

Attempt of an Axiomatic Foundation of Quantum Mechanics and More General Theories VI[★]

PETER STOLZ

Institut für theoretische Physik (I) der Universität Marburg

Received May 31, 1971

Abstract. This contribution continues the series of papers [2, 4, 5, 12] treated by Ludwig and collaborators. It is based on the generalized frame given in [6]; there Ludwig has set up an “infinite” axiomatic scheme as extension of the “finite” system [4, 5]. The results of [12] are then proved for a “locally finite” case; they lead to an extended representation theorem.

I. Introduction

In his paper “Notes on Axioms for Quantum Mechanics” [10] MacLaren has set up the final axiom:

(C) The set of all atoms of every finite sublattice of the orthomodular lattice G of decision effects is compact in the norm topology.

This axiom guarantees that the division ring which is constructed over G is the real, complex or quaternionic numbers [14].

We base here on Ludwig’s general axiomatic scheme ([6], III.) restricted by [6], III. § 18 condition V_3 (“locally-finite” case!) which is a generalization of the “finite” system given in [4, 5]. Within this frame the purpose of this paper is

1. to prove a slightly weaker form (C) (Lemma 8 in part III), of statement (C),
2. to show that (C) is sufficient to exclude discontinuous and disconnected division rings,
3. to give further topological properties of the lattice G .

II. Preliminaries

In the following largely is used the punctuation and terminology of [12]. We give a somewhat modified summary of Ludwig’s conclusions [6] which are different from those used in [12].

[★] This paper was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

The starting position is a set \underline{K} of all physical ensembles v and a set \underline{L} of all physical effects f . Then $(\underline{K}, \underline{L})$ is a dual pair according to

Axiom 1a ([6], III. Satz 2.5). *There exists a mapping μ of $\underline{K} \times \underline{L}$ into \mathbb{R}_+ satisfying:*

- (α) $0 \leq \mu(v, f) \leq 1$ for all $(v, f) \in \underline{K} \times \underline{L}$.
- (β) $\mu(v_1, f) = \mu(v_2, f)$ for all $f \in \underline{L}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \underline{K}$ implies $v_1 = v_2$.
- (γ) $\mu(v, f_1) = \mu(v, f_2)$ for all $v \in \underline{K}$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \underline{L}$ implies $f_1 = f_2$.
- (δ) There exists $o \in \underline{L}$ such that $\mu(v, o) = 0$ for all $v \in \underline{K}$.
- (ϵ) For each $v \in \underline{K}$ there exists $f \in \underline{L}$ such that $\mu(v, f) = 1$.

Axiom 1b ([6], III., Axiome 3a, b). \underline{K} and \underline{L} are countable sets.

Let \underline{B} (resp. \underline{D}) be the set of all functions $x(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(v_i, f)$ on \underline{L} with $v_i \in \underline{K}$ (resp. $y(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(v, f_i)$ on \underline{K} with $f_i \in \underline{L}$), a_i real, n finite integer. Hence \underline{B} and \underline{D} are real linear spaces and we may identify \underline{K} (resp. \underline{L}) as subsets of \underline{B} (resp. \underline{D}). By natural extension we can define $\mu(x, y)$ over all $\underline{B} \times \underline{D}$. Let R be the set of all linear functions y on \underline{B} such that $\mu(v, y) < \infty$ for all $v \in \underline{K}$. Then Ludwig has shown:

Proposition 1 ([6], III. Satz 3.5). *There is a subspace B' with $\underline{D} \subseteq B' \subseteq R$, so that*

- a) B' is a Banach-space by the norm $\|y\| := \sup(|\mu(v, y)| : v \in \underline{K})$.
- b) B' is the dual of the closure B of \underline{B} with respect to the norm $\|x\| = \sup(|\mu(x, y)| : \|y\| \leq 1, y \in B')$.
- c) $x \in B$ with $\mu(x, f) = 0$ for all $f \in \underline{L}$ implies $x = 0$.
- d) $\|v\| = 1$ for all $v \in \underline{K}$, $\|f\| = 1$ for all $f \in \underline{L}$.

Now let \hat{L} be the $\sigma(B', B)$ -closed convex hull of \underline{L} and let K be the norm-closed convex hull of \underline{K} .

Proposition 2 (see [6], III. §§ 3, 4).

