Commun. Math. Phys. 69, 1-17 (1979)

# Invariant Measures for Markov Maps of the Interval\*

Rufus Bowen<sup>†</sup>

Abstract. There is a theorem in ergodic theory which gives three conditions sufficient for a piecewise smooth mapping on the interval to admit a finite invariant ergodic measure equivalent to Lebesgue. When the hypotheses fail in certain ways, this work shows that the same conclusion can still be gotten by applying the theorem mentioned to another transformation related to the original one by the method of inducing.

It is often difficult to decide whether a given map  $f: I \rightarrow I$  of an interval admits an invariant measure equivalent to Lebesgue measure. Piecewise differentiable fwhich are expanding [i.e.,  $\inf |(f^n)'(x)| > 1$  for some n] have such measures under mild additional hypotheses [1, 11, 8, 13, 16]. This paper gives sufficient conditions for certain nonexpandings maps to have invariant measures. This result unifies a number of examples and its conditions are quite computable.

A map  $f: I \to I$  of the interval I = [a, b] is Markov if one can find a finite or countable collection  $\{I_k\}$  of disjoint open intervals such that

- a) f is defined on  $\cup I_k$  and  $I \setminus \cup I_k$  has measure zero.
- b)  $f|I_k$  is strictly monotonic and extends to a  $C^2$  function on  $\overline{I}_k$  for each k,
- c) if  $f(I_k) \cap I_j \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f(I_k) \supset I_j$ , and d) there is an R so that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{R} f^n(I_k) \supset I_j$  for every k and j.

A measure  $\mu$  on I which is equivalent to Lebesgue has the form  $\mu(E) = \int_{\Sigma} p(x) dx$ where p(x) is a positive measurable function. We will be trying to understand and apply the following result of Adler  $[1, 2]^1$ .

Adler's Theorem. Let  $f: I \to I$  be Markov,  $M = \sup_{I_k} \sup_{y,z \in I_k} \left| \frac{f''(z)}{f'(y)^2} \right| < +\infty$  and  $\lambda_n = \inf_{x} |(f^n)'(x)| > 1$  for some n. Then f admits an invariant finite measure  $d\mu = p(x)dx$  with p(x) bounded away from 0 and  $+\infty$ .

Let us recall two well-known Markov maps which have invariant measures but are not expanding. The first one is  $f_1(x) = 4x(1-x)$  on [0, 1]. This is not expanding because  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  is a critical point. The second example is on  $I = [0, \infty] : f_2(x) = 1/x$ on [0, 1] and  $f_2(x) = x - 1$  on  $[1, \infty]$ . This map is not expanding because  $x = \infty$  is a fixed point with slope 1 (to see this change the variable to  $u = \frac{x}{1+x}$ ). This example is of course related to continued fractions :  $x = [a_0, a_1, a_2, ...]$  where the  $a_i$ 's are the number of iterates  $f^kx$  of x that are in  $[1, \infty]$  between visits to [0, 1]. It is customary in studying continued fractions to use instead of  $f_2$  the map  $f_3$  of [0, 1]defined by  $f_3(x)$  = fractional part of 1/x. One reason for this is that  $f_3$  is expanding while  $f_2$  is not; another is that  $f_3$  admits the finite invariant measure  $d\mu = \frac{1}{\ln 2} \frac{dx}{1+x}$  while  $f_2$ 's invariant measure is infinite.

The relation between  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  is that  $f_2$  induces  $f_3$ ; namely, for  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f_3(x)$  is the first iterate  $f_2^n(x)$  which lies in [0, 1). Adler invented the hypotheses of his theorem to apply to  $f_3$ . The main thrust of our result is that his conditions in fact hold for  $f_3$  because of the way it is derived from  $f_2$ . Furthermore, not only the fixed point  $+\infty$  with  $f'_2(+\infty)=1$ , but also the critical point  $x=\frac{1}{2}$  for  $f_1(x)$  can be "induced away". The shift to infinite measure accompanies sources of slope 1 but not critical points (answering a question of Adler [1]). Before stating the theorem some acknowledgements are in order. Adler and

Weiss [3] studied Boole's mapping  $f(x) = x - \frac{1}{x}$  but didn't get the condition  $M < \infty$  above because of the interval they induced on. Ruelle's paper [12] giving a value  $R \neq 4$  for which  $f_R(x) = Rx(1-x)$  has an invariant measure led me to see if inducing would work for critical points. After formulating this paper, I learned that Bunimovich [for  $f(x) = q\pi \sin(x) \pmod{\pi}$ ] [5] and Jakobson and Sinai [7] [for  $f_R(x) = Rx(1-x)$  for certain R's] had already induced near a critical point. Jakobson and Sinai certainly know all the ideas we present, and Jakobson has now gone much further than we have. Our paper is mostly calculus and takes a computational approach.

## 1. Statement of Theorem

Throughout the rest of the paper f will always be a map of I = [a, b] which is Markov with regard to a finite set of open intervals  $I_1, \ldots, I_d$ . The function  $f^n$  is then continuous on each open interval  $I_{i_0} \cap f^{-1}I_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap f^{-n+1}I_{i_{n-1}}$  and extends to a  $C^2$ function on the closure of such an interval. If x is not in such an open interval, then x is an endpoint of two such intervals (unless x = a or b).  $f^n(x)$  then has two values, which we denote by  $f^n(x-)$  and  $f^n(x+)$ . One naturally thinks of such an x as being two points, x + and x -. Number the  $I_k = (a_k, b_k)$  so that  $b_k = a_{k+1}$ , for  $1 \le k < d$ . We will consistently think of  $a_{k+1}$  and  $b_k$  as distinct points, so that f(p)makes sense for any  $p \in S = \{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_r, b_r\}$  and  $f: S \to S$  because f is Markov. By this convention notice that if  $f^n p = p$  with  $p \in S$ , then one must have  $(f^n)'(p) \ge 0$ . The height H(p) of  $p \in S$  is the smallest  $n \ge 0$  so that  $f^n p$  is periodic under  $f: S \to S$ .

To formulate the theorem one needs a couple of calculus lemmas. The function  $f:[x_0, x_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not flat at  $x_0$  if for some  $r \ge 1$ ,  $f^{(r)}(x_0) \ne 0$  and f is  $C^{r+1}$  on  $[x_0, x_1]$ .

