

# Cantoni's Generalized Transition Probability

Stanley P. Gudder

Department of Mathematics, University of Denver, Denver, Colorado 80208, USA

**Abstract.** It is observed that Cantoni's generalized transition probability can be derived from certain physically motivated axioms.

## 1. Introduction

In a recent paper [2], V. Cantoni has defined a generalized transition probability and has shown that his definition reduces to the usual transition probability for pure states in the Hilbert space formulation of quantum mechanics. In a later paper [3], Cantoni has constructed a Riemannian structure on the state space using this generalized transition probability. The present note observes that Cantoni's generalized transition probability can be derived from physically motivated axioms.

Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S})$  be a pair of nonempty sets the elements of which we call *observables* and *states*, respectively; and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of probability measures on  $\mathcal{B}(R)$ . We assume the existence of a map  $p: \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  satisfying Mackey's Axioms I and II [2, 11] and call  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S})$  a *Mackey system*. For  $x \in \mathcal{O}, s \in \mathcal{S}$ , the measure  $s_x = p(x, s) \in \mathcal{P}$  gives the distribution of the observable  $x$  in the state  $s$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{O}, s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ , let  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfy  $s_x, t_x \ll \tau$  (i.e.,  $s_x$  and  $t_x$  are absolutely continuous relative to  $\tau$ ). Following Cantoni [2], we define

$$T_x^{1/2}(s, t) = \int_R \left[ \frac{ds_x}{d\tau} \frac{dt_x}{d\tau} \right]^{1/2} d\tau$$

and call  $T(s, t) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{O}} T_x(s, t)$  the *generalized transition probability* of  $s$  to  $t$ .

## 2. Transition Measures

For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}, \Delta \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ , the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  *transition measure* on  $\Delta$  is a real number  $m_{\alpha, \beta}(\Delta)$  satisfying:

- (1)  $m_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot)$  is a nonnegative measure on  $\mathcal{B}(R)$ ;
- (2)  $m_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot) = m_{\beta, \alpha}(\cdot)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$ ;
- (3) if  $\beta(\Delta) = 0$ , then  $m_{\alpha, \beta}(\Delta) = 0$ ;
- (4) if  $\alpha, \beta \ll \tau$ , then

$$\frac{dm_{\alpha,\beta}}{d\tau} = \frac{dm_{\alpha,\tau}}{d\tau} \frac{dm_{\tau,\beta}}{d\tau} \quad \text{a.e. } [\tau].$$

Physically, we think of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as the distributions of some observable  $x$  in two different states  $s$  and  $t$ , respectively; and  $m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta)$  as a likelihood of a transition from  $s$  to  $t$  given that an observation of  $x$  yields a result in  $\Delta$ . Condition (1) follows from the reasonable physical assumption that

$$m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) = m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_1) + m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_2) \text{ if } \Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset.$$

Condition (2) is a symmetry assumption that is usually made for transition probabilities. This condition holds on all sufficiently regular quantum systems [1, 7–9, 13]. Although Mielnik [12] has raised the possibility that such a condition may not hold in certain “quantum worlds”, the usefulness of such nonregular systems has not been thoroughly demonstrated. Condition (3) says that if there is zero probability that  $x$  has a value in  $\Delta$  in the state  $t$ , then an  $x$ -observation resulting in  $\Delta$  gives no contribution to the likelihood of an  $s$  to  $t$  transition. It follows from (3) that if  $\alpha, \beta \ll \tau$ , then the Radon-Nikodym derivatives in (4) exist. Condition (4) states that the “transition density” from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  equals the product of the “transition densities” from  $\alpha$  to  $\tau$  and from  $\tau$  to  $\beta$ . In integrated form, (4) becomes

$$m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \frac{dm_{\alpha,\tau}}{d\tau}(\lambda) m_{\tau,\beta}(d\lambda) \tag{2.1}$$

for every  $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(R)$ . Equation (2.1) is a kind of Chapman-Kolmogorov equation which holds for state transitions in Markov processes [6]. Equation (2.1) is also reminiscent of the familiar Hilbert space expression  $\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \sum \langle \phi, \phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_i, \psi \rangle$  where  $\{\phi_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis, although the analogy does not seem to be particularly accurate [1].

**Theorem.** *For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $m_{\alpha,\beta}$  exists, is unique and satisfies*

$$m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \left[ \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau} \frac{d\beta}{d\tau} \right]^{1/2} d\tau$$

for all  $\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(R)$  and all  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\alpha, \beta \ll \tau$ .

