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## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$. A transitive group $T$ on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$, where $\infty$ denotes an additional point, is said to be a transitive extension of $G$ if the action on $\Omega$ of the stabilizer in $T$ of the point $\infty$ is permutation isomorphic to that of $G$ on $\Omega$. What permutation groups have transitive extensions is a rather difficult problem. In the present paper we study this problem in the case $G$ is simply transitive on $\Omega$. Firstly we give some necessary condition for a simply transitive group to have a transitive extension, and secondly, making use of it, prove the non-existence of transitive extensions of some classes of simply transitive groups with particular exceptions.

Before stating our results we define some terminology. Let a permuation group $G$ on a finite set $\Omega$ act (not necessarily faithfully) on subsets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ of $\Omega$. Then we say that
(*) $\left(G, \Omega_{1}\right)$ is similar to $\left(G, \Omega_{2}\right)$ on $\Omega$,
if there is an element $x$ in the symmetric group on $\Omega$ such that
(i) $x$ normalizes $G$, and
(ii) $x$ interchanges the subsets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ of $\Omega$.

Out result is as follows.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a simply transitive group on $\Omega$ with self-paired orbitals $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ such that
(i) for $a \in \Omega\left(G_{a}, \Delta(a)\right)$ and $\left(G_{a}, \Gamma(a)\right)$ are not similar on $\Omega-\{a\}$,
(ii) $G$ has no orbital $\Pi$ different from $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ so that $\left(G_{a}, \Pi(a)\right)$ is similar to $\left(G_{a}, \Delta(a)\right)$ or $\left(G_{a}, \Gamma(a)\right)$ on $\Omega-\{a\}$, and
(iii) $|\Delta(a) \cap \Delta(c)| \neq 0$ for $a \in \Omega$ and $c \in \Gamma(a)$.

Assume that $G$ has a transitive extension. Then either of the following cases occurs: (A). For $a \in \Omega$ and $b \in \Delta(a) G_{a b}$ has a fixed block $\Lambda$ on $\Delta(a)-\{b\}$ such that $\Lambda$ is different from $\Delta(a) \cap \Delta(b)$ and $\left(G_{a b}, \Lambda\right)$ is similar to $\left(G_{a b}, \Delta(a) \cap \Delta(b)\right)$ on $\Omega-\{a, b\}$.
(B). For $a \in \Omega$ and $c \in \Gamma(a), G_{a c}$ has a fixed block $\Lambda$ on $\Delta(a)$ such that $\Lambda$ is separated from $\Delta(a) \cap \Delta(c)$ and $\left(G_{a c}, \Lambda\right)$ is similar to $\left(G_{a c}, \Delta(a) \cap \Delta(c)\right)$ on $\Omega-\{a, c\}$.

By Theorem 1 we have, for example, the following results.
Theorem 4.3. The symmetric group $S_{m}$ or the alternating group $A_{m}$ on a set $\Sigma,|\Sigma|=m$, considered as a permutation group of degree $\binom{m}{r}$ on $r$-element subsets of $\Sigma$ with $1<r<m-1$ has no transitive extension except the cases $(r, m)=(2,4),(2,5)$ and (2, 6).

Theorem 4.4. A subgroup of $P \Gamma L(m, q)$ containing $P S L(m, q)$ with $q>2$ and $m>3$ considered as a permutation group of degree $\frac{\left(q^{m}-1\right)\left(q^{m}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{m}-q^{r}\right)}{\left(q^{r+1}-1\right)\left(q^{r+1}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{r+1}-q^{r}\right)}$ on $r$-dimensional subspaces of $P G(m-1, q)$ with $1 \leqq r \leqq m-3$ has no transitive extension.

The case $r=0$ in Theorem 4.4. was treated by H. Zassenhaus [5].
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## 2. Notation, definitions and prelimiany results

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$. For points $a, b, c, \cdots$ of $\Omega$ we denote by $G_{a, b, c \ldots}$ and $G_{\{a, b, c \ldots\}}$ the pointwise and the global stabilizer in $G$ of the set $\{a, b, c, \cdots\}$, respectively. A subset $\Delta$ of $\Omega$ is a fixed block of $G$ if $G$ fixes $\Delta$ as a set. If $\Delta$ is a fixed block of $G$ the restriction of $G$ to $\Delta$ and the kernel of the restriction of $G$ to $\Delta$ are denoted by $G^{\Delta}$ and $G_{\Delta}$, respectively. For the remainder of this section $G$ is assumed to be simply transitive on $\Omega$. Then an orbital of $G$ is a mapping $\Delta$ from $\Omega$ into the subsets of $\Omega$ such that
(i) $\Delta(a)$ is an orbit of $G_{a}$ for $a \in \Omega$, and
(ii) $\Delta(a)^{g}=\Delta\left(a^{g}\right)$ for all $a \in \Omega, g \in G$.