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $f : [x_0, x_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is not flat at  $x_0$ . Then for  $U = (x_0, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$  small

$$A(U) = \inf_{x \in U} \left| \frac{f'(x)(x - x_0)}{f(x) - f(x_0)} \right| > 0 \quad and \quad \sup_{x \in U} \left| \frac{f''(x)(f(x) - f(x_0))}{f'(x)^2} \right| < \infty .$$

*Proof.* By Taylor's formula there are  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in (x_0, x]$  with

$$\begin{split} f(x) - f(x_0) &= f^{(r)}(x_0)(x - x_0)^r + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi_1)}{(r+1)!}(x - x_0)^{r+1}, \\ f'(x) &= rf^{(r)}(x_0)(x - x_0)^{r-1} + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi_2)}{r!}(x - x_0)^r, \\ f''(x) &= f^{(r)}(x_0)r(r-1)(x - x_0)^{r-2} + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi_3)}{(r-1)!}(x - x_0)^{r-1}, \end{split}$$

where  $r \ge 1$  is minimal subject to  $f^{(r)}(x_0) \ne 0$ . Letting

$$C_{r+1}(\xi) = \sup_{\xi \in U} \left| \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi)}{(r+1)!} \right|$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f'(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)}{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0)} \right| &\geq \frac{r|f^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}_0)| - (r+1)C_{r+1}\varepsilon}{|f^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}_0)| + \varepsilon C_{r+1}} \\ &\geq r \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon C_{r+1} + \frac{r+1}{r}\varepsilon C_{r+1}}{|f^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}_0)| + \varepsilon C_{r+1}} \right) \\ &\geq r \left( 1 - \frac{3C_{r+1}\varepsilon}{|f^{(r)}(\mathbf{x}_0)|} \right). \end{aligned}$$
(\*)

The sup in the lemma is finite provided

$$\inf |f^{(r)}(x_0)r + \frac{f^{(r+1)}(\xi_2)}{r!}(x-x_0)| > 0$$

or

 $|f^{(r)}(x_0)r| > (r+1)\varepsilon C_{r+1}(\varepsilon)$ .

This holds for small  $\varepsilon$  and in particular whenever the expression (\*) above is positive.  $\Box$ 

 $x_0$  is a source for  $f:[x_0, x_1] \rightarrow [x_0, x_2]$  provided  $f(x_0) = x_0$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f^{-n}x = x_0$  for x near  $x_0$ . This implies that  $f'(x_0) \ge 1$ . The source  $x_0$  is called *regular* if either (a)  $f'(x_0) > 1$  or (b) f'(x) decreases monotonically to 1 as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ . Taylor's formula shows

that (b) holds for a source  $x_0$  with  $f'(x_0) = 1$  and  $f^{(r)}(x_0) \neq 0$  for some r > 1. For  $U = (x_0, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  and  $x \in U$ , let  $m_U(x)$  denote the smallest m > 0 with  $f^m x \notin U$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $x_0$  be a regular source for f and  $U = (x_0, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$  small. There is a constant B(U) > 0 so that

$$(f^{m_U(x)})'(x) > \frac{B(U)}{|x-x_0|}$$
 for all  $x \in U$ .

*Proof.* First assume  $f'(x_0) = 1$  and choose  $\varepsilon$  so that f'(x) is decreasing as  $x \to x_0$  with  $x \in U$ . Then  $(f^m)'(t) \leq (f^m)'(x)$  for  $x_0 \leq t \leq x$  and  $m = m_U(x)$ . So

$$(f^m)'(x)(x-x_0) \ge \int_{x_0}^x (f^m)'(t)dt = f^m(x) - f^m(x_0) \ge \varepsilon$$
.

Take  $B(U) = \varepsilon$ .

Suppose  $f'(x_0) > 1$ . Choose  $\varepsilon$  so that  $\lambda = \inf_{s \in U} f'(s) > 1$ . Define  $g(x) = \log f'(x)$ .

Then 
$$g'(s) = \frac{f''(s)}{f'(s)}$$
 and so

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq \frac{c}{\lambda} |x - y|$$
 for  $x, y \in U$ ,

where  $c = \sup_{t \in U} |f''(s)|$ . For  $t \in [x_0, x]$  one has  $[f^k t, f^k x] \in U$  for all  $0 \le k < m = m_U(x)$ . Since f|U expands distances by at least  $\lambda$ , and m-k-1 iterates of  $[f^k t, f^k x]$  lie in  $U, |f^k x - f^k t| \le \varepsilon \lambda^{-m+k+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\log (f^m)'(x) - \log (f^m)'(t) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |g(f^k x) - g(f^k t)| \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \varepsilon \lambda^{-m+k+1} \leq \frac{c\varepsilon}{\lambda - 1} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(f^m)'(t) \leq (f^m)'(x) \exp\left(\frac{c\varepsilon}{\lambda - 1}\right)$  and

$$\varepsilon \leq f^{m}(x) - f^{m}(x_{0}) = \int_{x_{0}}^{x} (f^{m})'(t)dt \leq |x - x_{0}|(f^{m})'(x) \exp \frac{c\varepsilon}{\lambda - 1}.$$
  
Take  $B(U) = \varepsilon \exp\left(\frac{-c\varepsilon}{\lambda - 1}\right).$ 

Points  $p \in S$  with H(p) > 0 will be assumed not flat for f. A standard interval  $U = U_p$  is one satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1  $[x_0 = p \text{ and } U = (x_0, x_0 + \varepsilon) \text{ or } U = (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0)$  depending on whether p is an  $a_k$  or a  $b_k$ ]. For  $p \in S$  with H(p) = 0 and having period r, we will assume p is a regular source for  $f^r$ . A standard interval  $U = U_p$  for such a p is one with  $f^r | U$  continuous,

$$(f^r)' > 1$$
 on  $\overline{U}_p \setminus \{p\}$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} (f^{-r})^k x = p$  for  $x \in U$ 

and such that Lemma 2 holds for  $U_p$  [here  $x_0 = p, f^r$  is used in place of f, and  $U = (x_0, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  or  $(x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0)$ ].

**Theorem.** Suppose that f is not flat at points  $p \in S$  where H(p) > 0 and all the points  $p \in S$  with H(p) = 0 are regular periodic sources. Suppose standard intervals  $U_p$ ,  $p \in S$ , are given with

a)  $fU_p \subset U_{fp}$  when H(p) > 0 and b) length  $U_p < A(U_p)A(U_{fp}) \dots A(U_{f^{H(p)-1}p})B(U_{f^{H(p)}p})$ when H(p) > 0.

Finally suppose that

$$\lambda_N^* = \inf \left\{ \max_{1 \le n \le N} |(f^n)'(x)| : x \notin \bigcup_{p \in S} \bar{U}_p \right\} > 1$$

for some N > 1. Then f admits an invariant measure  $\mu$  equivalent to Lebesque,  $\mu$  is ergodic for f, and  $\mu$  is finite iff all the periodic points in S are expanding (i.e.,  $|(f^{r})'(p)| > 1$  where  $f^{r}p = p$ .

## 2. Examples

A number of Markov maps have the property that for some n > 0

 $|(f^n)'(x)| > 1$  for all  $x \notin S$ .

For the theorem to apply here it is enough to see that the periodic points of S are not flat. The condition above implies they are all sources, Lemmas 1 and 2 guarantee the existence of standard intervals, a) and b) hold by using small intervals, and  $\lambda_n^* > 1$  by an obvious compactness argument.

