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#### Abstract

In the first part of this note we find conditions under which the frequency spectrum of a transformation exhibits delta functions. In the second part we show that if an ergodic flow on an $m$-dimensional manifold has $m-1$ strictly negative characteristic exponents, then the measure is concentrated either on a fixed point or on a closed attracting orbit.


## 1. Introduction

In this note we examine some relations between the properties of an invariant measure (for a transformation or a flow) and some of its numerical characteristics, viz. the frequency spectrum and the characteristic exponents. Our remarks are relevant to studies by computer.

In Sect. 2 we find conditions under which the frequency spectrum of a transformation exhibits delta functions. A situation like the one we describe (cyclic permutation of attractors) arises in the case of the Hénon map for various values of the parameter (see [3] and [2]). In Sect. 3 we study an ergodic flow on a compact manifold. We show that if all the characteristic exponents but one are strictly negative, then all the measure is carried by a fixed point or by an attracting closed orbit. This generalizes the analogous result for transformations ([5], Corollary 6.2). For the basic definitions and results on transformations and flows see [1] and [4].

## 2. Presence of Delta Functions in the Frequency Spectrum

We consider a continuous transformation $T$ of a topological space. We assume that there is a finite number of disjoint compact sets which are cyclically permuted by $T$ and a Borel $T$ invariant measure, whose support is the union of the compacts. A standard method for studying the dynamical system generated by a transfor-

[^0]mation is to plot some real coordinate and to study its frequency spectrum. Our purpose is to find conditions, under which the frequency spectrum of some coordinate, with respect to $T$, exhibits delta functions in points different from 0 .

Let $\Omega$ be a topological space and $T$ a continuous map $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$. We assume that there are $q$ compact subsets of $\Omega K_{0}, \ldots, K_{q-1}$ such that $K_{i} \cap K_{j} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $f\left(K_{i}\right) \subset K_{i+1}$ for $0 \leqq i \leqq q-2$ and $f\left(K_{q-1}\right) \subset K_{0}$ and that there is a $T$ invariant probability measure $\mu$ on $\Omega$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \mu=K=\bigcup_{l=0}^{q-1} K_{l}$.

We define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{l}=\left.q \mu\right|_{K_{l}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a continuous function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we can consider its correlation function $a_{\varphi}$ with respect to the measure $\mu$ and the map $T$ and the averages of $\varphi$ with respect to the measures $\mu_{l}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\varphi}(k) & =\int \varphi\left(T^{k} x\right) \bar{\varphi}(x) d \mu(x) \\
b_{\varphi}(l) & =\int \varphi(x) d \mu_{l}(x) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We can assume, without loss of generality that the map $T$ is invertible (see Remark 2.2). In this case the definition of $a_{\varphi}(k)$ makes sense for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\varphi \varphi}(-k)=\overline{a_{\varphi}(k)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\varphi}(k)=\int_{0^{-}}^{2 \pi-} e^{i k \lambda} d F_{\varphi}(\lambda) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d F_{\varphi}(\lambda)$ is a positive measure on the interval $[0,2 \pi)$.
We define $h_{\varphi}(n)=F\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{q}+\right)-F\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{q}-\right) n=0, \ldots, q-1$ and we put $G_{q}$ to be the subgroup of the group of the roots of the unity generated by the set $\left\{\left.e^{\frac{i 2 \pi n}{q}} \right\rvert\, h_{\varphi}(n)>0\right\}$. Then we have the following:

Theorem 2.1. Let $p$ be the smallest positive integer such that $b_{\varphi}(l)=b_{\varphi}(m)$ $\forall l, m \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$ with $l=m(\bmod p)$ and let $H_{\varphi}$ be the group $\left\{\left.e^{\frac{i 2 \pi n}{p}} \right\rvert\, 0 \leqq n \leqq p-1\right\}$.
Then we have $H_{\varphi} \subset G_{\varphi}$. If $T$ is ergodic, then $H_{\varphi}=G_{\varphi}$.
Proof. Let $U$ be the unitary operator on $L_{2}(\Omega, \mu)$ induced by $T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U f(x)=f(T x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ can be written in terms of its spectral decomposition as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\int_{0^{-}}^{2 \pi^{-}} e^{i \lambda} d E(\lambda) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\varphi}(\lambda)=(E(\lambda) \varphi, \varphi) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)=\int f(x) \bar{g}(x) d \mu(x) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} e^{\frac{i 2 \pi l n}{q}} \chi_{K_{l}}(x) \quad n=0,1, \ldots, q-1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\chi_{K_{l}}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x \in K_{l} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

are eigenfunctions of $U$ corresponding respectively to the eigenvalues $e^{\frac{i 2 \pi n}{q}}$, as it follows from the properties of $T$. Therefore the space