An orbital of $G$ is self-paired if $b \in \Delta(a)$ implies $a \in \Delta(b)$. Now let $G$ have a transitive extenstion $T$ on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$. Then for $c \in \Omega \cup\{\infty\}$ we denote by $\Delta_{c}$ the orbital of $T_{c}$ considered as a transitive group on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}-\{c\}$ such that
(i). $\Delta_{\infty}=\Delta$, and
(ii). $\Delta_{c}(d)=\left\{\Delta_{\infty}\left(d^{g^{-1}}\right)\right\}^{g}$ for all $g=\left(\begin{array}{c}\infty \\ c \\ \cdots\end{array}\right) \in T, d \in \Omega \cup\{\infty\}-\{c\}$.

In this notation we have:
Lemma 2.
(i). $\left\{\Delta_{a}(b)\right\}^{g}=\Delta_{a^{g}}\left(b^{g}\right)$ for all $a, b \in \Omega \cup\{\infty\}, g \in T$.
(ii). We have $\Delta_{a}(b)=\Delta_{b}(a)$ for all $a, b \in \Omega \cup\{\infty\}$ if there exists no orbital $\Pi$ of $G$ such that for $c \in \Omega\left(G_{c}, \Pi(c)\right)$ is similar to $\left(G_{c}, \Delta(c)\right)$ on $\Omega-\{c\}$.
(iii). If $\Delta$ is self-paired then $\Delta_{a}$ is self-paired for all $a \in \Omega \cup\{\infty\}$. If further $\Delta_{a}(b)=\Delta_{b}(a)$, then for $c \in \Delta_{a}(b), T_{\{a, b, c\}}$ acts as $S_{3}$ on $\{a, b, c\}$.

Proof.
(i). Clear by the definition of $\Delta_{a}$.
(ii). Let $y$ be an element in $T$ of the form $(a, b) \cdots$. Then $y$ normalizes $T_{a b}$ and by the assumption on $\Delta, y$ fixes the orbit $\Delta_{a}(b)$ of $T_{a b}$ on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}-\{a, b\}$. Hence by (i) we have $\Delta_{a}(b)=\Delta_{b}(a)$.
(iii). Assume that $\Delta=\Delta_{\infty}$ is self-paired, and let $e \in \Delta(d)$. Then $T$ contains an element $x$ of the form $(\infty)(e, d) \cdots$. Then for an element $y=\left(\begin{array}{l}\infty \\ a\end{array} \cdots\right)$ in $T$ we have that $e^{y} \in \Delta_{a}\left(d^{y}\right)$, and $x^{y}=(a)\left(e^{y}, d^{y}\right) \cdots$. Hence $\Delta_{a}$ is seld-paired. Now let $c \in \Delta_{a}(b)=\Delta_{b}(a)$. Then $T$ has elements of forms $(a)(b, c) \cdots$ and $(b)(a, c) \cdots$. Thus $T_{\{a, b, c\}}$ acts as $S_{\mathrm{s}}$ on $\{a, b, c\}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let the orbitals $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ of $G$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1. In a usual way we define a graph structure on $\Omega$ as follows; a pair $\{a, b\}$ of distinct points in $\Omega$ is said to be an egde if $b \in \Delta(a)$ or equivalently if $a \in \Delta(b)$. Assume that $G$ has a transitive extension $T$ on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$, and let $a$ be a fixed point in $\Omega$. Then by making use of the orbital $\Delta_{a}$ of $T_{a}$ defined in section 2 we hav as above a graph structure on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}-\{a\}$. To distinguish the edges defined by $\Delta_{\infty}$ and $\Delta_{a}$ we say that
(*) a pair $\{b, c\}$ of points on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$ is a blue edge if $b \in \Delta_{\infty}(c)$ and a red edge if $b \in \Delta_{a}(c)$.

