# Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space satisfying a certain condition on Ricci tensors

### Yoshio Matsuyama

#### Introduction 1

Let  $CP^n, n \geq 3$  be an n-dimensional complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, and let M be a real hypersurface  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Let  $\nu$  be a unit local normal vector field on M and  $\xi = -J\nu$ , where J denotes the complex structure of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . M has an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  induced from J. We denote R and S the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of M, respectively. Many differential geometeres have studied M (cf. [1], [5], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11] and [12]) by using the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

Typical examples of real hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  are homogeneous ones. Takagi [12] showed that all homogeneous real hypersurfaces in  $\bar{C}P^n$  are realized as the tubes of constant radius over compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank 1 or rank 2. Namely, he showed the following: Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then M is a tube of radius r over one of the following Kaehler submanifolds:

- $(A_1)$  hyperplane  $CP^{n-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,
- (A<sub>2</sub>) totally geodesic  $CP^k (1 \le k \le n-2)$ , (B) complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,
- (C)  $CP^1 \times CP^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $n \ge 5$  is odd,
- (D) complex Grassmann  $CG_{2,5}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and n = 9,
- (E) Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5), where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and n = 15. Due to his classification, we find that the number of distinct constant principal curvatures of a homogeneous real hypersurface is 2, 3 or 5. Here note that the vector  $\xi$  of any homogeneous real hypersurface M (which is a tube of radius r) is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature  $\alpha = 2 \cot 2r$  with multiplicity 1 (See [1]) and that in the case of type  $A_1$  M has two distinct principal curvatures and in the case of type  $A_2$  (resp. B) M has three distinct principal curvatures  $t, -\frac{1}{t}$  and  $\alpha = t - \frac{1}{t}$  (resp.  $\frac{1+t}{1-t}, \frac{t-1}{t+1}$  and  $\alpha = t - \frac{1}{t}$ ).

The following result is well known ([3]): There are no real hypersurfaces M with parallel Ricci tensor in  $\mathbb{C}P^n, n \geq 3$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{C}P^n, n \geq 3$ , does not admit real hypersurfaces M with the weak condition (R(X,Y)S)Z=0 for any  $X,Y,Z\in TM$ . With relation to this Gotoh [2] proved that if  $n\geq 3$  and the shape operator A of a real hypersurface M satisfies (R(X,Y)A)Z=0 for any  $X,Y,Z\in \xi^{\perp}$ , then M is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere.

The purpose of the present paper is to study more weaker condition

$$(R(X,Y)S)Z = 0$$
 for any  $X,Y,Z \in \xi^{\perp}$ ,

where  $\xi^{\perp}$  denotes the orthogonal complement of  $\xi$  in TM. Specifically, we shall prove the following :

Theorem Let M be a real hypersurface of  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Then M satisfies (R(X,Y)S)Z = 0 for any X, Y and Z in  $\xi^{\perp}$  if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following:

- (i) a geodesic hypersurface,
- (ii) a tube of radius  $\frac{\pi}{4}$  over a totally geodesic  $CP^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ ,
- (iii) a tube of radius r over a complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $\cot^2 2r = n 2$ .

#### 2 Preliminaries.

Let X be a tangent vector field on M. We write  $JX = \phi X + \eta(X)\nu$ , where  $\phi X$  is the tangent component of JX and  $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$ . As  $J^2 = -Id$ , where Id denotes the identity endomorphism on  $TCP^n$ , we get

(1) 
$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \phi \xi = 0$$

for any X tangent to M. It is also easy to see that for any X and Y tangent to M

(2) 
$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi,$$

$$(3) \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX.$$

Finally, from the expression of the curvature tensor of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ , we see that the curvature tensor, Codazzi equation and the Ricci tensor of M are given by

$$(4) R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

(5) 
$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi,$$

(6) 
$$SX = (2n+1)X - 3\eta(X)\xi + hAX - A^2X,$$

where h = trace A and S is the Ricci tensor of type (1.1) on M.

Now, we recall without proof the following result in order to prove our theorem:

**Proposition** (Maeda [7]) Assume that  $\xi$  is a principal curvature vector and the corresponding principal curvature is  $\alpha$ . If AX = rX for  $X \perp \xi$ , then we have  $A\phi X = ((\alpha r + 2)/(2r - \alpha))\phi X$ .

