

BI-INVARIANT SCHWARTZ MULTIPLIERS AND LOCAL SOLVABILITY ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

JOE W. JENKINS

Let X denote a finite-dimensional vector space with a fixed positive definite inner product, and let $\mathcal{S}(X)$ denote the Schwartz space on X . We let $\mathcal{MS}(X)$ denote the space of continuous endomorphisms of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ that commute with the action of X on $\mathcal{S}(X)$. The elements of $\mathcal{MS}(X)$ are given by convolution by tempered distributions; i.e., for $E \in \mathcal{MS}(X)$ there is a $D_E \in \mathcal{S}^*(X)$ such that $Ef(x) = \langle D_E, l_x \hat{f} \rangle := D_E * f(x)$, where $\hat{f}(x) = f(-x)$ and $l_x f(y) = f(y - x)$. Conversely, if $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(X)$, then one can easily see that $E_D: f \rightarrow D * f$ is a mapping of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ into the smooth functions on X that commutes with translation. Schwartz [S] shows that $E_D \in \mathcal{MS}(X)$ if and only if \hat{D} , the Fourier transform of D , is given by a smooth function on X^* which has polynomial bounds on all derivatives. In this note we announce analogues of these results for arbitrary nilpotent Lie groups. Complete proofs will appear elsewhere.

Let N denote a connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie group, with Lie algebra \mathfrak{n} . The exponential mapping, $\exp: \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$, is a diffeomorphism, and in terms of the corresponding coordinates left and right translation on N are polynomial mappings. Thus, if $\mathcal{S}(N)$ denotes the image under composition with \exp of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n})$, the right and left action of N on $\mathcal{S}(N)$ are continuous endomorphisms, where $\mathcal{S}(N)$ is topologized so that composition with \exp is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n})$ to $\mathcal{S}(N)$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(N)$ the dual of $\mathcal{S}(N)$, the space of tempered distributions on N .

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(N)$, the Fourier transform of f , \hat{f} , is defined on \mathfrak{n}^* , the dual of \mathfrak{n} , by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathfrak{n}} f(\exp X) e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi, X \rangle} dX.$$

One has that $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(N)$ onto $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}^*)$. For $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(N)$, \hat{D} is defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ by $\langle \hat{D}, f \rangle = \langle D, \hat{f} \circ \log \rangle$, where \log denotes the inverse of \exp .

Let Ad^* denote the coadjoint representation of N on \mathfrak{n}^* . A tempered distribution D on \mathfrak{n}^* is said to be Ad^* -invariant if $\langle D, f \circ \text{Ad}^* x \rangle = \langle D, f \rangle$ for all $x \in N$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}^*)$. A tempered distribution D on N is said to be bi-invariant if $\langle D, \tau_x^{-1} f \rangle = \langle D, l_x f \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(N)$, where $\tau_x f(y) = f(yx)$ and $l_x f(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ for all $x, y \in N$. A straightforward computation shows that an element $D \in \mathcal{S}(N)$ is bi-invariant if and only if \hat{D} is Ad^* -invariant.

Received by the editors January 22, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 22E30, 43A55.

This research supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

Let $\mathcal{MS}(N)$ denote the space of continuous endomorphisms on $\mathcal{S}(N)$ that commute with both right and left translations by elements of N . As in the Euclidean case, one has that for each $E \in \mathcal{MS}(N)$ there is a $D_E \in \mathcal{S}^*(N)$ such that $Ef = D_E * f$, where, as before, $D_E * f(x) := \langle D_E, l_x \check{f} \rangle$. If $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(N)$ we denote by E_D the mapping defined on $\mathcal{S}(N)$ by $E_D f = D * f$.

Let $PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ denote the space of smooth, Ad^* -invariant functions defined on \mathfrak{n}^* with polynomial bounds on all derivatives. This space is topologized using the seminorms ν_{ij} defined on $PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ by

$$\nu_{ij}(\theta) = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq j} \sup_{\xi \in \mathfrak{n}^*} |\partial^\alpha \theta(\xi)| / (1 + \|\xi\|^2)^i,$$

where ∂^α denotes the standard differential operator corresponding to the multi-index α , and some fixed basis of \mathfrak{n}^* . A sequence $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{MS}(N)$ converges to 0 if $E_n f \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{S}(N)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{S}(N)$.