- a) Properties (α) to (δ) of Axiom 1a hold for $K \times \hat{L}$.
- b) As a consequence of Axiom 1b, B and D are separable sets.
- c) In every norm-bounded set of B (resp. B') the topologies $\sigma(B, \underline{L})$ (resp. $\sigma(B', \underline{K})$) may be characterized by norms and there holds $\sigma(B, D) = \sigma(B, \underline{L})$ (resp. $\sigma(B', B) = \sigma(B', \underline{K})$).
- d) \hat{L} is a $\sigma(B', B)$ -compact set and D is $\sigma(B', B)$ -dense in B' .

Axiom 2⁺ ([6], III. §§ 5, 7; Axiome 4a, b and 4bz).

a) To each couple $f_1, f_2 \in L := \sigma(B', B)\text{-clos}(\underline{L})$ there exists $f_3 \in L$ due to the following conditions: $\mu(v, f_i) - \eta \leq \mu(v, f_3)$, $i = 1, 2$, for any $\eta > 0$ and $\mu(v, f_3) = 0$ for $v \in K_0(f_1) \cap K_0(f_2)$.

b) For $f \in \hat{L} := \sigma(B', B)\text{-clos}(y \in B' : y = \lambda f, \lambda \geq 0, f \in \hat{L})$ and a maximal effect $e \in \hat{L}$, $K_0(f) \supseteq K_0(e)$ implies $K_1(f) \subseteq K_1(e)$.

c) For every maximal effect $e \in \hat{L}$, $e \neq 0$ follows $K_1(e) \neq \emptyset$.

Axiom 3 ([6], III. § 8 Axiom 5) stays unchanged [12].

The following proposition is a consequence of the last two axioms.

Proposition 3 (see [6], III. § 6).

a) For every effect $f \in \hat{L}$ exists a maximal effect $e \geq f$ with $K_0(e) = K_0(f)$, called decision-effect.

b) The set G of all decision-effects $e \in \hat{L}$ is a complete and ortho-complemented lattice.

c) $\hat{W}' := (K_1(l) : l \subseteq \hat{L})$ is the lattice of all extremal subsets $C \subseteq K$ ordered by inclusion.

d) For every extremal subset $C \subseteq K$ (definition see [5, 6, 12]) exists $e \in G$ with $C = K_1(e)$. The mapping $e \leftrightarrow K_1(e)$ is a lattice orthoisomorphism of G onto \hat{W}' .

Postulate (A). (V_1 in [6], III. § 3). The convex hull of $K \cup (-K)$ is norm-closed.

Remark. (A) implies Theorems 1 and 2 of [12].

Axiom 2⁺ c is equivalent to

Theorem 3⁺. G is the set of all exposed points of \hat{L} .

Theorem 4⁺. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i \leq 1$ ($\sigma(B', B)$ -limit), $e_i \in G$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} e_i$ and $e_i \perp e_k$ for $i \neq k$.

Theorem 5. The lattice operations join (\vee), meet (\wedge) and ortho-complementation ($'$) are $\sigma(B', B)$ -continuous.

Definition. If D, E are subsets of K then $d(D, E) := \inf\{d(v, w) : v \in D, w \in E\}$ and $d(v, w) := \|v - w\| = \sup\{|\mu(v, y) - \mu(w, y)| : y \in B', \|y\| \leq 1\}$. The next axiom we will give in two equivalent forms I, II.

Axiom 4⁺ ([6], III. § 11, Axiom 6).

(I) If $x \leq a \in G$ and $d(K_1(a \wedge (x \vee b)), K_1(y)) \neq 0$ for $y \leq (b - a \wedge b) \in G, b \in G$, then $a \wedge (x \vee b) = x \vee (a \wedge b)$.

(II) Let $C_i, i = 1, 2$, be elements of \hat{W}' . Then $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset, C_3 \subseteq C_1 \vee C_2$ (i.e., extremal hull of C_1, C_2), $C_1 \perp C_3$ (i.e., $d(C_1, C_3) = 2$) and $d(C_1 \vee C_3, C_2) \neq 0$ imply $C_3 = \emptyset$.

Remark. Obviously (II) is a slightly generalization of the former Axiom 4 [5, 12] because $d(C_1 \vee C_3, C_2) \neq 0$ implies $(C_1 \vee C_3) \cap C_2 = \emptyset$.