## 3 Key lemma

Let M be a real hypersurface in  $CP^n, n \geq 3$ , whose the Ricci tensor S satisfies the identity  $SX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$  for some smooth functions a and b on M (, that is, M is  $\eta$ -Einstein). Then M is locally congruent to one of the following ([1], [5], [6]):

(i) a geodesic hypersurface

(ii) a tube of radius r over a totally geodesic  $CP^k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n-2$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\cot^2 r = \frac{k}{n-k-1}$ ,

(iii) a tube of radius r over a complex quadric  $Q_{n-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $\cot^2 2r = n - 2$ .

On the other hand, in [3] Ki, Nakagawa and Suh proved that  $n \geq 3$  and the Ricci tensor S of a real hypersurface M satisfies (R(X,Y)S)Z + (R(Y,Z)S)X + (R(Z,X)S)Y = 0 for all tangent vectors X,Y and Z in TM if and only if M is n-Einstein.

**Lemma** Let M be a real hypersurface of  $\mathbb{CP}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Then M satisfies

(7) 
$$(R(X,Y)S)Z + (R(Y,Z)S)X + (R(Z,X)S)Y = 0$$

for any X,Y and Z in  $\xi^{\perp}$  if and only if M is  $\eta$ -Einstein

From (4) and (6) we see that the assumption (7) of Lemma is equivalent to the equation

(8) 
$$g(\phi X, HZ)\phi Y + g(\phi Y, HX)\phi Z + g(\phi Z, HY)\phi X$$
$$-g(\phi Y, HZ)\phi X - g(\phi Z, HX)\phi Y - g(\phi X, HY)\phi Z$$
$$+2g(\phi X, Y)\phi HZ + 2g(\phi Y, Z)\phi HX + 2g(\phi Z, X)\phi HY = 0,$$

where  $H = hA - A^2$ , X, Y and  $Z \in \xi^{\perp}$ . Let  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_{2n-2}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\xi^{\perp}$  at any point of M. Putting  $Z = E_i$  and taking the inner product

(8) by  $\phi E_i$ , we get

(9) 
$$g(\phi X, HE_{i})g(\phi Y, \phi E_{i}) + g(\phi Y, HX)g(\phi E_{i}, \phi E_{i}) + g(\phi E_{i}, HY)g(\phi X, \phi E_{i}) - g(\phi Y, HE_{i})g(\phi X, \phi E_{i}) - g(\phi E_{i}, HX)g(\phi Y, \phi E_{i}) - g(\phi X, HY)g(\phi E_{i}, \phi E_{i}) + 2g(\phi X, Y)g(\phi HE_{i}, \phi E_{i}) + 2g(\phi Y, E_{i})g(\phi HX, \phi E_{i}) + 2g(\phi E_{i}, X)g(\phi HY, \phi E_{i}) = 0.$$

Taking summation of (9) on i, we obtain

$$-(2n-2)g(\phi HX,Y) - (2n-2)g(H\phi X,Y) + 2(\text{trace}H - g(H\xi,\xi))g(\phi X,Y) = 0.$$

Hence we have

(10) 
$$-(2n-2)\phi HX - (2n-2)H\phi X + 2(\text{trace}H - g(H\xi,\xi))\phi X = -(2n-2)g(H\phi X,\xi)\xi$$

If in (8) we take  $X=E_j, Z=\phi E_j$  and take summation on j, we obtain for  $Y\in \mathcal{E}^\perp$ 

(11) 
$$(-4n+6)\phi HY - 2H\phi Y + 2(\text{trace}H - g(H\xi,\xi))\phi Y = -2g(H\phi Y,\xi)\xi$$
,

Taking the inner product (11) by any  $X \in \xi^{\perp}$ , we have

(12) 
$$g((-4n+6)\phi HY - 2H\phi Y + 2(\text{trace}H - g(H\xi,\xi))\phi Y, X) = 0.$$

The equation (12) yields

$$g(Y, (4n-6)H\phi X + 2\phi HX - 2(\operatorname{trace} H - g(H\xi, \xi))\phi X) = 0.$$

Then we can put

$$(4n-6)H\phi X + 2\phi HX - 2(\operatorname{trace} H - g(H\xi, \xi))\phi X = c\xi$$

for some function c. Hence we get

(13) 
$$(4n-6)H\phi Y + 2\phi HY - 2(\text{trace}H - g(H\xi, \xi))\phi Y = (4n-6)g(H\phi Y, \xi)\xi.$$

Combining (11) with (13), we have

(14) 
$$H\phi Y - \phi HY = g(H\phi Y, \xi)\xi,$$

since  $n \geq 3$ .

