

# On the Folkman Number $f(2, 3, 4)$

Andrzej Dudek and Vojtěch Rödl

## CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Computer-Assisted Proof of  $f(2, 3, 4) < 1000$
- 3. Concluding Remarks
- Acknowledgments
- References

---

Let  $f(2, 3, 4)$  denote the smallest integer  $n$  such that there exists a  $K_4$ -free graph of order  $n$  for which any 2-coloring of its edges yields at least one monochromatic triangle. It is well known that such a number must exist. For a long time, the best known upper bound, provided by J. Spencer, was  $f(2, 3, 4) < 3 \cdot 10^9$ . Recently, L. Lu announced that  $f(2, 3, 4) < 10,000$ . In this note, we will give a computer-assisted proof showing that  $f(2, 3, 4) < 1000$ . To prove this, we will generalize an idea of Goodman's, giving a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph  $G$  to yield a monochromatic triangle for every edge coloring.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{F}(r, k, l)$ ,  $k < l$ , be a family of  $K_l$ -free graphs with the property that if  $G \in \mathcal{F}(r, k, l)$ , then every  $r$ -coloring of the edges of  $G$  must yield at least one monochromatic copy of  $K_k$ . It was shown in [Folkman 70] that  $\mathcal{F}(2, k, l) \neq \emptyset$ . The general case, i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}(r, k, l) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , was settled in the positive by J. Nešetřil and the second author [Nešetřil and Rödl 76].

Let  $f(r, k, l) = \min_{G \in \mathcal{F}(r, k, l)} |V(G)|$ . The problem of determining the numbers  $f(r, k, l)$  in general includes the classical Ramsey numbers and thus is not easy. In this note we focus on the case  $r = 2$  and  $k = 3$ . We will write  $G \rightarrow \Delta$  and say that  $G$  *arrows a triangle* if every 2-coloring of  $G$  yields a monochromatic triangle. Since the Ramsey number  $R(3, 3)$  is equal to 6, clearly  $f(2, 3, l) = 6$  for  $l > 6$ .

The value of  $f(2, 3, 6) = 8$  was determined by R. Graham [Graham 68], and  $f(2, 3, 5) = 15$  by K. Piwakowski, S. Radziszowski, and S. Urbański [Piwakowski et al. 99]. In the remaining case, the upper bounds on  $f(2, 3, 4)$  obtained in [Folkman 70] and [Nešetřil and Rödl 76] are extremely large (iterated tower). Consequently, in 1975, P. Erdős [Erdős 75] offered \$100 for proving or disproving that  $f(2, 3, 4) < 10^{10}$ . Applying Goodman's idea [Goodman 59] (of counting triangles in a graph and in its complement) for random graphs, P. Frankl and the second author [Frankl and Rödl 86] came relatively close to the desired bound, showing that  $f(2, 3, 4) < 8 \times 10^{11}$ .

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 05C55, 05C35

Keywords: Folkman numbers, generalized Ramsey theory, extremal problems

This result was improved by J. Spencer [Spencer 88], who refined the argument and proved  $f(2, 3, 4) < 3 \times 10^9$ , giving a positive answer to Erdős’s question. Subsequently, F. Chung and R. Graham [Chung and Graham 98] conjectured that  $f(2, 3, 4) < 10^6$  and offered \$100 for a proof or disproof. Recently, L. Lu [Lu 08] showed that  $f(2, 3, 4) < 10,000$ . (A weaker result,  $f(2, 3, 4) < 1.3 \times 10^5$ , also answering Chung and Graham’s question, was independently found in an earlier version of this paper [Dudek 08]).

All these proofs are based on a modification of Goodman’s idea that explores the local property of every vertex neighborhood in a graph (see Corollary 2.2).

In this note, we will present a  $K_4$ -free graph  $G_{941}$  of order 941 and give a computer-assisted proof that  $G_{941} \in \mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ . This yields  $f(2, 3, 4) \leq 941$ . To prove it, we will develop a technique that is a generalization of ideas from [Goodman 59, Nešetřil and Rödl 76, Spencer 88]. More precisely, for every graph  $G$  we will construct a graph  $H$  with the property that  $G$  arrows a triangle if and only if the maxcut of  $H$  is less than twice number of triangles in  $G$ .