Definition. An element $C \in \hat{W}'$ (i.e., an extremal subset of $K \subseteq B$) is finite if the closed linear span $\overline{\text{lin}} C =: M(C)$ of C in B has finite dimension. For such finite extremal sets Theorems 6, 7, and 11 of [12] hold (Theorems 8, 9, 10 [12] hold for all $C \in W'$). An element $e \in G$ is finite if $K_1(e) \in \hat{W}'$ is finite. Now let G be atomic (i.e., every extremal subset $C \subseteq K$

contains an extreme point); we then say that an element e of G (resp., G itself) has *finite lattice dimension* if e (resp., each element of G) is a finite sum of atoms or, equivalently, is a finite join of mutually orthogonal atoms. By an *ascending chain* between 0 and $e \in G$ we mean a set $(e_i \in G)$ with $0 < e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e$.

Postulate (B). \mathbf{V}_3 in [6], III. § 18): “**locally-finite**” condition! *Every element $C \in \hat{W}'$ is the join of an ascending chain of finite elements of \hat{W}' .*

III. Some Consequences of Axiom 4^+ and Postulate (B)

Definition. We write $(b, a)M$ if $a \wedge (x \vee b) = x \vee (a \wedge b)$ for all $x \leq a$.

Lemma 1. $d(K_1(a), K_1(b - a \wedge b)) \neq 0$ for $a, b \in G$ implies $(b, a)M$.

Proof. $K_1(a) \supseteq K_1(a \wedge (x \vee b))$ for all $x \in G$; hence $0 \neq d(K_1(a), K_1(b - a \wedge b)) \leq d(K_1(a \wedge (x \vee b)), K_1(b - a \wedge b))$ and Axiom 4^+ gives $(b, a)M$. \square

Lemma 2. *If for $a, b \in G$, $K_1(a)$ or $K_1(b)$ is finite then holds $(b, a)M$.*

Proof. Finite extremal subsets of K are compact. Therefore one of the sets $D := K_1(a)$ or $E := K_1(b - a \wedge b) \subseteq K_1(b)$ is compact. We assume E to be compact. Since the metric $d: B \times B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous also $d(D, \cdot): E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous mapping of the compact set E into \mathbf{R} ; hence there is $z \in E$ with $d(D, z) = d(D, E)$. Supposing $d(D, z) = 0$ would mean that z is a touching point of the extremal set D . As a closed set however D must contain z contrary to $D \cap E = \emptyset$. So $d(D, E) \neq 0$. Lemma 1 then finishes the proof. \square

Definition. $a \in G$ is a *modular element* if a) $G_a := (x \in G: x \leq a)$ is a modular lattice and b) for all $b \in G$ holds $(b, a)M$.

With the help of Lemma 2 we find

Lemma 3. *Every finite $a \in G$ is a modular element.*

Especially follows $(p, a)M$ for every atom $p \in G$ and for all $a \in G$ which is equivalent to the “**covering condition**” [7]: **COV**: *If p is an atom and $p \not\leq a \in G$ then $a < a \vee p$ (i.e., if $a \leq c \leq a \vee p$ then $c = a$ or $c = a \vee p$).*

Lemma 4. *The set $A(G)$ of all atoms of G is join-dense in G .*

Proof [12]. Theorem 11 implies the existence of an extreme point $v_1 = K_1(p_1) =: C_1$, $p_1 \in A(G)$ in every finite extremal set $C \subseteq K$. By Krein-Milman [3] C is the closed convex hull of its extreme points; so, if $C \neq C_1$ there is $v_2 \in K$ with $v_2 = K_1(p_2) \neq K_1(p_1)$, $p_2 \in A(G)$. With the condition **COV** then follows $C \supseteq C_2 := K_1(p_1) \vee K_1(p_2) = K_1(p_1 \vee p_2) > C_1 = K_1(p_1)$.

Since $\dim M(C) < \infty$ and $\dim M(C_{i+1}) \geq \dim M(C_i) + 1$ for $C_i \subset C_{i+1} \subset \dots \subseteq C$, successive applying of **COV** ends after finitely many steps and gives by induction $C_i = \bigvee_{v=1}^{v=i} K_1(p_v)$ and $C = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n_c} C_i = \bigvee_{v=1}^{n_c} K_1(p_v)$ for every finite $C \in \hat{W}'$. Postulate **(B)** then finishes the proof. \square

As a consequence of this proof we find

Corollary 1. *G is atomic and the modular elements are join-dense in G, i.e., $1 \in G$ is the join of modular elements.*

The next lemma secures that we need not distinguish between the terms “finite” and “finite lattice dimension”.