Note that an element $g=\binom{\infty}{\alpha, \ldots}$ in $T$ carries blue edges to red ones. Now consider the stabilizer $T_{\infty}$ of $\infty$ and $a$, and let $b$ be a point in $\Delta_{\infty}(a)\left(=\Delta_{a}(\infty)\right)$. Then the global stabilizer $T_{\{\infty, a, b\}}$ in $T$ of the set $\{\infty, a, b\}$ acts as $S_{3}$ on it by Lemma 2 (iii). Then an element in $T_{\{\infty, a, b\}}$ of the form $(\infty a)(b) \cdots$ carries $\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)$ to $\Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)$ (Lemma $\left.2(i)\right)$. Thus, if $\left|\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)\right| \neq 0$, $\left(T_{\infty}, \Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)\right)$ and $\left(T_{\infty}, \Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)\right)$ are simliar in our sense. Assume now that Case A of Theorem 1 does not occur. Then it follows that $\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)=\Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)$. Then taking an element $x$ in $T_{\{\infty, a, b\}}$ of the form $(\infty b)(a) \cdots$ and considering the image of $\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)=\Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)$ under $x$ we conclude that $\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)=\Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)=\Delta_{\infty}(b) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)$. In particular $\Delta_{\infty}(b) \cap \Delta_{a}(b)$ is contained in $\Delta_{\infty}(a)$. This implies that there is no pair $\{b, d\}$ with $d \in \Omega \cup\{\infty\}-\left\{\{\infty, a\} \cup \Delta_{\infty}(a)\right\}$ which is both a blue edge and a red edge. This is also true if $\left|\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(b)\right|=0$. Then for a point $c$ in $\Gamma(a)$ with $|\Delta(a) \cap \Delta(c)| \neq 0, \Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(c)$ and $\Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(c)$ are fixed
blocks of $T_{\infty a c}$ which have no point in common. Furthermore since $T_{\{\infty, a, c\}}$ acts as $S_{3}$ on $\{\infty, a, c\}$ it follows that $\left(T_{\infty, a, c}, \Delta_{a}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{a}(c)\right.$ ) and ( $T_{\infty, a, c}$, $\left.\Delta_{\infty}(a) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(c)\right)$ are similar on $\Omega-\{a, c\}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4. Some applications of Theorem 1

Proposition 4.1. Let $G$ be a 4-fold transitive group on a set $\Sigma,|\Sigma|=m$. Assume that the rank 3 group $G$ of degree $\binom{m}{2}$ on 2-element subsets of $\Sigma$ has a transtivie extension T. Then one of the following holds:
(i). $m=4, G$ is $S_{4}$, and $T$ is $\operatorname{PSL}(3,2)$,
(ii). $m=6, G$ is $A_{6}$ or $S_{6}$, and $T$ is $A_{6} \cdot E_{16}$ or $S_{6} \cdot E_{16}$, the semi-direct product of elementary abelian 2-group $E_{16}$ of order 16 by $A_{6}$ or $S_{6}$,
(iii). $\quad m \geqq 7$ and the stabilizer in $G$ of four points in $\Sigma$ has an orbit of length two on the remaining points.

In particular if $G$ is 5 -fold transitive on $\Sigma$ then $m=6, G$ is $S_{6}$ and $T$ is $S_{6} \cdot E_{16}$.
Proof. Let $\Omega$ be the set of unordered pairs of points in $\Sigma$. For an element $\{1,2\}$ in $\Omega$ set $\Delta(\{1,2\})=\{\{i, j\}| |\{i, j\} \cap\{1,2\} \mid=1\}$ amd $\Gamma(\{1,2\})=$ $\{\{i, j\}||\{i, j\} \cap\{1,2\}|=0\}$. Then $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are self-paired orbitals of $G$ such that $|\Delta(\{1,2\})|=2(m-2),|\Gamma(\{1,2\})|=\binom{m-2}{2}$ and $|\Delta(\{1,2\}) \cap \Delta(\{i, j\})|=$ $m-2$ or 4 according as $\{i, j\} \in \Delta(\{1,2\})$ or $\Gamma(\{1,2\})$. Since $G$ is 4 -fold transitive on $\Sigma$ the stabilizer in $G$ of $\{1,2\}$ and $\{1,3\}$ in $\Omega$ has three orbits on $\Delta(\{1,2\})-\{1,3\}$ of lenghts $1, m-3$, namely $\{2,3\},\{\{1, i\} \mid 4 \leqq i \leqq m\}$ and $\{\{2, i\} \mid 4 \leqq i \leqq m\}$. Now assume that $G$ has a transitive extension $T$ on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$. For $\beta \in \Delta_{\infty}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha=\{1,2\}, \beta=\{1,3\} \in \Omega$ set $\nu=\left|\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\beta) \cap \Delta_{\beta}(\infty)\right|$. Then since $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\beta) \cap \Delta_{\beta}(\infty)$ is a fixed block of $T_{\infty \alpha \beta}$ we have $\nu=1$ or $m-2$. Note that $\nu \neq m-3$ if $m>4$, because then both $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\beta)$ and $\Delta_{\infty}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\beta)$ must contain $\{2,3\}$. We first prove:

