A note on a geometric version of the Siegel formula for quadratic forms of signature (2, 2k)

By Takayuki Oda

(Received October 5, 1983)

Introduction

In [6], as a special case of Theorem 1, the author proved the following:

Let Q be an even integral quadratic form of signature (2, q) with level N, let D be the symmetric domain attached to Q, and let Γ_Q be the group of proper units for Q. Then there are elliptic cusp forms of weight (2+q)/2 with respect to a certain congruence subgroup of level N, whose Fourier coefficients are period integrals of holomorphic q-forms on the modular variety $\Gamma_Q \backslash D$.

In this note, we prove a variant of the Siegel formula, which fits in with the above result:

There is an Eisenstein series of level N of weight (2+q)/2, whose Fourier coefficients are period integrals of a certain $\left(\frac{q}{2}, \frac{q}{2}\right)$ type differential form on $\Gamma_Q \backslash D$.

Though our result is substantially a paraphrase of the result of Siegel [10], the author believes that there is some meaning to write it in this form, if one is interested in the Hodge components of the dual cocycles of certain cycles $\Gamma_{\ell} \setminus X_{\ell}$ of $\Gamma_{Q} \setminus D$ obtained by embedding (cf. [5]).

Notation

Z is the ring of integers, and Q (resp. R, resp. C) is the field of the rational (resp. real, resp. complex) numbers. Throughout in this paper, n denotes the number of the variables of a quadratic form Q, SO(Q) the special orthogonal group for Q over the real number field and G the connected component of the identity of it. We write by D the symmetric space G/K, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. If Q is of signature (2+, q-)(2+q=n), then D has a G-invariant complex structure.

§ 1 Geometric Preliminaries

1. 1 G-invariant forms on D

Let G be $SO_0(2, q)$ and K a maximal compact subgroup of G. Denote by $\mathfrak g$ and $\mathfrak f$, the Lie algebras of G and K, respectively. Let $\mathfrak g=\mathfrak f\oplus\mathfrak p$ be a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak p$, and let k_0 be an element of the center of K such that $J=ad(k_0)|\mathfrak p$ defines the complex structure

on \mathfrak{p} . Let \mathfrak{p}^{\pm} be the eigenspaces with respect to J in $\mathfrak{p}_{C} = \mathfrak{p} \bigotimes_{R} C$ with eigenvalues $\pm \sqrt{-1}$, respectively.

Let us consider the bigraded relative cohomology $H^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; C)$ of \mathfrak{g} mod \mathfrak{k} , with coefficients in a trivial \mathfrak{g} -molule C (cf. [2], Chap. I § 1, and Chap. II § 2).

Then the space of G-invariant (p, q) type differential forms on the Hermitian symmetric domain D=G/K, is isomorphic to $H^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; C)$ (cf. [2], Chap. I § 1.6). Considering similarly for the compact dual \mathfrak{g}^c of \mathfrak{g} defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{p}$$

and noting a natural isomorphism

$$H^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{t};C) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^c,\mathfrak{t};C),$$

we can show that the space of G-invariant (p, q) type differential forms on D, is isomorphic to the space of G^c -invariant (p, q) type differential forms on the compact Hermitian symmetric space $V = G^c/K$, where G^c is the compact dual of G. Moreover, by the theorem of de Rham, the latter space is isomorphic to the (p, q) type cohomology group $H^{p,q}(V)$ of the projective variety V.

Since V is a complex quadric in our case, a result of Cartan [3] shows the following proposition.

Proposition (1. 1).

There exists (1, 1) type G-invariant real form ω on D, which is unique up to constant multiple. Moreover if we put q=2k, there exist two linearly independent G-invariant real (k, k) type forms ξ and η on D, such that

$$\xi = {\stackrel{k}{\wedge}} \omega$$
, $\eta = (-1)^k \xi \xi$, and $\xi = 0$.

1. 2 Totally real submanifolds X_{ℓ}

Let Q be a real quadratic form on $L_R = \mathbb{R}^n$, of signature (2+, q-) with q=n-2, and let G be the connected component of the identity in the real orthogonal group SO(Q).