*Proof.* Cantoni [2] has already noted (see also [11]) that the expression

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \left[ \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau} \frac{d\beta}{d\tau} \right]^{1/2} d\tau$$

if finite and independent of  $\tau$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \ll \tau$ . It is clear that  $F_{\alpha,\beta}$  satisfies (1) and (2). For (3), if  $\beta(\Delta) = 0$ , then  $d\beta/d\tau = 0$  on  $\Delta$  a.e.  $[\tau]$  and hence  $F_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta) = 0$ . For (4), suppose  $\alpha, \beta \ll \tau$ . Then  $F_{\alpha,\tau}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} (d\alpha/d\tau)^{1/2} d\tau$  so  $dF_{\alpha,\tau}/d\tau = (d\alpha/d\tau)^{1/2}$  a.e.  $[\tau]$ .

Hence,

$$\frac{dF_{\alpha,\tau}}{d\tau} \frac{dF_{\tau,\beta}}{d\tau} = \left[ \frac{d\alpha}{d\tau} \frac{d\beta}{d\tau} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{dF_{\alpha,\beta}}{d\tau} \quad \text{a.e. } [\tau].$$

We now show that  $m_{\alpha,\beta}$  is unique. Letting  $\alpha = \beta = \tau$  in (4) gives  $dm_{\alpha,\alpha}/d\alpha =$

$(dm_{\alpha,\alpha}/d\alpha)^2$ . Hence,  $dm_{\alpha,\alpha}/d\alpha = 1$  a.e.  $[\alpha]$  so  $m_{\alpha,\alpha} = \alpha$ . Now suppose that  $\alpha \ll \tau$ . From (2) and (4) we have

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\tau} = \frac{dm_{\alpha,\alpha}}{d\tau} = \frac{dm_{\alpha,\tau}}{d\tau} \frac{dm_{\tau,\alpha}}{d\tau} = \left(\frac{dm_{\alpha,\tau}}{d\tau}\right)^2 \text{ a.e. } [\tau].$$

Hence,  $dm_{\alpha,\tau}/d\tau = (d\alpha/d\tau)^{1/2}$  a.e.  $[\tau]$ . If  $\alpha, \beta \ll \tau$ , we have by (2) and (2.1)

$$m_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \frac{dm_{\alpha,\tau}}{d\tau} \frac{dm_{\beta,\tau}}{d\tau} d\tau = \int_{\Delta} \left[\frac{d\alpha d\beta}{d\tau d\tau}\right]^{1/2} d\tau.$$

### 3. Generalized Transition Probability

Let  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S})$  be a Mackey system. For  $x \in \mathcal{O}, s, t \in \mathcal{S}$ , we call  $F_x(s, t) = m_{s_x, t_x}(R)$  the *transition amplitude* of  $s$  to  $t$  given  $x$ . Mielnik [12] defines the “detection ratio”  $s : t$  as the minimal fraction of  $s$  particles detected by an instrument that detects all  $t$  particles, and shows that this reduces to the usual transition probability in the Hilbert space framework. Following this line of reasoning, we define the *transition amplitude*  $F(s, t)$  of  $s$  to  $t$  by  $F(s, t) = \inf_{x \in \mathcal{O}} F_x(s, t)$ .

To treat more general states and particle beams, it is important to consider unnormalized states  $\lambda s, \lambda > 0, s \in \mathcal{S}$ , where  $p(x, \lambda s)(\Delta) = \lambda p(x, s)(\Delta)$  [4, 5, 10, 12]. We extend  $F$  to such states by using the same definition as before but allowing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be finite nonnegative measures instead of just probability measures. For physical reasons it would be desirable to have  $F(\lambda s, t) = \lambda F(s, t), s, t \in \mathcal{S}, \lambda > 0$ , but this does not hold. It is clear that the only function of  $F$  that satisfies the above homogeneity condition is  $F^2$ . We thus define the *generalized transition probability* to be  $T(s, t) = F^2(s, t)$ . This is precisely Cantoni’s definition.

### References

1. Ax, J. : Found. Phys. **6**, 371 (1976)
2. Cantoni, V. : Commun. math. Phys. **44**, 125 (1975)
3. Cantoni, V. : Commun. math. Phys. **56**, 189 (1977)
4. Davies, E. B. : Commun. math. Phys. **15**, 277 (1969); **19**, 83 (1970); **22**, 51 (1971)
5. Davies, E. B., Lewis, J. T. : Commun. math. Phys. **17**, 239 (1970)
6. Doob, J. : Stochastic processes. New York : Wiley 1953
7. Landé, A. : Phys. Rev. **108**, 891 (1957)
8. Landé, A. : New foundations of quantum mechanics. London : Cambridge University Press 1960
9. Landé, A. : Phys. Today **20**, 55 (1967)
10. Ludwig, G. : Commun. math. Phys. **4**, 331 (1967); **9**, 1 (1968); **26**, 78 (1972)
11. Mackey, G. W. : Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics. New York : Benjamin 1963
12. Mielnik, B. : Commun. math. Phys. **9**, 55 (1968); **15**, 1 (1969); **37**, 221 (1974)
13. Uhlmann, A. : Rept. Math. Phys. **9**, 273 (1976)

Communicated by R. Haag

Received April 5, 1978

