

Spectral Curves and the ADHM Method

N. J. Hitchin¹ and M. K. Murray

¹ Mathematical Institute, 24–29 St. Giles, Oxford. OX13LB, United Kingdom

² School of Mathematical Sciences, The Flinders University of South Australia, Bedford Park. SA 5042, Australia

Abstract. For a general monopole the algebraic curves defined by Nahm are shown to be the same as the spectral curves.

1. Introduction

It is shown in [6] that an $SU(n)$ monopole or solution of the Bogomolny equations with maximal symmetry breaking at infinity has associated to it a collection of $n - 1$ algebraic curves S_1, \dots, S_{n-1} whose intersections $S_i \cap S_{i+1}$ decompose as $S_{i+1,i} + S_{i-1,i}$. Following [3] these are called *spectral curves* and a general monopole is completely determined by these curves and the splitting of $S_i \cap S_{i+1}$ [6].

Nahm, using his adaption of the Atiyah–Drinfeld–Hitchin–Manin (ADHM) approach to instantons, has shown how to associate to a monopole a possibly different set of $n - 1$ curves. The purpose of this paper is to show that the spectral curves and the Nahm curves always coincide for a general monopole. It provides in the particular case of $SU(2)$ a replacement for [4] Sect. 7, which is incorrect due to a sign error.

In Sect. 2 some basic facts and the construction of Nahm’s spectral curve is reviewed. Each of Nahm’s curves is constructed from a vector space W_z and three endomorphisms $T_i(z) \in \text{End}(W_z)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Section 3 shows how to realize the spectral curves by applying Nahm’s methods to a different vector space V_z and endomorphisms $H_i(z) \in \text{End}(V_z)$. In the final section an isomorphism is constructed from V_z to W_z which intertwines $H_i(z)$ and $T_i(z)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and thereby proves the identity of the curves. This isomorphism is provided by the Penrose correspondence between solutions to zero rest mass field equations and elements of sheaf cohomology groups, reduced to the three-dimensional situation. It is the link between the algebraic geometry of the spectral curve and the analytical origin of the Nahm curve.

As this paper relies heavily on the methods of [4] we shall, in the interests of brevity, assume that the reader has a copy of it near at hand. Throughout we shall adopt the same notation for a holomorphic bundle and its sheaf of sections, in particular $\mathcal{O}(k)$ will denote the holomorphic line bundle one \mathbf{P}_1 of Chern class k or its pullback to TP_1 .

2. Review

We review the notation and results for an $SU(n)$ monopole (A, Φ) with maximal symmetry breaking at infinity [6]. The asymptotic expansion of the Higgs field can be assumed to be

$$\Phi(0, 0, t) = i \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \mu_n \end{bmatrix} - \frac{i}{2t} \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & k_n \end{bmatrix} + o\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 0$ and $\mu_1 > \cdots > \mu_n$. The magnetic charges of the monopole are defined by $m_1 = k_1, \dots, m_{n-1} = k_1 + \cdots + k_{n-1}$ and are all non-negative.

When (A, Φ) satisfies the Bogomolny equations and appropriate boundary conditions [6] it defines a holomorphic bundle E of rank n on the space $\mathcal{T} = TP_1$ of all oriented lines in \mathbf{R}^3 . The fibre over a line γ is the vector space of all functions $s: \gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ satisfying

$$(\nabla_\gamma - i\Phi)s = 0, \tag{2}$$

where ∇_γ is the covariant derivative defined by A restricted to the line. The collection of all lines through $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$ defines a $\mathbf{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}$, the real section determined by x , and E is holomorphically trivial on real sections. Substituting (1) into (2) and studying the asymptotic decay of solutions in the $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ directions along the line defines two families of holomorphic sub-bundles,

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^+ &\subset E_2^+ \subset \cdots \subset E_n^+ = E \\ E_1^- &\subset E_2^- \subset \cdots \subset E_n^- = E, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with quotients

$$E_i^+ / E_{i-1}^+ = L^{\mu_i}(-k_i) \quad i = 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$E_{i+1}^- / E_i^- = L^{\mu_{n-1}}(k_{n-1}) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

The line bundle L^μ is constructed from a $U(1)$ monopole with $A = 0, \Phi = i\mu$ and is defined in [3]: $L^\mu(k)$ is L^μ tensored with the pullback from \mathbf{P}_1 of the holomorphic line bundle with Chern class k .