Let ω , ξ , and η be the differential forms on D=G/K obtained by Proposition (1. 1) of the previous section. Let ℓ be an element of L_R with $Q(\ell)>0$. Put $G_\ell=\{g\in G|g(\ell)=\ell\}$. Then, by the theorem of Witt, G_ℓ is isomorphic to $SO_0(1, q)$ for any ℓ with $Q(\ell)>0$. Choose a point x of D, and define X_ℓ as G_ℓ -orbit of x: $X_\ell=G_\ell(x)$. Let K_x be the isotropy subgroup of G at x. Then

$$X_{\ell} \simeq G_{\ell} / G_{\ell} \cap K_x \simeq SO_0(1, q)/SO(q).$$

Hence X_{ℓ} is also a symmetric space of **BD** type of real dimension q.

LEMMA (1. 2).

Restrict ω , ξ , and η to X_{ℓ} . Then we have

$$\omega|_{X_{\ell}}=0$$
, accordingly $\xi|_{X_{\ell}}=0$,

and $\eta|_{X_\ell}$ is an everywhere non-vanishing G_ℓ -invariant q-form on X_ℓ . Especially $\eta|_{X_\ell}$

defines a G_{ℓ} -invariant measure on X_{ℓ} .

PROOF. Evidently, the *G*-invariance of ω , ξ , and η implies the G_{ℓ} -invariance of $\omega|_{X_{\ell}}$, $\xi|_{X_{\ell}}$, and $\eta|_{X_{\ell}}$, respectively. Therefore it suffices to show the vanishing or non-vanishing of $\omega|_{X_{\ell}}$, $\xi|_{X_{\ell}}$, and $\eta|_{X_{\ell}}$ at one point x of D.

Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G. Let \mathfrak{p}_{ℓ} and \mathfrak{k}_{ℓ} be the Lie algebras of G_{ℓ} and $G_{\ell} \cap K$, respectively. It is easy to check that there exist Cartan decompositions:

$$g_{\ell} = f_{\ell} \oplus p_{\ell}$$
, and $g = f \oplus p$,

such that $\mathfrak{t}_{\ell} \subset \mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\ell} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. And we can easily verify that

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\ell} \cup J\mathfrak{p}_{\ell} = \{0\}$$
, and $\mathfrak{p}_{\ell} \oplus J\mathfrak{p}_{\ell} = \mathfrak{p}$,

for the complex structure J (cf. [4], pp. 238-239, and 527). Therefore X_{ℓ} is a totally real submanifold. Namely X_{ℓ} is defined in D, locally by the equations:

$$Im \ x_1 = 0, \ldots, Im \ z_q = 0,$$

where (z_1, \ldots, z_q) is a holomorphic local coordinate at a point $x \in X_{\ell}$ of D.

Take a basis of \mathfrak{p}_{C}^{+} and ist conjugate basis of \mathfrak{p}_{C}^{-} , such that with respect to these bases the action of the factor SO(q) of $K \simeq SO(2) \times SO(q)$ is given by the standard one, and the action of the SO(2) factor of K is given by multiplication by $\exp(i\theta)$ ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}$).

Let $\{dz_1, \ldots, dz_q\}$ be a basis of the holomorphic cotangent space of D at x, corresponding to the dual basis of the above basis of \mathfrak{p}_C^+ by means of the natural identification of \mathfrak{p}_C^+ with the holomorphic tangent space at x. Then ω is represented locally at x by

$$\omega = (\text{a real number}) \times \sqrt{-1} \{dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1 + \dots + dz_q \wedge d\overline{z}_q\}.$$

Along X_{ℓ} , we have $d\overline{z}_1 = dz_1, \ldots, d\overline{z}_q = dz_q$, because X_{ℓ} is totally real. Hence $\omega | x_{\ell} = 0$ at x, and accordingly over all X_{ℓ} . Consequently, $\xi | x_{\ell} = \mathcal{N}(\omega | x_{\ell}) = 0$. It is easy to show that a local presentation of η is given by

$$\eta = (\text{a real number}) \times \sum_{\substack{I \cup J = \{1, \dots, q\}, \\ I \cap J = \phi}} \omega_{IJ} dz_{I} \wedge d\overline{z}_{J},$$

where the summation runs over all partitions of the set $\{1, ..., q\}$ into two ordered subsets I and J with cardinality $\frac{q}{2} = k$, and dz_I (resp. $d\overline{z}_I$) is defined by