Now, define a unit cross section U of  $\xi^{\perp}$  and smooth functions  $\alpha, \beta$  on M by

(15) 
$$H\xi = \alpha \xi + \beta U.$$

Hence we have

(16) 
$$g(H\phi U,\xi) = g(\phi U,H\xi) = g(\phi U,\alpha\xi + \beta U) = 0.$$

Thus from (14) and (16) we get

(17) 
$$H\phi U = \phi HU.$$

Taking the inner product (8) by U, we have

(18) 
$$g(\phi X, HZ)g(\phi Y, U) + g(\phi Y, HX)g(\phi Z, U)$$
$$+g(\phi Z, HY)g(\phi X, U) - g(\phi Y, HZ)g(\phi X, U)$$
$$-g(\phi Z, HX)g(\phi Y, U) - g(\phi X, HY)g(\phi Z, U)$$
$$+2g(\phi X, Y)g(\phi HZ, U) + 2g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi HX, U)$$
$$+2g(\phi Z, X)g(\phi HY, U) = 0.$$

Taking the contraction of (18) on Z, we obtain

(19) 
$$g(\phi Y, U)H\phi X - g(\phi Y, HX)\phi U$$
$$-g(\phi X, U)\phi HY - g(\phi X, U)H\phi Y$$
$$+g(\phi Y, U)\phi HX + g(\phi X, HY)\phi U$$
$$-2g(\phi X, Y)H\phi U + 2g(\phi HX, U)\phi Y$$
$$-2g(\phi HY, U)\phi X$$
$$= g(g(\phi Y, U)H\phi X - g(\phi X, U)H\phi Y, \xi)\xi.$$

Putting X = U and  $Y = \phi U$  in (19), we get

(20) 
$$H\phi U = g(U, HU)\phi U.$$

Assume that  $\beta \neq 0$  at a point, say x. By (20) there exists a certain real number  $\lambda$  such that

$$(21) H\phi U = \lambda \phi U.$$

Combining (17) with (20), (21) we have

(22) 
$$HU = \lambda U + \beta \xi.$$

On the other hand, (10) implies

$$2(2n-2)HU - 2(\operatorname{trace} H - \alpha)U = 2(2n-2)\beta\xi.$$

Hence we obtain

(23) 
$$(2n-2)\lambda = \operatorname{trace} H - \alpha.$$

Putting  $X = \phi Y$  in (19), from (14) we obtain  $\lambda = 0$ . Combining  $H = hA - A^2$  with (14), (15) and (22), we get

$$\beta = 0.$$

The equation (24) contradicts to  $\beta \neq 0$ . Therefore we get

$$(25) H\xi = \alpha \xi$$

Also, from (10), (14), (21), (23) and (25) we see that

$$HX = \lambda X$$
 for  $X \in \xi^{\perp}$ .

Therefore M is  $\eta$ -Einstein. Conversely, assume that M is  $\eta$ -Einstein. Then the result of Ki, Nakagawa and Suh ([3]) gurantees (7). This proves Lemma.

#### 4 Proof of Theorem

From the assumption of Theorem, i.e.,

$$(26) (R(X,Y)S)Z = 0$$

for any X,Y and  $Z \in \xi^{\perp}$ , we see that M satisfies (7). Hence M is  $\eta$ -Einstein. Conversely, assume that M is  $\eta$ -Einstein. By (4) and (6), we know that (26) is equal to the equation

(27) 
$$g(Y,HZ)X - g(X,HZ)Y + g(\phi Y,HZ)\phi X - g(\phi X,HZ)\phi Y$$
$$-2g(\phi X,Y)\phi HZ + g(AY,HZ)AX - g(AX,HZ)AY$$
$$-g(Y,Z)HX + g(X,Z)HY - g(\phi Y,Z)H\phi X + g(\phi X,Z)H\phi Y$$
$$+2g(\phi X,Y)H\phi Z - g(AY,Z)HAX + g(AX,Z)HAY = 0.$$

We shall determine  $\eta$ -Einstein real hypersurfaces M satisfying (27). Let M be of type  $A_1$  (which is a tube of radius r). Let  $t = \cot r$ . Then the shape operator A of M is expressed as ([13]):

$$(28) AX = tX$$

for any  $X \in \xi^{\perp}$ . Substituting (28) into the left side of (27), we get (26). Let M be of type  $A_2$  (which is a tube of radius r, where  $\cot^2 r = \frac{k}{n-k-1}, 0 < k < n-1$  and  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). Let  $t = \cot r$ . Then M has three distinct constant principal curvatures t with multiplicity  $2k, -\frac{1}{t}$  with multiplicity 2n-2k-2 and  $t-\frac{1}{t}$  with multiplicity 1 ([13]). Let  $X \in V_t, Y$  and  $Z \in V_{-\frac{1}{t}}$ , where  $V_t$  denote the eigenspace of A corresponding to the eigenvalue t. Then by Proposition we

know that  $\phi X \in V_t, \phi Y$  and  $\phi Z \in V_{-\frac{1}{t}}$ . We put  $Z = \phi Y$  and |Y| = 1 in (27). Then the linear independence of the vectors  $X, \phi X, Y$  and  $\phi Y$  shows

$$\frac{(t^2+1)(t^2-ht-1)}{t^2}\phi X = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(29) t^2 - ht - 1 = 0.$$