Lemma 5. *The finite elements of G are just the elements with finite lattice dimension.*

Proof. Let $e \in G$ be finite. Then $C = K_1(e)$ has finite chain length (see proof of Lemma 4) and there are only finitely many mutually orthogonal elements in G_e , i.e., e has finite lattice dimension.

To prove the other direction let $e \in G$ be a finite sum of atoms, i.e., a finite join of mutually orthogonal atoms of G . Postulate **(B)** then guarantees the existence of an ascending chain $0 < e_1 < e_2 < \dots < e$ of finite elements $e_i < e$. Using **COV** we find a covering chain $0 < p_1 < p_1 + p_2 < \dots < \sum p_i = e$ which has finite and also maximal length; hence all chains between 0 and e have finite length. Therefore the finite join $e = \bigvee_{i=1}^n e_i$ is finite because all e_i are finite. \square

Lemma 6. *G is a semimodular (also called M-symmetric) lattice.*

For the proof see [10], Lemma 10 and Corollary 16 or [9].

Definition. A lattice is *nearly modular* if it is orthomodular, semi-modular and each element is the join of modular elements.

Corollary 1 and Lemma 6 result

Proposition 4. *G is a nearly modular lattice.*

MacLaren [8] has shown

Proposition 5. *G is orthoisomorphic to the direct sum of irreducible, atomic, orthocomplemented sublattices $G_i \subseteq G$.*

IV. Topological Properties of the Lattice G

In this part we consider in \hat{W}' only the *norm topology* induced from B and in G the $\sigma(B', B)$ -topology induced from B' .

Lemma 7. *$v_\alpha \Rightarrow v$ (norm convergence) in K , $v_\alpha = K_1(p_\alpha)$, $p_\alpha \in A(G)$ and $p_\alpha \rightarrow f$ ($\sigma(B', B)$ -convergence) in \hat{L} imply $v \in K_1(f)$.*

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $v_\alpha \Rightarrow v$ means: an integer n exists such that for all indices $\alpha \geq n$ and for all $y \in B'$, $\|y\| \leq 1$:

$$|\mu(v_\alpha - v, y)| < \varepsilon.$$

$p_v \rightarrow f$ means: for every $v \in K$ there is an integer $m = m(v)$ such that for all $v \geq m$:

$$|\mu(v, p_v - f)| < \varepsilon$$

Therefore for all $\alpha, v \geq \max(n, m(v))$ holds

$$|\mu(v_\alpha, p_v - f)| \leq |\mu(v_\alpha - v, p_v)| + |\mu(v_\alpha - v, f)| + |\mu(v, p_v - f)| < 3\varepsilon$$

especially for $\alpha = v$:

$$|\mu(v_\alpha, p_\alpha - f)| = |1 - \mu(v_\alpha, f)| < 3\varepsilon, \text{ i.e., } \mu(v_\alpha, f) \rightarrow 1.$$

But $v_\alpha \Rightarrow v$ implies $\mu(v_\alpha, f) \rightarrow \mu(v, f)$; hence $\mu(v, f) = 1$, i.e., $K_1(f) \neq \emptyset$. \square

(C): Lemma 8. *The set $A(e)$ of atoms $p \leq e$ with finite $e \in G$ is $\sigma(B', B)$ -closed, and as subset of \hat{L} also $\sigma(B', B)$ -compact.*

Proof (is a slightly modified version of the proof of Theorem 10.3 in [5]). L is compact (Prop. 2). Let $(p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \rightarrow f$ be a convergent series in $A(e)$. $\hat{L}_e := (f \in \hat{L} : f \leq e) = \hat{L}_0 K_0(e)$ is an extremal set and therefore closed, hence $f \in \hat{L}_e$. By $v_\alpha = K_1(p_\alpha)$ we have a series of extreme points in the finite and hence compact $K_1(e)$. In this set we may select a norm-convergent subsequence $v_\beta \Rightarrow v \in K_1(e)$. Taking into account the finite dimension of the modular lattice $G_e := (\underline{e} \in G : \underline{e} \leq e)$ we can complete

every $p_\beta := p_\beta^1$ by mutually orthogonal atoms $p_\beta^i \in A(e)$ to $e = \sum_{i=1}^n p_\beta^i$.

