Universal Drinfeld–Sokolov Reduction and Matrices of Complex Size

Boris Khesin★, Feodor Malikov★★

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

Abstract: We construct affinization of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ of "complex size" matrices, that contains the algebras $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ for integral values of the parameter. The Drinfeld–Sokolov Hamiltonian reduction of the algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ results in the quadratic Gelfand–Dickey structure on the Poisson–Lie group of all pseudodifferential operators of complex order.

This construction is extended to the simultaneous deformation of orthogonal and symplectic algebras which produces self-adjoint operators, and it has a counterpart for the Toda lattices with fractional number of particles.

1. Introduction

As a rule quadratic Poisson structures appear as either the Poisson bracket on a Poisson–Lie group or as a result of Hamiltonian reduction from the linear bracket on a dual Lie algebra.

This paper is devoted to a relation between these two approaches to the classical W_n-algebras (called also Adler–Gelfand–Dickey or higher KdV-structures), natural infinite-dimensional quadratic Poisson structures on differential operators of nth order.

The noncommutative Hamiltonian reduction (see [2, 22]) for the Gelfand–Dickey structures (associated to any reductive Lie group) is known as the reduction of Drinfeld and Sokolov ([7]). They showed that those quadratic structures on *scalar n^{th} order* differential operators on the circle can be obtained as a result of the two-step process (restriction to a submanifold and taking the quotient) from the linear Poisson structure on *matrix first order* differential operators. The latter object is nothing but the dual space to an affine Lie algebra on the circle ([13, 23]).

On the other hand all Poisson W_n algebras can be regarded as Poisson submanifolds in a certain universal Poisson–Lie group of pseudodifferential operators of arbitrary (complex) degree ([16]). In such a way differential operators $DO_n = \{D^n + u_1(x)D^{n-1} + u_2(x)D^{n-2} + \cdots + u_n(x)\}$ for any n turn out to be

---

included as a Poisson submanifold to a one-parameter family of pseudodifferential symbols $\Psi DS_\lambda = \{D^\lambda + u_1(x)D^{\lambda-1} + u_2(x)D^{\lambda-2} + \cdots\}$. Moreover, all commuting flows of the standard nKdV hierarchies are Hamiltonian with respect to the Gelfand–Dickey Poisson structure on DO_n. They are interpolated by a complex-parameter family of generalized KP-KdV hierarchies on ΨDS_λ ([9, 16]).

At this point we bump into the following puzzle. While a natural description of the Gelfand–Dickey structures on the above Poisson–Lie group exists for symbols of every complex degree λ, the Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction is defined essentially for differential operators, that is for integer $\lambda = n$ and first n coefficients $\{u_*(x)\}$. The latter restriction is due to the very nature of the Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction: it starts from the affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ algebra, and to find its counterpart for complex λ one needs to define algebras of $\lambda \times \lambda$ matrices.

Actually the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ has been known all the time since representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ appeared. It is simply the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ modulo the relation: Casimir element is equal to $(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1)/2$. It was Feigin, however, who placed this object in the context of deformation theory and applied it to calculation of the cohomology of the algebra of differential operators on the line, [10] (see also [5]).

For technical reasons we have here to replace the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ with its certain extension $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$. We further construct an affinization of the latter $\hat{\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}}_\lambda$. This gives a family of algebras, λ being the parameter, such that for integral λ the algebra has a huge ideal and the corresponding quotient is the conventional affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$. We prove the following conjecture of B. Feigin and C. Roger.

Theorem 1.1. *The classical Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction defined on $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ admits a one-parameter deformation to the Hamiltonian reduction on $\hat{\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}}_\lambda$. As a Poisson manifold the result of the reduction coincides with the entire Poisson–Lie group of pseudodifferential operators equipped with the quadratic Gelfand–Dickey structure.*

It should be mentioned that, unlike the integral λ case, for a generic λ we can not use the formalism of the Miura transform (cf. [20]) or embedding of scalar higher order differential operators into first order matrix ones by means of Frobenius matrices. Both the operations are the main tools in the classical $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$-case.

This reduction admits quantization (see [25]) which in the case of $\hat{\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}}_\lambda$ leads to the algebra constructed in [11].

Feigin constructed also a simultaneous deformation of the symplectic and orthogonal algebras. We show that the corresponding deformation of the Hamiltonian reduction results in the Gelfand–Dickey bracket on self-adjoint pseudodifferential symbols.

In conclusion we construct a continuous deformation of the Toda lattice hierarchies.

The paper is essentially selfcontained. Section 2 is devoted to basics in Poisson geometry and Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction. Then we outline the construction of the Poisson–Lie group of pseudodifferential operators and define the Adler–Gelfand–Dickey structures explicitly (Sect. 3.1). Further we recall the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ and define its extension and affinization (Sect. 3.2) which we believe is of interest by itself. We remark that a similar interpolating object appears as a *sine*-algebra and the algebra of "quantum torus" (see [3]). In Sect. 3.3 we construct the universal reduction of that algebra, resulting in the structure on the Poisson –Lie group.

Section 4 is devoted to proofs. We conclude with discussion of sp-, so-cases of the reduction and with consideration of a deformation of the Toda lattices.

2. Poisson Manifolds and Drinfeld–Sokolov Reduction

2.1. Preliminaries on Poisson Geometry and Hamiltonian Reduction

1. *Poisson algebras.* A symplectic manifold is a pair (M, ω), where M is a manifold and ω is a symplectic structure, i.e. a non-degenerate skew-symmetric 2-form on M. The symplectic form can be viewed as a non-degenerate fiberwise linear map,

$$\omega : TM \to T^*M , \tag{1}$$

where TM (T^*M) is a tangent (cotangent *resp.*) bundle over M. For any $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$, set

$$\{f, g\} = dg(\Omega \, df) ,$$

where $\Omega = \omega^{-1} : T^*M \to TM$. The bracket $\{., .\}$ makes $C^\infty(M)$ into a *Poisson algebra*, meaning that the following holds:

$$\text{the space } C^\infty(M) \text{ is a Lie algebra with respect to } \{., .\}, \tag{2}$$

$$\text{the Leibnitz identity } \{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\} \text{ is fulfilled.} \tag{3}$$

Let $Vect_\omega(M)$ be a Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields on M:

$$Vect_\omega(M) = \{X \in Vect(M) : X\omega = 0\} .$$

Then the map $\Omega \circ d : C^\infty(M) \to Vect_\omega(M)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

2. *Hamiltonian reduction.* Let a Lie group G act on a symplectic manifold M by symplectomorphisms, i.e. by diffeomorphisms preserving the symplectic form ω. Then there arises a morphism of Lie algebras: $\mathfrak{g} \to Vect_\omega(M)$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra of G.

The action of G on M is called *Hamiltonian* if in addition the latter morphism lifts to a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)$. Denote by $H_a \in C^\infty(M)$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the image of a in $C^\infty(M)$, i.e. the Hamiltonian function corresponding to an infinitesimal action a.

Denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the space dual to $\mathfrak{g}$. The group G naturally acts on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ (via coadjoint action). For any Hamiltonian action of G on M there arises a G-equivariant mapping called the *momentum map*:

$$p : M \to \mathfrak{g}^* , \quad < p(x), a > = H_a(x) , \quad \text{for } x \in C^\infty(M), a \in \mathfrak{g} .$$

Fix $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and denote by $G_a \subset G$ its stabilizer. Obviously, the set $M_a = p^{-1}(a)$ is preserved by G_a. Assume now that, first, M_a is a manifold and that, second, so is the quotient space $F_a = M_a/G_a$. One can show that F_a is a symplectic manifold with respect to the symplectic form $\bar\omega$ defined by setting

$$\bar\omega(\bar\xi, \bar\eta) = \omega(\xi, \eta) ,$$

where ξ and η are arbitrary preimages of $\bar\xi$ and $\bar\eta$ with respect to the natural projection $TM_a \to TF_a$ (see [22]).

The described passage from a symplectic manifold M to a symplectic manifold F_a is called a (noncommutative) *Hamiltonian reduction*.