$$dz_I = dz_{i_1} \wedge dz_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_k}$$
 (resp. $d\overline{z}_J = d\overline{z}_{j_1} \wedge d\overline{z}_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge d\overline{z}_{j_k}$)

for $I=(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ (resp. $J=(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_k)$). Moreover $\omega_{IJ}=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\begin{matrix} 1, \ldots, k, k+1, \ldots, q \\ i_1, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_k \end{matrix}\right)$ is the signature of permutation. (See [3].) We can choose a local coordinate $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q\}$ of X_ℓ such that $dz_i=\overline{dz_i}=dx_i$ ($i=1,\ldots,q$). Hence

$$\eta = (\text{an non-zero real number}) \times dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \dots \wedge dx_q \neq 0$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Remark (1.3). Put $\Gamma_{\ell} = \Gamma_{Q} \cap G_{\ell}$.

 $\eta | X_{\ell}$ is G_{ℓ} -invariant, and a fortiori Γ_{ℓ} -invariant. Thus an integral

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma_{\ell}\setminus X_{\ell}} \eta$$

is defined. On the other hand, the groups G_{ℓ} and $G_{\ell} \cap K$ are unimodular, because G_{ℓ} is semisimple and $G_{\ell} \cap K$ is compact. Therefore there exists a G_{ℓ} -invariant measure on X_{ℓ} , which is unique up to constant multiple. Accordingly, it is a constant multiple of the measure which is obtained by means of the differential form $\eta | \chi_{\ell}$. Thus

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\ell}\setminus X_{\ell}} \eta$$

is a constant multiple of the volume of $\Gamma_{\ell} \backslash X_{\ell}$ with respect to a G_{ℓ} -invariant measure, which is known to be finite (cf. Siegel [13], for example).

§ 2 The main result

Let Q be an even integral quadratic form on $L=\mathbb{Z}^n$, with signature (2+, 2k-) $(n=2+2k, \text{ and } k\geq 1)$. And when k=1, we assume that Q is not a kernel form. Let h be an element of L^* , the dual lattice of L. For any rational number r=a/b with (a, b)=1 and b>0, we put

$$\gamma_h(r) = i^{(1-h)} |\det Q|^{-1/2} b^{-n/2} \sum_{\ell \in bL/L} \exp [\pi i Q(\ell + h)].$$

Let $M_w(\Gamma(N))$ be the space of elliptic cusp forms of weight w with respect to the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$, and let $M_w(\Gamma_0(N), (\frac{\Delta_Q}{M}))$ be the space of elliptic cusp forms of weight w with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$ with the multiplicator $(\frac{\Delta_Q}{M})$. Then we have the following theorem

THEOREM (Siegel formula).

For any point z of the complex upper half plane H, we have

$$E^{0}_{k+1}(z;h) = \delta_{h} + c(\eta) \sum_{\substack{\ell \in L^* \bmod \Gamma_{Q}, \\ \ell \equiv h \bmod L, \ Q(\ell) > 0}} \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{\ell} \setminus Z_{\ell}} \eta \right\} \exp \left[\pi i Q(\ell) z\right]$$
$$= \delta_{h} + \sum_{r} \gamma_{h}(r) (z-r)^{-n/2}.$$

And $E^0_{k+1}(z;h)$ defined by this equality belongs to $M_{k+1}(\Gamma(N))$, where N is the level of Q. Especially when $h \in L$, the Eisenstein series $E^0_{k+1}(z;0)$ belongs to $M_{k+1}(\Gamma_0(N),(\frac{\Delta Q}{2}))$. Here the summation is taken over all rational numbers r. (When n=4, the sun of r's with fixed denominator is considered first, and next we move denominators.) The number $c(\eta)$ is a constant depending only on η , $\delta_h=1$ or 0, according as $h\in L$ or $h\notin L$.

2. 1. Weil Representation.

First we re call basic facts on Weil representation (cf. Weil [14])

Let Q be a quadratic form defined over \mathbb{R}^n , of signature (p+, q-). We assume that q is even, and for simplicity that p is also even. Then n is even.

Since n is even, in view of the results of Saito [7] on a trivialization of projective Weil representation of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$, we can define a representation w_Q of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ on the Schwartz-Bruhat space $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ over \mathbf{R}^n as follows.

