

THE ASYMPTOTIC JOINT DISTRIBUTION  
 OF WINDINGS OF PLANAR BROWNIAN MOTION

BY J. W. PITMAN<sup>1</sup> AND M. YOR<sup>1</sup>

Let  $Z = (Z(t), t \geq 0)$  be a complex valued Brownian motion starting at  $z_0$ , and consider the real valued winding processes  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$ , where  $\theta_j(t)$  is the continuous total angle wound by  $Z$  about  $z_j$  up to time  $t$ , and  $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n$  are  $n + 1$  distinct points in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $C_1, \dots, C_n, B, B^\infty$  be  $n + 2$  mutually independent one-dimensional processes, where the first  $n$  are standard Cauchy processes and the last 2 are standard Brownian motions.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \inf\{t: |B_t| = 1\}, \\ U &= \text{local time at } 0 \text{ of } B \text{ up to time } T, \\ W_j &= C_j(U) + B^\infty(T), \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the  $n$ -tuple  $(2\theta_j(t)/\log t, 1 \leq j \leq n)$  converges in distribution to  $(W_j, 1 \leq j \leq n)$ .*

The joint Fourier transform of the distribution of  $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$  is readily computed to be

$$(1) \quad E \exp\left(i \sum_j \lambda_j W_j\right) = \left[ \cosh \sigma + \left( \sum_j |\lambda_j| \right) \frac{\sinh \sigma}{\sigma} \right]^{-1}$$

where  $\sigma = \sum_j \lambda_j$ . Thus for each  $j$  the marginal distribution of  $W_j$  is Cauchy with parameter 1, and we recover from Theorem 1 the result of Spitzer [S] for windings about a single point. Theorem 1 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2 below, which is an extension of Theorem 7 of Messulam and Yor [MY]. To state this result, fix radii  $0 < r_j < \infty, j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $D_j^0$  be the open disc centered at  $z_j$  with radius  $r_j$ , and let  $D_j^\infty$  be the complement of  $D_j^0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $*$  = 0 or  $\infty$ , define

$$\theta_j^*(t) = \int_0^t d\theta_j(s) 1(Z_s \in D_j^*).$$

**THEOREM 2.** *As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the  $n$ -tuple of pairs  $(2\theta_j^0(t)/\log t, 2\theta_j^\infty(t)/\log t; 1 \leq j \leq n)$  converges in distribution to  $(C_j(U), B^\infty(T); 1 \leq j \leq n)$ .*

Received by the editors July 26, 1983.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60J65.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF Grant MCS-82-02552.

© 1984 American Mathematical Society  
 0273-0979/84 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

A key step in the proof of Theorem 2 is the use of the pinching method of D. Williams [W] to show that the asymptotic behavior of

$$(2) \quad 2\theta_j^*(t)/\log t \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

is identical to that of

$$(3) \quad \theta_j^*(T_R)/\log R \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $T_R = \inf\{t: |Z_t| = R\}$ .

The identical limiting behavior of (2) and (3) should be contrasted with the *different* limiting behavior described by Lyons and McKean [LM] for

$$(4) \quad \theta_j^*(\tau_u)/u \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\tau_u$  is the inverse of an additive functional  $A_t$  with finite representing measure  $\alpha$ . Let  $C^\infty(\frac{1}{2})$  be a Cauchy random variable with parameter  $\frac{1}{2}$  which is independent of the independent and identically distributed random variables  $C_1(\frac{1}{2}), \dots, C_n(\frac{1}{2})$ . The following theorem is the extension to windings about  $n$  points of the result given by Lyons and McKean [LM] for the asymptotic behavior of (4) in the case  $n = 2$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *As  $u \rightarrow \infty$ , the  $n$ -tuple of pairs  $((\alpha(C)/4\pi u)[\theta_j^0(\tau_u), \theta_j^\infty(\tau_u)]; 1 \leq j \leq n)$  converges in distribution to  $([C_j^0(\frac{1}{2}), C_j^\infty(\frac{1}{2})]; 1 \leq j \leq n)$ .*

In particular, comparison of the Fourier transform (1) with the corresponding transform for  $(C_j(\frac{1}{2}) + C^\infty(\frac{1}{2}), 1 \leq j \leq n)$  reveals the striking result that while for each  $j$  the marginal limit distribution for  $2\theta_j(t)/\log t$  is the same Cauchy distribution as for  $\alpha(C)\theta_j(\tau_u)/4\pi u$ , the *joint* limiting distributions of these quantities are *different*.