Lemma 4.2. If $\nu=1$ then $m=4$ and $G$ is $S_{4}$.
Proof. We assume that $m>4$ and seek a contradiction. Let $\{1,2\}$ be a fixed element in $\Omega$ and for simplicity we denote $\{1,2\}$ by $\alpha,\{1, i\}$ and $\{2, i\}$ by $\beta_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}(i=3, \cdots, m)$, respectively. We say that a pair $\left\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right\}$ of points $\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}$ in $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha)$ is a blue edge if $\varepsilon \in \Delta_{\infty}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ and a erd edge if $\varepsilon \in \Delta_{\infty}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\nu=1$ and $m>4,\left\{\beta_{i}, \delta_{i}\right\}$ 's $(i=3, \cdots m)$ are the only edges in $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha)$ which are both red and blue. Now let $x=(\infty \alpha)\left(\beta_{3}\right) \cdots$ be an element of $T$. We first show that we can choose $x$ to be an involution. Since $G$ is 4 -fold transitive on $\Sigma, G$ contains an involution $y$ having the form (1) (23) $\cdots$ on $\Sigma$. Then the action of $y$ on $\Omega$ is of the form $(\infty)\left(\alpha \beta_{3}\right) \cdots$. Since $T_{\left\{\infty, \infty, \beta_{3}\right\}}$ acts as $S_{3}$ on $\left\{\infty, \alpha, \beta_{3}\right\}$ we can take $x$ to be conjugate to $y$. Now $x$ carries red edges to blue ones and conversely.

Hence $x$ fixes $\delta_{3}$ and carries $\beta_{i}(i \geqq 4)$ to some $\delta_{j}(j \geqq 4)$. Furthermore if $x$ carries $\beta_{i}$ to $\delta_{j}$ (hence $\delta_{j}$ to $\beta_{i}$ ), $\left\{\beta_{i}, \delta_{j}\right\}$ is an edge which are both blue and red. Hence we conclude that $x$ is of the form $(\infty \alpha)\left(\beta_{3}\right)\left(\delta_{3}\right)\left(\beta_{4} \delta_{4}\right)\left(\beta_{5} \delta_{5}\right) \cdots\left(\beta_{m} \delta_{m}\right)$. Now let $z$ be an involution of the form $(\infty \alpha)\left(\beta_{4}\right) \cdots$. Then ,similarly to the above, $z$ has the form $(\infty \alpha)\left(\beta_{3} \delta_{3}\right)\left(\beta_{4}\right)\left(\delta_{4}\right)\left(\beta_{5} \delta_{5}\right) \cdots\left(\beta_{m} \delta_{m}\right)$. Hence it follows that $x z=$ $(\infty)(\alpha)\left(\beta_{3} \delta_{3}\right)\left(\beta_{4} \delta_{4}\right)\left(\beta_{5}\right)\left(\delta_{5}\right) \cdots\left(\beta_{m}\right)\left(\delta_{m}\right)$. Then $x z$ is an element of $G$ and the action of $x z$ on $\Sigma$ must be of the form (12)(3)(4) $\cdots$. But then $x z$ can not fix $\beta_{5}$, a contradiction. This complete the proof of Lemma 4.2.