For any f of $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we put

$$[w_Q(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}) f](x) = a^{n/2} f(ax) \qquad (a \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$[w_Q(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) f](x) = \exp [\pi i Q(x) b] f(x) \qquad (b \in \mathbb{R}),$$

$$[w_Q(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) f](x) = i^{(p-q)/2} |\det Q|^{n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp [2\pi i (x, y)_Q] f(y) dy,$$

where dy is the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , and $(x, y)_Q$ is a symmetric bilinear form associated to Q defined by

$$(x, y)_Q = \frac{1}{2}Q(x+y) - \frac{1}{2}Q(x) - \frac{1}{2}Q(y)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, if $c \neq 0$, we have

$$[w_Q(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix})f](x) = (-i)^{(p-q)/2}(sgn\ c)^{(p-q)/2}|c|^{-n/2}|\det Q|^{1/2}$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \exp\left[\pi i \{ac^{-1}Q(\mathbf{x}) - 2c^{-1}(x,y)_Q + c^{-1}\ dQ(y)\}\right]f(y)dy$$

2.2. Poisson summation formula

We fix a quadratic form Q on $L=\mathbb{Z}^n$ with values in even integers $2\mathbb{Z}$, of signature (p+, q-). Put $L=\mathbb{Z}^n$ and

$$L^* = \{h \in \mathbf{Q}^n | (h, l)_o \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for all } l \in \mathbf{Z}^n\}.$$

Then L is a subgroup of finite index in L^* .

Let h be an element of L^* . Then we put

$$\theta((f, h) = \sum_{\ell \in I} f(\ell + h)$$

for any function $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Especially when $h \in L$, we write

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{\ell \in I} f(\ell)$$
.

Proposition (2.1).

(i) For any
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$$
, we have

$$\theta(w_Q(\gamma)f, h) = \sum_{K \in L^*/L} c(h, k)_{\gamma} \theta(f, k),$$

where $c(h, k)_T$ is a constant given by

$$c(h, k)_{\tau} = \begin{cases} \delta_{k, ah} a^{n/2} \exp \left[\pi i ab Q(h)\right], & \text{if } c = 0, \\ (-i)^{(p-q)/2} (\operatorname{sgn} c)^{(p-q)/2} |\det Q|^{-1/2} |c|^{-n/2} \\ & \sum_{r \in L/cL} \exp \left[\pi i \left\{ac^{-1} Q(h+r) - 2c^{-1}(h+r, k)Q + c^{-1}dQ(k)\right\}\right], & \text{if } c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here $\delta_{*,*}$ is the Kronecker delta function defined on L^*/L .

(ii) Let N be the level of Q. Namely, N is the least positive integer such that NQ^{-1} is also a quadratic form on L* with values in even integers (especially we have $NL^* \subset L$).

Then for
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$$
 with $c \equiv 0 \mod N$,

$$c(h, k)_{\tau} = \begin{cases} \delta_{k, ah} \left(\frac{\Delta_{Q}}{d} \right) \exp[\pi i a b Q(h)], & \text{if } d > 0, \\ \delta_{k, ah} (-1)^{s} \left(\frac{\Delta_{Q}}{|d|} \right) \exp[\pi i a b Q(h)], & \text{if } d < 0. \end{cases}$$

Here s=(p-q)/2, $\Delta_Q=(-1)^{n/2}|\det Q|=(-1)^{n/2}\det Q$, and $\left(\frac{\Delta_Q}{*}\right)$ is the Jacobi symbol.

PROOF. The part (i) is identical with the part (i) of Proposition 1.6 of Shintani [9]. (Note that our $c(h, k)_r$ is different from $c(h, k)_r$ of [9].) So we omit the proof.

Now we show the part (ii). Following the argument of [9], we can check that $c(h, k)_7 = 0$ if $k \neq ah \mod L$. Let us calculate $c(h, k)_7$. Put k = ah, and assume $c \neq 0$. Then, in view of ad - bc = 1, we have

$$ac^{-1}Q(h+r)-2c^{-1}(h+r,k)Q+c^{-1}dQ(k)=ac^{-1}Q(r)+abQ(h).$$

Therefore, the formula of $c(h, k)_r$ of the part (i) reads

$$c(h, ah)_r = (-i)^s (sign\ c)^s |det\ Q|^{-1/2} |c|^{-n/2} \sum_{r \in L/cL} \exp[\pi i abQ(h)] \sum_{r \in L/cL} \exp[\pi i \{ a \} Q(r) \}].$$