## A. Continued Fractions

Using the variable  $u = \frac{x}{1+x} \in [0,1]$  the map  $f_2$  of the introduction changes to

$$\tilde{f}_{2}(u) = \begin{cases} 1 - u & \text{for } u \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2 - u^{-1} & \text{for } u \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

Here  $S = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, -, \frac{1}{2}, +, 1\}, |(f^2)'(u)| > 1$  except for u = 0, 1, and u = 1 is the only periodic point of S. The point u = 1 is a regular source since  $f'(u) = \frac{1}{u^2}$  decreases to 1 as  $u \rightarrow 1$ . Thus the theorem applies.  $f_2$  is closely connected with the action of  $GL(2,\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  as linear fractional transformations. It seems likely that to any Fuchsian group of the first kind one can associate a natural Markov map of the real line<sup>2</sup>.

#### B. Renyi's Example

Define  $f_4$  on  $[0, \infty]$  by

$$f_4(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-x} & \text{for } x \in [0,1] \\ x-1 & \text{for } x \in [1,\infty] \end{cases}$$

<sup>2</sup> This is in fact the case; see Comment 2

Using again the variable  $u = \frac{x}{1+x}$ ,  $f_4$  becomes

$$\tilde{f}_4(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{u}{1-u} & \text{for } u \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 2-u^{-1} & \text{for } u \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

The periodic points in  $S = \{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2$ 

$$x \rightarrow$$
 fractional part of  $\frac{x}{1-x}$ .

It follows that this map has an infinite ergodic invariant measure. This fact is due to Renyi (see [1, 11]).

## C. Boole Mappings

Adler and Weiss [3] showed that  $f_5(x) = x - \frac{1}{x}$  is ergodic on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Here we use the variable  $u = \arctan x \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . Then  $\tilde{f}_5(u) = \arctan \left(\tan u - \frac{1}{\tan u}\right)$  and one computes  $\tilde{f}'_5(u) = \frac{1}{3\sin^4 u - 3\sin^2 u + 1}$ . This shows  $\tilde{f}'_5(u) > 1$  for  $u \notin S = \{-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 - , 0 +, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ . The periodic points in S are  $\pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ , both regular sources of slope 1. Thus  $\tilde{f}_5$  (and so  $f_5$ ) admits an infinite ergodic measure equivalent to Lebesgue.

The generalized Boole mappings are

$$f(x) = x - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i (x - \eta_i)^{-1}$$
,

where the  $p_i$  are positive and  $\eta_1 < \eta_2 < ... < \eta_N$ . These examples have been studied by Schweiger [14], Lie and Schweiger [9] and Adler and Flatto. Here f'(x)=1 $+\sum p_i(x-\eta_i)^{-2} > 1$  and one sees that each of the intervals from the partition  $-\infty$ ,  $\eta_1,...,\eta_N, +\infty$  are mapped monotonically onto  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  by f. Choose  $J = [-A, A] \supset [\eta_1 - 1, \eta_N + 1]$  so that  $|f(x)| \leq |x|$  for  $x \notin J$  and choose the constant c > 0 so that

$$x \in J$$
,  $f(x) \notin J \Rightarrow |f'(x) \ge c|f(x)|^2$ .

For B large choose a  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphism h of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto a finite interval I so that a) h(x) = x for  $|x| \le B$ ,

b) 
$$h'(x) = \frac{B}{x^2}$$
 for  $|x| \ge 2B$ ,  
c)  $h'(x) \ge \frac{B}{x^2}$  for  $|x| \ge B$ , and

d) 
$$|x| \ge |y| \Rightarrow h'(x) \le h'(y)$$
.

Choose B large enough so Bc > 1 and  $J \in [-B, B]$ ; set  $\tilde{f} = h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$ . For  $u \in J$  one has

$$|\tilde{f}'(u)| = |f'(u)| > 1$$
 if  $|f(u)| \le B$ 

and

$$|\tilde{f}'(u)| = |f'(u)| |h'(f(u))| \ge c |f(x)|^2 \frac{B}{|f(x)|^2} > 1 \text{ if } |f(u)| \ge B.$$

For  $u \in I \setminus J$  one has  $h^{-1}(u) \notin J$ ,  $|f(h^{-1}(u))| \leq |h^{-1}(u)|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}'(u)| &= |h'(f(h^{-1}u))| |f'(h^{-1}u)| |h'(h^{-1}u)|^{-1} \\ &\geq |f'(h^{-1}u)| > 1 \quad \text{by } \quad \text{d}) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Condition b) says that h(x) differs from  $-\frac{B}{x}$  by a constant on each of the intervals

 $(-\infty, -2B)$  and  $(2B, +\infty)$ . Plugging this into the expression for f(x) one sees that  $\tilde{f}'=1$  at each end point of *I*. These endpoints are sources for  $\tilde{f}$  because  $\pm \infty$  are for *f*; they are regular because  $\tilde{f}$  is analytic and not constant near them. Thus the theorem applies and we recover the results of [9].

Another example with nonexpanding sources was handled by Bogoyavlenski [18, p. 21]. The second class of examples are those with critical points. Bunimovich [5] verified the hypotheses of our theorem when

 $f_n(x) = n\pi \sin x \pmod{\pi}$ 

on  $[0, \pi]$  and  $n \ge 2$ . The proof given in the next section has much in common with his construction of an invariant  $\mu$  for these  $f_n$ 's. The best known example with a critical point is f(x)=4x(1-x) on [0, 1]. This f has an invariant measure because it is conjugate by an absolutely continuous homeomorphism to a certain piecewise linear map [15].

Let us see how the theorem applies to f(x)=4x(1-x). This is purely computational. Here  $S = \{0, \frac{1}{2} \pm, 1\}$ . Set  $U_{1/2-} = [\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}], U_{1/2+} = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{8}], U_1 = [\frac{15}{16}, 1]$  and  $U_0 = [0, \frac{1}{4}]$ . Then  $f(U_{1/2\pm}) \subset U_1$ ,  $f(U_1) \subset U_0$  and the formulas of Lemmas 1 and 2 give

$$A(U_{1/2}) \ge 2$$
,  $A(U_1) \ge \frac{13}{16}$ ,  $B(U_0) \ge 0.09$ .

Conditions a) and b) of the theorem are easily checked, as is  $\lambda_2^* > 1$ .

A computer program was written to check the conditions of the theorem for smooth f(x) with a single critical point  $x_0$  and  $f^n(x_0)$  periodic for some n > 0. The conditions were checked for  $f_R(x) = Rx(1-x)$  with the value  $R \sim 3.67857$  found by Ruelle [12] as well as over 120 other values of  $R \in [3.5, 4]$  with  $f^n(\frac{1}{2}) = f^m(\frac{1}{2})$ ,  $n < m \le 10$ . Among these values of R, almost all possibilities  $n < m \le 10$  occurred; we did not worry about roundoff errors, but these were probably not significant since  $\lambda_n^* > 1$  with  $n \le 6$  for most all but three of these R's. Jakobson and Sinai [7] and Pianigiani [17] have shown that  $f_R$  has an absolutely continuous invariant measure for countably many values of R. Jacobson has now shown this is true for uncountably many R's.