THEOREM A. *The mapping $\mathcal{MS}(N) \rightarrow PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*) : E \rightarrow \widehat{D}_E$ is a homeomorphism and an algebra isomorphism, the products being composition on $\mathcal{MS}(N)$ and pointwise multiplication on $PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*)$.*

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{n}^*$, let π_ξ denote the irreducible unitary representation of N that corresponds to the Ad^* -orbit of ξ by the Kirillov theory. For $\theta \in PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*)$, let D_θ be the tempered distribution on N with Fourier transform θ .

THEOREM B. *For $\theta \in PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*)$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(N)$, and $\xi \in \mathfrak{n}^*$,*

$$\pi_\xi(D_\theta * f) = \theta(\xi)\pi_\xi(f).$$

As an application of these results, we consider the question of local solvability. Recall that a left invariant differential operator L on N is said to be locally solvable if there is an open set $U \subset N$ such that $C_c^\infty(U) \subset L(C^\infty(U))$.

Let $o(\xi)$ denote the Ad^* -orbit in \mathfrak{n}^* that contains ξ , and having fixed a norm on \mathfrak{n}^* , set $|o(\xi)| = \inf\{\|\xi'\| : \xi' \in o(\xi)\}$. Suppose that N contains a discrete, cocompact subgroup Γ . Then $L^2(\Gamma \backslash N)$ is a direct sum of subspaces \mathcal{H}_ξ such that the restriction to \mathcal{H}_ξ of right translation is a finite multiple of π_ξ . We denote by $(\Gamma \backslash N)_0^\wedge$ the elements of \widehat{N} appearing in this decomposition that are in general position.

THEOREM C. *Let L be a left invariant differential operator on N . Suppose that for each $\pi_\xi \in (\Gamma \backslash N)_0^\wedge$, $\pi_\xi(L)$ has a bounded right inverse A_ξ on \mathcal{H}_ξ , and that the norm of A_ξ is bounded by a polynomial in $|o(\xi)|$. Then L is locally solvable.*

The proof of Theorem A requires the introduction of somewhat more general spaces. Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace of the center of \mathfrak{n} , and let $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^*$. We define the unitary character χ_λ on $H := \exp(\mathcal{L})$ by $\chi_\lambda(\exp X) = e^{2\pi i(\lambda, X)}$, and denote by $\mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ the space of all smooth functions f defined on N such that $f(xy) = \chi_\lambda(y)f(x)$ for all $x \in N$, $y \in H$, and such that $f \circ \exp|_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{L} is a complement to \mathcal{L} in \mathfrak{n} . The topology of $\mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ is defined by requiring that the mapping $f \rightarrow f \circ \exp|_{\mathcal{L}}$ be a homeomorphism. Define $P_\lambda : \mathcal{S}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ by

$$P_\lambda f(\exp X) = \int_{\mathcal{L}} f(\exp(X + Y))\chi_\lambda(-Y) dY.$$

P_λ is an open surjection and thus its adjoint P_λ^* is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}^*(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ into $\mathcal{S}^*(N)$.

