright\left[G^{W}, G^{W}\right]=M^{W}=\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$. Therefore $L^{W}$ is a normal extension of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$. By a property of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, p^{r}\right)$, we have the lemma.

## 5. Correspondence between semifields and p-groups of semifield

 typeLet $D=D(+, \cdot)$ be a semifield. A dual semifield $D^{*}=D(\tilde{+}, \tilde{\circ})$ of $D$ is defined in such a way that

$$
a \tilde{+} b=a+b, \quad a \cdot b=b \cdot a, \quad \text { for } \quad a, b \in D .
$$

We note that the equation $m a+b=k$ is equal to $(-a) \tilde{\cdot}(-m) \tilde{+}(-k)=-b$. Let $\tau$ be a mapping from the dual plane $\pi(D)^{*}$ of $\pi(D)$ onto $\pi\left(D^{*}\right)$ defined in the following manner:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau(\infty)=[\infty], & \tau(a)=[-a], \quad \tau(a, b)=[-a,-b], \quad \tau[\infty]=(\infty), \\
\tau[m]=(-m), & \tau[m, k]=(-m,-k), \\
\text { for } \quad a, b, m, k \in D .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\tau$ is an isomorphism from $\pi(D)^{*}$ onto $\pi\left(D^{*}\right)$.
Let $P=A B$ be a $p$-group of semifield type and set $D=D(A, B)$. Then $\pi(D)^{*}$ is isomorphic to $\pi(D(B, A))$. Hence $D^{*}=D(A, B)^{*}$ is isotopic to $D(B, A)$ by Theorem 8.11 of [3]. Therefore we have the following theorem as a result of Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 5.1. Let $P=A B$ and $P^{\prime}=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ be $p$-groups of semifield type for a prime $p$. Then $P$ is isomorphic to $P^{\prime}$ if and only if one of the following holds.
(i) $D(A, B)$ and $D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ are isotopic.
(ii) $W_{P}=\{A, B\}, W_{P}^{\prime}=\left\{A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right\}$ and the dual of $D(A, B)$ is isotopic to $D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that the groups $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are isomorphic and deny (i). We may assume $P=P^{\prime}$ and $(A, B),\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \in V_{P}$. By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.8, we have $\left|W_{P}\right|=2$. Then $V_{P}=\{(A, B),(B, A)\}$ and so $A^{\prime}=B, B^{\prime}=A$. Therefore the dual of $D(A, B)$ is isotopic to $D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that $W_{P}=\{A, B\}$, for otherwise $D(A, B)$ is isotopic to its dual. Hence (ii) holds.

Conversely, suppose (i) or (ii) and set $D_{1}=D(A, B), D_{2}=D(B, A), D_{3}=$ $D\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$. Then, by Proposition 3.5 (ii), $P, P\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $P\left(D_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic. Similarly $P^{\prime}$ and $P\left(D_{3}\right)$ are isomorphic. Since $D_{3}$ is isotopic to $D_{1}$ or $D_{2}, P$ is isomorphic to $P^{\prime}$ by Lemma 2.4.

By Theorem 5.1 and by the fact that we have seen in Definition 2.5, we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.2. Let $\pi_{1}$ or $\pi_{2}$ be a non-Desarguesian semifield plane and let $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$ be its collineation group generated by all elations, respectively. Then $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are isomorphic as abstract groups if and only if $\pi_{1}$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{2}$ or its dual.

## Acknowledgement

The author wuold like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions. In particular the proofs to Lemmas 3.1, 4.7 and Theorem 4.4 have been shortened by these efforts.

## References

[1] A. Cronheim: T-groups and their geometry, Illinois J. Math. 9 (1965), 1-30.
[2] D. Gorenstein: Finite groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
[3] D.R. Hughes and F.C. Piper: Projective planes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidel-berg-New York, 1973.

Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560
Japan