We now complete the proof of proposition 4.1. we may assume that $\nu=m-2$. This implies that blue edges and red edges on $\Delta_{\infty}(\{1,2\})$ coincide and that there is no pair $\{\delta, \gamma\}$ with $\delta \in \Delta_{\infty}(\{1,2\})$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}(\{1,2\})$ which is both a blue edge and a red edge. Now set $\alpha=\{1,2\}, \gamma=\{3,4\}$ and let $g=(\infty \alpha)(\gamma) \cdots$ be an element of $T$. Then $\left(\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\gamma)\right)^{g}=\Delta_{m}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{w}(\gamma)$ is a fixed block of $T_{\infty \alpha \gamma}$ on $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha)$ which is disjoint from $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\gamma)$. Furthermore since edges in $\Delta_{\infty}(\alpha) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\gamma)$ are carried by $g$ to edges in $\Delta_{\infty}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\gamma)$ we see that $\Delta_{\infty}(\infty) \cap \Delta_{\infty}(\gamma)=\{\{1, i\},\{1, j\}\{2, i\},\{2, j\}\}$ for some $i, j$ in $\Sigma-\{1,2,3,4\}$. This implies that $\{i, j\}$ is a fixed block of $G_{(1,2)(3,4)}$ on $\Sigma-\{1,2,3,4\}$. Then $G_{1234}$ fixes $\{i, j\}$ pointwise or as a set. If the former case occurs $G$ is $A_{6}$ or $M_{11}$ by a result of H. Nagao [3]. But $M_{11}$ considered as a rank 3 group of degree 55 has no transitive extension on 56 points. This is seen as follows. Let $T$ be a transitive extension of $M_{11}$. Then since $M_{11}$ is simple, $T$ is also simple and has order equal to $\left|M_{22}\right|$, whence $T$ is $M_{22}$ by [4], contradicting a well known fact that $M_{11}$ is not a subgroup of $M_{22}$ (see [1]). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1.

We now prove the following
Theorem 3.4. The symmetric group $S_{m}$ or the alternating group $A_{m}$ on a set $\Sigma,|\Sigma|=m$ considered as permutation group of degree $\binom{m}{r}$ on $r$-element subsets of $\Sigma$ with $1<r<m-1$ has no transitive extension except the cases $(r, m)=(2,4),(2,5)$ and (2, 6).

Proof. We may assume without any loss of generality that $2 r \leqq m$. Let $\Omega$ be the set of $r$-element subsets of $\Sigma$. For an element $\alpha=\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ of $\Omega$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\alpha)=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right\}| |\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right\} \cap\{1,2, \cdots, r\} \mid=r-1\right\}, \text { and } \\
& \Gamma(\alpha)=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right\}| |\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right\} \cap\{1,2, \cdots, r\} \mid=r-2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are self-paired orbitals of $G$ such that $|\Delta(\alpha)|=r(m-r)$, $|\Gamma(\alpha)|=\binom{r}{2}\binom{m-r}{2}$, and

$$
|\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)|= \begin{cases}m-2 & \text { if } \beta \in \Delta(\alpha) \\ 4 & \text { if } \beta \in \Gamma(\alpha)\end{cases}
$$

$\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 except the case $m=2 r^{*}$. Let $\beta=\{1,2, \cdots, r-1, r+1\}$ be an element of $\Delta(\alpha)$. Then $G_{a \beta \beta}=G_{\{1,2, \cdots, r-1)(r)(r+1\}}$ has three orbits on $\Delta(\alpha)-\{\beta\}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{1}=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-1}, r+1\right\} \mid\{1,2, \cdots, r-1\} \neq\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-1}\right\} \subset\{1,2, \cdots, r\}\right\}, \\
& \Phi_{2}=\{\{1,2, \cdots, r-1, i\} \mid r+2 \leqq i \leqq m\}, \text { and } \\
& \Phi_{3}=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-2}, r, i\right\} \mid\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-2}\right\} \subset\{1,2, \cdots, r-1\}, r+2 \leqq i \leqq m\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have that $\left|\Phi_{1}\right|=r-1,\left|\Phi_{2}\right|=m-r-1$ and $\left|\Phi_{3}\right|=(r-1)(m-r-1)$ and $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)=\Phi_{1} \cup \Phi_{2}$. Therefore if $G_{\alpha \beta}$ has a fixed block $\Lambda$ on $\Delta(\alpha)-\{\beta\}$ such that $\Lambda \neq \Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)$ and ( $G_{\alpha \beta}, \Lambda$ ) is similar to ( $G_{\alpha \beta}, \Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)$ ) it follows that $(r-1)(m-r-1)=r-1$ or $m-r-1$, hence $m=r+1$ or $r=2$. The former case is out of our consideration and the latter was treated in Prop 4.1. Thus we may assume that Case A of Theorem 1 does not occur.

