

# RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

BULLETIN (New Series) OF THE  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
Volume 18, Number 2, April 1988

## TOPOLOGICAL TRANSVERSALITY HOLDS IN ALL DIMENSIONS

FRANK QUINN

Moving one submanifold to be transverse to another is a basic and essential operation in the study of manifolds. In the differentiable and PL categories the proof that this operation is always possible is straightforward, and one of the first objectives in developments of the subject. In the topological category it is more difficult; the first progress came in the profound work of Kirby and Siebenmann [4]. Most of what they left unsettled was completed in [7], but a few resistant 4-dimensional cases have remained open. Here we sketch a proof of these exceptional cases; details will appear in Chapter 9 of [3]. We also indicate modifications required in the proof of [4] to reduce the theorem to these cases. In particular this includes the intersection dimension 4 case, which was claimed without proof in [7].

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $M$  and  $X$  are proper submanifolds of  $Y$ ,  $X$  has a normal microbundle  $\xi$ , there are closed subsets  $C \subset D \subset Y$ , and  $M$  is transverse to  $\xi$  near  $C$ . Then there is an isotopy of  $M$  supported in any given neighborhood of  $(D - C) \cap M \cap X$ , to a submanifold transverse to  $\xi$  near  $D$ .*

A submanifold is "proper" if closed, and the intersection with the boundary is the boundary of the submanifold. Transversality to a microbundle  $\xi$  means that the intersection  $M \cap X$  is a manifold with a normal microbundle in  $M$ , and this microbundle is the restriction of  $\xi$  (see [4, III §1]). It is necessary to specify a bundle because the theorem is false for purely local versions of transversality; see [4, III §1]. Microbundle transversality implies transversality with respect to other bundle theories, e.g., [6].

Kirby and Siebenmann [4, p. 91] proved this assuming  $\dim M \neq 4 \neq \dim M \cap X$ , and either  $\dim X \neq 4 \neq \dim Y$  or  $\text{codim } M \geq 3$ . [7, Theorem 2.4.1] gave the remaining cases except when  $\dim Y = 4$  and one of  $M, X$  has dimension greater than 2.

---

Received by the editors November 5, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57N75.

©1988 American Mathematical Society  
0273-0979/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

SKETCH OF THE PROOF, FOLLOWING [4, p. 90]. The bounded case follows from two applications of the case with  $\partial Y$  empty. The “strongly relative” nature of the theorem allows reduction to  $\xi$  trivial,  $M$  with one coordinate chart and  $Y$  open in a product  $M \times \mathbf{R}^q$ . At this point  $Y$  has a PL structure as a subset of  $M \times \mathbf{R}^q$ , and  $M$  is PL in  $Y$ . The plan is to make  $X$  PL with respect to such a structure, and then use the PL transversality theorem.

PRODUCT STRUCTURE THEOREM. *Suppose  $N^n \times \mathbf{R}^r$  has a PL structure, which near  $W \times \mathbf{R}^r$  is the product with a PL structure on a neighborhood of  $W \subset N$ . Suppose that the closure of  $N - W$  has no compact components if  $n$  is 3 or 4. Then there is a PL structure on  $N$  extending the one near  $W$ , so that the product structure on  $N^n \times \mathbf{R}^r$  is concordant rel  $W \times \mathbf{R}^r$  to the given one, and is isotopic except possibly when  $n + r = 4$  and  $n \geq 2$ .*

REFERENCES. If  $n \geq 5$ , or  $n + r \geq 5$  and  $n \leq 3$ , then this comes from [4, I §1]. If  $n + r \leq 3$  the result follows from the existence and uniqueness of triangulations in these dimensions.

If  $n = 4$  then the 3-connectivity of  $\text{TOP}(4)/\text{PL}(4) \rightarrow \text{TOP}/\text{PL}$  [7, 5], and noncompactness of  $N$  implies that the tangent microbundle of  $N$  has a PL structure compatible with the PL structure on the product. Immersion theory (see [4, p. 226]) shows this microbundle structure comes from a structure on the manifold, and implies the resulting product structure is concordant to the original. Since  $n + r \geq 5$  the Concordance Implies Isotopy theorem [4] shows they are isotopic.