$$
\left[E\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{q}+\right)-E\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{q}-\right)\right] L_{2}(\Omega, \mu)
$$

contains $f_{n}(x)$ and we have:

$$
h_{\varphi}(n)=\left(\left[E\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{q}+\right)-E\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{q}-\right)\right] \varphi, \varphi\right) \geqq\left|\left(f_{n}, \varphi\right)\right|^{2}=\left|c_{\varphi}(n)\right|^{2},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\varphi}(n)=\frac{1}{q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} b_{\varphi}(l) e^{\frac{i 2 \pi n l}{q}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\varphi}(l)=\frac{1}{q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} c_{\varphi}(n) e^{\frac{-i 2 \pi l n}{q}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $T$ is $\mu$ ergodic, then all the eigenvalues of $U$ are simple (see e.g. [1]). So we have in this case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\varphi}(n)=\left|c_{\varphi}(n)\right|^{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem follows readily from (2.10), (2.11), and (2.12).
Remark 2.2. We note that if $T$ is not invertible, there is a standard way to construct an "equivalent" invertible $\tilde{T}$ acting on a space $\tilde{K}$. Let us consider the set

$$
\tilde{K}=\left\{\left(x_{n}\right) \in K^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid T\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n+1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

$\tilde{K}$ is a compact subset of $K^{\pi}$ with the product topology, since it is closed. Let $p_{0}$ be the projection $p_{0}: \tilde{K} \rightarrow \Omega$.
$p_{0}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=x_{0}$ and let $\tilde{T}=\tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ be the shift $(\tilde{T} x)_{n}=x_{n+1}$. The following diagram is commutative and $\tilde{T}$ is invertible.


Let $\mu$ be a $T$ invariant measure on $\Omega$ with support on $K$. By Hahn-Banach and Markov-Kakutani theorems, there is a $\tilde{T}$ invariant probability measure on $\tilde{K}$ such that $p_{0}(\tilde{\mu})=\mu$. This measure is unique, because it is uniquely defined on the cylindrical sets.

So we can consider the space $\tilde{K}$, the invertible map $\tilde{T}$, the invariant measure $\tilde{\mu}$, the compact sets $\tilde{K}_{l}=p_{0}^{-1}\left(K_{l}\right)$, which are cyclically permuted by $\tilde{T}$, and the function $\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi \circ p_{0}$.
Remark 2.3. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and assume that $q$ is a prime number. Assume also that some hyperplane separates two of the compact sets $K_{i}, K_{j}$ that is: $\exists t \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{n} x_{n}>u & \forall x \in K_{i}, \\
\sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{n} x_{n}<u & \forall x \in K_{j} .
\end{array}
$$

Then, of course

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{n} b_{x_{n}}(i)>u, \\
& \sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{n} b_{x_{n}}(j)<u
\end{aligned}
$$

so that for some Cartesian coordinate $x_{n} 1 \leqq n \leqq m$ we have $b_{x_{n}}(i) \neq b_{x_{n}}(j)$, which implies, since $q$ is a prime number by Theorem 2.1, that

$$
G_{x_{n}}=\left\{\left.e^{\frac{i n \pi}{q}} \right\rvert\, 0 \leqq n \leqq q-1\right\} .
$$

If $q$ is not a prime number, we need that sufficiently many pairs of compact sets are separated by hyperplanes, in order to have that the group $G$ generated by $G_{x_{1}}, \ldots, G_{x_{m}}$ be equal to $\left\{\left.e^{\frac{i 2 n \pi}{q}} \right\rvert\, 0 \leqq n \leqq q-1\right\}$.

## 3. Existence of an Attracting Closed Orbit

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional compact differentiable manifold and let $f^{t}$ be a flow on it generated by a $C^{1+\alpha}$ vector field $X$. If $\varrho$ is an $f^{t}$ invariant probability measure on $M$, then the characteristic exponents are constant almost everywhere with respect to $\varrho$. We have the following result:

Theorem 3.1. If the characteristic exponents of $f^{t}$ are all strictly negative, then supp $\varrho$ is an attractive fixed point for $f^{t}$. If $m-1$ characteristic exponents are strictly negative, then either (a) or (b) is verified:
(a) There is a fixed point $p$ of $f^{t}$ such that $\varrho(\{p\})=1$.
(b) There is an attracting closed orbit $\Delta$ for $f^{t}$ such that $\varrho(\Delta)=1$.