Example 2.1. T^*M is canonically a symplectic manifold for any M. T^*G is a symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of G by left translations. The momentum $T^*G \to \mathfrak{g}^* \approx T_e^*G$ is given by right translations to the unit (e) of the group G. The result of the Hamiltonian reduction with respect to the element $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the orbit $\mathcal{O}_a$ of a in the coadjoint representation equipped with the celebrated Lie–Poisson–Kirillov–Kostant symplectic form.

3. *Symplectic leaves.* We saw above that for any symplectic manifold M its algebra of functions is a Poisson algebra. More generally, M is called a Poisson manifold if $C^\infty(M)$ is a Poisson algebra with respect to a certain bracket $\{.,.\}$.

The bracket $\{.,.\}$ determines a Lie algebra morphism $C^\infty(M) \to Vect(M)$. It follows that $\{.,.\}$ can be regarded as a fiberwise linear map $\Omega: T^*M \to TM$, where Ω already does not necessarily come from a symplectic form (1). Corank of restriction of Ω to a fiber measures how far $\{.,.\}$ is from being induced by a symplectic structure. A notion of a symplectic form has the following substitute for a generic Poisson manifold.

The assignment $M \ni x \mapsto \Omega(T_x^*M) \subset T_xM$ defines a distribution on M. The integral submanifolds of this distribution are called *symplectic leaves* of M. One shows that each symplectic leaf is indeed a symplectic manifold (and, therefore, is also a Poisson manifold). A Poisson submanifold is a manifold being a union of symplectic leaves. The embedding of a Poisson submanifold of M into M is a Poisson morphism, meaning that the induced morphism of algebras of functions is a morphism of Poisson algebras (see [24]).

Example 2.2. The dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a Poisson manifold, the bracket being defined by:
$$\{f,g\}(x) = \langle [d_xf, d_xg], x \rangle \,,$$
where $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, d_xf signifies the value of the differential of a function at the point x; therefore $d_xf, d_xg \in \mathfrak{g}$, and so the right hand side of the equality is understood as a Lie bracket of a pair of elements of $\mathfrak{g}$. Symplectic leaves of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ are exactly orbits of the coadjoint action, see Example 2.1.

Suppose a Lie group G acts on a Poisson manifold M by diffeomorphisms preserving the Poisson structure. Such an action is called *Hamiltonian* if, first, it preserves all symplectic leaves and, second, the induced Lie algebra morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ to $Vect(M)$ lifts to a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{g} \to C^\infty(M)$. In this case one can define a momentum $p: M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ so that its restrictions to the symplectic leaves are exactly momenta of the above discussion. Assuming further that for some $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, M_a and $F_a = M_a/G_a$ are manifolds one shows that F_a is naturally a Poisson manifold and that its symplectic leaves are exactly symplectic manifolds obtained via Hamiltonian reduction applied to symplectic leaves of M.

Example 2.3. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and N be the (connected) Lie group related to $\mathfrak{n}$. A coadjoint action of N on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is Hamiltonian, the momentum being the natural projection $p: \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{n}^*$. If $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{n}^*$ is a character of N (i.e. the orbit of Λ consists of one point) then $p^{-1}(\Lambda)/N$ is a Poisson manifold.

An explicit calculation of the Poisson bracket of a pair of functions on F_a can be carried out as follows. Let $\pi: M_a \to F_a = M_a/G_a$ be the natural projection. Let $f, g \in C^\infty(F_a)$. Then π^*f, π^*g are functions on M_a. Choose an arbitrary

extension of each of the functions on the entire M and denote it also $\pi^* f$, $\pi^* g$. Set

$$\{f,g\}(\pi(x)) = \{\pi^* f, \pi^* g\}(x), \quad \text{for any } x \in M_a \ . \tag{4}$$

What was said above is enough to prove that, despite the obvious ambiguities in this definition, the result is uniquely determined .

2.2. Drinfeld–Sokolov Construction.

Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction is the procedure outlined in 2.1.3, especially in Example 2.3, in the case when $\mathfrak{g}$ is an affine Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{n}$ is its "nilpotent" subalgebra. To make a precise statement let us fix the following notations:

$\mathbf{C}[z,z^{-1}]$ is the ring of Laurent polynomials, $\mathbf{C}[[z]]$ is the ring of formal power series, $\mathbf{C}((z)) = \mathbf{C}[z,z^{-1}] + \mathbf{C}[[z]]$;

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the subalgebra of strictly upper triangular matrices;

$\mathfrak{a}(z) = \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbf{C}((z))$ for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$; $\mathfrak{a}(z)$ is called a *loop algebra*; its elements can be thought of as "formal" functions of $z \in \mathbf{C}^*$ with values in $\mathfrak{a}$;

$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(z) \oplus \mathbf{C}$ is the corresponding affine Lie algebra, the universal central extension of $\mathfrak{g}$ by the cocycle being given by $\phi(f(z),g(z)) = Res_{z=0} Tr(f(z)dg(z))$;

A, N, G, $A(z)$, $N(z)$, $G(z)$, $\hat{G}$ are Lie groups related to $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{a}(z)$, $\mathfrak{n}(z)$, $\mathfrak{g}(z)$, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The dual space $\mathfrak{g}(z)^*$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(z)$ by means of the invariant inner product ("Killing form")

$$(f(z),g(z)) = Res_{z=0} Tr f(z)g(z)z^{-1} \ .$$

The dual space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^* = \mathfrak{g}(z) \oplus \mathbf{C}$ can be identified with the space of 1st order linear differential operators on the circle with matrix ($n \times n$) coefficients $DO_{n \times n}$.

The correspondence $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^* \to DO_{n \times n}$ is established by

$$(f(z),k) \mapsto -kz\frac{d}{dz} + f(z) \ . \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2.4 ([13, 23]). *The identification above makes the coadjoint action of the group $G(z)$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ into the gauge action on differential operators:*

$$T(z) \cdot (f(z),k) = (-kzT(z)'T(z)^{-1} + T(z)f(z)T(z)^{-1}, k) \ ,$$

here and elsewhere "'" means the application of the operator d/dz.

Remark 2.5. The operators above can be viewed as differential operators on the circle $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \exp(\sqrt{-1}\tau)$:

$$k\frac{d}{d\tau} + f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \exp\left(\sqrt{-1}\tau\right)\right) \ . \tag{6}$$

Solutions to differential equations with matrix coefficients are vector functions. Natural action of $G(z)$ on solutions induces the gauge action of $G(z)$ on differential operators.

Further we fix a hyperplane in $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ by fixing a cocentral term: $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1^* = \{(f(z), 1),$ $f(z) \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Obviously, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1^* \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ is a Poisson submanifold: the Lie–Poisson bracket on the dual space $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1^*$ admits restriction to the hyperplane.

The coadjoint action of the subgroup $N(z)$ on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1^*$ is Poisson. Consider its momentum map

$$p : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1^* \to \mathfrak{n}^*(z) \ .$$

If the space $\mathfrak{n}^*(z)$ is identified with lower triangular matrices then the momentum map p is nothing but the projection of (functions with values in) matrices onto their lower-triangular parts.

To start Hamiltonian reduction we need to fix a point in the image of the momentum map. Regard the (lower triangular) matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

as an element of $\mathfrak{n}^*(z)$. The preimage $p^{-1}(\Lambda)$ is a manifold. It is, in fact, an affine subspace: $p^{-1}(\Lambda) = -z\,d/dz + \Lambda + \mathfrak{b}\,(z)$, where $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices.