An important ingredient in our proof of these results is the independence of various radial and angular parts of the Brownian motion as described in Theorem 4 below. We derive this theorem using Tanaka's formula and the theorem of Knight [K] representing orthogonal continuous martingales in terms of independent Brownian motions.

For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $*$  = 0 or  $\infty$ , introduce the additive functional

$$U_j^*(t) = \int_0^t 1(Z_s \in D_j^*) |Z_s - z_j|^{-2} ds$$

and the clock

$$t_j^*(u) = \inf\{t: U_j^*(t) = u\},$$

and define time changed processes

$$\beta_j^*(u) = \theta_j^*(t_j^*(u)), \quad \rho_j^*(u) = \log |Z(t_j^*(u)) - z_j|.$$

**THEOREM 4.** (i) *For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $*$  = 0 or  $\infty$ ,  $\beta_j^*$  is a Brownian motion,  $\rho_j^*$  is a Brownian motion with reflection at  $\log r_j$ , and these two processes are independent.*

(ii) *If the domains  $D_1^0, \dots, D_{n-1}^0, D_n^\infty$  are disjoint, then the  $2n$  processes  $\beta_1^0, \rho_1^0, \dots, \beta_{n-1}^0, \rho_{n-1}^0, \beta_n^\infty, \rho_n^\infty$  are mutually independent.*

For the proof of Theorems 2 and 3, it is an important observation that the origins  $z_j$  for the angles and the radii  $r_j$  separating  $\theta_j^\infty$  and  $\theta_j^0$  play no rôle in the limit. We prove this using estimates relating martingales to their increasing processes (see, e.g., Burkholder [B]). This allows reduction to the case when the domains  $D_1^0, \dots, D_{n-1}^0, D_n^\infty$  are disjoint. In this case we analyse the angles indexed by local times on the  $n$  circles, making use of the independence results of Theorem 4 and the ratio ergodic theorem for additive functionals (see, e.g., Ito and McKean [IM, §17]).

The Cauchy processes arise from the fact that if  $\tau_{ju}^*$  denotes the inverse local time process on the boundary circle of  $D_j^*$ , then  $(\theta_j^*(\tau_{ju}), u \geq 0)$  is a Cauchy process.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We thank David Aldous for developing an elaborate coupling argument which completed our first proof of the asymptotic distribution of  $\theta_1^0(t)$  and  $\theta_2^0(t)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [B] D. Burkholder, *Distribution function inequalities for martingales*, Ann. Probab. **1** (1973), 19–42.
- [IM] K. Ito and H. P. McKean, *Diffusion processes and their sample paths*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.
- [K] F. B. Knight, *A reduction of continuous square-integrable martingales to Brownian motion*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 190, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [LM] T. Lyons and H. P. McKean, *Winding of the plane Brownian motion* (to appear).
- [MY] P. Messulam and M. Yor, *On D. Williams' "Pinching method" and some applications*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **26** (1982), 348–364.
- [S] F. Spitzer, *Some theorems concerning 2-dimensional Brownian motion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 187–197.
- [W] D. Williams, *A simple geometric proof of Spitzer's winding number formula for 2-dimensional Brownian motion*, University College, Swansea, 1974, unpublished manuscript.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

*Current address* (M. Yor): Laboratoire de Calcul des Probabilités #4, Place Jussieu, Tour 56, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France