The program was also used to check the conditions of the theorem for  $f(x) = 1 - 2|x|^k$  on [-1, 1] for  $2 \le k \le 11$ ; here  $\lambda_n^* > 1$  with  $n \le 7$ .

#### 3. Proof of Theorem

Throughout, f satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. For  $K \subset [a, b]$  and  $x \in K$  we let  $n_K(x)$  denote the smallest n > 0 with  $f^n x \in K$ , and  $f_K(x) = f^{n_K(x)}x$ . The domain of  $f_K$  is a subset of K.

**Lemma 3.** If  $S \cap \overline{K} = \emptyset$ , there are M and  $\lambda > 1$  so that  $(f_K^M)'(x) | > \lambda$  whenever  $f_K^M = (f_K)^M x$  is defined.

*Proof.* First consider  $x \in \mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{p \in S} U_p$ ; say  $x \in U_p$  and  $q = f^{H(p)}p$  has period r. Then  $f^{H(p)}x \in U_q$  and let m be the smallest positive integer such that  $f^{rm}(f^{H(p)}x) \notin U_q$ . Then, by Lemmas 1 and 2 and condition a) of the theorem

$$\begin{split} |(f^{rm+H(p)})'(x)| &= |(f^{rm})'(f^{H(p)}x)| \prod_{k=0}^{H(p)-1} |f'(f^kx)| \\ &\geq \frac{B(U_q)}{|f^{H(p)}x-q|} \prod_{k=0}^{H(p)-1} \frac{A(U_fk_p)|f^{k+1}x-f^{k+1}p|}{|f^kx-f^kp|} \\ &\geq \frac{B(U_q)}{|x-p|} \prod_{k=0}^{H(p)-1} A(U_{f^kp}) \,. \end{split}$$

When H(p) > 0, condition b) of the theorem says that this quantity is bigger than some constant  $v_1 > 1$ . When H(p)=0, then q=p and  $|(f^{rm})'(x)| > v_2$  for some constant  $v_2 > 1$  because  $(f^r)' > 1$  on  $\overline{U}_q - \{q\}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ , let g(x) denote  $f^{rm+H(p)}x$ . There is an integer  $N_1$  independent of x so that the following is true: if  $f^k x \in K$  is on the f-orbit between x and g(x), then  $rm + H(p) < k + N_1$ . This follows from the fact that  $\overline{K} \cap S = \emptyset$  and implies that the f-orbit between x and g(x) hits K at most  $N_1$  times.

For  $x \notin \mathcal{U} \cup S$  one lets g(x) be  $f^n x$  with  $n \in [1, N]$  minimal subject to  $|(f^n)'(x)| \ge \lambda_N^*$ . For any  $x \notin S$ , g(x) on the forward f-orbit of x is defined;  $|g'(x)| \ge \mu$ =min  $\{v_1, v_2, \lambda_N^*\} > 1$  and the f-orbit between x and g(x) hits K at most  $N_2 = \max\{N, N_1\}$  times.

Now suppose  $x \in K$  and  $(f_K)^M x$  is defined. The *f*-orbit between *x* and  $(f_K)^M x$  does not hit *S* since  $f(S) \subset S$  and  $S \cap K = \emptyset$ . Thus there are defined  $g(x), g^2(x) = g(g(x)), \ldots, g^j(x), g^{j+1}(x)$  with  $f_K^M x$  on the *f*-orbit between  $g^j(x)$  and  $g^{j+1}(x)$ . Now  $M \leq N_2(j+1)$  and the number of points on the *f*-orbit from  $f_K^M x$  to  $g^{j+1}(x)$  is at most  $N_2$ ; hence, if  $\alpha = \sup |f'(y)|$ ,

 $|(f_K^M)'(x)| \ge \mu^{(j+1)} \alpha^{-N_2} \ge (\mu^{1/N_2})^M \alpha^{-N_2}.$ 

For *M* large this is bigger than 1.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4.** Let V be a small open interval with periodic source  $p \in S$  as an endpoint. Then V contains a point of  $\tilde{S} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{-k}S$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \notin \tilde{S}$ , then  $g^j x$  above is defined for all  $j \ge 1$  and so

 $\sup_{n>0} |(f^n)'(x)| = +\infty .$ 

If the present lemma were false, by induction one would have that  $f|f^m V$  is one-toone and continuous and that  $f^m V$  is an interval for all m > 0 (f is a homeomorphism on any interval disjoint from S). Since  $f^r V \supseteq V$  (here  $f^r p = p$ ), one gets that  $V \subseteq f^r V \subseteq f^{2r} V \subseteq ...$  is a strictly increasing sequence of open intervals.  $f^{mr} V$  has endpoints p and  $q_m = f^{mr} q_0$ . The sequence  $q_m$  is strictly monotonic; let  $q = \lim q_m$ . Then  $f^r q = q$  by continuity and  $f^r : [p,q] \to [p,q]$  is a homeomorphism. Since  $q = \lim_{m \to \infty} f^{mr} q_0$ , q is a sink for  $f^r$  and  $(f^r)'(q) \leq 1$ . Then  $\sup_{n \geq 0} |(f^n)'(q)| = \sup_{0 \leq n \leq r} |(f^n)'(q)| < \infty$ 

and so  $q \in \tilde{S}$ , i.e.,  $f^k q \in S$  for some  $k \ge 0$ . Then  $q \in S$  because q is periodic and  $f S \in S$ . This is a contradiction since all periodic points of S are sources.  $\Box$ 

Let s denote the number of periodic orbits in S. Let  $r_1, ..., r_s$  be their periods and choose points  $p_1, ..., p_s$  on them. By Lemma 4 one can find points  $y_i \in \tilde{S} \cap U_{p_i}$ arbitrarily close to  $p_i$ . By making the  $y_i$  very close to the  $p_i$  one may assume that

$$z(f^k p_i) = f^{k-r_i} y_i \in U_{f^k p_i} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le k < r_i \tag{1}$$

and

$$z(p) = f^{-H(p)} z(f^{H(p)} p) \in U_p \quad \text{for} \quad p \in S, \ H(p) > 0$$
(2)

are well defined: For (2) we are using that  $f^{H(p)}: U_p \to U_{f^{H(p)}p}$  is one-to-one. Define  $W_p = (z(p), p]$  [or [p, z(p))] for  $p \in S$ .