To perform the second step of the reduction we notice that the quotient space $p^{-1}(\Lambda)/N(z)$ is also a manifold. Indeed, one can show that each $N(z)$-orbit contains one and only one element of the form

$$-z\frac{d}{dz} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1(z) & b_2(z) & b_3(z) & \ldots & b_{n-1}(z) & b_n(z) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

The space of first order differential operators of this form (the corresponding matrices are sometimes called Frobenius matrices) is in 1-1 correspondence with (ordinary scalar) differential operators DO_n of order n on the circle:

$$-z\frac{d}{dz} + \begin{pmatrix} b_1(z) & b_2(z) & b_3(z) & \ldots & b_{n-1}(z) & b_n(z) \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\leftrightarrow \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} + \bar{b}_1(\tau)(\frac{d^{n-1}}{d\tau^{n-1}}) + \cdots + \bar{b}_n(\tau) \ ,$$

where $\bar{b}_k(\tau) = b_k(\exp(\sqrt{-1}\tau)/\sqrt{-1}$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$.

The Hamiltonian reduction of Sect. 2.1.3 of the Kirillov–Kostant structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1^*$ equips DO_n with a structure of a Poisson manifold.

On the other hand the space DO_n is known to carry a Poisson structure – the celebrated "second Adler–Gelfand–Dickey structure".

Theorem 2.6 ([7]). *The Poisson structure on DO_n obtained as a result of the Hamiltonian reduction described above is the second Gelfand–Dickey structure.*

It is appropriate at this point to give a definition of the second Gelfand–Dickey bracket in the way Adler, Gelfand and Dickey did it, i.e. by explicit formulas. We give those in the next section in a slightly more general setting allowing n to be an arbitrary complex number.

3. Differential Operators of Complex Order and Matrices of Complex Size

3.1. Poisson–Lie Group of Pseudodifferential Symbols. In this section we describe the main underlying structure, the Gelfand–Dickey bracket on the group of pseudodifferential symbols of complex degrees following [16] (see also [9]).

Points of the Poisson manifold under consideration are classical pseudodifferential symbols, i.e. formal Laurent series of the following type:

$$G = \left\{ D^\lambda + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} u_k(z)D^{\lambda+k} \,|\, u_k \in \mathbf{C}((z)), \lambda \in \mathbf{C} \right\} \ .$$

This expression is to be understood as a convenient written form for a semi-infinite sequence of functions $\{u_k\}$.

This (infinite dimensional) manifold can be equipped with a group structure, where product of two such symbols is a generalization of the Leibnitz rule $D \circ f(z) = f(z)D + f'(z)$ (that explains the meaning of the symbol $D = d/dz$). For an arbitrary (complex) power of D one has:

$$D^\lambda \circ f(z) = f(z)D^\lambda + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \binom{\lambda}{\ell} f^{(\ell)}(z)D^{\lambda-\ell} \ . \tag{8}$$

where $\binom{\lambda}{\ell} = \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)\cdots(\lambda-\ell+1)}{\ell!}$. The number λ is called the order of a symbol. It is easy to see that every coefficient of the product of two symbols is a differential polynomial in coefficients of the factors.

Definition. *The (quadratic generalized) Gelfand–Dickey Poisson structure on $G = \{L = (1 + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} u_k(z)D^k)D^\lambda\}$ is defined as follows:*

a) *The value of the Poisson bracket of two functions at the given point is determined by the restriction of these functions to the subset ΨDS_λ of symbols of fixed order $\lambda = const$.*

b) *The subset $\lambda = const$ is an affine space, so we can identify the tangent space to this subset with the set of operators of the form $\delta L = (\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \delta u_k D^k) \circ D^\lambda$.*

We can also identify the cotangent space with the space of operators of the form $X = D^{-\lambda} \circ DO$, where DO is a purely differential operator (i.e. polynomial in D) using the following pairing:

$$F_X(\delta L) := \langle X, \ \delta L \rangle = Tr(\delta L \circ X) \ .$$

Here the product $\delta L \circ X$ is a symbol $\sum p_k(z)D^k$ of an integer order, and its trace Tr is defined as the residue at $z = 0$ of $p_{-1}(z)$.

c) *Now it is sufficient to define the bracket on linear functionals, and*

$$\{F_X, F_Y\}\Big|_L = F_Y(V_{F_X}(L)) , \tag{9}$$

where V_{F_X} is the following Hamiltonian mapping $F_X \mapsto V_{F_X}(L)$ (from the cotangent space $\{X\}$ to the tangent space $\{\delta L\}$):

$$V_{F_X}(L) = (LX)_+ L - L(XL)_+ . \tag{10}$$

Remark 3.1. Usually this definition is given only in the case when λ is a fixed positive integer and L is a differential operator ($L_+ = L$, here and above "+" means taking the differential part of a symbol of integral order), cf. [1, 6]. The set DO_n of purely differential operators $\{L\}$ of order n is of special interest because it is the phase space of the so called n-KdV hierarchy

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_k} = [L, (L^{k/n})_+], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots ,$$

which is an infinite system of commuting flows on the coefficients of L. This equations are Hamiltonian on DO_n with respect to the Gelfand–Dickey Poisson structure and the Hamiltonian functions $H_k(L) := Tr(L^{k/n})$.

One can regard the set DO_n of differential operators as a Poisson submanifold in the Poisson "hyperplane" $\Psi DS_{\lambda=n}$ of all pseudodifferential symbols of the same order. Indeed, for any operator $L = D^n + u_{-1}(z)D^{n-1} + \cdots + u_{-n}(z)$ and an arbitrary symbol $X = DO \circ D^{-n}$ the corresponding Hamiltonian vector $V_{F_X}(L) = (LX)_+ L - L(XL)_+$ is a differential operator of the order $n - 1$, and hence all Hamiltonian fields leave the submanifold DO_n of such operators L invariant.

Exactly those Poisson submanifolds arise as a result of Hamiltonian reduction in the classical Drinfeld–Sokolov construction. For an arbitrary (noninteger) λ one has no counterparts of "purely differential operators" (what would be the differential part of $u(z)D^{1/2}$?) and of the suitable Poisson submanifolds in the hyperplane ΨDS_λ. The corresponding commuting Hamiltonian flows have the same form (upon replacement $n \mapsto \lambda$) and interpolate between the KP and nKdV hierarchies, see [9, 16].

As we noted, regardless of λ, there is a natural homeomorphism between ΨDS_λ and semi-infinite sequence of coefficients $\{u_i(z)\}$:

$$\Psi DS_\lambda \approx \prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z)) , \tag{11}$$

The Poisson structure on the group induces a family $\{.,.\}_\lambda$ of Poisson structures on $\prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$, with polynomial dependence on λ (combine formulas (8–10)).

The following filtration of the space $\prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$ will be used later.

Represent it as $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{C}((z)) \times \prod_{l \geq k+1} \mathbf{C}((z))$ and let i_k be the projection on the first factor. This gives an embedding of the spaces of functions

$$i_k^* : Fun\left(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{C}((z))\right) \hookrightarrow Fun\left(\prod_{l \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))\right) .$$

Set $W_k = i_k^*(Fun(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{C}((z))))$. The sequence $\{W_k\}$ forms the filtration

$$W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset Fun\left(\prod_{l \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))\right), \quad \cup_{i \geq 1} W_i = Fun\left(\prod_{l \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))\right) . \tag{12}$$

Proposition 3.2. *This filtration satisfies the condition:*

$$\{W_i, W_j\}_\lambda \subset W_{i+j} \ . \tag{13}$$

Proof. Reformulating the statement one needs to extract from the definition above that for $L_0 \in \Psi DS_\lambda$ the bracket

$$\{a(z)D^{i-1-\lambda}, \ b(z)D^{j-1-\lambda}\}_\lambda(L_0 + L_1)$$

does not depend on L_1 if $deg\ L_1 \leqq \lambda - i - j$, $L_0 \in \Psi DS_\lambda$.

It follows from the explicit formulas (9-10) :

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \{A,B\}(L_0 + \varepsilon L_1) = Tr((L_0A)_+ L_1B - L_0(AL_1)_+B + (L_1A)_+ L_0B - L_1(AL_0)_+B) \ .$$

Calculation of the degrees shows that the right-hand side vanishes under the condition $deg\ L_1 \leqq \lambda - i - j$. $\square$

It should be mentioned that an analogous grading is basic for "quantum" counterparts of the Gelfand–Dickey Poisson structures (called quantum W-algebras).