Because of the compactness of \hat{L}_e and $K_1(e)$, respectively, there is a subsequence $(v) \subseteq (\beta) \subseteq (\alpha)$ such that $p_v^i \rightarrow f^i$ and $v_v^i \Rightarrow v^i$, $i = 1 \dots n$, with $f^1 =: f$ and $v^1 =: v$. Applying Lemma 7 we find $K_1(f^i) \neq \emptyset$. $K_1(f^i)$ is a finite extremal set and contains an extreme point $K_1(q^i)$ with $q^i \in A(e)$, $q^i \leq f^i$, hence $r^i := f^i - q^i \in \hat{L}_e$ or $f^i = r^i + q^i$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n p_v^i = e \rightarrow e$, we find

$\sum_{i=1}^n q^i = e$ and $r^i = 0$ for all $i = 1 \dots n$. Especially $p_\alpha \rightarrow q$, i.e., $A(e)$ is closed. \square

Proposition 6. *Every finite sublattice $G_e \subseteq G$ is $\sigma(B', B)$ -closed and $e_v \rightarrow \underline{e}$ in G_e implies $\dim G_{e_v} \rightarrow \dim G_{\underline{e}}$.*

Proof see [5], Theorem 19.

In analogy to [12] Theorem 16 we find:

Proposition 7. *For every finite $e \in G$ the bijective mapping $(p \in A(e), \sigma(B', B)) \leftrightarrow (K_1(p) \subseteq K_1(e), \|\cdot\|_B)$ is an homeomorphism of $A(e)$ onto the set $\mathcal{E}(K_1(e))$ of extreme points of $K_1(e)$.*

Proof. The closed set $A(e) \subseteq \hat{L}$ is compact. Let $p_\alpha \rightarrow p$ be a convergent sequence in $A(e)$. Since also $K_1(e)$ is compact the corresponding sequence $v_\alpha = K_1(p_\alpha)$ has a cluster point v . Let $v_\beta, (\beta) \subseteq (\alpha)$, be a subsequence converging to v ; then Lemma 7 implies $v_p := K_1(p) \ni v \neq \emptyset$. So v_p is the unique cluster point of (v_α) , i.e., $v_\alpha = K_1(p_\alpha) \Rightarrow K_1(p)$. Hence $K_1 : A(e) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(K_1(e))$ is continuous and (by Prop. 3) also bijective. So, having in mind that $A(e)$ is compact and the the norm topology separates in B , we find the inverse mapping also to be continuous. \square

Corollary 2. *The set $\mathcal{E}(C)$ of all extreme points of every finite extremal subset of K is compact (follows immediately with Prop. 3).*

The set of all finite decision-effects is an ideal J of G [10]. Next we shall show that in J the $\sigma(B', B)$ -topology may be represented by a set of linked “ e -norms” $\| \cdot \|_e := \sup(|\mu(v, \cdot)| : v \in K_1(e))$ which are seminorms induced from B' .

Lemma 9. *The $\sigma(B', B)$ -topology in \hat{L} is equivalent to the topology of uniforme convergency on all compact subsets of K .*

Proof. \hat{L} is a subset of the unit sphere $S' := (y \in B' : |\mu(x, y)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in B \text{ with } \|x\| \leq 1)$. Since $\|x\| = \sup(|\mu(x, y)| : \|y\| \leq 1)$ for all $x \in B$, $\|x_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ implies $y(x_\alpha) = \mu(x_\alpha, y) \rightarrow 0$ for all $y \in S'$, especially for all $y \in \hat{L}$. This means: S' and \hat{L} are equicontinuous in 0 ([3], § 15, Nr. 3) and hence uniformly equicontinuous, because B' is a topological vector space. [3], § 21, Nr. 6 (2) now gives the assertion. \square

Corollary 3. $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$ in B' implies $\|y_\alpha - y\|_e$ for all finite $K_1(e)$.