Now let $\delta=\{1,2, \cdots, r-1, r+2\}$ be an element of $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Then $G_{a \delta}=$ $G_{(1,2, \ldots r-2)(r-1, r)(r+1, r+2)}$ has four orbits on $\Delta(\alpha)$, namely

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{1}=\{\{1,2, \cdots, r-2, i, j\} \mid r-1 \leqq i \leqq r, r+1 \leqq j \leqq r+2\}, \\
\Psi_{2}=\left\{\left\{i_{1} i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-1}, j\right\} \mid\{1,2, \cdots, r-2\} \nsubseteq\left\{i_{1} i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-1}\right\} \subset\right. \\
\{1,2, \cdots, r\}, r+1 \leqq j \leqq r+2\}, \\
\Psi_{3}=\{\{1,2, \cdots, r-2, i, j\} \mid r-1 \leqq i \leqq r, r+3 \leqq j \leqq m\} \text { and } \\
\Psi_{4}=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-1}, j\right\} \mid\{1,2, \cdots, r-2\} \nsubseteq\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r-1}\right\} \subset\right. \\
\{1,2, \cdots, r\}, r+3 \leqq j \leqq m\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here we have that $\left|\Psi_{1}\right|=4,\left|\Psi_{2}\right|=2(r-2),\left|\Psi_{3}\right|=2(m-r-2)\left|\Psi_{4}\right|=$ ( $m-r-2$ ) $(r-2)$ and $\Psi_{1}=\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\delta)$. Hence Case B of Theorem 1 may possibly hold only if $\left|\Psi_{1}\right|=\left|\Psi_{2}\right|,\left|\Psi_{3}\right|$ or $\left|\Psi_{4}\right|$, namely $r=4, r=m-4$ or $(r, m)=$ $(3,9)$. If $r=m-4$ then $(r, m)=(3,7)$ or $(4,8)$ because $2 r \leqq m$. We first eliminate the cases $(r, m)=(3,7)$ and $(3,9)$. Assume that $A_{7}$ or $S_{7}$ of degree $\binom{7}{3}$ has an transitive extension $T$, and let $N$ denote a minimal normal subgroup of $T$. Then $N$ is simple and since $N \cap S_{7}$ is a normal subgroup of $S_{7}$ it follows that either $N=T$ or $N$ has index two in $T$, contradicting a result of $M$. Hall [2]. Now assume that $\left|\Psi_{1}\right|=\left|\Psi_{4}\right|$ and hence $(r, m)=(3,9)$. In this case the kernels of the restrictions of $G_{\alpha \beta}$ to $\Psi_{1}$ and $\Psi_{4}$ are $G_{12345[6789\}}$ and $G_{1\{2,3\}_{45567889}}$, respectively and hence are not isomorphic as abstract groups. Hence $\left(G_{\alpha \beta}, \Psi_{1}\right)$ and

[^0]$\left(G_{a \beta}, \Psi_{4}\right)$ are not similar in our sense. Finally we consider the case $r=4$. Let $\theta$ and $\pi$ be the orbitals of $G$ defined as follws:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(\{1,2,3,4\})=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}| |\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\} \cap\{1,2,3,4\} \mid=1\right\}, \text { and } \\
& \pi(\{1,2,3,4\})=\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}| |\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\} \cap\{1,2,3,4\} \mid=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Assume first that $m \geqq 10$. Then $\theta$ and $\pi$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 for $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$, respecitvely. We have that $|\theta(\alpha)|=4\binom{m-4}{3},|\pi(\alpha)|=\binom{m-4}{4}$ and

$$
|\theta(\alpha) \cap \theta(\varepsilon)|= \begin{cases}\binom{m-7}{3}+9\binom{m-7}{2} & \text { if } \varepsilon \in \theta(\alpha) \\ 16\binom{m-8}{2} & \text { if } \varepsilon \in \pi(\alpha)\end{cases}
$$

For $\alpha=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and $\varepsilon=\{1,5,6,7\}$ of $\theta(\alpha), G_{a 8}=G_{\{1\}(2,3,4\}[5,6,7\}}$ has seven orbits on $\theta(\alpha)-\{\varepsilon\}$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\{\{1, i, j, k\} \mid\{i, j, k\} \subset\{8,9, \cdots, m\}\}, \\
& P_{2}=\{\{i, j, k, l\} \mid 5 \leqq i \leqq 7,2 \leqq j \leqq 4,8 \leqq k, l \leqq m\}, \\
& P_{3}=\{\{1, i, j, k\} \mid 5 \leqq i \leqq 7,8 \leqq j, k \leqq m\} \\
& P_{4}=\{\{i, j, k, l\} \mid 5 \leqq i, j \leqq 7,2 \leqq k \leqq 4,8 \leqq l \leqq m\}, \\
& P_{5}=\{\{5,6,7, i\} \mid 2 \leqq i \leqq 4\}, \\
& P_{6}=\{\{1, i, j, k\} \mid 5 \leqq i, j \leqq 7,8 \leqq k\}, \text { and } \\
& P_{7}=\{\{i, j, k, l\}|2 \leqq i| \leqq 4,8 \leqq j, k \leqq m\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\quad\left|P_{1}\right|=\binom{m-7}{3}, \quad\left|P_{2}\right|=9\binom{m-7}{2}, \quad\left|P_{3}\right|=3\binom{m-7}{2}, \quad\left|P_{4}\right|=9(m-7)$, $\left|P_{5}\right|=3,\left|P_{6}\right|=3(m-7),\left|P_{7}\right|=3\binom{m-7}{3}$, and $\theta(\alpha) \cap \theta(\varepsilon)=P_{1} \cup P_{2}$.