Remarks 3.3. (i) It is proved in [16] that the Lie group structure of G is compatible with the Gelfand–Dickey structure and makes the group into a Poisson–Lie one. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \{\sum_{k=1}^\infty u_k(z)D^{-k} + \lambda \cdot log\,D | u_k \in \mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}], \ \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$ is a Lie bialgebra. The formal expression $log\,D$ can be regarded as the velocity vector to the one-parameter subgroup D^λ:

$$d/d\lambda\Big|_{\lambda=0} D^\lambda = log\,D \circ D^\lambda\Big|_{\lambda=0} = log\,D \ ,$$

and the commutation relation for the $log\,D$ and any symbol can be extracted from (8),

$$[log\,D, f(z)D^n] = \sum_{k \geqq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \ f^{(k)}(z)D^{n-k}.$$

The dual space to $\mathfrak{g}$ is also a Lie bialgebra. It is nothing but the unique central extension $\hat{DO}$ of the Lie algebra of all differential operators $DO = \{\sum_{j=0}^n a_j(z)D^j\}$ on the circle ([15]), known also under the name of $W_{1+\infty}$. The 2-cocycle describing this extension can be given in terms of the outer derivation $[log\,D, *]$:

$$c(A,B) = \int res\,([log\,D, A] \circ B), \tag{14}$$

where A and B are arbitrary differential operators (see [19]). The restrictions of this cocycle to the subalgebra of vector fields gives exactly the Gelfand–Fuchs cocycle,

$$c(u(z)D, v(z)D) = \frac{1}{6}\int u''(z)v(z)'dz \ ,$$

which defines the Virasoro algebra.

Note that a quantum deformation of the Poisson–Lie structure on the group G in the language of vertex operator algebras has been constructed in [8].

(ii) Introduction of the fractional power D^λ is a particular (Heisenberg algebra) case of the formalism of fractional powers of Lie algebra generators, see [21]. This formalism has been used for purposes of representation theory. It would be interesting to find its Poisson interpretation for other Lie algebras.

3.2. Definition of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, and its Extensions

3.2.1. What is a Matrix of Complex Size? Recall that $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is a Lie algebra on generators e, h, f and relations $[e, f] = h$, $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$. There are different ways to embed $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and, hence, there are different structures of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$−module on $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Generically, however, the structure of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$−module on $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ is independent of the embedding (see [17]) and is given by

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n = V_1 \oplus V_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{2n-1} \, , \tag{15}$$

where V_i stands for the irreducible i-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module. The image of a generic embedding of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ is called a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. We do not discuss what exactly the genericity condition is and confine ourselves to mentioning that an example of a generic embedding is provided by sending

$$f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$

and continuing this map on the entire $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

In view of the decomposition (15) it is natural to ask whether the space $\oplus_{i \geq 0} V_{2i+1}$ admits a Lie algebra structure consistent with the existing structure of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module on it. A construction of a 1-parameter family of such structures is as follows.

The universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the operation $[a, b] = ab - ba$. The element $C = ef + fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2$ generates the center of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The quotient

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)/\left(C - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1)\right) U(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}$$

is naturally a Lie algebra containing $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The fact that its $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure is given by (15) is a consequence of much more general results of [18]. We point out that in our case:

the elements $h^i e^j$, $h^i f^j$ form a basis of the algebra, and $\qquad$ (17)

the component V_{2i+1} is generated as an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module by e^i. $\qquad$ (18)

B. Feigin classified Lie algebra structures on $\oplus_{i \geq 0} V_{2i+1}$; in particular he proved that under a certain natural assumption there are no families of Lie algebra structures on $\oplus_{i \geq 0} V_{2i+1}$ different from the one mentioned above, see [10]. One proves (see Remark 3.5 below) that if λ is not integral the quotient is the sum of $\mathbf{C}$ and a simple (infinite dimensional) algebra, and if $\lambda = \pm n$, $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ then

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\bigg/\left(C - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1)\right) U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$$

contains an ideal and the quotient is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. For this reason the algebra

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\bigg/\bigg(C - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1)\bigg)U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$$

is denoted by $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ for an arbitrary complex λ. Note that our notations are inconsistent in the sense that $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ is obviously different from the conventional $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ if $\lambda = n$. It is unfortunate but seems unavoidable; we will denote the finite-dimensional algebra by $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ in the sequel.

3.2.2. $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ and extensions of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$. Here we define 2 extensions of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$, both being related to $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ and one of them incorporating a formal variable.

Fix once and for all an infinite dimensional space $\mathscr{V}$ with a basis $\{v_i,\ i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$. This space carries a 1-parameter family of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structures determined by:

$$f v_i = v_{i+1}, \qquad h v_i = (\lambda - 1 - 2i)v_i, \qquad e v_i = i(\lambda - i)v_{i-1},\ \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\ . \tag{19}$$

This, of course, makes $\mathscr{V}$ into a Verma module $M(\lambda - 1)$. Hence there arises the map

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to \mathfrak{gl}_\infty\ , \tag{20}$$

where $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ is the algebra of all linear transformations of $\mathscr{V}$. Direct calculations show that $Cv_i = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1)v_i$ for any i. Therefore (20) factors through to the map

$$\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda \to \mathfrak{gl}_\infty\ . \tag{21}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The map* (21) *is an embedding.*

Proof. The map (21) is a morphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules. Therefore it is enough to prove that each irreducible component of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ is not annihilated by (21). But this is obvious: V_{2l+1} is generated by e^l (see (18)) and e^l is a non-trivial operator on $M(\lambda - 1)$. $\square$

From now on we will identify $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ with its image in $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$. The passage from a specific λ to a formal parameter t makes (20) into the map

$$U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to \mathfrak{gl}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]\ . \tag{22}$$

$M(\lambda - 1)$ is irreducible unless $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ and if the latter condition is satisfied then it contains the unique proper submodule I_λ spanned by $v_\lambda, v_{\lambda+1}, \ldots$ the corresponding quotient being V_λ. This along with the definitions implies that the image of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ under (22) consists of matrices $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j \geqq 0$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) for any matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, $i, j \geqq 0$ there exists a number N such that $a_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j + N$;

(ii) for any fixed n, $a_{i,i+n}$ is a polynomial in i;

(iii) if $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ then $a_{ij}(\lambda) = 0$ once $i < \lambda$ and $j \geqq \lambda$. (We naturally identify matrix elements with polynomials in t.) In other words, in this case A has the following block form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad B \in \mathfrak{gl}_n\ .$$

Remark 3.5. The property (iii) explains why, under the integrality condition $\lambda = n$, $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ "contains" the usual $\mathfrak{gl}_n$: $\mathfrak{gl}_n \approx \mathfrak{gl}_\lambda/J$, where $J = \{A \in \mathfrak{gl}_\lambda : Im(A) \subset I_\lambda\}$.

Denote by $\mathfrak{gl}$ the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]$ satisfying the property (i) above and the following weakened version of (ii) and (iii):

($*$) for any matrix $A \in \mathfrak{gl}$ the properties (ii) and (iii) can only be violated in a finite number of rows.

The algebra $\mathfrak{gl}$ is one of the algebras we wanted to define. Definition of the other is based on the following general notion which will be of use later.

Let W be a vector space and A a subset of $W \otimes \mathbf{C}[t]$. The image of A in W under the evaluation map induced by projection $\mathbf{C}[t] \to \mathbf{C}[t]/(t - \varepsilon)\mathbf{C}[t] \approx \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}$, will be denoted by A_ε and called *specialization*.

We now define $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ to be a specialzitaion of $\mathfrak{gl}$ when $t = \lambda$. The following is an alternative description of $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ (it will not be used in the sequel): $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ is obtained from $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ by, first, allowing infinite series of the form $\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i e^i$, $a_i \in \mathbf{C}[h]$, (see (17)) and, second, extending the result by the ideal of operators with finite-dimensional image.