Let j(i) be the largest  $j \ge 0$  with  $f^j y_i \notin S$  and let

 $T = \{ f^k y_i : a \leq k \leq j(i), 1 \leq i \leq s \} .$ 

Then S, T and  $Z = \{z(p): p \in S\}$  are pairwise disjoint. We may assume that  $T \cap \bigcup_{p \in S} W_p = \emptyset$  by using  $f^{-Mr_1}y_i$  (M large) in place of  $y_i$  if necessary. Let  $S' = S \cup T \cup Z$  partition [a, b] into the intervals  $\{J_1, \ldots, J_t\}$ . We claim that f is Markov with respect to these intervals. That  $f(S') \in S'$  and f is Markov using  $\{I_1, \ldots, I_d\}$  imply conditions a)-c) in the definition of Markov. There is Q so that  $f^Q S' \in S$ ; then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{R+Q} f^n(J_k) \supset J_j$  where R is from condition d) for the  $I_k$ 's.

The  $W_p$ 's  $(p \in S)$  are among the  $J_k$ 's, as are the intervals  $V_i = (y_i, z(p_i)]$ . Setting  $q_i = f^{r_i - 1}p_i$ , one has  $f(W_{q_i}) = V_i \cup W_{p_i}$  and  $f(W_p) = W_{fp}$  for  $p \in S - \{q_1, \dots, q_s\}$ . Let  $K = [a, b] \setminus \bigcup_{p \in S} W_p$  and  $f_K$  be the map induced on K by f, as defined earlier. K is the union of certain  $J_i$ 's.

**Lemma 5.**  $f_K: K \to K$  is Markov.

*Proof.* Notice first that  $f_K$  is defined on  $K \setminus f^{-1}S$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq s$  let  $\tilde{W}_i = \bigcup_{k=0}^{r_i-1} W_{f^k p_i}$ . Then  $f: \tilde{W}_i \to \tilde{W}_i \cup V_i$  is a homeomorphism; use the branch of  $f^{-1}$  here to define  $L_{i,j}$ 

$$= f^{-j}V_i \cap \tilde{W}_i \text{ for } j \ge 1. \text{ For } 0 \le k < r_i \text{ one has}$$
$$W_{f^k p_i} = \{f^k p_i\} \cup \bigcup \{L_{i,j} : j+k \equiv 0 \pmod{r_i}\}.$$

Since f is Markov with respect to the intervals  $\{J_1, ..., J_t\}$ , it is Markov also with respect to  $\{J_{u,v} = J_u \cap f^{-1}J_v : 1 \le u, v \le t\}$ ;  $fJ_{u,v} = J_v$  when  $J_{u,v} \neq \emptyset$ . When  $J_u$  is some  $W_p(p \in S)$ , then  $J_{u,v} \neq \emptyset$  for only one v and that  $J_{u,v} = J_u = W_p$ .

For  $p \in S$  let i(p) be the *i* so that  $f^{H(p)}p$  is on the orbit of  $p_i$ . If  $J_{u,v} \neq \emptyset$  and  $J_v = W_p(p \in S)$ , define  $J_{u,v,j} = J_{u,v} \cap f^{-1} f^{-H(p)} L_{i(p),j}$ . This interval will be nonempty for those *j*'s congruent mod  $r_{i(p)}$  to some fixed integer e(p). We claim that  $f_K$  is Markov using the intervals

$$\mathcal{J} = \{J_{u,v}: \text{neither } J_u \text{ nor } J_v \text{ is a } W_p\}$$
$$\cup \{J_{u,v,j}: J_u \text{ not a } W_p, J_v \text{ is a } W_p\} .$$

Notice first that  $\mathscr{J}$  covers K except for at most countably many points. Also  $n_K = 1$  on an interval  $J_{u,v}$  of the first type in  $\mathscr{J}$  and then  $f_K(J_{u,v}) = f(J_{u,v}) = J_v$ . On an interval  $J_{u,v,j} \in \mathscr{J}$  one has  $n_K = j + 1 + H(p)$  and  $f_K(J_{u,v,j}) = V_{i(p)}$ .

For any  $J \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $f_K | J = f^n | J$  with  $f^k J$  an interval and  $f | f^k J$  monotonic and  $C^2$  for each  $0 \leq k < n$ . It follows that  $f_K | J$  is monotonic and  $C^2$ . Finally,  $f_K(J)$  contains some  $J_u$  and so

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{R+Q+1} f_K^n(J) \supset \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{R+Q} f^m(J_u)\right) \cap K = K \ . \ ^3 \quad \Box$$

**Lemma 6.** Let U be a standard neighborhood of a regular source  $x_0$  for f. There are constants C(U) and D(U) so that if  $x, y \in U$  with  $m_U(x) = m_U(y)$ , then

$$|x - x_0| \leq C(U)|y - x_0|$$
  
and

$$\begin{split} |(f^k)'(x)| &\leq D(U) |(f^k)'(y)| \quad for \quad 1 \leq k \leq m_U(x), \\ (recall \ m_U(x) = \inf\{m > 0 : f^m(x) \notin U\}.) \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Since  $m_U(x) = m_U(y)$  either y < x < f(y) or  $f^{-1}y < x < y$ . In the first case  $|x - x_0| \le |y - x_0| \sup_{z \in U} |f'(x)|$ ; in the second  $|x_0 - x| \le |y - x_0|$ . Here we are assuming  $x_0$  is the left endpoint of U; the changes are obvious for the other case.

In the case  $f'(x_0) > 1$ , the second statement follows from the proof of Lemma 2. Suppose  $x_0$  is a regular source with  $f'(x_0) = 1$ . If y > x, then for  $0 \le j \le k$  one has  $f^j y > f^j x$ ,  $f'(f^j y) \ge f'(f^j x)$  and  $(f^k)'(y) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f'(f^j y) \ge (f^k)'(x)$ . If y < x, then f(y) > x since  $m_U(y) = m_U(x)$  and

$$|(f^k)'(y)| \ge \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} f'(f^{j-1}x) = \frac{f'(f^{-1}x)(f^k)'(x)}{f'(f^kx)}$$

Let

$$D(U) = \frac{\sup_{z \in U} f'(z)}{\inf_{z \in U} f'(z)} \cdot \Box$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> One checks easily that condition (d'') for Markov maps also holds

**Lemma 7.** Let  $x_0$  be a regular source with standard neighborhood U. Then

$$\sup\left\{\frac{|(f^n)''(x)|}{|(f^n)'(x)|^2}: x \in U, n = m_U(x)\right\} < \infty .$$

*Proof.* Consider  $y \in U$  with  $m_U(y) = m_U(x) = n$ . If  $g(x) = \log f'(x)$  as in Lemma 2 and  $d = \sup_{\xi \in U} |g'(\xi)| < \infty$ , then

$$|\log (f^n)'(x) - \log (f^n)'(y)| \le d \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f^k y - f^k x| .$$