We now remark

(30) 
$$h = 2kt - \frac{2n - 2k - 2}{t} + t - \frac{1}{t}.$$

Substituting (30) into the left side of (29), we have

$$t^2 = \frac{n-k-1}{k}.$$

Since M is  $\eta$ -Einstein,  $t^2=\frac{k}{n-k-1}$  (See Key lemma). Thus  $t^2=1$ . Hence M has three distinct constant principal curvatures  $\pm 1$  with multiplicity n-1 and 0 with multiplicity 1. This implies that h=0 and HX=-X for any  $X\in \xi^{\perp}$ . By easy computation we can verify the equation (27) for any  $X,Y,Z\in \xi^{\perp}$ , so that the manifold M of type  $A_2$  of radius  $\frac{\pi}{A}$  satisfies (26).

Let M be of type B (which is a tube of radius r, where  $\cot^2 2r = n-2$  and  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ ). Let  $t = \cot r = \sqrt{n-1} + \sqrt{n-2}$ . Then M has three distinct constant principal curvatures  $r_1 = \frac{1+t}{1-t}$  with multiplicity n-1,  $r_2 = \frac{t-1}{t+1}$  with multiplicity n-1 and  $\alpha = t-\frac{1}{t}$  with multiplicity 1 ([13]). Note that the following:

$$r_1 + r_2 = -\frac{4}{\alpha}, \ r_1 r_2 = -1,$$
  $h = \alpha - \frac{4(n-1)}{\alpha},$   $\alpha = 2\sqrt{n-2}.$ 

Let  $X \in V_{r_1}$ , Y and  $Z \in V_{r_2}$ . Then by Proposition we see that  $\phi X \in V_{r_2}$ ,  $\phi Y$  and  $\phi Z \in V_{r_1}$ . Putting  $X = \phi Y$ , |X| = 1 and  $g(X, \phi Z) = 0$ , we get

$$(R(X,Y)S)Z = -2(r_1 - r_2)(h - r_1 - r_2)\phi Z$$
  
= -2(r\_1 - r\_2)\frac{\alpha^2 - 4(n - 2)}{\alpha}\phi Z.

Moreover, we have

$$(R(X,Y)S)Z = 0 for X, Y, Z \in V_{r_1} \text{ or } X, Y, Z \in V_{r_2},$$

$$(R(X,Y)S)Z = 0 for X, Z \in V_{r_1}, Y(\perp \phi X, \phi Z) \in V_{r_2} \text{ or } X, Z \in V_{r_2}, Y(\perp \phi X, \phi Z) \in V_{r_2}$$

A similar computation asserts that the real hypersurface M of tye B in the case of  $\alpha = 2\sqrt{n-2}$  satisfies (26). This proves Theorem.

#### References

- [1] T. Cecil and P. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269(1982), 481-498
- [2] T. Gotoh, Geodesic hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Tsukuba J. Math. 18(1994), 207-215
- [3] U. H. Ki, H. Nakagawa and Y. J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces with harmonic Weyl tensor of a complex space form, Hiroshima Math. J. 20(1990), 93-102.
- [4] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296(1986), 137-149
- [5] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Math. Z. 202(1989), 299-311
- [6] M. Kon, Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in complex space form, J. Diff. Geom. 14(1979), 339-354
- [7] Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space J. Math. Soc. Japan 26(1976), 529-540
- [8] Y. Matsuyama, A characterization of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, J. Institute Sci. and Eng., Chuo Vniv. 2(1996), 11-13
- [9] Y. Matsuyama, A characterization of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space II, J. Institute Sci. and Eng., Chuo Univ. 3(1997), 1-3
- [10] Y. Matsuyama, A characterization of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space III, Yokohama Math. J. 46(1999), 119-126
- [11] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212(1975), 355-364
- [12] R. Takagi, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10(1973), 355-264
- [13] R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27(1975), 43-53, 507-516

Department of Mathematics Chuo University 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, Japan