Nihonkai Math. J. Vol.26(2015), 71–74

CORRIGENDUM TO "BI-UNIQUE RANGE SETS FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS" [NIHONKAI MATH. J. 24 (2013) 121-134]

ABHIJIT BANERJEE

1. Corrigendum of the paper

There is a gap in the analysis in **Subcase 1.2.1** of the proof of **Theorem 1.1** in **page number 130** line numbers 15–22 from top. In **Subcase 1.2.1** in the case of $\frac{A}{C} = \frac{1}{c}$ using the second fundamental theorem we wrote

$$(n-1)T(r,f) \le \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,1;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{c};F\right) + S(r,f) \le \dots$$

Here in the very beginning, at the time of using the second fundamental theorem we counted distinct 1-points of f twice once in $\overline{N}(r, 1; f)$ and other in $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{c}; F)$. This is the violation of the second fundamental theorem.

So <u>Page number 130 line numbers 15–22 from top</u> will be replaced by the following arguments :-

Next suppose $\frac{A}{C} = \frac{1}{c}$. Then

$$F - \frac{A}{C} \equiv \frac{BC - AD}{C(CG + D)}$$

i.e.,

$$(f-1)^{3}Q_{n-3}(f) \equiv \frac{BC - AD}{C(CG + D)}.$$

If there are some 1 points of f then the above expression implies that those 1-points of f will be poles of g which is a contradiction to the fact that f and g share the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic functions, uniqueness, weighted sharing, shared set.

set S_1 . Therefore let 1 be an e.v.p of f. Now,

$$Q_{n-3}(f) = (f - \alpha_1)(f - \alpha_2) \dots (f - \alpha_{n-3}),$$

where α_i 's i = 1, 2, ..., n - 3 are distinct. Let any α_i -pt of f of order p be a pole of order q of g then we have

$$p = nq \ge n.$$

Now by the second fundamental theorem we have

$$(n-2)T(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,1;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} \overline{N}(r,\alpha_i;f) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,\infty;f) + \frac{(n-3)}{n}T(r,f) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \left(2 + \frac{n-3}{n}\right)T(r,f) + S(r,f),$$

which is a contradiction for $n \geq 5$.

Page number 131 the lastline before Subcase 1.2.3

Since we have proved that $F \equiv G$ and this is under $\Phi \neq 0$. So $F \equiv G$ implies $\Phi \equiv 0$, we do not have to use Lemma 2.7. So line number 9 from bottom in Page number 131 i.e., "So by Lemma 2.7 we get $f \equiv g$." will be replaced by :-

So we have $\Phi \equiv 0$, a contradiction to the initial assumption.

Next in **Page number 132 Subcase 2.2** there should be more subcases to be considered. Its elaborative form will be as follows :-

Subcase 2.2. Next suppose that f, g do not share (0,0), (1,0). We now consider the following subcases.

Subcase 2.2.1. Suppose there exist z_0 , z_1 such that

$$f(z_0) = 0, \quad g(z_0) = 1$$

 $f(z_1) = 1, \quad g(z_1) = 0.$

i.e., none of 0 and 1 is an e.v.P. of f and g. We note that from $(F-1) \equiv A(G-1)$ we get $P(f) - c(1-A) \equiv AP(g)$. If $A \neq 1$, then $c(1-A) \neq 0$. If c(1-A) = 1, then $A = \frac{c-1}{c}$. So $F - \frac{1}{c} \equiv \frac{c-1}{c}G$. At the point z_0 , we have $F(z_0) = 0$ and $G(z_0) = \frac{1}{c}$. Putting this values we obtain $\frac{-1}{c} = \frac{c-1}{c^2}$ which implies $c = \frac{1}{2}$, a contradiction. So $c(1-A) \neq 0, 1$. Hence P(f) - c(1-A) has simple zeros and consequently we have

$$(f-\omega_1)(f-\omega_2)\dots(f-\omega_n) \equiv A\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}g^{n-2}(g-\gamma)(g-\delta),$$

where ω_i , (i = 1, 2, ..., n) be the distinct zeros of P(f) - c(1 - A). Since f, g share the set S_1 , from above we get 0 is an e.v.P. of g, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2.2. If no such z_0 exists i.e., if 0 is an e.v.P. of f and 1 is an e.v.P. of g, then again as above from $\Phi \equiv 0$ we get

$$F \equiv AG + 1 - A \tag{1}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{P(f)}{A} \equiv P(g) - \frac{c(A-1)}{A}.$$
(2)

Clearly, $\frac{c(A-1)}{A} \neq 0$ as $c \neq 0$ and $A \neq 1$. Now if $\frac{c(A-1)}{A} = 1$ then $A = \frac{c}{c-1}$. Since any 1-point of f is 0-point of g, so from (1) we have $\frac{1}{c} = 1 - A$ i.e., $A = \frac{c-1}{c}$. Therefore we get

$$\frac{c-1}{c} = \frac{c}{c-1},$$

which implies $c = \frac{1}{2}$, a contradiction. This implies $\frac{c(A-1)}{A} \neq 1$ and so $P(g) - \frac{c(A-1)}{A}$ has *n* distinct zeros β'_j , say (j = 1, 2, ..., n). Hence from (2) we have

$$\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2A}f^{n-2}(f-\gamma)(f-\delta) \equiv (g-\beta_1')(g-\beta_2')\cdots(g-\beta_n').$$

Now by the second fundamental theorem and noting that T(r,g) = T(r,f) + O(1)we get

$$\begin{split} nT(r,g) &\leq \overline{N}(r,0;g) + \overline{N}(r,1;g) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{N}(r,\beta_{j}';g) + S(r,g) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,0;g) + \overline{N}(r,\gamma;f) + \overline{N}(r,\delta;f) + S(r,g) \\ &\leq 3T(r,g) + S(r,g), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction for $n \ge 4$.

Subcase 2.2.3. If no such z_0 , z_1 exist at all i.e., 0 and 1 both are Picard exceptional values of f and g then again as above we can obtain either (2) or

$$P(f) - c(1 - A) \equiv AP(g).$$
(3)

We prove that either the right hand side expression of (2) or the left hand side expression of (3) will have *n* distinct factors. Now if $\frac{c(A-1)}{A} = 1$ i.e., the right hand side expression of (2) does not have *n* distinct factors, then $A = \frac{c}{c-1}$ and hence $c(1-A) = -A = \frac{c}{1-c} \neq 1$ as $c \neq \frac{1}{2}$. So P(f) - c(1-A) has simple zeros and consequently we have $(f - \omega_1)(f - \omega_2) \cdots (f - \omega_n) \equiv A \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} g^{n-2}(g - \gamma)(g - \delta)$. Therefore by the second fundamental theorem and again noting that T(r,g) =

T(r, f) + O(1) we get

$$nT(r,f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{N}(r,\omega_{i};f) + \overline{N}(r,0;f) + \overline{N}(r,1;f) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,\gamma;g) + \overline{N}(r,\delta;g) + S(r,f),$$

which is a contradiction for $n \geq 3$.

(Abhijit Banerjee) Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India, 74123.

 $\textit{E-mail address: abanerjee_kal@yahoo.co.in, abanerjeekal@gmail.com}$

Received 3 February, 2015