The p^{th} spectral curve S_p is defined to be the set of γ , where $E_p^+ \cap E_{n-p}^- \neq \emptyset$ or as the divisor \mathcal{S} determined by the zeros of

$$\varphi_p: \Lambda^p E_p^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^p (E / E_{n-p}^-). \tag{4}$$

It is worth noting that if we work with $\Phi_z = \Phi - iz, z \in \mathbf{R}$ as Nahm does [8], then for each z we can consider the set S_z where (2) has integrable solutions and then

$$S_z = S_p \quad z \in (\mu_{p-1}, \mu_p)$$

and

$$S_z = \emptyset \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Nahm’s method of defining algebraic curves associated to a monopole is as follows [8].

For each z solve the Dirac equation for spinors coupled to \mathbf{C}^n , that is,

$$(D_A + \Phi_z)\varphi = 0, \tag{5}$$

where D_A is the covariant Dirac operator. Using an index theorem and vanishing theorem Nahm calculates that W_z , the space of integrable solutions to (5), satisfies

$$W_z = 0, \quad z < \mu_n, \quad \mu_1 < z, \\ \dim W_z = m_p, \quad z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p).$$

This defines a vector bundle W on each (μ_{p+1}, μ_p) which is a sub-bundle of the trivial bundle $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3, \mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^n) \times (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$. If π is the orthogonal projection onto W , then Nahm defines three endomorphisms and a connection on W by

$$T_j(\varphi) = i\pi(x_j\varphi), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \tag{6}$$

$$\nabla_z(\varphi) = \pi\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}\right). \tag{7}$$

These satisfy Nahm’s equations

$$\nabla_z T_1 = [T_2, T_3] \tag{8}$$

and all cyclic permutations.

Now consider \mathbf{P}_1 embedded as a conic in \mathbf{P}_2 and let z_1, z_2, z_3 be the sections of $\mathcal{O}(2)$ over \mathbf{P}_1 induced by the co-ordinates on \mathbf{P}_2 . In terms of the co-ordinates used in [3],

$$z_1 = -i(1 + \zeta^2), \quad z_2 = 1 - \zeta^2, \quad z_3 = -2\zeta. \tag{9}$$

Denote also by z_i the pullback of these to \mathcal{F} and note that because $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{O}(2)$ the bundle $\mathcal{O}(2)$ pulled back to \mathcal{F} has a tautological section η . In fact in [3] it is shown that η, z_1, z_2, z_3 form a basis of $H^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(2))$. Now using the map $\det: \text{End}(W_z) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ we can define a section of $\mathcal{O}(2m_i)$ on \mathcal{F} by

$$\det\left(\eta \cdot 1 + \sum_{j=1}^3 iz_j T_j(z)\right)$$

if $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$. The divisor of this section is, in fact independent of $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$ (see [8 or 4]) and determines a curve N_p . We shall show that $N_p = S_p$ the p^{th} spectral curve.

The method of proof is to produce a space V_z isomorphic to W_z and endomorphisms $H_i(z)$ which are equal to $T_i(z)$ under the isomorphism. Because we shall show that the above construction applied to V_z and $H_i(z)$ gives S_p the result will follow.

3. The Twistor Viewpoint

In this section we construct using twistor methods the bundle V_z and the endomorphisms $H_i(z)$ and show that the divisor of

$$\det\left(\eta \cdot 1 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j H_j(z)\right) \tag{10}$$

is the spectral curve S_p if $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$.

The space used for V_z in the $SU(2)$ case [4] was $H^0(S, L^{-z}(E_1^+ \cap E_1^-))(2m_1 - 1)$ and this suggests that we look at

$$H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 1)), \tag{11}$$

where $I_p = E_p^+ \cap E_{n-p}^-$ is the p^{th} intersection bundle (more correctly a sheaf with support on S_p). To make I_p behave reasonably we must look only at general monopoles. Recall that $S_i \cap S_{i+1}$ splits as $S_{i,i+1} \cup S_{i+1,i}$ [7], then we have

Definition 12. A monopole is general if

- (1) The $S_{i,i+1}$ and $S_{i+1,i}$ are sets of distinct points without multiplicity, and
- (2) The $S_{i,i+1}$ and $S_{i+1,i}$ do not intersect the singular set of the spectral curves.

Any $SU(2)$ monopole is general, and it was shown in [7] that general monopoles form a non-empty open set in the space of all monopoles.

Now we also have

Lemma 13. *The spectral curve of a general monopole has no multiple components.*

Proof. If the curve S_p has a multiple component, then we must have $S_{p-1} = \emptyset$ and $S_{p+1} = \emptyset$, and then the proof in [4] can be applied.