## 2. COMPUTER-ASSISTED PROOF OF $f(2, 3, 4) < 1000$

### 2.1 Counting Blue and Red Triangles

In order to find an upper bound on the number  $f(2, 3, 4)$ , we will use an idea of [Goodman 59]. For any blue–red coloring of  $G$ , let  $T_{BR}(v)$ ,  $T_{BB}(v)$ , and  $T_{RR}(v)$  count the numbers of triangles containing vertex  $v$  for which two edges incident to  $v$  are colored respectively blue–red, blue–blue, and red–red. Also, let  $T_{\text{blue}}$  ( $T_{\text{red}}$ ) be the number of blue (red) monochromatic triangles.

The sum  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} T_{BR}(v)$  counts two times the number of nonmonochromatic triangles. This is because each such triangle is counted once for two different vertices. On the other hand, the sum  $\sum_{v \in V(G)} (T_{BB}(v) + T_{RR}(v))$  counts three times the number of monochromatic triangles and once the number of nonmonochromatic triangles. Hence,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} T_{BR}(v) = 2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} (T_{BB}(v) + T_{RR}(v)) - 6(T_{\text{blue}} + T_{\text{red}}). \quad (2-1)$$

Consequently,  $G \rightarrow \Delta$  if and only if for every edge coloring of  $G$ ,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} T_{BR}(v) < 2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} (T_{BB}(v) + T_{RR}(v)). \quad (2-2)$$

Denote by  $N(v)$  the set of neighbors of a vertex  $v \in V$  and let  $G[N(v)]$  be a subgraph of  $G$  induced on  $N(v)$ . Moreover, for a given cut  $C \subset V(G)$ , let

$$M_C(G) = \{ \{x, y\} \in E(G) \mid x \in C \text{ and } y \in V \setminus C \},$$

and let

$$M(G) = \max_{C \subset V} M_C(G),$$

i.e.,  $M(G)$  is the value corresponding to the solution of the maxcut problem for  $G$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [Frankl and Rödl 86, Spencer 88] *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph that satisfies*

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} M(G[N(v)]) < \frac{2}{3} \sum_{v \in V(G)} |E(G[N(v)])|. \quad (2-3)$$

*Then  $G \rightarrow \Delta$ .*

An easy consequence of Proposition 2.1 is the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph that satisfies*

$$M(G[N(v)]) < \frac{2}{3} |E(G[N(v)])| \quad (2-4)$$

*for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . Then  $G \rightarrow \Delta$ .*

Note that in particular, Corollary 2.2 gives a sufficient condition for a  $K_4$ -free graph to be in  $\mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ . We will extend this idea and give a necessary and sufficient condition for a graph  $G$  to yield a monochromatic triangle for every edge coloring. More precisely, for every graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $t_\Delta = t_\Delta(G)$  triangles, we construct a graph  $H$  with  $|E|$  vertices such that  $G \rightarrow \Delta$  if and only if the maxcut of  $H$  is less than  $2t_\Delta$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph with the vertex set  $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For every vertex  $i \in V(G)$ , let  $G_i$  be a graph with

$$V(G_i) = \{ \{i, j\} \mid j \in N(i) \}$$

and

$$E(G_i) = \{ \{ \{i, j\}, \{i, k\} \} \mid ijk \text{ is a triangle in } G \}.$$

Clearly,  $G_i$  is isomorphic to the subgraph  $G[N(i)]$  of  $G$  induced on the neighborhood  $N(i)$ .

Now we define a graph  $H$  as follows. Let

$$V(H) = E(G)$$

and

$$E(H) = \bigcup_{i \in V(G)} E(G_i).$$

In other words,  $H$  is a graph whose set of vertices is the set of edges of  $G$  such that  $e$  and  $f$  are adjacent in  $H$  if  $e$  and  $f$  belong to a triangle in  $G$ . Clearly  $|V(H)| = |E(G)|$  and  $|E(H)| = 3t_\Delta(G)$ . Moreover, observe that there is a one-to-one correspondence between blue–red colorings of edges of  $G$  and bipartitions of vertices of  $H$ . Let  $C$  be a cut with the partition  $V(H) = B \cup R$ . Since the edges between  $B$  and  $R$  correspond to nonmonochromatic triangles in  $G$ , we conclude that the value corresponding to the cut  $C$  equals

$$M_C(H) = \sum_{i \in V(G)} T_{BR}(i). \quad (2-5)$$

Counting the edges that lie entirely in  $B$  or in  $R$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in V(G)} (T_{BB}(i) + T_{RR}(i)) &= |E(H)| - M_C(H) \quad (2-6) \\ &= (3t_\Delta - M_C(H)). \end{aligned}$$

By (2-1) we have that

$$\sum_{i \in V(G)} T_{BR}(i) \leq 2 \sum_{i \in V(G)} (T_{BB}(i) + T_{RR}(i)),$$

and by (2-2),  $G \rightarrow \Delta$  if and only if the strict inequality holds for every edge coloring of  $G$ .