3.2.3. *Affinization and Coadjoint Representation of* $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$

1. Trace. The following simple and crucial construction was communicated to us by J. Bernstein. Observe that for any $A = (a_{ij}) \in \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ the sum

$$P(A, N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} a_{ii}$$

is a polynomial in N (this is a consequence of ($*$)). Set

$$Tr\,A = P(A, \lambda) \ . \tag{23}$$

It follows that both $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ and the loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z) = \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda \otimes \mathbf{C}((z))$ carry an invariant non-degenerate inner product defined by

$$(A, B) = Tr\,AB \ ,$$

$$(A(z), B(z)) = Res_{z=0}Tr\,A(z)B(z)z^{-1}dz \ . \tag{24}$$

Observe that the restriction of the trace to $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda(z)$ is degenerate if λ is a positive integer.

2. Affinization and coadjoint representation. The loop algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z)$ admits a central extension determined by the cocycle

$$\langle A(z), B(z) \rangle = Res_{z=0}\,Tr\,A(z)'B(z)\,dz \ .$$

This provides the central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda = \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z) \oplus \mathbf{C} \cdot c$.

Using trace we make identifications $(\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^* \approx \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$, $(\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z))^* \approx \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z)$, $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^* \approx \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z) \oplus \mathbf{C}$ and extract subspaces $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)_k^* \subset (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*$, $k \in \mathbf{C}$, where $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)_k^*$ consists of all functionals equal to k on the central element c. The third identification implies that elements of $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)_k^*$ are pairs $(A(z), k)$, $A(z) \in \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda(z)$. It follows from the definitions that

$$ad_{X(z)}^*(A(z), k)) = ([X(z), A(z)] - kzX(z)', k) \ . \tag{25}$$

3. Nilpotent subalgebra and subgroup. Let $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ be a subalgebra of strictly upper triangular matrices and $\mathfrak{n}_\lambda(z)$ the corresponding loop algebra. Set $N_\lambda(z) = id \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\lambda(z)$, where *id* stands for the identity operator.

Lemma 3.6. $N_\lambda(z)$ *is a group and the map*

$$\exp: \mathfrak{n}_\lambda(z) \to N_\lambda(z)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof is an easy exercise.

Exponentiating (25) one obtains that the coadjoint action of the group $N(z)$ is given by

$$Ad^*_{X(z)}((A(z), k)) = (-zkX(z)'X(z)^{-1} + X(z)A(z)X(z)^{-1}, k) \ . \tag{26}$$

3.3. Drinfeld–Sokolov Reduction on $\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$. The general theory (see Sect. 2.1.3 and Lemma 3.6) give the following:

(i) $(\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*$ and $(\mathfrak{n}_\lambda(z))^*$ are Poisson manifolds;

(ii) action of $N_\lambda(z)$ on $(\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}})^*$ is Poisson;

(iii) the natural projection (momentum)

$$p_\lambda : (\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^* \to (\mathfrak{n}_\lambda(z))^* \ ,$$

is Poisson and $N_\lambda(z)$-equivariant.

Analogously to what we did above (see Sect. 2.2), consider the matrix

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}$$

as an element of $(\mathfrak{n}_\lambda(z))^*$. (To justify the notation observe that from the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-point of view the matrix above is simply the image of $f \in \mathfrak{sl}_2$ in $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$.) Restrict the momentum p_λ to $(\tilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*_1$. It is obvious that, first, $p_\lambda^{-1}(f) \approx f + \mathfrak{b}_\lambda(z)$, where $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda(z)$ is the subalgebra of uppertriangular matrices, and, secondly, that $N_\lambda(z)$ is the stabilizer of f. Hence there arises the quotient space $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)_\lambda / N_\lambda(z)$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$.

Proposition 3.7. (i) *Each $N_\lambda(z)$–orbit in $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)$ contains one and only one Frobenius matrix, i.e. an element of the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1(z) & b_2(z) & b_3(z) & \ldots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots \\ . & . & . & . \end{pmatrix}.$$

(ii) *Action of $N_\lambda(z)$ has no fixed points on $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)$.*

(iii) *For any λ the quotient space $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)/N_\lambda(z)$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\prod_{i \geqq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$ equipped with the topology of projective limit.*

Again the general theory says that $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)/N_\lambda(z)$ is a Poisson manifold with the Poisson structure being reduced from the Kirillov–Kostant structure on $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*$. It is isomorphic to ΨDS_λ as a topological space, the isomorphism being independent of λ (cf. (11)). Now the main theorem sounds as follows.

Theorem 3.8. *The spaces $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)/N_\lambda(z)$ (equipped with the reduced Poisson structure) and ΨDS_λ (equipped with the quadratic Gelfand–Dickey structure) are isomorphic as Poisson manifolds for any λ.*

Remark 3.9. The (finite dimensional) $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-quotient of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ (for integral $\lambda = n$) over the maximal ideal J corresponds precisely (via affinization and the classical Drinfeld–Sokolov construction) to the Poisson submanifolds DO_n of purely differential operators in the affine space ΨDS_λ. Indeed, functions vanishing on a Poisson submanifold form an ideal in the Lie algebra of functions on the entire Poisson manifold. The corresponding quotient is nothing else but the Poisson algebra of functions on the submanifold.

Remark 3.10. The first (linear) Adler–Gelfand–Dickey structure is defined by the formula $V_A(L) = (LA - AL)_+$. Unlike the second (quadratic) structure above the first one exists not on the entire group of ΨDS, but only on the subspaces of integral degree λ([1, 7]). Drinfeld–Sokolov reduction represents the linear Poisson structure on scalar differential operators of nth order as the reduction of a constant Poisson structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n^*$ (i.e. on first order matrix differential operators). This constant Poisson structure on the dual space is obtained by the freezed argument principle applied to the Kirillov–Kostant structure at the point $(0, e_{1n}) \in \hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n^*$. Here 0 is the coefficient at $z\frac{d}{dz}$, and e_{1n} is the current on S^1 with the only nonvanishing entry equal 1 at $(1, n)$-place.

One can literally repeat the arguments for the Hamiltonian reduction from $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$. Then the finite matrix e_{1n} is to be replaced by an infinite matrix, an element of $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda^*$ with the only nonvanishing entry at the same place $(1, n)$. This entry is singled out by the block structure of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ for integer $\lambda = n$. However, for a generic λ no such element is specified, and no linear Poisson structure exists on the spaces ΨDS_λ after reduction.

4. Proofs

4.1. Affinization and Coadjoint Representation of $\mathfrak{gl}$. Proposition 3.7 says what canonical form of a matrix under the action of the group $N_\lambda(z)$ is. In our case, as it sometimes happens, it is easier to find a canonical form of a family of matrices than to do so with a single matrix. In order to realize this program we need to extend some of the above introduced notions to the case of the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}$.

4.1.1. Affinization. The algebra $\mathfrak{gl}$ (incorporating the formal variable t) admits the trace: replace specific λ by formal t in (23) setting $Tr\, A = P(A, t)$. Now both $\mathfrak{gl}$ and the loop algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(z) = \mathfrak{gl} \otimes \mathbf{C}((z))$ carry an invariant non-degenerate $\mathbf{C}[t]$-valued inner product defined by the same formulas (24). The cocycle

$$\langle A(z), B(z) \rangle = Res_{z=0}\, Tr\, A(z)' B(z)\, dz \ . \tag{27}$$

provides the central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}} = \mathfrak{gl}(z) \oplus \mathbf{C}[t]$ of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(z)$.

4.1.2. Coadjoint Representaton. Let as usual $\mathbf{C}[[t]]$ be the ring of formal power series, $\mathbf{C}((t^{-1})) = \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] + \mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$. $\mathbf{C}((t^{-1}))$ is a $\mathbf{C}[t]$-module and $\mathbf{C}[t] \subset \mathbf{C}((t^{-1}))$ its $\mathbf{C}[t]$-submodule. We identify $t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$ with the quotient $\mathbf{C}((t^{-1}))/\mathbf{C}[t]$. This equips $t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$ with a $\mathbf{C}[t]$-module structure coming from $\mathbf{C}((t^{-1}))/\mathbf{C}[t]$.