By the reciprocity law of Gaussian sum (Satz 2 of Siegel [12]),

$$\begin{aligned} &(-i)^{s}(sgn\ c)^{s}|\det Q|^{-1/2}|c|^{-n/2}\sum_{r\in L/cL}\exp\left[\pi i\left\{\frac{a}{c}Q(r)\right\}\right] \\ &=|\det Q|^{-1}(sgna)^{s}|a|^{-n/2}\sum_{r\in L/aQL}\exp\left[\pi i\left\{-\frac{c}{a}Q^{-1}(r)\right\}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here Q of L/aQL is a matrix representing the bilinear form $(,)_Q$. Since (a, N)=(a, detQ)=1, we can write $r=Qr_1+ar_2$ with $r_1\in L/aL$ and $r_2\in L/QL$. Therefore the last sum of the above formula is reduced to

$$\sum_{r_1 \in L/aL} \exp\left[\pi i \left(-\frac{c}{a}\right) Q(r_1)\right] \sum_{r_2 \in L/QL} \exp\left[\pi i \left(-ca\right) Q^{-1}(r_2)\right],$$

which is equal to

$$|\det Q| \sum_{r_1 \in L/aL} \exp \left[\pi i \left(-\frac{c}{a} \right) Q(r_1) \right].$$

because $cQ^{-1}(r_2)$ is even integer by the assumption N|c.

Thus

$$c(h, ah)_r = (sgn a)^s |a|^{-n/2} \sum_{r_1 \in L/aL} \exp\left[\pi i \left(-\frac{c}{a}Q\right)(r_1)\right].$$

A standard calculation of the last Gaussian sum shows the part (ii) of the proposition.

2. 3. Intertwining property of certain test functions

Let Q be the quadratic form considered in the previous section. Let R be a minimal majorant of Hermite of Q. We denote by H (resp. H^-) the complex upper (resp. lower) half plane. For any points $z \in H$ and $\zeta \in H^-$, we define a function $f(x; z, \zeta; Q, R)$ of $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by

$$f(x; z, \zeta; Q, R) = \exp\left[\pi i\left\{Q(x) - \frac{z+\zeta}{2} + R(x) - \frac{z-\zeta}{2}\right\}\right].$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then f has the following intertwining property.

Proposition (2.2).

For any
$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$$
,

$$[w_Q(g)f](x;z,\zeta;Q,R)=(cz+d)^{-p/2}(c\zeta+d)^{-q/2}f(x;\frac{az+b}{cz+d},\frac{a\delta+b}{c\delta+d};Q,R).$$

PROOF. First, note that it suffices to check this for the generators of $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$. For $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, the equality of our proposition follows immediately from the definition of w_Q . Let us discuss the case $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Both sides are holomorphic in z and ζ . Therefore it suffices to show our equality when z=iy and $\zeta=-iv$ (y, v>0). Moreover, by applying a linear transformation on the variables x, we may assume that

$$Q(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots \ x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \dots - x_n^2$$

and

$$R(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2 + x_{p+1}^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$

Then

$$f(x; z, \zeta; Q R) = \exp[-\pi \{ \sum_{i=1}^{p} x_i^2 \} y - \pi \{ \sum_{i=p+1}^{n} x_i^2 \} v].$$

In this case, the formula in question is well-known.

2. 4. Theta transformation formulae

Let Q be a quadratic form given in Section 2. 2, and let R be a minimal majorant of

Q. For $z \in H$, and $h \in L^*/L$, we put

$$\begin{split} \theta(z;\,Q,\,R;\,h) &= \sum_{\substack{\ell \,\in L^* \\ \ell \,\equiv h \bmod L}} f(\ell;\,z,\,\bar{z};\,Q,\,R) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\ell \,\in L^* \\ \ell \,\equiv h \bmod L}} \exp\Bigl[\pi i\Bigl\{Q(\ell)\frac{z+z}{2} + R(\ell)\frac{z-\bar{z}}{2}\Bigr\}\Bigr]. \end{split}$$

Since $f(x; z, \overline{z}; Q, R)$ belongs to $S(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as a function in x, $\theta(z; Q, R; h)$ converges absolutely on any compact subset of H.

