

## FROBENIUS RECIPROCITY OF DIFFERENTIABLE REPRESENTATIONS

BY JOHAN F. AARNES

Communicated by François Trèves, July 10, 1973

**ABSTRACT.** In this note we give the construction of the adjoint and the coadjoint of the restriction functor in the category of differentiable  $G$ -modules, where  $G$  is a Lie group.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a Lie group, countable at infinity. A continuous representation  $\lambda$  of  $G$  in a complete locally convex space  $E$  is *differentiable* if for each  $a \in E$  the map  $\hat{a}: x \rightarrow \lambda(x)a$  of  $G$  into  $E$  is  $C^\infty$ , and if the injection  $a \rightarrow \hat{a}$  of  $E$  into  $C^\infty(G, E)$  is a topological homeomorphism [8]. We then say that  $E$  is a differentiable  $G$ -module.

There is a natural way of associating a differentiable representation to any continuous, in particular unitary, representation of  $G$ . In fact, let  $\rho$  be a continuous representation of  $G$  on a complete locally convex space  $F$ . Let  $F_\infty = \{a \in F: \hat{a} \in C^\infty(G, F)\}$ . Then  $F_\infty$  is a dense  $\rho$ -invariant linear subspace of  $F$ . The injection  $a \rightarrow \hat{a}$  sends  $F_\infty$  onto a closed subspace of  $C^\infty(G, F)$ . When  $F_\infty$  is equipped with the relative topology of  $C^\infty(G, F)$  it becomes a complete locally convex space, and the corresponding subrepresentation  $\lambda_\infty$  of  $\lambda$  on  $F_\infty$  is differentiable. If  $\lambda$  is topologically irreducible then  $\lambda_\infty$  is topologically irreducible and conversely. For details and other basic facts concerning differentiable representations see [8].

The purpose of the present note is to show that the Frobenius reciprocity theorem is valid in the category of differentiable  $G$ -modules. The history of the Frobenius reciprocity theorem is long and interesting. For some recent developments the reader is referred to the work of Bruhat [1], Moore [4], Rieffel [5] and Rigelhof [6]. In particular Rigelhof succeeded in constructing an adjoint and a coadjoint for the restriction functor in the category of continuous (locally convex)  $G$ -modules.

**2. Construction of the adjoint and the coadjoint.** Let  $K$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ , and let  $F$  be a differentiable  $G$ -module. The restriction  $F \rightarrow F_K$  is a functor from the category of differentiable  $G$ -modules to the category of differentiable  $K$ -modules.

Let  $E$  be a differentiable  $K$ -module and let  $\pi$  be the corresponding representation.

(1) *Coadjoint functor.* Let  $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'(G)$  denote the space of distributions

---

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 22D12, 22D30, 22E45; Secondary 43A65.

*Key words and phrases.* Frobenius reciprocity, differentiable representations, adjoint functor, coadjoint functor.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1974

with compact support on  $G$ , equipped with the strong topology as the dual of  $C^\infty(G)$ .

Let  $E^G$  denote the space of all continuous  $K$ -linear maps of  $\mathcal{E}'$  into  $E$ , i.e.,  $E^G = \text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{E}', E)$  and  $m \in E^G$  iff

$$(2.1) \quad m(kS) = km(S); \quad S \in \mathcal{E}', k \in K.$$

Here  $(kS)(f) = S(fk)$ , where  $(fk)(x) = f(kx)$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ .  $E^G$  is given the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets and is a complete locally convex space. We define the induced representation  $\pi^G$  of  $G$  on  $E^G$  by

$$(2.2) \quad [\pi^G(x)m](S) = m(Sx).$$

PROPOSITION 1.  $\pi^G$  is a differentiable representation of  $G$  on  $E^G$ .