If  $n + r = 4$  the concordance statement again comes from immersion theory and the connectivity of  $\text{TOP}(4)/\text{PL}(4) \rightarrow \text{TOP}/\text{PL}$ . The isotopy assertion when  $n \leq 1$  comes from [7], see [8, Theorem 2.1].

This statement is false in the excluded cases. 3-manifolds have unique PL structures, while the high-dimensional theory predicts two on compact ones. The theorem therefore works about half the time in this case, and the obstruction is well understood. In dimension 4, PL structures behave rather strangely, and presently little useful can be said about “obstructions” (see [8] or [3, Chapter 8] for discussions).

PROOF (CONTINUED). Let  $L_0$  denote a neighborhood of  $C \cap M \cap X$  in  $M \cap X$ , so that  $M$  is transverse to  $\xi$  in a neighborhood of the closure of  $L_0$ . The first step is to arrange  $L_0$  to be PL in  $M$ . We may arrange that  $L_0$  has no compact components simply by deleting points from  $Y$ . A neighborhood in  $M$  is of the form  $L_0 \times \mathbf{R}^n$  (by transversality, and triviality of  $\xi$ ). According to the product structure theorem there is a concordance of the structure on  $M$  to one in which  $L_0$  is a PL flat submanifold of  $M - (M \cap X - \text{int } L_0)$ . No cases are excluded at this point.

The next step [4, p. 93] involves a division according to the codimension of  $M$ . When  $\text{codim } M \geq 3$  the given proof applies without change, and completes these cases.

When  $\text{codim } M \leq 2$  there is a normal bundle for  $L_0$  in  $X$ . The case with  $\dim L_0 = 2$ ,  $\dim X = 4$  is excluded in [4], but is now known [2]. Extend this to a normal bundle in  $Y$  for a neighborhood of  $L_0$  in  $M$ , using [4, Lemma 1.9, p. 99]. Extend this further to a normal bundle for  $M \subset Y$  using the relative

version of existence for normal bundles. Since we have reduced to  $M$  flat in  $Y$  this bundle must be trivial.

Now consider the intersection of  $X$  with this normal bundle for  $M$ . There is a PL structure on a neighborhood of  $L_0$  in  $X$  which is a PL submanifold of  $Y$  and PL transverse to  $M$ . Since  $X$  has a product neighborhood  $X \times \mathbf{R}^n$  in  $Y$ , the product structure theorem implies that, if  $\dim Y \neq 4$ , there is an isotopy of  $X$  rel  $L_0$  to a PL submanifold of  $Y$ .

The PL transversality theorem applies to give a further isotopy rel  $L_0$  to make  $X$  transverse to  $M$ . Inverting these isotopies gives an isotopy which makes  $M$  transverse to  $X$ , as required for the theorem.

**EXCEPTIONAL CASES.** Cases with  $\dim Y = 4$  and  $\dim X, \dim M \geq 2$  are excluded in the last step because the product structure theorem only gives a concordance. The case  $\dim X = \dim Y = 2$  is proved in [8]. Roughly,  $M$  is arranged to be PL as above, and  $X$  is changed by *regular homotopy* to be PL, and then transverse. An isotopy is obtained by working back past the singularities of the regular homotopy.

In the remaining case we assume  $\dim X = 3$ . The first step is to refine the initial reduction to reduce consideration to  $X = \mathbf{R}^3, Y = D = \mathbf{R}^4$ , and  $C = (\mathbf{R}^3 - \text{int } D^3) \times \mathbf{R}$ .