Using this definition we have

Proposition 14. *For a general monopole $\dim(I_p) = 1$ at all points of S_p .*

Proof. If we examine the definition of the S_{ij} and the Bruhat decomposition of the flag manifold, we see that the points of $S_{p,p+1}, S_{p+1,p}, S_{p-1,p}$ and $S_{p,p-1}$ are characterised as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{p-1,p}^- : \dim E_{p-1}^+ \cap E_{n-p}^- &\geq 1, & S_{p,p-1} : \dim E_p^+ \cap E_{n-p+1}^- &\geq 2, \\ S_{p,p+1} : \dim E_p^+ \cap E_{n-p-1}^- &\geq 1, & S_{p+1,p} : \dim E_{p+1}^+ \cap E_{n-p}^- &\geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It follows that if $\dim E_p^+ \cap E_{n-p}^- \geq 2$ at some point on S_p , then that point is in all the above sets. But for a general monopole these sets are all disjoint.

From now on we shall assume that the monopoles is general. Now we should like to show that

$$H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 1)) = m_p. \tag{16}$$

First we prove a vanishing result.

Proposition 17. $H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 2)) = 0$ for $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$. (18)

Proof. The proof is merely a matter of checking that the proof in [4] can be generalized to this case. Firstly we have the injection

$$H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 2)) \rightarrow H^0(S_p, L^{-z}E(2m_p - 2)),$$

and the sequence

$$H^0(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(2m_p - 2)) \rightarrow H^0(S_p, L^{-z}E(2m_p - 2)) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(-2)),$$

which is exact at the middle term. But using the fact from [4] that $H^0(\mathcal{T}, L^u(p)) = 0$

if $\mu \neq 0$ for any p , and the filtration of E (3), we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(2m_p - 2)) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p).$$

So the composed map

$$H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 2)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(-2)) \tag{19}$$

is injective. The latter space in (19) is, by the twistor correspondence [2] the space of all solutions $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ of

$$(d_A^* d_A + \Phi_z^* \Phi_z) \varphi = 0. \tag{20}$$

If we can show that anything in the image of (19) is integrable on \mathbf{R}^3 , then the vanishing theorem argument of [4] will show that it must be zero.

Because I_p is contained in both E_p^+ and E_{n-p}^- , we shall show that the class in the image of (19) can be represented by two forms

$$\theta^+ \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E_p^+(-2)), \quad \theta^- \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E_{n-p}^-(-2)) \tag{21}$$

with $\theta^+ - \theta^- = \bar{\partial}\gamma$ and $\theta^+, \theta^-, \gamma$, all having support in a compact neighbourhood of the spectral curve S_p .

If we pull everything back to $\hat{\theta}^\pm, \hat{\gamma}$ on $\mathbf{R}^3 \times S^1$, then these forms satisfy an equation like (2) on the fibres. If we choose x outside of some ball of radius R , the intersection of the real section through x with the compact neighbourhood of S_p is two disjoint sets V_N and V_S which are neighbourhoods of $x/\|x\|$ and $-x/\|x\|$.

Because of (21) it follows [4] that for $\|x\| \geq R$ there are constants C and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta^+(x, u)\| &\leq C \exp(-\varepsilon x \cdot \mu) \quad u \in V_N, \\ \|\theta^-(x, u)\| &\leq C \exp(-\varepsilon |x \cdot \mu|) \quad u \in V_S. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Write $\gamma = \gamma_N + \gamma_S$, where $\gamma_N = \gamma|_{V_N}$ and $\gamma_S = \gamma|_{V_S}$ and let $\theta = \theta^+ - \bar{\partial}\gamma_S$. The form θ is cohomologous to θ^+ and satisfies $\theta|_{V_N} = \theta^+, \theta|_{V_S} = \theta^-$, so that

$$\|\theta(x, u)\| \leq \exp(-\varepsilon |x \cdot u|) \tag{23}$$

for all u . Notice that θ is only well-defined for $\|x\| \geq R$, but as the twistor correspondence can be applied between a neighbourhood of any point in \mathbf{R}^3 and a neighbourhood of the corresponding real section in \mathcal{T} , it follows that the function $\{x \mid \|x\| \geq R\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by θ is the restriction of the function $\mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by θ^+ . The function $\mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ therefore has the right decay properties to apply the argument in [4].