Let \mathfrak{h}^\perp be the annihilator of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{n}^* . For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (identified as a subspace of \mathfrak{n}^*), there is a natural Schwartz space on $\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda$, $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda)$, given by composing elements of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp)$ with translation by $-\lambda$. Considering $\mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda)$ as subspaces of $\mathcal{S}^*(N)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{n}^*)$ respectively, the Fourier transform is defined on these spaces and one has that $f \rightarrow \hat{f}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ onto $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda)$ and of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda)$ onto $\mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_{-\lambda})$. Also one has that for $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$, $(P_\lambda^* D)^\wedge = R_{-\lambda}^* \tilde{D}$, where $R_\lambda: \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{n}^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda)$ is restriction, and \tilde{D} is the element in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathfrak{h}^\perp - \lambda)$ defined by $\langle \tilde{D}, f \rangle = \langle D, \hat{f} \rangle$. Thus $(P_\lambda^* D)^\wedge$ is supported on $\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda$ and has no normal derivatives.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ and $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(N/H, \chi_{-\lambda})$, the convolution $D * f$ is defined by setting $D * f(x) = \langle D, l_x(\hat{f}) \rangle$ for each $x \in N$. Suppose now that $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(N)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(N)$. One can use Abelian Fourier analysis to study the mapping defined on \mathfrak{x} , the center of \mathfrak{n} , by $Y \rightarrow D * f(\exp(X + Y))$. If this mapping is in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{x})$, then

$$D * f(\exp X) = \int_{\mathfrak{x}^*} P_\lambda(D * f)(\exp X) d\lambda,$$

for appropriately normalized Lebesgue measure $d\lambda$. Furthermore, $P_\lambda(D * f) = D_\lambda * P_\lambda f$, where D_λ is the element of $\mathcal{S}^*(N/H, \chi_{-\lambda})$ whose Fourier transform, \tilde{D}_λ , agrees with the restriction to $\mathfrak{h}^\perp + \lambda$ of \tilde{D} . Thus, convolution between elements of $\mathcal{S}^*(N)$ and $\mathcal{S}(N)$ decomposes into convolutions between elements of $\mathcal{S}^*(N/H, \chi_{-\lambda})$ and $\mathcal{S}(N/H, \chi_\lambda)$ in such a way that smoothness and growth conditions on \tilde{D} , $D \in \mathcal{S}^*(N)$ are inherited by \tilde{D}_λ , $D_\lambda \in \mathcal{S}^*(N/H, \chi_{-\lambda})$. One then proceeds by induction on the dimension of N/H . Of course, this requires maintaining considerable control of the various seminorm estimates that appear in the decompositions.

The proof of Theorem B follows along the usual induction argument lines with the Plancherel Theorem being used to reduce the dimension.

For Theorem C, one constructs a θ on \mathfrak{n}^* such that both θ and $1/\theta$ are in $PB_N^\infty(\mathfrak{n}^*)$, and such that $\sum \|A(\xi)\|\theta(\xi) < \infty$, the sum being over $(\Gamma \backslash N)_0^\wedge$. One then uses the fact that $(D_{1/\theta} * f) * (D_\theta * g) = f * g$ and the Dixmier and Mallivan [DM] factorization to complete the proof.

REMARKS. The fact that $D_\theta \in \mathcal{MS}(N)$ was proved by R. Howe in [H], and indeed, the ideas presented there are the foundation of this work. Theorem B was proved for the case where θ is a polynomial by A. Kirillov in [K]. In [CG], L. Corwin and F. Greenleaf proved Theorem C with the additional assumption that all the representations in general position were induced from a common, normal subgroup. One-sided Schwartz multipliers have been studied by L. Corwin in [C].

REFERENCES

- [C] L. Corwin, *Tempered distributions on Heisenberg groups whose convolution with Schwartz class functions is Schwartz class*, J. Funct. Anal. **44** (1981), 328–347.
- [CG] L. Corwin and F. Greenleaf, *Solvability of certain left-invariant differential operators by nilmanifold theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 755–765.
- [DM] J. Dixmier et P. Mallivan, *Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indéfiniment différentiables*, Bull. Sci. Math. **102** (1978), 305–330.
- [H] R. Howe, *On a connection between nilpotent groups and oscillatory integrals associated to singularities*, Pacific J. Math. **73** (1977), 329–364.
- [K] A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. **17** (1962), 57–110.
- [R] M. Rais, *Solutions élémentaires des opérateurs différentiels bi-invariants sur un groupe de Lie nilpotent*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **273** (1971), A495–498.
- [S] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions*, tome II, Hermann, Paris, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY AT ALBANY, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12222