Also for an element $\rho=\{5,6,7,8\}$ of $\pi(\alpha) G_{a \rho}=G_{\{1,2,3,4\}(5,6,7,8)}$ has four orbits on $\theta(\alpha)$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O_{1}=\{\{i, j, k, l\} \mid 5 \leqq i \leqq 8,1 \leqq j \leqq 4,9 \leqq k, l \leqq m\}, \\
& O_{2}=\{\{i, j, k, l\} \mid 5 \leqq i, j \leqq 8,1 \leqq k \leqq 4,9 \leqq l \leqq m\}, \\
& O_{3}=\{\{i, j, k, l\} \mid 5 \leqq i, j, k \leqq 8,1 \leqq l \leqq 4\}, \text { and } \\
& O_{4}=\{\{i, j, k, l\} \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq 4,9 \leqq j, k, l \leqq m\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\left|O_{1}\right|=16\binom{m-8}{2},\left|O_{2}\right|=24(m-8),\left|O_{3}\right|=16,\left|O_{4}\right|=4\binom{m-8}{3}$ and $O_{1}=\theta(\alpha) \cap \theta(\rho)$. Hence we see that the conculsion of Theorem 1 may possibly hold only in the following cases.

Case 1. $\quad\left|P_{1}\right|+\left|P_{2}\right|=\left|P_{1}\right|+\left|P_{7}\right|$.
Case 2. $\quad\left|P_{1}\right|+\left|P_{2}\right|=\left|P_{3}\right|+\left|P_{2}\right|$.
Case 3. $\left|O_{1}\right|=\left|O_{4}\right|$.
Case 4. $\left|O_{1}\right|=\left|O_{2}\right|$.
We treat these cases separately.
Case 1. In this case $m=18$. We see that $G_{a \varepsilon}$ is faithful on $P_{2}$, but not on $P_{7}$. Hence Case A of theorem 1 does not hold in this case.

Case 2. In this case $m=18$, and the kernels of the restrictions of $G_{a \varepsilon}$ to $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ have distinct orders.

Case 3. In this case $m=28$, and $G_{a \rho}$ is faithful on $O_{1}$, but not on $O_{4}$.
Case 4. In this case $m=12$. Assume that $G$ has an transitive extension $T$ on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$, where $\Omega$ denotes the set of four element subsets of $\Sigma,|\Sigma|=12$, Let $x$ be an element of order three in $G$ having the form $(i, j, k)$ on $\Sigma$. Then $x$ has 135 fixed points on $\Omega$, hence 136 fixed points on $\Omega \cup\{\infty\}$. In particular, if $x^{t} \in G$ for $t \in T$, then $x^{t}=x^{g}$ for some $g \in G$. Then since $G$ contains 440 conjugates of $x$ it follows that the number of conjugates of $x$ in $T$ is equal to $\frac{136}{496} \times 440$, which is not an integer, a contradiction.

Finally the cases $(r, m)=(4,8)$ and $(4,9)$ are eliminated by a similar argument to Case 4.

Theorem 4.4 A subgroup of $P \Gamma L(m, q)$ containing $\operatorname{PSL}(m, q)$ with $q>2$ and $m \geqq 4$ considered as a permutation group of degree $\frac{\left(q^{m}-1\right)\left(q^{m}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{m}-q^{r}\right)}{\left(q^{r+1}-1\right)\left(q^{r+1}-q\right) \cdots\left(q^{r+1}-q^{r}\right)}$ on $r$-dimensional subspaces of $P G(m-1, q)$ with $1 \leqq r \leqq m-3$ has no transitive extension.