The forms θ^+, θ^- exist as in [4], but to get γ having the correct support we have to be more careful. We can split the bundle E smoothly as

$$\begin{aligned} E &= E_p^+ \oplus W, \quad W \simeq E/E_p^+, \\ E' &= W' \oplus E_{n-p}^-, \quad W' \simeq E/E_{n-p}^-, \end{aligned}$$

with $\bar{\partial}$ operators $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial} & \theta \\ 0 & \bar{\partial} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial} & 0 \\ \theta' & \bar{\partial} \end{pmatrix}$. These are intertwined by a map $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, where we think of element of E and E' as column vectors.

If $\sigma \in H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 2))$ we can extend it smoothly in a neighbourhood of S_p and then $\theta^+ = (\bar{\partial}\sigma/\varphi_p, 0)^t$. Applying the map $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain

$$\theta^+ = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial}\sigma/\varphi_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ C\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varphi_p}\right) - \theta' \frac{(A\sigma)}{\varphi_p} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\partial} & 0 \\ \theta' & \bar{\partial} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A\sigma/\varphi_p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{24}$$

Now A is the projection $E_p^+ \rightarrow E/E_{n-p}^-$, hence $A\sigma$ vanishes on S_p which has no multiple components, so $A\sigma/\varphi_p$ is well defined. Writing (24) as $\theta^+ = \theta^- + \bar{\partial}\gamma$, we see that θ^+, θ^- , and γ satisfy (21) and have the correct support.

Letting square brackets around a divisor denote the line bundle defined by that divisor we have

Lemma 25. $I_p \simeq L^{\mu_{p+1}}(-m_p - m_{p+1}) \otimes [S_{p+1,p}]$.

Proof. Consider the map $\hat{\xi}_p$ defined over S_p by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Lambda^p(E/E_{n-p}^-) & & \\ & \nearrow \varphi_p & \uparrow \eta_p & \nwarrow \hat{\xi}_p & \\ 0 & \rightarrow \Lambda^p E_p^+ & \rightarrow \Lambda^p E_{p+1}^+ & \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1} E_p^+ \otimes E_{p+1}^+/E_p^+ & \rightarrow 0. \end{array} \tag{26}$$

Using the definitions (15) we see that $\hat{\xi}_p$ is a surjection except on $S_{p+1,p}$. The identity $\Lambda^{p-1} E_p^+ \simeq E_p^+ \otimes \det(E_p^+)$ shows that $\hat{\xi}_p$ defines a map

$$L^{\mu_{p+1}}(-m_p - m_{p+1}) \rightarrow E_p^+$$

vanishing only on $S_{p+1,p}$ whose image is in I_p . In fact the map

$$\Lambda^p E_p^+ \otimes (E_{p+1}^+/E_p^+) \otimes \Lambda^p(E/E_{n-p}^-)^* \rightarrow E_p^+$$

has the form

$$y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge y_p \otimes (y_{p+1} + E_p^+) \otimes z \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i z, (y_1 + E_{n-p}^-) \wedge \dots \wedge (y_i + \hat{E}_{n-p}^-) \wedge \dots \wedge y_i,$$

and if some $y_i \notin E_{n-p}^-$, then because y_i, \dots, y_p form a basis of E_p^+ , some linear combination of $y_i, \dots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_p$ is in E_{n-p}^- and the coefficient in front of y_i vanishes. Hence we have a map $L^{\mu_{p+1}}(-m_p - m_{p+1}) \rightarrow I_p$ vanishing on $S_{p+1,p}$. It cannot vanish with multiplicity because we know that the induced map

$$\Lambda^p(E/E_{n-p}^-)^* \rightarrow (\Lambda^{p-1} E_{p-1}^+ \otimes E_{p+1}^+/E_p^+)^*$$

has divisor $S_{p+1,p} + S_{p-1,p}$ [7]. This completes the lemma.

From [7] we have that

$$L^{\mu_{p+1} - \mu_p}(m_{p+1} + m_{p-1}) = [S_{p-1,p}] \otimes [S_{p+1,p}]$$

as line bundles on S_p , and therefore

$$L^{-\mu_p} I_p(m_p + l) = [S_{p-1,p} + 2S_{p,p+1} + (l - 2m_{p+1} - m_{p-1})F \cap S_p],$$

where F is a fibre of $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_1$ which avoids the singularity set of S_p .