Existence of a nondegenerate invariant $\mathbf{C}[t]$-valued inner product on $\mathfrak{gl}$ gives that

$$\mathfrak{gl}^* \approx t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]/\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[t]} \mathfrak{gl} \ . \tag{28}$$

The isomorphism is established by assigning to the pair $(g(t), A(t))$, $g(t) \in t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]], A(t) \in \mathfrak{gl}$ a functional by the formula

$$\langle (g(t), A(t)), B(t) \rangle = Res_{t=0} g(t) Tr A(t) B(t) \ .$$

Similarly, $\mathfrak{gl}(z)^* \approx t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[t]} \mathfrak{gl}((z))$ and $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^* \approx t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}[t]} \mathfrak{gl}((z)) \oplus t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$, where element $(0, g(t))$ sends $(A(t, z), h(t))$ to $Res_{t=0} g(t) h(t)$.

For any $g(t) \in t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$ set

$$(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)} = \{(g(t)A(t, z), g(t)), \ A(t, z) \in \mathfrak{gl}((z)) \ .$$

It is tempting to say that the dual space $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}^*_{g(t)}$ for a fixed $g(t)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathfrak{gl}((z))$. At least there is a map

$$\mathfrak{gl}((z)) \to (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)}, \ A(t, z) \mapsto (g(t)A(t, z), g(t)) \ . \tag{29}$$

Properties of this map, however, essentially depend on the properties of $g(t)$. Call an element of $t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$ *rational* if it is equal to Laurent expansion at ∞ of a rational function of t; otherwise an element of $t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$ is called *irrational* .

Lemma 4.1. (i) *If $g(t)$ is irrational then the map (29) is a one-to-one correspondence.*

(ii) *Let $g(t) = p(t)/q(t)$ for some mutually prime $p(t), q(t) \in \mathbf{C}[t]$. Then the map is a surjection with "kernel" equal to the set of all matrices with entries divisible by $q(t)$.*

Proof. View elements of $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)}$ as matrices with coefficients in $g(t)\mathbf{C}[t]/(g(t)\mathbf{C}[t] \cap \mathbf{C}[t])$ (see (28)). Such a matrix determines the zero functional if and only if all its entries are equal to 0, lemma follows. $\square$

The definitions imply that the coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{gl}(z)$ preserves affine subspaces $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)}$. Lemma 4.1 implies that the space $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)}$ is always identified with $\mathfrak{gl}((z))$ in the sense that in the case (ii) elements of $\mathfrak{gl}((z))$ have to be viewed as matrices with entries in the quotient ring $\mathbf{C}[t]/q(t)\mathbf{C}[t]$. Having this in mind one obtains that

$$ad^*_{X(t,z)}(A(t, z)) = [X(t, z), A(t, z)] - zX(t, z)', \quad A(t, z) \in (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)} \ . \tag{30}$$

The specialization map $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}} \to \hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ induces emebddings

$$(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*_k \hookrightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^* .$$

Direct calculations show that in fact

$$(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*_1 \hookrightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{1/(t-\lambda)}, \tag{31}$$

where $1/(t - \lambda)$ is viewed as a series $\sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda^i/t^{i+1}$.

The left dual to (31) is as follows:

$$\left(\frac{1}{t-\lambda} A(t,z), \frac{1}{t-\lambda} \right) \mapsto A(\lambda,z) \ . \tag{32}$$

Indeed, Lemma 4.1 implies that $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}^*_{1/t-\lambda}$ is in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathfrak{gl}((z))$ modulo the relation $t \approx \lambda$; this produces the desired evaluation map.

In exactly the same way as in the $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$-case one defines the nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{gl}$, the corresponding loop algebra $\mathfrak{n}(z)$, the corresponding group $N(z) = id \oplus \mathfrak{n}(z)$ and proves that this group is exponential.

Exponentiating (30) one obtains that the coadjoint action of the group $N(z)$ is given by

$$Ad^*_{X(t,z)}(A(t,z)) = -zX'X^{-1} + XA(t,x)X^{-1}, \quad X \in N(z), A(t,x) \in (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})_{g(t)} \ , \tag{33}$$

where, as always, if the assumption of Lemma 4.1 (ii) is satisfied, then all matrix entries are considered modulo the relation $q(t) \approx 0$. In particular, when $q(t) = t - \lambda$ one obtains the coadjoint action of $N_\lambda[z,z^{-1}]$ on $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*$. This also means that the embedding (31) is $N(z)$-equivariant, where $N(z)$ operates on $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*_1$ via the evaluation map $N(z) \to N_\lambda(z)$.

4.1.3. Conversion of a Matrix to the Frobenius Form – Proof of Proposition 3.7.
Fix $g(t) \in t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[[t^{-1}]]$. Consider the natural projection

$$p : (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^* \to (\mathfrak{n}(z))^*,$$

and denote its restriction to the subspace $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*_{g(t)}$ by $p_{g(t)}$. As above, consider the matrix

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

as an element of $(\mathfrak{n}(z))^*_{g(t)}$. The following is a natural generalization of Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 4.2. (i) *Each $N(z)$-orbit in $p^{-1}_{g(t)}(f)$ contains one and only one Frobenius matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1(t,z) & b_2(t,z) & b_3(t,z) & \ldots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix},$$

where if $g(t)$ is rational then $b_i(t,z)$ is understood as an element of an appropriate quotient ring.

(ii) *Action of $N(z)$ has no fixed points on $p^{-1}_{g(t)}(f)$.*

Items (i) and (ii) of Proposition 3.7 follow from Proposition 4.2 as an easy consequence of properties of maps (31, 32). Item (iii) follow from (i) and (ii) because all Frobenius matrices by definition belong to $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)$. The rest of this section is devoted to proving Proposition 4.2.

Suppose for simplicity that $g(t)$ is irrational. Element $X \in N(z)$ converts $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(z)$ to the Frobenius form if and only if the following equation holds:

$$-zX' + XA = BX \qquad (34)$$

for some Frobenius matrix B, see (33). We will show that for any $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(z)$, the Eq. (34) can be effectively solved for unknown X and B and that the solution is unique. This is achieved by the following recurrent process.

Let $X = (x_{ij}(t,z))$, $A = (a_{ij}(t,z))$ and B be as above. (Note that all matrix entries are "functions" of z and t.) By definition, $x_{ii}(t,z) = 1$. Therefore (34) for diagonal entries gives

$$x_{01}(t,z) = -b_1(t,z) + a_{00}(t,z), \quad a_{ii}(t,z) + x_{i\,i+1}(t,z) = x_{i-1\,i}(t,z), \quad i \geqq 1 .$$

This implies that

$$x_{i\,i+1}(t,z) = -\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{jj}(t,z) - b_1(t,z), \quad i \geqq 1 .$$

The condition $(*)$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}$ means that $x_{n-1\,n}(n,z) = 0$ for all sufficiently large positive integers n. So,

$$b_1(n,z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{jj}(t,z) .$$

But the sum in the last expression is a polynomial in n, due to the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}$, and this uniquely determines $b_1(t,z)$ as a polynomial in t.

Suppose we have found $b_1(t,z),\ldots,b_{n-1}(t,z)$ and $x_{ij}(t,z)$, $0 \leqq i < \infty$, $i < j \leqq i + n - 1$ for some $n > 1$, so that $x_{i\,i+k}(t,z)$ is a polynomial in i for all sufficiently large k. Equation (34) implies

$$-zx_{0\,n-1}(t,z)' + a_{0\,n-1}(t,z) + x_{01}a_{1\,n-1}(t,z) + \cdots + x_{0\,n-1}a_{n-1\,n-1}(t,z) + \mathbf{x_{0n}}$$

$$= b_1(t,z)x_{0\,n-1} + b_2(t,z)x_{1\,n-1} + \cdots + b_{n-1}(t,z)x_{n-2\,n-1} + b_n(t,z) ,$$

$$-zx_{i\,n+i-1}(t,z)' + a_{i\,n+i-1}(t,z) + x_{i\,i+1}a_{i+1\,n+i-1}(t,z) \cdots x_{i\,n+i-1}a_{n+i-1\,n+i-1}(t,z)$$

$$+\mathbf{x_{i\,n+i}} = x_{i-1\,n+i-1}, \quad i > 0 .$$

As above we see that solving the ith equation for (the unknown bold) $x_{i\,n+i}$ we obtain

$$x_{i\,n+i}(t,z) = q(i,t,z) + b_n(t,z)$$

for some polynomial $q(i,t,z)$ and all sufficiently large i. Again the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}$ implies that $x_{i\,n-1}(n+i,z) = 0$ for all sufficiently large positive integers n, and hence $b_n(t,z) = -q(t-n,t,z)$.