Consequently, (2-5) and (2-6) yield that  $G \rightarrow \Delta$  if and only if

$$M_C(H) < 2(3t_\Delta - M_C(H))$$

for every cut of  $H$ . Consequently, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph. Then there exists a graph  $H$  of order  $|E(G)|$  with  $M(H) \leq 2t_\Delta(G)$  such that  $G \rightarrow \Delta$  if and only if  $M(H) < 2t_\Delta(G)$ .*

## 2.2 Approximating the Maxcut

Since Theorem 2.3 requires an assumption regarding the maxcut of the graph  $H$ , we will approximate it with Proposition 2.4 below. The proof of this proposition for regular graphs can be found in [Krivelevich and Sudakov 06]. Along the lines of their proof one can obtain the following easy generalization, which we present here.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $H = (V, E)$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Let  $\lambda_{\min} = \lambda_{\min}(H)$  be the smallest eigenvalue of the*

*adjacency matrix of  $H$ . Then*

$$M(H) \leq \frac{|E(H)|}{2} - \frac{\lambda_{\min}|V(H)|}{4}.$$

*Proof:* Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be the adjacency matrix of  $H = (V, E)$  with average degree  $d$  and  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be any vector with coordinates  $\pm 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i^2 - \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} x_i x_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_i - \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} x_i x_j \\ &= nd - \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Rayleigh–Ritz ratio (see, e.g., [Horn and Johnson 85, Theorem 4.2.2]), for any vector  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\mathbf{z}^T A \mathbf{z} \geq \lambda_{\min} \|\mathbf{z}\|^2$ , where by  $\|\cdot\|$  we denote the Euclidean norm. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2 &= nd - \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} \\ &\leq nd - \lambda_{\min} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \quad (2-7) \\ &= nd - \lambda_{\min} n. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  be an arbitrary partition of  $V$  into two disjoint subsets and let  $e(V_1, V_2)$  be the number of edges in the bipartite subgraph of  $H$  with bipartition  $(V_1, V_2)$ . For every vertex  $i \in V$ , set  $x_i = 1$  if  $i \in V_1$  and  $x_i = -1$  if  $i \in V_2$ . Note that for every edge  $\{i, j\}$  of  $H$ ,  $(x_i - x_j)^2 = 4$  if this edge has its endpoints in the distinct parts of the above partition and is zero otherwise. Now using (2-7), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} e(V_1, V_2) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (dn - \lambda_{\min} n) \\ &= \frac{|E|}{2} - \frac{\lambda_{\min}|V|}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

## 2.3 Numerical Results

Let  $G$  be a circulant graph defined as follows:

$$V(G_{941}) = \mathbb{Z}_{941}$$

and

$$E(G_{941}) = \{\{x, y\} \mid x - y = \alpha^5 \pmod{941}\},$$

i.e., the set of edges consists of those pairs of vertices  $x$  and  $y$  that differ by a fifth residue of 941. Equivalently,

$$V(G_{941}) = \{0, 1, \dots, 940\}$$

and

$$E(G_{941}) = \{\{x, y\} \mid |x - y| \in D \text{ or } 941 - |x - y| \in D\},$$

where  $D$  is a distance set defined by

$$D = \{1, 12, 15, 32, 34, 37, 40, 42, 44, 46, 50, 52, 54, 55, 65, 73, 83, 93, 97, 112, 114, 116, 118, 119, 122, 123, 131, 140, 142, 144, 145, 147, 153, 154, 161, 167, 172, 175, 178, 180, 182, 189, 191, 198, 202, 207, 215, 218, 223, 225, 234, 243, 248, 251, 254, 278, 281, 282, 293, 302, 304, 310, 311, 317, 318, 323, 328, 339, 341, 380, 384, 386, 389, 392, 399, 402, 403, 406, 408, 410, 413, 418, 419, 427, 428, 431, 437, 444, 447, 451, 454, 461, 466, 467\}.$$