From Hartshorne [1] we have the Riemann–Roch Theorem for a possibly singular curve

$$\dim H^0(S_p, [D]) - \dim H^1(S_p, [D]) = |D| + \text{constant}, \tag{27}$$

where D avoids the singularity set of S_p . Letting $D = S_p \cap F$, and applying Riemann–Roch for compactified twistor space as in [4], we calculate the constant as $1 - (m_p - 1)^2$. So

$$\dim H^0(S_p - L^{-\mu_p} I_p(m_p + l)) - \dim H^1(S_p, L^{-\mu_p} I_p(m_p + l)) = m_p(l - m_p) + 2m_p.$$

The argument of [4] can now be applied to give

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S_p, L^{-z} I_p(2m_p + l)) &= 0, \quad l \leq m_p - 2 \\ H^1(S_p, L^{-z} I_p(2m_p + l)) &= 0, \quad l \geq m_p - 2, \end{aligned}$$

and $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$.

In particular we have now proved that

$$\dim H^0(S_p, L^{-z} I_p(2m_p - 1)) = m_p$$

for $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$. So let $V_z = H^0(S_p, L^{-z} I_p(2m_p - 1))$, and following the argument of [4] we have the multiplication map

$$H^0(S_p, \mathcal{O}(2)) \otimes V_z \rightarrow H^0(S_p, L^{-z} I_p(2m_p + 1)) \tag{28}$$

with kernel K_z and

Proposition 29. *The map $K_z \rightarrow V_z$ defined by*

$$\eta \otimes s_0 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \otimes s_j \mapsto s_0$$

is an isomorphism, therefore there exist endomorphisms $H_i(z)$, so that K_z is the span of

$$\eta \otimes s_0 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \otimes H_j(z) s_0,$$

and the spectral curve S_p is the divisor of

$$\det(\eta 1 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j H_j(z)).$$

Proof. The proof that $K_z \rightarrow V_z$ is an isomorphism is the same as in [4]. Then $\det(\eta 1 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j H_j(z))$ defines a curve of the same degree as S_p , and this determinant clearly vanishes on S_p , so as S_p has no multiple components this curve must be S_p .

4. The Identity of the Curves

To show that curves S_i and N_i are the same it now suffices to find an isomorphism $V_z \rightarrow W_z$ which intertwines the action of $H_i(z)$ and $T_i(z)$. It would, in fact, be enough to do this for some $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$, but it will follow from the method of proof that it can be done for any $z \in (\mu_{p+1}, \mu_p)$.

The isomorphism follows from the twistor correspondence between solutions of the Dirac equation and a sheaf cohomology group, described in four dimensions in [2].

Proposition 30. *The twistor correspondence gives an isomorphism from V_z to the space W_z of L^2 solutions of*

$$(D_A + \Phi_z)s = 0. \tag{31}$$

Proof. Again as in Proposition 17 we use a coboundary to inject V_z into $H^1(\mathcal{F}, L^{-z}E(-1))$, and from the general twistor correspondence (see [2] for the four dimensional case which can be readily adapted) these cohomology classes are in bijective correspondence with the solutions of (31). Because $\dim V_z = \dim W_z$, it suffices to show that the image of V_z under these two maps corresponds to *integrable* solutions of (31).

First let us review the twistor correspondence and for simplicity ignore the bundle E . Let θ , then represent a cohomology class in $H^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ and pull θ back to $\hat{\theta}$ on $\mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1$, which fibres over \mathcal{F} via the map $(x^i, \zeta) \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 x^i z^i(\zeta), (\zeta) \right)$, (see [3]). The vertical tangent vectors to the fibres of

$$\mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

form a sub-bundle T_v of the trivial bundle \mathbf{C}^3 on each \mathbf{P}_1 , and we have

$$0 \rightarrow T_v \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow 0.$$

There is a vertical derivative d_v on $\mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1$ obtained by projecting from \mathbf{C}^3 to T_v^* , and we have $d_v\theta = 0$ because it is pulled back from \mathcal{F} . If we think of \mathbf{P}_1 as the quadric of all null lines in \mathbf{CP}_2 , then the fibre of T_v is the orthogonal plane to each null line. Because the lines are null they are contained in their orthogonal plane, and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow T_v \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow 0. \tag{32}$$

Now $H^1(\mathbf{P}_1, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$, so for each form θ we can find an $s(x, \zeta) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1, \mathcal{O}(-1))$ with $\theta - \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{P}_1}s = 0$ on \mathbf{P}_1 . The $s(x, \zeta)$ is unique because $H^0(\mathbf{P}_1, \mathcal{O}(-1)) = 0$ and is smooth in x because it satisfies an elliptic partial differential equation with smooth coefficients. We shall see later that we can construct s quite explicitly.