Let  $U = [x_0, \beta]$ . Then  $x, y \in (f^{-n}\beta, f^{-n+1}\beta]$  and

$$\begin{split} |f^{k}y - f^{k}x| &= \int_{x} |(f^{k})'(t)| dt \leq |y - x| \sup_{t \in [x, y]} |(f^{k})'(t)| \\ &\leq |y - x| D(U) \frac{1}{(f^{-n+1}\beta - f^{-n}\beta)} \int_{f^{-n}\beta}^{f^{-n+1}\beta} |(f^{k})'(s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{D(U)|y - x|}{f^{-n+1}\beta - f^{-n}\beta} \left( f^{k-n+1}\beta - f^{k-n}\beta \right) \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$|\log (f^n)'(x) - \log (f^n)'(y)| \le \frac{dD(U)|y - x|(\beta - f^{-n}\beta)}{f^{-n+1}\beta - f^{-n}\beta}.$$

Now  $\beta - f^{-1}\beta = (f^{-n+1}\beta - f^{-n}\beta)(f^{(n-1)})'(w)$  for some w with  $n_U(w) = n$ . Now, for some  $\tilde{w}$  with  $m_U(\tilde{w}) = n$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} |(f^n)'(x) - (f^n)'(y)| &= |e^{\log(f^n)'(x)} - e^{\log(f^n)'(y)}| \\ &\leq |(f^n)'(\tilde{w})| |\log(f^n)'(x) - \log(f^n)'(y)| \end{aligned}$$

Putting together inequalities

$$\left|\frac{(f^{n})'(x) - (f^{n})'(y)}{x - y}\right| \leq dD(U)|(f^{n})'(\tilde{w})(f^{n-1})'(w)|\left(\frac{\beta_{1} - f^{-n}\beta}{\beta - f^{-1}\beta}\right).$$

Now  $(f^{n-1})'(w) \leq (f^n)'(w)$  since  $f' \geq 1$  on U; letting  $y \to x$  we get (sung Lemma 6)  $|(f^n)''(x)| \leq K(U) dD(U)^3 |(f^n)'(x)|^2$ , where K(U) is a constant depending only on  $\beta$  and U.

**Lemma 8.**  $h = f_K : K \to K$  satisfies the hypotheses of Adler's theorem.

*Proof.* The proof of Lemma 3 shows that  $\inf |h'(x)| > 0$ . Since h is  $C^2$  on any  $\overline{J}(J \in \mathscr{J})$ , one has  $\beta(J) = \sup_{y,z \in J} \left| \frac{h''(z)}{h'(y)^2} \right| < \infty$  for any  $J \in \mathscr{J}$ . By Lemmas 3 and 5 we only need to show  $\sup_{J \in \mathscr{J}} \beta(J) < \infty$ . If f is not flat at  $x_0$  [i.e.,  $f^{(r)}(x_0) \neq 0$  some r with f locally  $C^{r+1}$  at  $x_0$ ], then Taylor's formula shows that for any constant  $C_2 > 0$  there

is a constant  $C_1$  so that

$$fx - fx_0 | \leq C_2 | fy - fx_0 | \Rightarrow |x - x_0| \leq C_1 |y - x_0|$$

for x, y near  $x_0$ . If x,  $y \in J_{u,v,j}$ , then this remark plus Lemma 6 gives a finite sequence of constants  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  so that

$$|f^{k}(fx) - f^{k}p| \leq C_{k}|f^{k}(fy) - f^{k}p| \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq k \leq H(p) .$$
 (\*)

In Lemma 1 we saw that  $\left|\frac{f'(x)(x-x_0)}{f(x)-f(x_0)}\right|$  is bounded away from 0 for x near a nonflat point  $x_0$  by using Taylor's formula. The same type of argument shows this quantity is bounded away from  $\infty$ . This means that |f'(x)| differs from  $\left|\frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0}\right|$  by a multiplicative factor bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$ . Lemma 6 and the inequalities (\*) above now show that

$$\left|\frac{(f^k)'(x)}{(f^k)'(y)}\right| \leq E \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq k \leq n_K(x), \qquad x, y \in J_{u,v,j},$$

where *E* is a constant independent of *x*, *y*, *j*, *u*, *v*. It is therefore enough to bound  $\tilde{B}(I) = \sup \left| \frac{h''(y)}{y} \right|$ 

$$\begin{aligned} f(J) &= \sup_{y \in J} \left| \overline{h'(y)^2} \right|. \\ &\text{If } H = F \circ G, \text{ then} \\ &\frac{H''(x)(Hx - Hx_0)}{H'(x)^2} = \frac{F''(Gx)(F(Gx) - F(Gx_0))}{F'(Gx)^2} \\ &+ \frac{F(Gx) - F(Gx_0)}{(Gx - Gx_0)F(Gx)} \frac{G''(x)(Gx - Gx_0)}{G'(x)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Provided one stays near non-flat points, Lemma 1 gives a bound on

$$\frac{F(Gx) - f(Gx_0)}{(Gx - Gx_0)F'(Gx)}$$

and thus a bound for the expression on the left for  $H = F \circ G$  in terms of those for F and G. Lemma 1 says this type of expression is bounded near a non-flat point and Lemma 7 says it is for  $f^{m_u(x)}$  near a regular source. These combine to give a universal bound on

$$\frac{h''(x)(h(x) - f^{j+H(p)+1}(p))}{h'(x)^2}$$

for  $x \in J_{u,v,j}$ ,  $J_v = W_p$ . As  $|h(x) - f^{j+H(p)+1}(p)| \ge \inf_{p \in S} |z(p)-p| > 0$ , we get that  $\tilde{\beta}(J)$  has a uniform bound over all  $J_{u,v,j}$ 's. This is enough as there are only finitely many other J's in  $\mathscr{J}$ .  $\Box$ 

Adler's theorem gives a measure  $d\tilde{\mu} = p(x)dx$  on K, invariant and ergodic under  $h = f_K$ , with  $c_1 \leq p(x) \leq c_2$  for some positive constants  $c_1, c_2$ . For  $E \in [a, b] \setminus K$  define  $\tilde{E} = \{x \in K : f^n x \in E \text{ for some } 0 < n < n_K(x)\}$ .

Call E singly visited if

 $x \in E, f^m x \in E, m > 0 \Rightarrow f^k x \in K \text{ some } 0 < k < m.$ 

The sets  $L_{i,j}$  and  $W_p$  with H(p) > 0 are all singly visited. There is a unique measure  $\mu$  on [a, b] so that

$$\mu | K = \tilde{\mu}, \quad \mu(E) = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{E})$$

for singly visited sets

$$E \subset [a,b] \setminus K$$
, and  $\mu([a,b] \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n K) = 0$ .

This measure is seen to be  $\sigma$ -finite, equivalent to Lebesgue, invariant and ergodic for f, and finite on every singly visited set.