The described process shows that for any $A \in p_{g(t)}^{-1}(f)$ there is at most one element of $N(z)$ converting it to the canonical form. It is easy to see that the

infinite matrix (x_{ij}) calculated above is an element of $N(z)$. Proposition 3.7 follows in the case of irrational $g(t)$.

As to the rational $g(t)$ case, observe that, although the value of an element of the quotient ring at a point does not make sense, vanishing of an element of the quotient ring at a point does make perfect sense in our case (see again property $(*)$ in the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}$), and so, the same conversion process completes the proof. □

Remark 4.3. We point out another consequence of the conversion process. For any λ, $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ embeds naturally into $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ by means of matrices with only finite number of non-zero columns and rows. This gives rise to the embedding of the algebra of loops in the upper triangular matrices and to the embedding of the corresponding loop group. It is easy to see that this embedding is equivariant if $\lambda = N$ for all sufficiently large positive integers N. In particular it induces an embedding of the quotients $DO_n \hookrightarrow \Psi DS_\lambda$. Note that the last embedding is not Poisson if $\lambda > n$.

4.1.4. Proof of Theorem 3.8

A. Filtrations of $\prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$ and $p_\lambda^{-1}(f)$. Recall that there is a filtration (cf. (12))

$$W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset Fun\left(\prod_{i=1} \mathbf{C}((z))\right), \quad \bigcup_{i \geq 1} W_i = Fun\left(\prod_{i=1} \mathbf{C}((z))\right).$$

Similarly one represents $p^{-1}(f)_\lambda$ as $p^{-1}(f)^k \times p^{-1}(f)^{-k}$, $k \geq 0$, where $p^{-1}(f)^{\pm k}$ is the set of matrices $f + (a_{ij})$, where $a_{ij} = 0$ if $j \leq i + k - 1$ ($j > i + k - 1$ respectively). Again let j_k be the projection on the first factor and set $U_k = j_k^*(Fun(p^{-1}(f)^k))$, $k \geq 1$. The result is the following filtration

$$U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \cdots \subset Fun(p^{-1}(f)), \quad \bigcup_{i \geq 1} U_i = Fun(p^{-1}(f)).$$

Consider the projection $\pi: p^{-1}(f)_\lambda \to \prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$. The group action is compatible with the filtration and therefore

$$\pi^*(W_i) \subset U_i.$$

B. Proof of Theorem 3.8. Let $\{.,.\}$ be the Poisson bracket on $(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda)^*$, $\{.,.\}^\sim_\lambda$ be the Poisson bracket on $\prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$ obtained as a result of hamiltonian reduction, $\{.,.\}_\lambda$ be the second Gelfand–Dickey structure on $\prod_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{C}((z))$. We have to show that $\{.,.\}^\sim_\lambda = \{.,.\}_\lambda$ for all λ.

Let $f \in W_i$, $g \in W_j$. Recall that $\{f,g\}^\sim_\lambda$, $\{f,g\}_\lambda$ are polynomials on λ. Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$\{f,g\}^\sim_N = \{f,g\}_N$$

for all sufficiently large N.

By definition,

$$\{U_i, U_j\}^\sim_\lambda \subset U_{i+j}.$$

Recall also (see (13)) that

$$\{W_i, W_j\}_\lambda \subset W_{i+j}.$$

The last formula along with compatibility of π with the filtrations implies that

$$\{W_i, W_j\}_\lambda^\sim \subset W_{i+j} \ .$$

It follows that functions $\pi^* f$, $\pi^* g$ and their commutators are uniquely determined by their restrictions to $\mathfrak{gl}_n(z) \subset (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*$ (see Remark 4.3) for sufficiently large n. Let $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(z) \subset (\hat{\mathfrak{gl}})^*$. One has

$$\{f, g\}_N^\sim(\pi x) = \{\pi^* f, \pi^* g\}_N(x) \tag{35}$$

$$= \{\pi^* f|_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}, \pi^* g|_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}\}_N = \{f, g\}_N(\pi x) \ , \tag{36}$$

where (35) follows from (4), (36) follows Remark 4.3, Theorem 2.6 and (4). Theorem 3.8 has been proved. $\square$

5. Two More Examples of Deformation of Poisson Structures

The algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ plays a universal role in mathematics for the reason that almost any algebra maps into it. The algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$ is expected to play a similar role in the deformation theory. Here are a few examples.

5.1. Deformation of Drinfeld–Sokolov Reduction on Orthogonal and Symplectic Algebras.
In this section we construct 2 involutions: one on the space of ΨDS_λ, another on the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$, such that the Hamiltonian reduction sends a certain invariant subspace of one to a certain invariant subspace of another.

1. Gelfand–Dickey $\mathfrak{sp}, \mathfrak{so}$-*brackets.* To describe the Gelfand–Dickey structures corresponding to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sp}$ and $\mathfrak{so}$ we introduce the following involution $*$ on the set ΨDS_λ of pseudodifferential symbols:

$$\left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} u_k(z) D^{\lambda+k} \right)^* = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} (-1)^k D^{\lambda+k} u_k(z) \ .$$

Definition. *A pseudodifferential symbol L is called* **self-adjoint** *if $L^* = L$.*

The set of self-adjoint pseudodifferential symbols ΨDS_λ^{SA} can be equipped with the quadratic Poisson structure in the same way as the set ΨDS_λ. Having restricted the space of linear functionals to self-adjoint symbols one can use the same Adler–Gelfand–Dickey formula (10).

We would like to emphasize that the traditional definition of the $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$- ($\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$-) Gelfand–Dickey brackets confines to the case of self-adjoint (skew self-adjoint) genuine differential operators of order $2n$ ($2n + 1$, resp.).

2. The simultaneous deformation of the algebras $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$. Define the antiinvolution σ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ to be the multiplication by -1. Observe that σ preserves the Casimir element $C = ef + fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2$. Therefore σ uniquely extends to an antiinvolution of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$, which will also be denoted by the same letter σ. It is easy to see that the eigenspace of σ related to the eigenvalue -1 is a subalgebra. Denote it by $\mathfrak{po}_\lambda$. The family of algebras $\mathfrak{po}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ is a deformation of both the families $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$: if $\lambda = 2n$ ($\lambda = 2n + 1$) the algebra $\mathfrak{po}_\lambda$ contains $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ ($\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$) as a quotient, see [10].

Remark 5.1. The algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$ is a direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-submodules $\oplus_{i \geq 0} V_{2i+1}$. The involution σ acts trivially on the subspace $\oplus_{i \geq 0} V_{4i+1}$ and it acts by multiplication by -1 on the subspace $\oplus_{i \geq 0} V_{4i+3}$.

A direct calculation shows that if the embedding of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ into $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ is given by the image of f (16):

$$f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ . & . & . & . & . & . \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then the involution σ acts on $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ in the following way. It transposes a matrix with respect to the "second diagonal" (not the main diagonal, but the opposite one), and changes the sign of all entries that are situated on every other shortened diagonal counting from the main one: $\sigma(a_{ij}) = (-1)^{i-j} a_{n-j-1\,n-i-1}$. Unlike the definition of σ we used previously, the latter can not be carried over to the case of $\mathfrak{gl}_\lambda$.

One can extend the notions of trace, affinization, nilpotent subalgebra, etc. to the case of the algebra $\mathfrak{po}_\lambda$.