If $p: \mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow T_v^*$ is the projection, we have $d_v = \sum_{i=1}^3 p(e_i)(\partial/\partial x_i)$, where each $p(e_i)$ is holomorphic, so $[d_v, \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{P}_1}] = 0$. It follows then that $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{P}_1}d_v s = d_v \bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{P}_1} s = d_v \theta = 0$, and therefore $d_v s$ takes values in $H^0(\mathbf{P}_1, T_v^*(-1))$. From the exact sequence (32) $H^0(\mathbf{P}_1, T_v^*(-1)) \simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}_1, \mathcal{O}(1)) \simeq \mathbf{C}^2$, and this defines the Dirac field. Under these identifications it can be checked that $d_v s = \sum_{i=1}^3 z_i(\partial s/\partial x_i)$. Note that $d_v s$ depends only on the class of θ . In fact if $\theta' = \theta + \bar{\partial}\mu$, then $p^*\theta' = p^*\theta + \bar{\partial}p^*\mu$. By the uniqueness of the solutions of $p^*\theta' = \bar{\partial}s'$, we have $s' = s + p^*\mu$. Hence $d_v s' = d_v s + d_v p^*\mu = d_v s + 0$.

We can proceed now as in the proof of Proposition (17) and show that a class

in the image of V_z inside $H^1(\mathcal{S}, L^{-z}E(-1))$ can be represented by two forms θ^+ and θ^- with $\theta^+ = \theta^- - \bar{\partial}\gamma$, θ^+, θ^- and γ , all having support in a compact neighbourhood of the spectral curve and

$$\theta^+ \in \Omega^{0,1}(L^{-z}E_p^+(-1)), \quad \theta^- \in \Omega^{0,1}(L^{-z}E_{n-p}^-(-1)).$$

These forms can be lifted to $\mathbf{R}^3 \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1$ and identified with forms $\hat{\theta}^+, \hat{\theta}^-$ taking values in $\mathbf{C}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)$ satisfying the differential equation $\nabla_\gamma - i\Phi_z$ along the fibres of $\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Choose an $R > 0$ so that the intersection of the compact neighbourhood of S_p with any real section for an x with $\|x\| \geq R$ consists of two disjoint sets V_N and V_S which are neighbourhoods of $x/\|x\|$ and $-x/\|x\|$. From the standard theory of the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of $\nabla_\gamma - i\phi_z$ (see [3]) we have

$$\|\hat{\theta}^+\| < Ce^{-\varepsilon\|x\|} \quad \text{on } V_N, \quad \|\hat{\theta}^-\| < Ce^{-\varepsilon\|x\|} \quad \text{on } V_S$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and C fixed. Let us now work only on the set $\{x \mid \|x\| \geq R\} \times \mathbf{P}_1$. Then letting $\gamma = \gamma_N + \gamma_S$, where γ_N, γ_S have support on V_N and V_S , we can define $\theta = \theta^+ - \bar{\partial}\gamma_S$ so on $V_N, \theta = \theta^+$ and on $V_S, \theta = \theta^+ - \bar{\partial}\gamma_S = (\theta^+ - \bar{\partial}\gamma)|_{V_S} = \theta^-$. Because θ is cohomologous to θ^+ it will induce the same Dirac field on $\{x \mid \|x\| \geq R\}$ and we have that on

$$V_N, \quad \|\theta\| < Ce^{-\varepsilon\|x\|},$$

and on

$$V_S, \quad \|\theta\| < Ce^{-\varepsilon\|x\|}.$$

To obtain the Dirac field we want to solve

$$\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{P}_1} s(x) = \theta(x).$$

This can be done explicitly using the Cauchy kernel. Recall that if $f(\xi)$ has compact support in \mathbf{C} then

$$(Kf)(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int f(\xi) \frac{1}{\xi - \mu} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}$$

is smooth and satisfies $(\partial/\partial\bar{\mu})Kf = f$.