Choose a triangulation of  $X$  fine enough so that  $X \cap C$  can be replaced by a subcomplex. Now proceed by induction (for 4 steps): suppose  $M$  is transverse to a neighborhood of  $C \cup X^i$ , and show it can be made transverse to a neighborhood of  $C \cup X^{i+1}$ . The induction step requires extending transversality from near the boundary of an  $(i + 1)$ -simplex to a neighborhood of the entire simplex. Note that if  $M$  is transverse to the simplex itself then minor adjustment makes it transverse to  $X$  in a neighborhood of the simplex. But if  $i + 1 < 3$  then transversality to the simplex is a lower-dimensional problem, which we may assume already known. The remaining problem is the induction step for 3-simplices;  $X = \mathbf{R}^3$  as above.

Recall that although  $Y \simeq \mathbf{R}^4$ , it is to be given a PL structure as a subset of  $M \times \mathbf{R}^q$ . This may be different from the standard structure on  $\mathbf{R}^4$ .  $X$  has been arranged to be PL with respect to this structure near  $M \cap (\mathbf{R}^3 - \text{int } D^3)$ . Next approximate  $X$  by a PL submanifold; choose a PL codimension 1  $X_4$  disjoint from, and in a neighborhood of,  $X$ , so that the intersection with  $M$  near  $\mathbf{R}^3 - \text{int } D^3$  is parallel (in  $M$ ) to the intersection with  $X$ . We also assume  $X_4$  transverse to  $M$ , and discard any compact components.

Denote the region between  $X$  and  $X_4$  by  $W$ . Since  $W$  is noncompact it has a handlebody structure; a collar on  $X$  union handles. Using the "parallel" condition it can be arranged that near  $\mathbf{R}^3 - \text{int } D^3$  only the collar intersects  $M$ , and intersects in a subcollar. Consequently  $M$  intersects only finitely many of the handles of  $W$ .

Let  $X_i$  denote the level between the  $i$  and  $(i + 1)$ -handles. Then  $X_i$  is obtained from  $X_{i-1}$  via  $i$ -handles, and dually  $X_{i-1}$  is obtained from  $X_i$  via  $(4 - i)$ -handles. (This is "ambient surgery" on  $X_i$ ). If  $X_i$  is transverse to  $M$ , and the cores of these dual handles are also transverse to  $M$ , then (as in the simplicial reduction above)  $M$  can be adjusted to be transverse to the

“handlebody”, and therefore to  $X_{i-1}$ . Transversality to the cores is a lower-dimensional problem as long as  $4 - i < 3$ , so can be assumed to be possible. This means we can make  $X_1$  transverse to  $M$ .

The 0-handles in  $W$  can be easily cancelled with 1-handles, so  $X = X_0$ . The conclusion is that by changing  $X$  using finitely many 1-handles, it can be made transverse to  $M$ .

Now since  $Y = \mathbf{R}^4$  and  $X = \mathbf{R}^3$  (topologically), the 1-handles are homotopically trivial. Since homotopy implies isotopy for 1-manifolds, they are topologically trivial. In particular there are cancelling 2-handles, so it is also possible to retrieve  $X$  from  $X_1$  using only 2-handles. But 2-handles are a lower-dimensional case, so as above  $M$  can be made transverse to the cores, and therefore to  $X$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Freedman, *The topology of four-dimensional manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 357–453.
2. ———, *The disk theorem for four dimensional manifolds*, Proc. Internat. Congress Math., Warsaw, 1983, pp. 647–663.
3. M. Freedman and F. Quinn, *Topology of 4-manifolds* (book in preparation).
4. R. C. Kirby and L. C. Siebenmann, *Foundational essays on topological manifolds, smoothings, and triangulations*, Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 88, Princeton Univ. Press, 1977.
5. R. Lashoff and L. Taylor, *Smoothing theory and Freedman's work on four manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1051, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1984, pp. 271–292.
6. A. Marin, *La transversalité topologique*, Ann. of Math. (2) **106** (1977), 269–293.
7. F. Quinn, *Ends of maps. III: Dimensions 4 and 5*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 503–521.
8. ———, *Smooth structures on 4-manifolds*, Four-Manifold Theory (R. Kirby and C. Gordon, eds.), Contemporary Math., no. 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1984, pp. 473–479.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNICAL INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061