Combining Proposition (2.1) with Proposition (2.2), we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION (2. 3). (i) For any
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$$
, we have
$$\theta \left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}; Q, R; h \right) = (cz+d)^{p/2} (cz+d)^{q/2} \sum_{k \in L^*/L} c(h, k)_{\gamma} \theta(z; Q, R; k),$$

where $c(h, k)_{\tau}$ is a constant depending only on h, k, and γ , which is defined in Proposition (2. 1).

(ii) Especially when h=0, for any $\gamma=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ with $c\equiv 0 \mod N$, we have $\theta\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}; Q, R; 0\right)=(cz+d)^{p/2}(c\overline{z}+d)^{q/2} \varepsilon_Q(d)\theta(z; Q, R; 0),$

where

$$\varepsilon_{Q}(d) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Delta_{Q}}{d}\right), & \text{if } d > 0, \\ (-1)^{s} \left(\frac{\Delta_{Q}}{|d|}\right), & \text{if } d < 0. \end{cases}$$

2. 5. The Siegel formula

Let X be the space of all minimal majorants of Q, on which G acts transitively. Then X is isomorphic to $G/K \cong SO_0(p, q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$, where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. Thus X is a symmetric space of BD type (cf. Borel [1], Helgason [4]).

Now let us recall the main result of Siegel [11]. Let $d\mu(R)$ be a G-invariant measure on X, which is unique up to constant multiple, where R is a point of X. Let v be the volume

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}\backslash X}d\mu(R),$$

which is known to be finite.

In [11], Siegel considered the integral:

$$I_{\theta}(z; h) = v^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_{Q} \setminus X} \theta(z; Q, R; h) d\mu(R),$$

and proved the following formula.

THEOREM (2. 4). (Satz 1 of [11])

$$I_{\theta}(z;h) = \delta_h + \sum_{r} \gamma_h(r)(z-r)^{-p/2}(\bar{z}-r)^{-q/2}.$$

Here r is taken over all rational numbers. When n=4, the summation is first taken over r with a fixed denominator b, and next the sum over all positive b.

2. 6. A differential operator

For any differentiable function F(z) on H, we define a differential operator K of Siegel [10] by

$$\{K(F)\}(z) = (z-z)^{1-p/2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^{q/2} \{(z-\overline{z})^{n/2-1} F(z)\}.$$

LEMMA (2.5).

Let Δ be a subgroup of $SL^2(\mathbf{R})$. Assume that a differentiable function F(z) on H satisfies

$$F\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^{p/2}(c\bar{z}+d)^{q/2}F(z)$$

for any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of Δ . Then the function K(F) satisfies

$$\{K(F)\}\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^{n/2}\{K(F)\}(z)$$

for any $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of Δ .

PROOF. For any $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \subseteq SL_2(\mathbf{R})$, we put

$$\varphi(g) = (ci+d)^{-p/2}(-ci+d)^{-q/2}F(\frac{ai+b}{ci+d}).$$

Then $\varphi(g)$ is a left Δ -invariant function on $SL_2(\mathbf{R})$, and satisfies

$$\varphi((g \cdot r(\theta)) = \varphi(g) \exp[i\nu\theta],$$

for any $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$, where

$$r(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\nu = (p-q)/2$.

Put

$$g_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} y^{1/2} & xy^{-1/2} \\ 0 & y^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \in SL_{2}(\mathbf{R}), \quad (z = x + \sqrt{-1}y)$$

and $f(z) = \varphi(g_z)$.

Then we have

$$f(z) = y^{(p+q)/4} F(z)$$
.

Now we consider a differential operator \overline{W} corresponding to an element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & +i \\ +i & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{I}_{2}(C)$, which acts on differentiable functions on $SL_{2}(\mathbf{R})$ (cf. Weil [15], p. 76). Then by a result of [15] (see, p. 81), $(\overline{W}^{r}\varphi)(g_{z})$ is given by

$$(4i)^{r} y^{1-\nu/2} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial z^{r}} \left[y^{r-1+\nu/2} f \right]$$

$$= (4i)^r y^{1-\nu/2} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z^r} [y^{r-1+p/2} F].$$

Noting that $(W^r\varphi)(g)$ satisfies

$$(\overline{W}^r\varphi)(g \cdot r(\theta)) = (\overline{W}^r\varphi)(g) \exp[i(\nu+2r)\theta],$$

for any $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$.