Obviously $\| \cdot \|_e$ is a seminorm on B'

Lemma 10. *The seminorms $\| \cdot \|_e, e$ finite, are total on \hat{L}_e .*

Proof. We have to show: $\|f\|_e = 0$ implies $f = 0$ for $f \leq e$. $\|f\|_e = 0$ means $\mu(v, f) = 0$ for all $v \in K_1(e)$, i.e., $K_0(f) \supseteq K_1(e)$. Since $f \leq e$, also $K_0(f) \supseteq K_0(e)$; hence $K_0(f) \supseteq K_1(e) \vee K_0(e) = K$ and therefore $f = 0$. \square

We would like to know if $\| \cdot \|_e$ separates on \hat{L}_e . The question is: does $\|f_1 - f_2\|_e = 0$ for $f_1, f_2 \in \hat{L}_e$ already imply $f_1 = f_2$? One sees immediately that this is the case if $f_2 \leq f_1$. In generality however we can only show

Lemma 11. *If for $f_1, f_2 \in \hat{L}_e, \|f_1 - f_2\|_e = 0$ then $K_0(f_1) = K_0(f_2)$ and $K_1(f_1) = K_1(f_2)$.*

Proof. $\|f_1 - f_2\|_e = 0$ means $\mu(v, f_1) = \mu(v, f_2)$ for all $v \in K_1(e)$. Since $f_1, f_2 \leq e$ we find $K_0(f_1) \cap K_1(e) = K_0(f_2) \cap K_1(e)$ and by orthomodularity

$$K_0(f_1) = K_0(e) \vee (K_0(f_1) \cap K_1(e)) = K_0(e) \vee (K_0(f_2) \cap K_1(e)) = K_0(f_2).$$

The expression $K_1(f_1) = K_1(f_2)$ follows by $K_1(f_i) \subseteq K_1(e), i = 1, 2$. \square

Lemma 12. For every finite $e \in G$ the semi-metric $d_e(y, z) := \|y - z\|_e$ on B' separates in G_e .

Proof. We have to show: $d_e(e_1, e_2) = 0$ for $e_1, e_2 \in G_e$ implies $e_1 = e_2$. But $d_e(e_1, e_2) = 0$ means $\mu(v, e_1) = \mu(v, e_2)$ for all $v \in K_1(e)$. Since $e_1, e_2 \leq e$, Lemma 11 gives $K_0(e_1) = K_0(e_2)$ which is equivalent to $e_1 = e_2$. \square

Now we can prove the main theorem:

Proposition 8. Let $G_e \subseteq G$ be a finite sublattice of G . Then the topological space (G_e, d_e) is homeomorphic to the topological space $(G_e, \sigma(B', B))$. Especially, G_e is a d_e -compact set.

Proof. The identical mapping $i: (G_e, \sigma(B', B)) \rightarrow (G_e, d_e)$ is continuous (Corollary 3), G_e is a $\sigma(B', B)$ -compact set and the d_e -topology separates in G_e ; hence i^{-1} is also continuous. \square

Since the convex hull of a compact subset of a finite subspace of B is closed we find, using a theorem of Klee ([3], § 25, Nr. 3 (3)):

Lemma 13. Every finite $K_1(e) \subseteq K$ is the convex hull of its extreme points.

With this lemma we find in analogy to Proposition 8:

Corollary 4. The topological space $(G_e, d_{(e)})$ with the semi-metric $d_{(e)}(y, z) := \sup(|\mu(v, y - z)| : v \in \mathcal{E}(K_1(e)))$ is homeomorphic to $(G_e, \sigma(B', B))$.

V. Final Results

Definition. If $G_e \subseteq G$ is an irreducible sublattice with lattice dimension two (i.e., e is sum of two atoms) then we say: $\mathbb{I} := A(e)$ is a line in G .

Proposition 9. Let $\mathbb{I} := A(e)$ be a line in an irreducible sublattice $G_i \subseteq G$, with $\dim G_i \geq 4$, and let $s \in \mathbb{I}$ be a fixed atom. Then there are two lattice operations \oplus and \odot such that the algebraic set $(\mathbb{I} \setminus s; \oplus, \odot)$ is a locally compact, connected, topological division ring (also called "ternary field") D which is isomorphic either to the real, complex or quaternionic numbers. Furtheron G_i is orthoisomorphic to the lattice of closed subspaces of an inner-product space H_i .

For the proof see [8–10, 13–15].

The next proposition is a result of Amemiya and Araki [1].

Proposition 10. As a consequence of the orthomodularity of G the inner-product space H_i is complete in the usual norm topology, i.e., H_i is an Hilbert-space.