Theorem 5.2. *The Hamiltonian reduction of the Kirillov–Kostant Poisson structure on the algebra* $\mathfrak{po}_\lambda$ *results in the quadratic Gelfand–Dickey structure on the space of pseudodifferential symbols* ΨDS_λ^{SA}.

Proof. This is an equivariant version of the Main Theorem 3.8. Again, the result holds by virtue of the finite dimensional analog proved for $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ by Drinfeld and Sokolov ([7]) and polynomial dependence on λ. $\square$

Remark 5.3. Note that in the approach above it is possible to treat the cases of self-adjoint and skew self-adjoint operators on the same footing.

5.2. Deformation of the Toda lattice. Recall the construction of the classical non-periodic Toda lattice. Let E_{ij} be the matrix whose only non-zero entry is situated at the intersection of the ith row and j^{th} column and is equal to 1. Let $\mathfrak{b}_n \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ be the subalgebra of upper-triangular matrices and $\mathfrak{b}_n^- \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ the algebra of lower-triangular matrices. Identify $\mathfrak{b}_n^*$ with $\mathfrak{b}_n^-$ by means of the trace. Set

$$\Lambda_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E_{i+1\,i} \in \mathfrak{b}_n^* \ .$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_n}$ be the orbit of Λ_n in the coadjoint representation. The Hamiltonian dynamical system on $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_n}$ generated by the Hamiltonian function

$$H_2(A) = Tr(A + \Theta)^2, \quad \text{where} \quad A \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i) E_{i\,i+1}$$

(with respect to the Kirillov–Kostant symplectic structure on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_n}$) is called the Toda lattice. Note that the element Θ can be replaced by any linear combination of $E_{i\,i+1}$ with non-zero coefficients; our choice is motivated by the generalization to the $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$-case, see below. The function H_2 includes in the family H_k, $2 \leq k \leq n$, where $H_k(A) = Tr(A + \Theta)^k$. Functions H_k are $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-invariant, they Poisson commute

as functions on $\mathfrak{gl}_n^* \approx \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and, moreover, their restrictions to $\mathfrak{b}_n^-$ also Poisson commute, see [1, 12]. Calculations show that $dim \; \mathcal{O}_{A_n} = 2n - 2$. So, the Hamiltonian of the Toda lattice has been included into the family of Poisson commuting functions, the number of functions being equal to half the dimension of the phase space. This proves complete integrability of the Toda lattice. (In fact one also has to establish the independence of the functions, see [1].)

This all immediately carries over to the case of $\bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$:

Let $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda \subset \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{gl}_\infty$ be the subalgebra of upper triangular matrices, $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda^- \approx \mathfrak{b}_\lambda^*$ subalgebra of lower triangular matrices.

Proposition 5.4. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_\lambda$ can be exponentiated to a Lie group.*

Proof. Cf. Lemma 3.6 and the Campbell–Hausdorf formula. $\square$

Set

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{i+1 \, i} \in \mathfrak{b}_\lambda^*.$$

(We denoted this element f in Sect. 3.) Denote by $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ the corresponding orbit. Take the element $\Theta = e \in \bar{\mathfrak{gl}}_\lambda$.

In suitable coordinates on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ the deformed Toda equations corresponding to the Hamiltonian function $H_2(A) = Tr(A + \Theta)^2$ have the form

$$\dot{a}_i = a_i(b_i - b_{i+1}), \qquad \dot{b}_i = 2(i - 1)(\lambda - i + 1)a_{i-1}^2 - 2i(\lambda - i)a_i^2 \; ,$$

where $a_0 = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$

Now we have infinitely many invariant functions $H_k(A) = Tr(A + \Theta)^k$, $k \geq 2$, their restrictions to $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ are again independent and Poisson commute (this is an obvious corollary of the corresponding finite dimensional result), cf. [12]. Therefore we have exhibited a family of infinite dimensional integrable dynamical systems, "containing" classical Toda lattices at the points $\lambda = n \in \mathbf{Z}$, and being approximated by the latter as $n \to \infty$. Notice, that for a non-integral λ no finite-dimensional subsystem can be split from the system above.

References

1. Adler, M.: On a trace functional for formal pseudo differential operators and the symplectic structure of the Korteweg-de Vries type equations. Invent. Math. **50**, no. 3, 219–248 (1978/79)
2. Arnold, V.I.: Mathematical methods of classical mechanics, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer, 2nd ed. 1989
3. Golenishcheva-Kutuzova, M., Lebedev, D., Olshanetsky, M.: Between $\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}(\infty)$ and $\hat{s}l_N$ affine algebras I. Geometrical actions. Preprint ITEP-MO-94/1
4. Date, E., Jimbo, M., Kashiwara, M., Miwa, T.: Transformation group for soliton equations. Publ. RIMS **18** 1077–1110 (1982)

5. Deligne, P., Milne, J.S.: Tannakian categories. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. **900** (1982), pp. 102–229
6. Dickey, L.A.: Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems. Advanced Series in Math. Physics, Vol. **12**, Singapore: World Scientific, 1991
7. Drinfeld, V.G., Sokolov, V.V.: Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type. Current problems in mathematics (Moscow), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Vol. **24**, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform. (1984), pp. 81–180 (Russian)
8. Enriques, B.: Complex parametrized W-algebras: The gl-case, Lett. Math. Phys. **31**, 15–33 (1994)
9. Enriques, B., Khoroshkin, S., Radul, A., Rosly, A., Rubtsov, V.: Poisson–Lie aspects of classical W-algebras. Preprint, Ecole Polytechnique, 1993
10. Feigin, B.L.: Lie algebras $gl(\lambda)$ and cohomology of a Lie algebra of differential operators. Russ. Math. Surv. **43** no. 2, 169–170 (1988)
11. Feigin, B.L., Frenkel, E.: Integrals of motion and quantum groups. To appear in Proc. CIME Summer School, Montecatini Terme, June 14–22 (1993), hep-th 9310022, pp. 66
12. Flashka, H.: On the Toda lattice I. Phys. Rev. B **9**, 1924–1925 (1974)
13. Frenkel, I.B.: Orbital theory for affine Lie algebras. Invent. Math. **77**, 301–352 (1984)
14. Gelfand, I.M., Dickey, L.A: A family of Hamiltonian structures associated with nonlinear integrable differential equations. Preprint, IPM AN SSSR, Moscow, 1978
15. Kac, V.G., Peterson, D.H.: Spin and wedge representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups. Proc. Nat. Ad. Sci. USA **78**, 3308–3312 (1981)
16. Khesin, B.A., Zakharevich, I.S.: Poisson-Lie group of pseudodifferential symbols and fractional KP-KdV hierarchies, C.R.Acad.Sci. **316**, 621–626 (1993), Poisson-Lie group of pseudodifferential symbols. Commun. Math. Phys. **171**, 475–530 (1995)
17. Kostant, B.: The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group. Am. J. Math. **81**, 973–1032 (1959)
18. Kostant, B.: Lie group representations on polynomial rings. Am. J. Math. **86**, 327–402 (1963)
19. Kravchenko, O.S., Khesin B.A.: Central extension of the algebra of pseudodifferential symbols. Funct. Anal. Appl. **25**, no. 2, 83–85 (1991)
20. Kupershmidt, B.A., Wilson, G.: Modifying Lax equations and the second Hamiltonian structure. Invent. Math. **62**, no. 3, 403–436 (1981)
21. Malikov, F., Feigin, B., Fuchs, D.: Singular vectors in Verma modules over Kac–Moody algebras. Funkc. Anal. i ego Pril. **20**, no. 2, 25–37 (1986)
22. Marsden, J.E., Weinstein, A.: Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetries. Rep. Math. Phys. **5**, 121–130 (1974)
23. Reiman, A., Semenov-Tian-Shansky, M.: Lie algebras and nonlinear partial differential equations. Sov. Math. Doklady **21**, 630–636 (1980)
24. Weinstein, A.: Local structure of Poisson manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. **18**, no. 3, 523–558 (1983)
25. Malikov, F.: Vanishing theorem for BRST cohomology, to appear

Communicated by M. Jimbo