Put
$$r=q/2$$
. Then

$$(\overline{W}^r\varphi)(g \cdot r(\theta)) = (\overline{W}^r\varphi)(g) \exp \left[i\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\theta\right].$$

Therefore the function

$$y^{-n/4}(\overline{W}^r\varphi)(g_z),$$

which is a constant multiple of K(F), satisfies the conclusion of our proposition.

2.7. Proof of the main theorem.

Assume that p=2 and q=2k. Let us recall the integral $I_{\theta}(z; h)$. Then,

$$K(\delta_h) = \delta_h(z - \bar{z})^{1 - p/2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{q/2} (z - \bar{z})^{n/2 - 1}$$
$$= \delta_h \cdot k!$$

and

$$K((z-r)^{-p/2}(\bar{z}-r)^{-q/2}) = (\bar{z}-z)^{1-p/2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{q/2} \left\{ \frac{(z-\bar{z})^{n/2-1}}{(z-r)^{p/2}(\bar{z}-r)^{q/2}} \right\}$$

$$= k! (z-r)^{-n/2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{1}{k!}K(I_{\theta}(z;h)) = \delta_h + \sum_r \gamma_h(r)(z-r)^{-n/2}.$$

Let us evaluate the integral $I_{\theta}(z;h)$ in a different way. Fix a minimal majorant R_0 of Q, and let K be the maximal compact subgroup of G which is the stabilizer of R_0 in G. Let us identify X=D with G/K and normalize the Haar measures of G and G by G and G are G and G are G and G and G and G are G and G and G and G are G and G and G and G and G are G and G and G are G and G and G are G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G are G are G are G are G and G are G are G are G are G are G and G are G are G are G and G are G and G are G

$$\begin{split} I_{\theta}(z; h) &\equiv v^{-1} \!\! \int_{\varGamma Q \backslash X} \!\! \sum_{\substack{\ell \ \in L^* \\ \ell \equiv h \bmod L}} \!\! \exp \! \left[\pi i \! \left\{ \! Q \left(\ell \right) \!\! - \!\! \frac{z \! + \! \bar{z}}{2} \! + \! R \left(\ell \right) \!\! - \!\! \frac{z \! - \! \bar{z}}{2} \right\} \right] \!\! d\mu(R) \\ &= \!\! v^{-1} \!\! \sum_{\substack{\ell \ \in L^* \bmod L_Q \\ \ell \equiv h \bmod L}} \!\! \! \exp \left[\pi i Q(\ell) x \right] \!\! \int_{\varGamma \ell \backslash G_{\ell}} dg_{\ell} \int_{G_{\ell} \backslash G / K} \!\! d\mathring{g} \exp \left[-\pi R_0 (\mathring{g}^{-1}(\ell)) y \right] \!, \end{split}$$

where z=x+iy, and dg_{ℓ} and dg are the Haar measure of G_{ℓ} and the quasi-invariant measure on $G_{\ell} \setminus G$ such that $dg=dg_{\ell} dg$.

Put

$$I_{\ell} = \exp[\pi i \, Q(\ell) x] \int_{\Gamma_{\ell} \setminus G_{\ell}} dg_{\ell} \int_{G_{\ell} \setminus G/K} d\mathring{g} \exp[-\pi R_{0}(\mathring{g}^{-1}(\ell)) y]$$

for each $\ell \in L^*$, and let us evaluate it.

Case (i). When $\ell=0$, $G_{\ell}=G$ and $I_{\ell}=v^{-1}v=1$.

Cace (ii). When $\ell \neq 0$ and $Q(\ell) = 0$, we have

$$I_{\ell} = c(\ell)(z - \bar{z})^{-k}$$

with some constant $c(\ell)$ depending on ℓ .