(2) *Adjoint functor.* A bilinear map  $\omega: \mathcal{E}' \times E \rightarrow H$ ,  $H$  a locally convex space is  $K$ -balanced if  $\omega(Sk, a) = \omega(S, ka)$  for all  $S \in \mathcal{E}'$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $a \in E$ . (We let  $K$  act to the right on  $\mathcal{E}'$  here and write  $ka$  for  $\pi(k)a$ .) Let  $B_K(\mathcal{E}', E)$  denote the space of all  $K$ -balanced bilinear maps of  $\mathcal{E}' \times E$  into  $C$ . Let  $\chi: \mathcal{E}' \times E \rightarrow B_K(\mathcal{E}', E)^*$  be the canonical map:

$$\chi(S, a)b = b(S, a); \quad b \in B_K(\mathcal{E}', E).$$

$\chi$  is  $K$ -balanced and bilinear. We let  $\mathcal{E}' \otimes_K E$  denote the linear span of the range of  $\chi$ . Typical elements of  $\mathcal{E}' \otimes_K E$  will be written  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i \otimes a_i$ . We give  $\mathcal{E}' \otimes_K E$  the inductive tensor product topology with respect to the family of bounded subsets of  $\mathcal{E}'$  and  $E$  [2]. Let  ${}^G E$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{E}' \otimes_K E$  with respect to this topology. We define the representation  ${}^G \pi$  of  $G$  on  ${}^G E$  by

$$(2.3) \quad {}^G \pi(x)(S \otimes a) = xS \otimes a.$$

This construction is similar to the one given in [5] and [6].

PROPOSITION 2.  ${}^G \pi$  is a differentiable representation of  $G$  on  ${}^G E$ .

3. **Main result.** Preserve the notation and assumptions above.

THEOREM 1.  $E \rightarrow {}^G E$  is the adjoint and  $E \rightarrow E^G$  is the coadjoint functor of the restriction functor  $F \rightarrow F_K$ , i.e., there are natural isomorphisms (in the sense of category theory):

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Hom}_G({}^G E, F) \cong \text{Hom}_K(E, F_K);$$

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Hom}_G(F, E^G) \cong \text{Hom}_K(F_K, E).$$

Moreover, the adjoint and coadjoint are unique to within equivalence of differentiable  $G$ -modules.

REMARK. The construction of the isomorphism in (3.1) rests upon the preliminary result that  $\omega: (S, a) \rightarrow \lambda(S)a$  is a hypocontinuous bilinear map of  $\mathcal{E}' \times F$  into  $F$ . Here  $\lambda(S)$  denotes the distribution form of the

representation  $\lambda$ .  $\omega$  is also  $K$ -balanced, and therefore any  $A \in \text{Hom}_K(E, F_k)$  determines a continuous linear map  $A': \mathcal{E}' \otimes_K E \rightarrow F$  such that

$$A' \sum S_i \otimes a_i = \sum \lambda(S_i) A a_i = \sum \omega(S_i, A a_i).$$

The map  $A \rightarrow A'$  defines (3.1).

For (3.2) let  $A \in \text{Hom}_K(F_K, E)$ , and let  $a \in F$ . Define  $(A'a)(S) = A\lambda(S)a$ . Then  $A'a$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{E}', E) = E^G$  and  $A': a \rightarrow A'a$  belongs to  $\text{Hom}_G(F, E^G)$ . The map  $A \rightarrow A'$  defines (3.2).

Finally, both (3.1) and (3.2) are topological isomorphisms (with respect to standard topologies).

**4. Realizations.** In this section we give alternative descriptions of the  $G$ -modules  $E^G$  and  ${}^G E$ . As may be expected  $E^G$  may be realized as a space of  $E$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -functions. Let  $C_K^\infty(G, E)$  denote the space of  $C^\infty$ -functions  $f: G \rightarrow E$  satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad f(kx) = \pi(k)f(x); \quad k \in K, x \in G.$$

We give  $C_K^\infty(G, E)$  the relative topology from  $C^\infty(G, E)$ , and let  $G$  act as the right regular representation on  $C_K^\infty(G, E)$ . This makes  $C_K^\infty(G, E)$  into a differentiable  $G$ -module, and we have

**PROPOSITION 3.** *The differentiable  $G$ -modules  $E^G$  and  $C_K^\infty(G, E)$  are equivalent.*

The proof is more or less straightforward, based on the isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}', E) \cong C^\infty(G) \hat{\otimes} E \cong C^\infty(G, E)$  ( $C^\infty(G)$  is a reflexive nuclear space; see [7].)