One can check that  $G_{941}$  is a  $K_4$ -free, 188-regular graph with  $|V(G_{941})| = 941$ ,  $|E(G_{941})| = 88,454$ , and  $t_\Delta(G_{941}) = 707,632$ . Then, the graph  $H$  corresponding to  $G_{941}$  in Theorem 2.3 is 48-regular with  $|V(H)| = 88,454$ ,  $|E(H)| = 3t_\Delta(G_{941}) = 2,122,896$ . Moreover, using in MATLAB the function `eigs` for real, symmetric, and sparse matrices with the option `sa`, we get  $\lambda_{\min}(H) \geq -15.196$ . Thus, Proposition 2.4 implies

$$M(H) \leq \frac{|E(H)|}{2} - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(H)|V(H)|}{4} \leq 1,397,484.746 < 1,415,264 = 2t_\Delta(G_{941}).$$

Consequently, Theorem 2.3 yields the main result of this note.

**Theorem 2.5.** *The Folkman number  $f(2, 3, 4)$  is less than or equal to 941.*

**Remark 2.6.** For given numbers  $n$  and  $r$ , let  $G(n, r)$  be a circulant graph with vertex set

$$V(G(n, r)) = \mathbb{Z}_n$$

and edge set

$$E(G(n, r)) = \{\{x, y\} \mid x \neq y \text{ and } x - y = \alpha^r \text{ mod } n\}.$$

Note that  $G(n, r)$  is well defined, i.e., the graph is undirected if  $-1$  is an  $r$ th residue of  $n$ . In particular,  $G_{941} = G(941, 5)$ . By exhaustive search we found that  $G_{941}$  is the smallest graph among all graphs  $G(n, r)$  for which our technique works that belongs to the family  $\mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ .

$G(n, r)$	$\rho$
$G(127, 3)$	0.030884
$G(281, 4)$	0.042306
$G(313, 4)$	0.040612
$G(337, 4)$	0.034517
$G(353, 4)$	0.037667
$G(457, 4)$	0.030386
$G(541, 5)$	0.049676
$G(571, 5)$	0.044144
$G(701, 5)$	0.029507
$G(769, 6)$	0.044195
$G(937, 6)$	0.048529
<b><math>G(941, 5)</math></b>	<b>-0.012728</b>

**TABLE 1.** Candidates for membership and one member of  $\mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ .

For a given  $K_4$ -free graph  $G(n, r)$ , let  $H$  be a graph that corresponds to  $G(n, r)$  from Theorem 2.3. Let  $\alpha = \frac{|E(H)|}{2} - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(H)|V(H)|}{4}$  and  $\beta = 2t_\Delta(G(n, r))$ . In view of Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4, if  $\alpha < \beta$ , then  $G(n, r) \rightarrow \Delta$ , and so  $G(n, r) \in \mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ . Obviously the converse is not true, since  $\alpha$  is only an approximation on  $M(H)$ . We define a parameter  $\rho = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha}$  to get an estimate of how “close”  $G(n, r)$  is to the property  $\mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ . In Table 1 we have listed all (up to isomorphism)  $K_4$ -free graphs  $G(n, r)$  with  $n \leq 941$  and  $\rho < 0.05$ .

### 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Recently, S. P. Radziszowski and Xu Xiaodong suggested [Radziszowski and Xiaodong 07] that the graph  $G_{127} = G(127, 3)$ , considered in [Hill and Irving 82], belongs to the family  $\mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$ . One can check that  $t_\Delta(G_{127}) = 9779$ . Let  $H$  be a graph from Theorem 2.3 that corresponds to  $G_{127}$ . Using a semidefinite program with polyhedral relaxations [Rendl et al. 07a, Rendl et al. 07b], we obtained an upper bound on  $M(H) \leq 19558 = 2t_\Delta(G_{127})$ . Note that  $2t_\Delta(G_{127})$  is also the straightforward upper bound from Theorem 2.3. This coincidence between numerical and theoretical bounds may suggest that  $G_{127} \rightarrow \Delta$ . However, the question whether  $G_{127} \in \mathcal{F}(2, 3, 4)$  remains open.