If $X(p) \neq 0$ for some point $p \in \operatorname{supp} \varrho$, then only (b) is possible.
Proof. The characteristic exponents for $f^{t}$ are the same as those for the $C^{1+\alpha}$ map $f^{1}$. Therefore the case where all the characteristic exponents are strictly negative follows from [5]. Let us now consider the case where $m-1$ characteristic exponents are strictly negative. In general there exists a Borel set $\Gamma \subset M$ such that $f^{t}(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma \forall t \geqq 0, \varrho(\Gamma)=1$ and the characteristic exponents are constant on $\Gamma$. In view of Theorem 6.1 of [5] $\forall \lambda$ negative bigger than all the negative characteristic exponents and $\forall p \in \Gamma$ there is a $C^{1+\alpha} m-1$-dimensional manifold $U_{p}^{\lambda}(\alpha(p))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p}^{\lambda}(\alpha(p))=\left\{y \in \bar{B}(p, \alpha(p)) \mid d\left(f^{n}(y), f^{n}(p)\right) \leqq \beta(p) e^{n \lambda}, \forall n \geqq 0\right\}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(p)$ and $\beta(p)$ are given strictly positive measurable functions on $\Gamma$.
If, for all $p \in \operatorname{supp} \varrho \cap \Gamma, X(p)=0$, then by the ergodicity of $\varrho$, there is a point $\bar{p} \in \Gamma$, such that $\varrho(\{\bar{p}\})=1$ and $\bar{p}$ is a fixed point for $f^{t}$.

Let us suppose that there exists a point $p \in \operatorname{supp} \varrho \cap \Gamma$ such that the vector field $X$ is different from 0 in $p$. The vector $X(p)$ is transversal to the manifold $U_{p}^{\lambda}(\alpha(p))$, since $U_{p}^{\lambda}(\alpha(p))$ is tangent in $p$ to $V_{p}^{\lambda}$, the subspace of $T_{p}(M)$ associated to all the negative exponents of $f^{t}$, whereas the vector $X(p)$ has 0 exponent, since it belongs to the field generating the flow.

Therefore there is a $\gamma>0$ and an open submanifold $U$ of $U_{p}^{\lambda}(\alpha(p))$ such that $p \in U$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi: U \times(-\gamma, \gamma) \rightarrow M \quad \psi(x, t)=f^{t}(x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a diffeomorphism of $U \times(-\gamma, \gamma)$ onto $\psi(U \times(-\gamma, \gamma))$.
Let $\bar{p}$ be a limit point for the sequence $\left(f^{n}(p)\right) n \geqq 0$ and let us consider the set $P=\left\{f^{t}(\bar{p}) \left\lvert\, t \in\left[\frac{-\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right.\right\}$ and for $\varepsilon>0$ define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\varepsilon}=\{r \in M \mid d(r, P)<\varepsilon\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $\delta>0$ and integers $N \geqq 0$ and $n_{1} \geqq N$ such that:
(i) $\quad d\left(f^{t}(x), f^{t}(y)\right)<\varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in M \quad d(x, y)<\delta \quad|t| \leqq \frac{\gamma}{2}$
(ii) $\quad d\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(p)\right)<\frac{\delta}{2} \quad \forall x \in U_{p}^{\lambda}(\alpha(p)) \quad \forall n \geqq N$
(iii) $\quad d\left(f^{n_{1}}(p), \bar{p}\right)<\frac{\delta}{2}$.

We have $f^{n_{1}}\left(\psi\left(U \times\left[-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right)\right) \subset W_{\varepsilon}$. Let indeed $y \in f^{n_{1}}\left(\psi\left(U \times\left[-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right)\right)$, then $y=f^{t}\left(f^{n_{1}}(x)\right)$ with $x \in U$ and $|t| \leqq \frac{\gamma}{2}$. By using the inequalities (3.4) we obtain $d\left(y, f^{t}(\bar{p})\right)<\varepsilon$, which implies $y \in W_{\varepsilon}$.

Therefore $\varrho\left(W_{\varepsilon}\right) \geqq \varrho\left(\psi\left(U \times\left[-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right)\right)=\mathcal{O}>0$, since $\psi\left(U \times\left[-\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\right)$ is a neighbourhood of $p$.

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho\left(W_{\varepsilon}\right) \geqq 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\varrho(P)=\varrho\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{1 / n}\right)=\inf _{n} \varrho\left(W_{1 / n}\right) \geqq \mathcal{O}>0 .
$$

Therefore $P$ must be a recurrent set for a trajectory starting from $\bar{p}$, and two cases would be possible : either $P$ is part of a closed orbit $\Delta$, which is of course attracting, and $\varrho(\Delta)=1$, or else $\bar{p}$ is a fixed point with $\varrho(\{\bar{p}\})=1$. The latter case is actually not possible, since we could find a neighbourhood $V$ of $p$, such that $\bar{p} \notin V$, so that $\varrho(V)=0$, which contradicts $p \in \operatorname{supp} \varrho$.
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