It does not appear possible to realize  ${}^G E$  as a space of functions. There is, however, another representation of  ${}^G E$ , in a particular case, which throws some light on the connection between  ${}^G E$  and  $E^G$ .

Let  $C_c^\infty(G)$  denote the space of complex-valued  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $G$  with compact support, equipped with the usual topology [7], [8]. Let  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  be the space of all continuous linear maps  $m: C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow E$  which satisfy

$$(4.2) \quad \text{supp } m \subseteq CK \quad \text{for some compact subset } C \text{ of } G;$$

$$(4.3) \quad m(k\varphi) = \delta(k)^{-1}km(\varphi) \quad \text{where } k \in K, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(G), \text{ and } \delta \text{ is the modular function of } K.$$

We topologize  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  as follows. For each compact set  $C$ , let  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C, C_c^\infty(G), E)$  be the subspace of those  $m$ 's that have their support in  $CK$ . We give this space the relative topology as a subspace of  $\text{Hom}(C_c^\infty(G), E)$ .  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  is then given the inductive limit topology from the family of spaces  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C, C_c^\infty(G), E)$  as  $C$  runs through the collection of compact subsets of  $G$ . We make  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  into

a differentiable  $G$ -module by the action

$$(4.4) \quad (xm)\varphi = m(\varphi x); \quad \varphi \in C_c^\infty(G), x \in G.$$

PROPOSITION 4. *If  $E$  is the dual of a reflexive Fréchet space then the differentiable  $G$ -modules  ${}^G E$  and  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  are equivalent.*

The proof of this result rests upon another proposition, stated below. Let  $\text{Hom}^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  be the space of all continuous linear maps  $m: C_c^\infty(G) \rightarrow E$  with compact support. We equip this space with the natural inductive topology. Let  $K$  act to the right on the space by

$$(4.5) \quad (mk)\varphi = \delta(k)k^{-1}m(k\varphi).$$

Then define

$$(4.6) \quad m^\# \varphi = \int_K (mk)\varphi dk; \quad k \in K, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

PROPOSITION 5. *The map  $m \rightarrow m^\#$  is linear, continuous, and open of  $\text{Hom}^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$  onto  $\text{Hom}_K^0(C_c^\infty(G), E)$ .*

REMARK. This result is true when  $E$  is a complete locally convex space.

**5. Concluding remarks.** Bruhat [1] has given another definition of differentially induced representations, and has given a version of the Frobenius reciprocity theorem in terms of intertwining forms. Full proofs of the results above, a discussion of the relationship to Bruhat's work, and other results (inducing in stages, etc.) will be given elsewhere.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Bruhat, *Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **84** (1956), 97–205. MR **18**, 907.
2. A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologique et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 16 (1955). MR **17**, 763.
3. S. Mac Lane, *Categorical algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 40–106. MR **30** #2053.
4. C. C. Moore, *On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for locally compact groups*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 359–365. MR **25** #5134.
5. M. A. Rieffel, *Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups*, J. Functional Analysis **1** (1967), 443–491. MR **36** #6544.
6. R. Rigelhof, *Induced representations of locally compact groups*, Acta Math. **125** (1970), 155–187. MR **43** #7550.
7. F. Trèves, *Topological vector spaces, distributions and kernels*, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR **37** #726.
8. G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups. I*, Springer-Verlag, New York and Berlin, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO, BOULDER, COLORADO 80302

*Current address:* University of Trondheim, Trondheim, Norway