The Cauchy kernel K has, however, a coordinate-free interpretation on \mathbf{P}^1 , if we use the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$. Since the cotangent bundle of \mathbf{P}^1 in $\mathcal{O}(-2)$, which has with respect to a local affine coordinate ξ a trivialization $d\xi$, then a local trivialization of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ is given by $d\xi^{1/2}$. Now if we change coordinates by $\xi = (a\zeta + b)/(c\zeta + d)$ and $\bar{\mu} = (a\mu + b)/(c\mu + d)$ with $ad - bc = 1$, then

$$\frac{d\bar{\xi}^{1/2} d\bar{\mu}^{1/2}}{(\bar{\xi} - \bar{\mu})} = \frac{d\xi^{1/2} d\mu^{1/2}}{(c\xi + d)(c\mu + d)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\left(\frac{a\xi + b}{c\xi + d}\right) - \left(\frac{a\mu + b}{c\mu + d}\right)} \right\} = \frac{d\xi^{1/2} d\mu^{1/2}}{(\xi - \mu)}.$$

Then if θ is a $(0, 1)$ form with values on $\mathcal{O}(-1)$, we may write $\theta = f(\xi)d\xi^{1/2}d\bar{\xi}$ locally and

$$s = \frac{1}{2\pi_i} \int f(\xi) d\xi^{1/2} \cdot \frac{d\xi^{1/2} d\mu^{1/2}}{(\xi - \mu)} = \frac{d\mu^{1/2}}{2\pi_i} \int f(\xi) \cdot \frac{1}{\xi - \mu} d\xi d\bar{\xi}$$

is a well-defined global section of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}s = \theta$. What we want to estimate now is the asymptotic behaviour of $d_V s$, but this is

$$d_V s = \int \nabla_i \theta(x, \xi) \frac{z_1(\eta)}{\eta - \xi} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi},$$

and as in [3], if a solution of $\nabla_y - i\Phi_z$ decays, so do its derivatives, so this gives us control over the asymptotic decay of $d_V s$ which is our Dirac field.

Because the Dirac field decays exponentially it is integrable as required.

We have seen that under the twistor correspondence the space $V_z = H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 1))$ is isomorphic to the space W_z of integrable solutions to $(D_A + \Phi_z)\Psi = 0$. Now consider the map

$$H^0(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{O}(2)) \otimes V_z \xrightarrow{m} H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p + 1)),$$

which was used to define the H_i . The space $H^0(S_p, L^{-z}I_p(2m_p - 1))$ can be mapped by a coboundary to $H^1(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(1))$. Consider a $(0, 1)$ form w representing a class in $H^1(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(1))$. This can be pulled back to $\mathbf{C}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1$ to give \hat{w} . Just as with $H^1(\mathcal{T}, L^{-z}E(-1))$ we can solve $\bar{\partial}_{\mathbf{P}_1} s = w$, but now s is not unique but can be changed by adding elements of $H^0(\mathbf{P}_1, L^{-z}E(1))$. Letting $\Psi = d_V s: \mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}_1, T_v^*(1)) \otimes \mathbf{C}^n \simeq S^1 \otimes S^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^n$, where S^k is the irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ of dimension k , it can be checked that Ψ is in the H^1 of the elliptic complex

$$C^\infty(\mathbf{C}^3, S^1 \otimes \mathbf{C}^n) \xrightarrow{T} C^\infty(\mathbf{C}^3, S^1 \otimes S^2 \mathbf{C}^n) \xrightarrow{D} C^\infty(\mathbf{C}, S^3 \otimes \mathbf{C}^n)$$

(see [2] for the four-dimensional version of this). If we let e_1, e_2, e_3 be a basis for $\mathbf{C}^3 \simeq sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ with $e_j^2 = -1, e_1 e_2 = e_3$, etc., then we have that T is the *twistor operator*

$$T(\Psi) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 (D_A - \Phi_z)(e_j \Psi) \otimes e_j \tag{33}$$

and

$$D\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \varphi_i \otimes \sigma^i\right) = \text{sym} \sum_{i=1}^3 \{(D_A - \Psi_z)\varphi_i \otimes e_i\}, \tag{34}$$

where $\text{sym}: S^2 \otimes S^1 \rightarrow S^3$ is the symmetrization map $S^2(\mathbf{C}^2) \otimes \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow S^3(\mathbf{C}^2)$.