Case (iii). When $Q(\ell) < 0$, we have

$$I_{\ell} = c'(\ell)(z - \overline{z})^{-1} \exp \left[\pi i \, Q(\ell) \, \, \overline{z} \, \, \right]$$

by a computation similar to that of case (iv). Here $c'(\ell)$ is a constant depending on ℓ . Case (iv). When $Q(\ell) > 0$, we consider

$$J_{\ell} \!=\! \! \int_{\varGamma\ell\backslash G_{\ell}} \left\{\! \int_{G_{\ell}\backslash G/K} \exp[-\pi (R_0 \!-\! Q)(\overset{\bullet}{g}^{-1}(\ell))y] d\overset{\bullet}{g} \right\}\! dg_{\ell} \;.$$

Then $I_{\ell} = J_{\ell} \exp \left[\pi i Q(\ell) z\right]$. By a computation similar to (4.36)–(4.43) of § 4 of [6], we have

$$\int_{G_{\ell}\backslash G/K} \exp\left[-\pi (R_0 - Q)(\mathring{g}^{-1}(\ell))y\right] d\mathring{g} = cQ(\ell)^{-k} y^{-k},$$

with a constant c independent of ℓ . On the other hand, we can check readily that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\ell}\backslash G_{\ell}} dg_{\ell} = c' \int_{\Gamma_{\ell}\backslash X_{\ell}} \eta$$

with a constant c' independent of ℓ , comparing the measure forms of the Lie algebras of G, G_{ℓ} , K, and $K \cap G_{\ell}$. Hence

$$I_{\ell} = c^{\prime\prime} Q(\ell)^{-k} (z - \bar{z})^{-k} \left(\int_{\Gamma^{\ell} \setminus X_{\ell}} \eta \right) \exp[\pi i Q(\ell) z].$$

Now, apply the operator K to each I_{ℓ} . Then $K(I_{\ell})=0$, if $Q(\ell)<0$, or if $Q(\ell)=0$ with $\ell\neq 0$. If $Q(\ell)>0$, we have

$$K(I_{\ell}) = c(\eta)k! \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{\ell} \setminus X_{\ell}} \eta \right\} \exp \left[\pi i Q(\ell)z\right].$$

Therefore, after justification of the change of order of summation and integration in the same way as in [6], we obtain our main theorem.

References

- [1] Borel, A. Introduction aux groupes arithmétiques. Paris: Hermann. 1969.
- [2] Borel, A. and Wallach, N. Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroup, and representations of reductive groups. Annals of Math. Studies. Princeton Univ. Press. 1980.
- [3] Cartan, E. Sur les proprietes topologiques des quadriques complexes. Publ. math. Univ. Beglrade, t. 1, 57-74 (1932)=OEuvres, completes, partie I, vol. II, Paris: Gauthier-Villars. 1952.
- [4] Helgason, S. Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces. New York, San Francisco, London: Academic Press. 1978.
- [5] Hirzebruch, F. and Zagier, D. Intersection numbers of curves on Hilbert modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus. Inventiones math. 36, 57-113 (1976).
- [6] Oda, T. On modular forms associated with indifinite quadratic forms of signature (2, n-2). Math. Ann. 231, (1977).
- [7] Saito, M. Representations unitaires des groupes symplectiques. J. Math Soc. of Japan 24, 232–251 (1972).
- [8] Schoeneberg, B. Das Verhalten von merfachen Thetareichen bei Modulsubstitutionen. Math. Ann. 116, 511-523 (1939).
- [9] Shintani, T. On construction of holomorphic cusp forms of half integht. Nagoya Math. J. 54, 83-126 (1975).
- [10] Siegel, C. L. Indefinite quadratische Formen und Modulfunktionen. Courant Anniversary Volume, 395-406 (1948)=Ges. Abh. Bd. III. 55, pp. 85-91. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag. 1966.
- [11] Siegel, C. L. Indefinite quadratische Formen und Funktionentheorie I. Math. Ann. 124, 17-54 (1951)=Ges. Abh. Bd. III. 58, 105-142.
- [12] Siegel, C. L. Über das quadratische Reziprozitatsgesetz in algebraischen Zahlkörpern. Nachrichten der Acad. der Wissenschaften in Göttingen. Mathematischphysikalische Klasse, Nr. 1, 1-16 (1960)=Ges. Abh. Bd. III. 74, pp. 334-349.
- [13] Siegel, C. L. Einheiten quadratischen Formen. Abh. Math.=Ges. Abh. Hansischen Univ. 13, 209-239 (1940)=Ges. Abh. Bd. II. 33, PP. 138-168.
- [14] Weil, A. Sur certains groupes d'operateurs unitaires. Acta. Math. 111, 193-211 (1694).
- [15] Weil, A. Dirichlet Series and Automorphic Forms. Lecture Notes in Math. 189 Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag. 1971.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science NIIGATA UNIVERSITY Niigata. 950-21, Japan