Finally, note that  $\mu$  is finite iff  $\sum_{j} \mu(L_{i,j}) < \infty$  for each *i*. Now  $\tilde{L}_{i,j}$  is the union over certain *u*, *v* of the interval  $J_{u,v,j}^* = \bigcup \{J_{u,v,k} : k \ge j, k \equiv j \pmod{r_i}\}$ . If  $J_v = W_p$ , then  $J_{u,v,j}^*$  is mapped by  $f^{H(p)+1}$  onto  $[f^{-j}y_i, f^{\alpha}p_i)$  where  $0 \le \alpha < r_i$  satisfies  $j + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{r_i}$ . Since  $f^{H(p)+1}$  is not flat at the endpoint *q* of  $J_{u,v}$  with fq = p, there are positive constants  $d_1, d_2$  and an integer *n* so that

$$\frac{|f^{H(p)+1}x - f^{\alpha}p_i|}{|x - q|^n} \in [d_1, d_2]$$

for x near q. Hence  $J_{u,v,i}^*$  has length in the interval

 $|f^{-j}y_i - f^{\alpha}p_i|^{1/n} [d_2^{-1/n}, d_1^{-1/n}]$ 

and  $\tilde{\mu}(J_{u,v,j}^*)$  differs from  $|f^{-j}y_i - f^{\alpha}p_i|^{1/n}$  by a factor in  $[d_2^{-1/n}c_1, d_1^{-1/n}c_2]$ . Hence  $\mu(L_{i,j}) = \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{L}_{i,j})$  is a linear combination  $\sum_{u,v} c_{u,v} |f^{-j}y_i - f^{\alpha}p_i|^{1/n_{u,v}}$  where the nonzero

 $c_{u,v}$  are bounded away from 0 and  $+\infty$ . That  $\sum_{j} \mu(L_{i,j}) < \infty$  iff the periodic source  $p_i$  is expanding follows now from

**Lemma 9.** Let  $x_0$  be a source for f, y near  $x_0$  and  $n \ge 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |f^{-j}y - x_0|^{1/n} < \infty \quad iff \quad |f'(x_0)| > 1 \; .$$

*Proof.* We are assuming f is  $C^2$  near  $x_0$ . If  $|f'(x_0)| > 1$ , then  $|f'(x)| \ge \lambda > 1$  for x near  $x_0$  and  $|f^{-k}y_0 - x_0| \le \lambda^{-k}$ . The result holds since  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-1/n})^j$  converges.

Suppose  $|f'(x_0)| = 1$ . We may assume n = 1,  $f'(x_0) = 1$  and  $x_0 = 0$ . Then

$$f^{-1}(x) = x + \varepsilon(x)x^2$$
 where  $\lim_{x \to 0} \varepsilon(x) < \infty$ .

Let  $y_i = f^{-j}y$ . Then

$$v \dots v + \varepsilon(v) v^2$$

and so  $y_k = y_0 \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 + \varepsilon(y_j) y_j)$ . Since  $y_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ , we must have  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\varepsilon(y_j) y_j| = \infty \text{ and so } \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |y_j| = \infty. \square$ 

#### 4. Final Remarks

Adler showed that maps satisfying his conditions are much more than ergodic, namely their natural extensions are Bernoulli [1]. The maps in our theorem are therefore loose Bernoulli [6]. Ratner [10] and this author [4] have shown that expanding maps of the interval are Bernoulli when they are ergodic, under some mild hypotheses.

Problem 1. Suppose f on [a,b] is Markov with a finite number of intervals and has the following property: for every nonempty subinterval J,  $[a,b] - f^n J$  is finite for some n = n(J). Does f admit an invariant measure equivalent to Lebesgue?

*Problem 2.* Suppose f on [a, b] admits an ergodic  $\mu$  equivalent to Lebesgue. Is  $\mu$  loose Bernoulli?

### References

- 1. Adler, R.L.: F-expansions revisited. Springer lecture notes 318, 1-5 (1973)
- Adler, R.L.: Continued fractions and Bernoulli Trials. In: Ergodic theory. Moser, J., Phillips, E., Varadhan, S. (eds.). Lecture notes. New York: Courant Inst. Math. Sci. 1975
- Adler, R.L., Weiss, B.: The ergodic infinite measure preserving transformation of Boole. Israel J. Math. 16, 263–278 (1973)
- 4. Bowen, R.: Bernoulli maps of the interval. Israel J. Math. 28, 161-168 (1977)
- 5. Bunimovich, L.A.: On transformation of the circle. Math. Notes Acad. Sci. USSR 8, 204–216 (1970)
- 6. Feldman, J.: New K-automorphisms and a problem of Kakutani. Israel J. Math. 24, 16-37 (1976)
- 7. Jakobson, M.V., Sinai, Ya.: Oral communication
- Lasota, A., Yorke, J.A.: On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. Trans. AMS 186, 481-488 (1973)
- Li, T.Y., Schweiger, F.: The generalized Boole's transformation is ergodic. Manuscripta Math. 25, 161–167 (1978)
- 10. Ratner, M.: Bernoulli flows over maps of the interval
- Renyi, A.: Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties. Acta Math. Akad. Sci. Hungar. 8, 477-493 (1957)
- Ruelle, D.: Applications conservant une mesure absolument continue par rapport a dx sur [0, 1]. Commun. Math. Phys. 55, 47–52 (1977)
- 13. Sacksteader, R.: On convergence to invariant measures. Mimeographed notes
- Schweiger, F.: Zahlentheoretische Transformationen mit σ-endlichem invarianten Maß. S.-Ber. Öst. Akad. Wiss. Math.-naturw. Kel. Abt. II. 185, 95–103 (1976)
- Ulam, S.M., Neumann, J. von: On combination of stochastic and deterministic processes. Bull. AMS 53, 1120 (1947)
- 16. Wong, S.: Thesis, Berkeley (1977)
- 17. Pianigiani, G.: Absolutely continuous invariant measures for the process  $x_{n+1} = Ax_n(1-x_n)$ . Preprint
- 18. Sinai, Ya.G.: Introduction to ergodic theory. Princeton: Princeton University Press 1976
- 19. Bowen, R., Series, C.: Markov maps associated with Fuchsian groups. I.H.E.S. Publications 50 (1979)

Communicated by J. L. Lebowitz

Received May 31, 1978

## Afterword

Roy L. Adler IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, USA

This paper was submitted by Rufus Bowen. When he learned that it overlapped some unpublished work of Leopold Flatto and myself, it was Rufus's wish that it be revised as a joint work. But his sudden death intervened, and the prospective co-authors decided that the original work should be published.

First, I must say something about the history, so far as I know it, of the so-called Adler's theorem which considers the question: under what conditions does a mapping on the interval admit a finite invariant ergodic measure (by measure we shall mean one equivalent to Lebesgue). The theorem gives three conditions which persist under iteration and which are sufficient: a Markov condition, an expansive condition, and a second derivative condition. Although it appears in an article of mine in a 1972 conference proceedings (ibid), I would never claim it as my own. I first learned it from Flatto in the fall of 1969. He learned it during the previous summer from Benjamin Weiss. So perhaps it should be called the Weiss theorem, but then Weiss believes that he may have extracted it from the work of Sinai [Construction of Markov partitions. Funct. Anal. Appl. 2, 245-283 (1968)]. Although Krzyzewski and Szlenk who also give credit to Sinai [On invariant measures for expanding differentiable mappings. Studia Math. 33, 83–92 (1969)] come close, I have not yet discovered to my satisfaction the theorem explicitly stated before 1972. Since attribution remains difficult and I believe many people have independently discovered some version of it, I shall henceforth refer to it as the folklore theorem.

