

## RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

### AN INVARIANT SUBSPACE THEOREM<sup>1</sup>

BY JIM AGLER

A fundamental problem in the study of operators on Hilbert space is to determine which operators have nontrivial invariant subspaces. If  $H$  is a complex Hilbert space then a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  is a *spectral set* for  $T$  if  $K$  contains the spectrum of  $T$ ,  $\sigma(T)$ , and

$$\|f(T)\| \leq \max\{|f(z)| : z \in \sigma(T)\}$$

for every rational function  $f$  with poles off  $K$ . In this note it is shown that any operator for which the spectrum is a spectral set has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

In [6] von Neumann introduced the notion of spectral set and showed that if  $T$  has  $\|T\| = 1$  then the closed unit disc,  $\mathbf{D}^-$ , is a spectral set for  $T$ . For this reason any operator whose spectrum is a spectral set is called a *von Neumann operator*. Hence if  $\|T\| = 1$  and  $\sigma(T) = \mathbf{D}^-$  then  $T$  is a von Neumann operator. If  $T$  or  $T^*$  is a subnormal operator then  $T$  is a von Neumann operator. Thus the result of this note generalizes the recent result of Scott Brown [1] that every subnormal operator has an invariant subspace, although the proof relies heavily on his techniques. We wish here to thank him for an early manuscript containing his results.

**THEOREM.** *Any von Neumann operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

The rest of this note is a much compressed proof of this fact. All notation used is as in [3].  $\mathcal{R}_k$  denotes the ultraweak (= weak star) closure of the rational functions in  $T$  with poles off  $K$ .

Let  $T$  be a von Neumann operator on complex separable Hilbert space and

---

Received by the editors July 26, 1978 and, in revised form, October 30, 1978.  
*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 47A25.

<sup>1</sup> These results are part of the author's Ph.D. dissertation written at Indiana University Under the direction of Professor John B. Conway.

© 1979 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9904/79/0000-0116/\$01.75

assume:

(R)  $T$  has no reducing subspaces

(AP)  $\sigma_p(T) = \sigma_c(T) = \square$ .

DEFINITION. A compact set  $K \subset \mathbf{C}$  is  $D$ -spectral for  $T$  if  $K$  is a spectral set and  $R(K)$  is a Dirichlet algebra on  $\partial K$ .

LEMMA 1. If  $K$  is a  $D$ -spectral for  $T$  then the natural contraction  $\Phi_K: R(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K$  extends to a norm contractive algebra homomorphism  $\Phi_K: H^\infty(\partial K) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_K$  which is continuous when domain and range are equipped with their weak\* topologies.

If  $K$  is  $D$ -spectral and  $K^0$  has one component then  $K^0$  is simply connected. Let  $\phi_K$  denote the conformal map from  $K^0$  onto  $\mathbf{D}$ . By Lemma 4.3 in [5],  $\phi_K \in H^\infty(\partial K)$ . The operator  $\Phi_K(\phi_K)$  is central to our efforts.

LEMMA 2. If  $K$  is  $D$ -spectral and  $K^0$  has one component then,  $\sigma(\Phi_K(\phi_K)) \cap \mathbf{D} = \phi_K(\sigma(T) \cap K)$ .

This is an easy consequence of (AP).

LEMMA 3. There exists a compact  $K \subset \mathbf{C}$  with the properties: (P1)  $K$  is  $D$ -spectral for  $T$ ; (P2)  $K^0$  has one component; (P3)  $\partial \mathbf{D} \subset \sigma(\Phi_K(\phi_K))$ .

SKETCH OF PROOF. Define for each countable ordinal  $\alpha$  a compact set as follows:

- (1)  $K_1 =$  the polynomially convex hull of  $\sigma(T)$ .
- (ii) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal then  $K_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} K_\beta$ .
- (iii)  $K_{\alpha+1} = K_\alpha \setminus [\phi_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha) \cup U_\alpha]$  where  $U_\alpha$  is the union of the components of  $K_\alpha^0$  that miss  $\sigma(T)$  and  $V_\alpha$  is defined in terms of  $K_\alpha$  as follows.  $R(K_\alpha)$  is by transfinite induction, a Dirichlet algebra. It follows that if  $L_\alpha = K_\alpha \setminus U_\alpha$  then  $L_\alpha$  is  $D$ -spectral for  $T$ . Condition (R) implies, via Theorem 2.4 in [4], that  $L_\alpha^0$  has one component. Let  $\phi_\alpha(T) = \Phi_{L_\alpha}(\phi_{L_\alpha})$  and  $\phi_\alpha = \phi_{L_\alpha}$ .

The  $V_\alpha$  in (iii) above is the union of the components,  $U$ , of  $\mathbf{D} \setminus \phi_\alpha(\sigma(T) \cap L_\alpha^0)$  with the property that  $\partial U \cap \partial \mathbf{D}$  contains a nontrivial arc,  $I$ , with the property that  $I \cap \sigma(\phi_\alpha(T)) = \square$ . The  $K$  of Lemma 3 may now be obtained by setting  $K = \bigcap K_\alpha$ . The proof that  $\mathcal{R}(K_\alpha)$  is a Dirichlet algebra and  $K$  has the desired properties follows by a modification of [5].

LEMMA 4. For the  $K$  of Lemma 3,

$$\|h\|_{H^\infty(K)} = \sup\{|h(z)|: z \in K^0 \cap \sigma(T)\}$$

all  $h \in H^\infty(\partial K)$ .

SKETCH OF PROOF. Otherwise, by a geometric construction with  $\sigma(\Phi_K(\phi_K))$  (see Lemma 3.1 in [1]),

- (i)  $\sigma(\Phi_K(\phi_K))$  contains an exposed arc or  
 (ii) by deleting an open "sliver" from  $K$  one obtains  $J$ , admissible for  $T$ , and with the property that  $R(J)$  has two nontrivial Gleason parts meeting  $\sigma(T)$ .

If (i) occurs then one can obtain a growth condition on the resolvent of  $\Phi_K(\phi_K)$  near the exposed arc that contradicts (P3) of Lemma 3. (ii) cannot occur by Theorem 2.4 in [4].

LEMMA 5. *For the  $K$  of Lemma 3,  $\Phi_K$  is an isometric weak  $*$  homeomorphism onto  $R_K$ .*

Lemmas 4 and 5 imply that  $A = \Phi_K(\phi_K)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 in [2] and hence  $A$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace. Choose a sequence of polynomials such that  $p_n \rightarrow \phi_K^{-1} w^*$  in  $H^\infty(\partial D)$ . Then  $p_n(A) \rightarrow T w^*$  in  $L(H)$  so that  $T$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Brown, *Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators* (preprint).
2. S. Brown, B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy, *An invariant subspace theorem* (preprint).
3. J. B. Conway and R. F. Olin, *A functional calculus for subnormal operators. II*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 184 (1977).
4. R. G. Lautzenheiser, *Spectral sets, reducing subspaces, and function algebras*, Thesis, Indiana University, 1973.
5. D. E. Sarason, *Weak-star density of polynomials*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **252** (1972), 1–15.
6. J. von Neumann, *Eine spektral theorie für all-gemeine operatoren eines unitären Raumes*, Math. Nachr. **4** (1951), 258–281.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47401