A related interesting question is to find a reasonable upper bound for  $f(3, 3, 4)$ . We tried to find another argument that would ensure the existence of relatively small  $K_4$ -free graphs. Such a construction for 2-colors was considered in an earlier version of our paper [Dudek 08]. The existence of a reasonably small graph  $G$  that yields a monochromatic triangle under every 3-coloring is an open question that we are currently trying to address.

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank L. Horesh for a fruitful discussion about computing eigenvalues for sparse matrices. We also owe special thanks to F. Rendl and A. Wiegele, who helped us in using the Biq Mac solver [Rendl et al. 07a]. Last, but not least, we would like to thank the referee for his or her very valuable and encouraging comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [Chung and Graham 98] F. Chung and R. Graham. *Erdős on Graphs: His Legacy of Unsolved Problems*. Wellesley: A K Peters, 1998.
- [Dudek 08] A. Dudek. “Problems in Extremal Combinatorics.” PhD thesis, Emory University, 2008.
- [Erdős 75] P. Erdős. “Problems and Results in Finite and Infinite Graphs.” In *Recent Advances in Graph Theory (Proceedings of the Symposium Held in Prague)*, edited by M. Fiedler, pp. 183–192. Prague: Academia Praha, 1975.
- [Folkman 70] J. Folkman. “Graphs with Monochromatic Complete Subgraphs in Every Edge Coloring.” *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 18 (1970), 19–24.
- [Frankl and Rödl 86] P. Frankl and V. Rödl. “Large Triangle-Free Subgraphs in Graphs without  $K_4$ .” *Graphs and Combinatorics* 2 (1986), 135–144.
- [Goodman 59] A. Goodman. “On Sets of Acquaintances and Strangers at any Party.” *Amer. Math. Monthly* 66 (1959), 778–783.
- [Graham 68] R. Graham. “On Edgewise 2-Colored Graphs with Monochromatic Triangles and Containing No Complete Hexagon.” *J. Comb. Theory* 4 (1968), 300.
- [Hill and Irving 82] R. Hill and R. W. Irving. “On Group Partitions Associated with Lower Bounds for Symmetric Ramsey Numbers.” *European J. Combinatorics* 3 (1982), 35–50.
- [Horn and Johnson 85] R. Horn and C. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [Krivelevich and Sudakov 06] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov. “Pseudo-random Graphs.” In *More Sets, Graphs and Numbers*, pp. 199–262, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies 15. New York: Springer, 2006.
- [Lu 08] L. Lu. “Explicit Construction of Small Folkman Graphs.” To appear in *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 2008.
- [Nešetřil and Rödl 76] J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl. “The Ramsey Property for Graphs with Forbidden Complete Subgraphs.” *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* 20 (1976), 243–249.
- [Piwakowski et al. 99] K. Piwakowski, S. Radziszowski, and S. Urbański. “Computation of the Folkman Number  $F_e(3, 3; 5)$ .” *J. Graph Theory* 32 (1999), 41–49.
- [Radziszowski and Xiaodong 07] S. Radziszowski and Xu Xiaodong. “On the Most Wanted Folkman Graph.” *Geombinatorics* 16:4 (2007), 367–381.
- [Rendl et al. 07a] F. Rendl, G. Rinaldi, and A. Wiegele. “Biq Mac Solver: Binary Quadratic and Max Cut Solver.” Available online (<http://biqmac.uni-klu.ac.at/>), 2007.
- [Rendl et al. 07b] F. Rendl, G. Rinaldi, and A. Wiegele. “A Branch and Bound Algorithm for Max-Cut Based on Combining Semidefinite and Polyhedral Relaxations.” In *Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization*, pp. 295–309, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 4513. New York: Springer, 2007.
- [Spencer 88] J. Spencer. “Three Hundred Million Points Suffice.” *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 49 (1988), 210–217. See also erratum by M. Hovey in *ibid.* 50 (1989), 323.

Andrzej Dudek, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Mathematics and Science Center, 400 Dowman Drive, Atlanta, GA 30322 (adudek@mathcs.emory.edu)

Vojtěch Rödl, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Mathematics and Science Center, 400 Dowman Drive, Atlanta, GA 30322 (rodl@mathcs.emory.edu)

Received May 25, 2007; accepted in revised form November 12, 2007.