With these results Ludwig ([6], III, § 18) has proved the final representation-theorem. Let $\mathfrak{L}_{\text{tr}}(H)$ be the Banach-space of all hermitean

operators of the trace-class on an Hilbert-space H and let $\mathfrak{L}_r(H)$ be the Banach-space of all hermitean operators on H then:

Theorem 6. *If $G \subseteq B'$ is an irreducible lattice with $\dim G \geq 4$ then the dual pair (B, B') of Banach-spaces is represented by the couple $(\mathfrak{L}_{tr}(H), \mathfrak{L}_r(H))$ and*

(a): *the injective mappings $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{tr}(H)$ and $\varphi : B' \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_r(H)$ are surjective and preserve norm, order and linearity.*

(b): $\mu(v, f) = \mathbf{Tr}(\psi(v) \cdot \varphi(f))$ for $(v, f) \in (K, \hat{L})$ and

(c): $(\psi(K), \varphi(\hat{L}))$ is a categorical solution of the axiomatic scheme $((K, \hat{L}) : \text{Axioms 1-4, postulates (A), (B)})$.

I am indebted to Prof. G. Ludwig for his stimulating guidance.

Erratum. Corrections to the proof of Theorem 20 on page 310 in *Commun. math. Phys.* **11** (1969):

Part 1, line 6 to 9: This statement must be pushed in part 5 of the proof. There the linear extension of $\bar{\chi} : G \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ is useful because $\bar{\chi}$ preserves linear dependence.

Part 2, line 3: $\mu(v, e) = m_v(E) = \mathbf{Tr} VE$ for all $E = \bar{\chi}(e) \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Part 2, line 11 has to be completed by: This can be done because of the linearity of the mapping $\bar{\psi} : K \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ (Proof: $v = \sum \lambda_i v_i$, $\sum \lambda_i = 1$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$ implies $\mathbf{Tr}(VP) = \mu(v, p) = \sum \lambda_i \mu(v_i, p) = \mathbf{Tr}((\sum \lambda_i V_i)P)$ for all $P \in A(\mathfrak{P})$ with $p = \bar{\chi}^{-1}(P)$ and $V_i = \bar{\psi}(v_i)$, $V = \bar{\psi}(v)$. Hence $V = \sum \lambda_i V_i$.)

Part 3, line 5 is to complete by: Every $f \in \hat{L}$ has the form $f = \sum \lambda_i e_i$, $e_i \in G$. Hence to prove that ψ is an injection we need only all $f = e = \bar{\chi}^{-1}(E) \in G$.

References

1. Amemiya, I., Araki, H.: A remark on Piron's paper. *Publ. res. Inst. math. Sci., Kyoto Univ., Ser. A* **2**, 423—427 (1966).
2. Dähn, G.: Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories IV. *Commun. math. Phys.* **9**, 192—211 (1968).
3. Köthe, G.: *Topologische lineare Räume*. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer 1960.
4. Ludwig, G.: Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories II. *Commun. math. Phys.* **4**, 331—348 (1967).
5. — Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories III. *Commun. math. Phys.* **9**, 1—12 (1968).
6. — Deutung des Begriffs „physikalische Theorie“ und axiomatische Grundlegung der Hilbertraumstruktur der Quantenmechanik durch Hauptsätze des Messens. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1970.
7. Maeda, S.: On the symmetry of the modular relation in atomic lattices. *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A* **1**, **29**, 165—170 (1965).
8. Pontrjagin, L.S.: *Topologische Gruppen I*. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner 1957.
9. MacLaren, M.D.: Atomic orthocomplemented lattices. *Pacific J. Math.* **14** (1), 597—612 (1964).
10. — Notes on axioms for quantum mechanics. Report ANL-7065 of the Argonne National Laboratory. Argonne, Illinois (1965).
11. Schreiner, E.A.: Modular pairs in orthomodular lattices. *Pacific J. Math.* **19** (3), 519—528 (1966).

12. Stolz, P.: Attempt of an axiomatic foundation of quantum mechanics and more general theories V. *Commun. math. Phys.* **11**, 303—313 (1969).
13. Varadarajan, V.S.: *Geometry of quantum theory I*. Princeton, New Jersey: 1968.
14. Zierler, N.: Axiomes for non-relativistic quantum mechanics. *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (2), 1151—1169 (1961).
15. — On the lattice of closed subspaces of Hilbertspace. *Pacific J. Math.* **19** (3), 583—586 (1968).

Peter Stolz
Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Marburg
BRD-3550 Marburg (Lahn), Renthof 7
Germany