Proof. Let $\Omega$ denote the set of $r$-dimensional subspaces of $\operatorname{PG}(m-1, q)$. For an element $\alpha$ of $\Omega$ set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\alpha)=\{\beta \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dim} . \alpha \cap \beta=r-1\}, \text { and } \\
& \Gamma(\alpha)=\{\beta \in \Omega \mid \operatorname{dim} . \alpha \cap \beta=r-2\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are self-paired orbitals of $G$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\Delta(\alpha)|=\frac{q\left(q^{r+1}-1\right)\left(q^{m-r-1}-1\right)}{(q-1)^{2}}, \\
& |\Gamma(\alpha)|=\frac{q^{4}\left(q^{r+1}-1\right)\left(q^{r}-1\right)\left(q^{m-r-1}-1\right)\left(q^{m-r-2}-1\right)}{(q-1)^{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right)^{2}}, \text { and } \\
& |\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)|=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{q\left(q^{m-r-1}-1\right)}{q-1}-1+\frac{q^{2}\left(q^{r}-1\right)}{q-1} \text { if } \beta \in \Delta(\alpha), \\
(q+1)^{2} \text { if } \beta \in \Gamma(\alpha) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 . Let $\beta$ be an element of $\Delta(\alpha)$. We may assume that

$$
\alpha=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{r+1} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \alpha_{i} \in G F(q)\right\} \text { and } \beta=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\hat{\beta}_{r} \\
0 \\
\beta_{r+1} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \beta_{i} \in G F(q)\right\}
$$

Then $G_{a \beta} \cap P G L(m, q)$ has the following form:


It is then easy to see that $G_{\alpha \beta}$ has the following orbits on $\Delta(\alpha)-\{\beta\}$.
$\Phi_{1}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \beta=r-1, \varepsilon \cap \beta \neq \varepsilon \cap \alpha\}$,
$\Phi_{2}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \beta=r-1, \varepsilon \cap \beta=\varepsilon \cap \alpha, \varepsilon \subset \alpha \cap \beta\}$,
$\Phi_{3}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \beta=r-1, \varepsilon \cap \beta=\varepsilon \cap \alpha, \varepsilon \nsubseteq \alpha \cup \beta\}$, and
$\Phi_{4}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \beta=r-2\}$.
Here we have $\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\beta)=\Phi_{1} \cup \Phi_{2} \cup \Phi_{3},\left|\Phi_{1}\right|=\frac{q^{2}\left(q^{r}-1\right)}{q-1},\left|\Phi_{2}\right|=q-1$, $\left|\Phi_{3}\right|=\frac{q^{2}\left(q^{m-r-2}-1\right)}{q-1}$ and $\left|\Phi_{4}\right|=\frac{q^{3}\left(q^{r}-1\right)\left(q^{m-r-2}-1\right)}{(q-1)^{2}}$. Therefore Case A of Theorem 1 dose not hold.

Now let $\delta=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{l}\delta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{r-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_{r+2} \\ \delta_{r+3} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \delta_{i} \in G F(q)\right\}$ be an element of $\Gamma(\alpha)$.

Then $G_{a \delta \delta} \cap P G L(m, q)$ is of the form;

and $G_{a \delta}$ has the following orbits on $\Delta(\alpha)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{1}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \delta=r-1\}, \\
& \Psi_{2}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \delta=r-2, \varepsilon \cap \delta \neq \alpha \cap \delta\}, \\
& \Psi_{3}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \delta=r-2, \varepsilon \cap \delta=\alpha \cap \delta, \varepsilon \subset \alpha \cup \delta\}, \\
& \Psi_{4}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \delta=r-2, \varepsilon \cap \delta=\alpha \cap \delta, \varepsilon \nsubseteq \alpha \cup \delta\} \text { and } \\
& \Psi_{5}=\{\varepsilon \in \Delta(\alpha) \mid \operatorname{dim} . \varepsilon \cap \delta=r-3\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have $\Psi_{1}=\Delta(\alpha) \cap \Delta(\delta),\left|\Psi_{1}\right|=(q+1)^{2}, \quad\left|\Psi_{2}\right|=\frac{q^{3}(q+1)\left(q^{r-1}-1\right)}{(q-1)}$, $\left|\Psi_{3}\right|=(q+1)\left(q^{2}-1\right),\left|\Psi_{4}\right|=\frac{q^{3}(q+1)\left(q^{m-r-3}-1\right)}{(q-1)}$ and
$\left|\Psi_{5}\right|=\frac{q^{5}\left(q^{r-1}-1\right)\left(q^{m-r-3}-1\right)}{(q-1)^{2}}$. Then since $q \neq 2$, Case B of Theorem 1 does not hold, and the proof of Theorem 4.4 is completed.
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[^0]:    * Even in this case the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds since all orbitals of $G$ are self-paired (see §1).