Examination of the fundamental paper of Renyi [ibid] on the topic reveals almost the same theorem (stated in different notation) except that another condition, called condition C, is used instead of the second derivative one. Renyi's theorem was also discovered independently in 1960 by Richard Scoville who was then a graduate student at Yale. When he learned, with great disappointment, of Renyi's prior claim, he had to change his thesis topic and abandon this nice result. Renyi's condition C states that, for x, y restricted to the same interval in the Markov partition for  $f^n$ , the quantity  $|f^{n'}(x)/f^{n'}(y)|$  should be uniformly bounded independently of *n* and the interval chosen. This condition plays an important role in obtaining invariant measures and is the main idea in Renvi's paper. However, it is not readily checkable since it involves an infinite number of iterates of f. Renvi did not address this problem, and perhaps it was no obstacle to him. In contrast the second derivative condition seems to be more satisfactory because it does not involve higher iterates of f. Any such condition must allow for unbounded f''(x) in order to handle maps with infinite Markov partitions such as the continued fraction transformation. The previously stated one can be improved to read

 $\sup |f''(x)/f'(x)^2| < \infty$ . This fact was known to Bowen and used by him in the

chain rule equation,  $f^{n'}(x) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f'(f^j(x))$ , a calculation shows that  $|f^{n''}(x)/f^{n'}(x)^2|$  can be bounded uniformly in *n* by a bound for  $|f''(x)/f'(x)^2|$  times a convergent series of negative powers of a root of the expansive constant. It then follows, for *x*, *y* restricted to the same interval of the Markov partition for  $f^n$  (where we know  $f^{n'}$  does not change sign), that

$$\begin{aligned} |\log|f^{n'}(x)| - \log|f^{n'}(y)|| &= \left| \int_{x}^{y} (f^{n''}(t)/f^{n'}(t))dt \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x} |f^{n''}(x)/f^{n'}(x)^{2}| \left| \int_{x}^{y} f^{n'}(t)dt \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x,n} |f^{n''}(x)/f^{n'}(x)^{2}| \cdot |I| \end{aligned}$$

which is another form of the Renyi condition (Notice for n=1 we have that the improved second derivative condition implies the "Adler's theorem" of Bowen's paper). Thus we see how close the folklore theorem is to the original one of Renyi.

The present work is concerned with the method of inducing which is extremely useful for dealing with mappings which fail to satisfy all the conditions of the folklore theorem. Often the failure is due to trouble at some particular point. For instance, there might be a fixed point at which the derivative has absolute value one in which case the expansive condition cannot be satisfied; or there might be a point (not a fixed point) where the derivative vanishes or becomes infinite in which case Renyi's condition will not hold. In such cases it may be possible to find an induced transformation on an appropriate subinterval which will satisfy the folklore theorem. There is then a formula relating the finite invariant ergodic measure for the induced transformation to a  $\sigma$ -finite one for the original mapping. Whether the sought after measure is finite or infinite depends on properties of the original transformation like the existence of fixed points where the derivative has absolute value one.

The method of inducing was applied in the present work to the class of maps  $f(x)=1-2|x|^k$  on [-1,1] for  $k \ge 2$ , and only partial success was achieved. However, there is another method, "change of variables", which is more effective in this case. Here the map  $g=h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$ , where

$$h(x) = \int_{-1}^{x} (1-t^2)^{(1-k)/k} dt \bigg/ \int_{-1}^{1} (1-t^2)^{(1-k)/k} dt \, ,$$

can be shown to satisfy the folklore theorem for all  $k \ge 2$ . If  $\mu$  is the invariant measure for g then  $\mu \circ h$  is the one for f.

This change of variables was devised particularly for the case k=4. It was suggested by the fact that for k=2 the map  $h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$  is piecewise linear (furthermore the same transformation h "straightens" out all the Chebyshev polynomials) and has Lebesgue measure itself as the finite invariant ergodic one. The original purpose was to use the result for k=4 in connection with the map  $f_R:x \to Rx(1-x)$  on [0,1] for the specific value of R near 3.67 where  $f_R^3(\frac{1}{2})$  is a fixed point for  $f_R$ . For the second iterate of this map there is an invariant

subinterval (in fact two disjoint ones) upon which  $f_R \circ f_R$  is a quartic. This quartic transforms to  $x \to 1-2x^4$  on [-1, 1]. Thus from the above considerations there exists a finite ergodic invariant measure  $\mu$  for  $f_R \circ f_R$  supported on the invariant subinterval. Therefore  $(\mu + \mu \circ f_R^{-1})/2$  is the finite invariant ergodic measure for  $f_R$  which is supported on the nonwandering set. This is not the only way of doing this. For instance, there are other changes of variables which transform  $f_R$  itself to satisfy the folklore theorem, and Ruelle [ibid] has given one of them.

We have also done similar things for other values of R with about the same degree of success as in the present work. Serious difficulties developed for us in trying to use the change of variable method for a countable number of values of R. So inducing may be a better approach to the problem after all.

## **Additional Comments**

Caroline Series

Warwick University, Coventry, England

## 1. Adler's Theorem

It was pointed out to us by Dennis Sullivan that the actual statement of Adler's theorem in [2] applies only to maps which satisfy the Markov conditions a), b), c) and

d')  $f(I_k) = I$  for all k.

It is not at all clear how to modify Adler's result so that d) is sufficient; indeed it seems doubtful that it is true. Exactly what Rufus had in mind, *I* do not know. For the purposes of this paper it is enough to replace d) by

d") 
$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(\partial \overline{I}_k)$$
 is finite,

where  $f_k$  is the extension of f to  $\overline{I}_k$  and  $\partial \overline{I}_k$  is the boundary of  $I_k$ .

It is possible to modify the proof of Adler's theorem in [2] to cover this situation. The details are worked out in [19].

## 2. Examples

The idea mentioned in Example A, that to any Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  of the first kind acting on  $\mathbb{R}$  is associated a Markov map of  $\mathbb{R}$  is worked out in [19]. These maps  $f_{\Gamma}$  have the property that x = gy,  $g \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow f_{\Gamma}^{n}(x) = f_{\Gamma}^{m}(y)$  for some  $n, m \ge 0$ . If  $\Gamma$  contains parabolic elements the Markov partition for  $f_{\Gamma}$  is nesessarily countable, and the results of this paper apply. Alternatively, in [19] we show directly by a simple computation that one can induce away from fixed points with derivative one and get maps satisfying the modified version of Adler's theorem above.