If $\eta, z_i \in H^0(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{O}(2))$ it can be readily checked that the multiplication map is

$$m: \mathbf{C}^4 \otimes W_z \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3, S^1 \otimes S^2),$$

$$\eta \otimes \varphi_0 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \otimes \varphi_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^3 x^j \varphi_0 \otimes e_j + \sum_{j=1}^3 i \varphi_j \otimes e_j.$$

Finally we have

Proposition 35. *The element $\eta \otimes \varphi_0 + i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \otimes \varphi_j$ is in the kernel of m if there is some $\chi: \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow S^1$ with*

$$\sum_{j=1}^3 (x^j \varphi_0 + i \varphi_j) \otimes e_j = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 (D_A - \Phi_z) e_j \chi \otimes e_j$$

or

$$x^i \varphi_0 + i\varphi_j = -\frac{1}{2}(D_A - \Phi_z)e_j \chi \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{36}$$

Now let us assume that χ is integrable. Then $D_A - \Phi_z$ is the adjoint of $D_A + \Phi_z$, so if π is the projection $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3, S^1) \rightarrow \{\text{kernel of } D_A + \Phi_z\} = W_z$, applying π to Eq. (36) gives

$$i\pi(x^j \varphi_0) = \varphi_j.$$

Comparing with (6) this means the kernel of the multiplication map is all $\eta \otimes \varphi_0 + i \sum_{i=1}^3 z^i \otimes T_i(z) \varphi_0$ and we therefore have

Proposition 37. *The isomorphisms $V_z \rightarrow W_z$ intertwines the endomorphisms $T_i(z)$ and $H_i(z)$, and hence Nahm's curves N_i and the spectral curves coincide for a general monopole.*

Proof. It remains to show that χ is integrable. Return to Proposition 17 and 30 and let $\theta_j^\pm, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ be the representative form for the class isomorphic to φ_j as used in those proofs. Then from the construction of φ_j^\pm there are μ^\pm having support in the compact neighbourhood of S_p and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+ &\in \Omega^0(\mathcal{F}, L^{-z} E_p^+(-1)), \\ \mu^- &\in \Omega^0(\mathcal{F}, L^{-z} E_{n-p}^-(-1)), \\ \eta \theta_0^\pm - i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \theta_j^\pm &= \bar{\partial} \mu^\pm, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu^+ = \mu^- + \gamma.$$

When we form $\theta = \theta^+ - \bar{\partial} \gamma|_{V_s}$, we can also form $\mu = \mu^+ - \gamma|_{V_s}$ and we have

$$\eta \theta_0 - i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \theta_j = \mu.$$

Pulling back to $\mathbf{R}^3 \times \mathbf{P}_1$ gives

$$\eta \hat{\theta}_0 - i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j \hat{\theta}_j = \hat{\mu},$$

and if $\theta_j = \bar{\partial} s_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\eta s_0 - i \sum_{j=1}^3 z_j s_j = \hat{\mu} + \chi \tag{38}$$

is the equation defining $\chi: \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{R}_1, L^{-z} \hat{E}(-1))$.

Using the Cauchy kernel argument we can obtain decay estimates on the s_j , and because the μ has the same support properties and takes its values in the same sub-bundles as θ we can also obtain decay estimates on $\hat{\mu}$. It follows from (38) that χ must decay exponentially at infinity and therefore is integrable.

5. Conclusion

We have seen that Nahm's curves are the same as the spectral curves of a general monopole. In fact a lot more is true, namely that any collection of spectral data satisfying the vanishing conditions in Proposition 17 arise from a monopole. These results will appear in [5].

The ADHM construction and therefore Nahm's construction really only work for $SU(n)$ (and hence the other classical groups with suitable modifications). However the spectral curves are defined for the exceptional groups also and it would be interesting to know if, as has been suggested by Atiyah, one can perhaps solve Nahm's equations on the "dual" of the Dynkin diagram for a general monopole.

Acknowledgements. The second author, M. K. Murray, would like to thank A. Carey, R. Moore and R. Richardson for useful discussions.

References

1. Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic geometry. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer 1977
2. Hitchin, N. J.: Linear field equations on self-dual spaces. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **A370**, 173–191 (1980)
3. Hitchin, N. J.: Monopoles and geodesics. Commun. Math. Phys. **83**, 579–602 (1982)
4. Hitchin, N. J.: On the construction of monopoles. Commun. Math. Phys. **89**, 145–190 (1983)
5. Hurtubise, J. C., Murray, M. K.: On the construction of $SU(n)$ monopoles (to appear)
6. Murray, M. K.: Monopoles and spectral curves for arbitrary Lie groups. Commun. Math. Phys. **90**, 263–271 (1983)
7. Murray, M. K.: Non-abelian magnetic monopoles. Commun. Math. Phys. **96**, 539–565 (1984)
8. Nahm, W.: The algebraic geometry of multimonopoles. Bonn University preprint, BONN-HE-82-30

Communicated by A. Jaffe

Received July 23, 1987