## EXPLICIT RELAXATION OF A VARIATIONAL PROBLEM IN OPTIMAL DESIGN<sup>1</sup>

BY ROBERT V. KOHN AND GILBERT STRANG

Our goal is to construct a quasiconvex function  $\Phi$  such that

(1) 
$$\inf_{u|_{\partial\Omega}=F} \int_{\Omega} (1_{\text{supp }\nabla u} + |\nabla u|^2) \, dx = \inf_{u|_{\partial\Omega}=F} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\nabla u) \, dx$$

for vector-valued functions u on Lipschitz domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ . The right side of (1) is the relaxation of the left, cf. [1]. Each infimum is over  $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^N)$ ,  $1_{\text{supp } \nabla u}$  denotes the characteristic function of the support of  $\nabla u$ , and  $|\nabla u|^2 = \sum (\partial u^i/\partial x_i)^2$ .

The left side of (1) is a problem of optimal design: it minimizes  $\operatorname{Area}(\Omega \setminus S) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_S|^2 dx$ , among all sets  $S \subset \Omega$ , where  $u_S$  solves the variational problem

(2) 
$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \colon u|_{\partial\Omega} = F, \, \nabla u = 0 \text{ on } S \right\}.$$

An application will be described below.

For some choices of  $\Omega$  and F, this optimal design problem has no solution; in other words, the infimum on the left side of (1) may not be attained. The nonexistence of solutions to related problems has been noted by several authors; see [4] and the references given there. Here, it arises because the function

(3) 
$$G(\nabla u) = \begin{cases} 1 + |\nabla u|^2, & \nabla u \neq 0, \\ 0, & \nabla u = 0, \end{cases}$$

is not quasiconvex, so the left side of (1) is not lower semicontinuous under weak  $H^1$  convergence. A minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\}$  may be highly oscillatory, and  $S_n = \{\nabla u_n = 0\}$  may develop increasingly complicated microstructure.

The relaxed problem, on the right, is lower semicontinuous, hence the infimum is attained. In fact, its solutions are precisely the weak limits of minimizing sequences for the left side. The introduction of such a relaxed problem is a standard way of dealing with nonexistence. The method has its roots in the work of L. C. Young and E. J. McShane, and contributions have been made by Morrey, Ball, Ekeland, Temam, and Dacorogna, among others; see [2] for further discussion and references.

Received by the editors March 18, 1983.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 49A21, 73K40, 26B25.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the Army Research Office, the National Science Foundation and the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute.

For the scalar case N=1, the methods of Ekeland and Temam [3] may be used to show that  $\Phi$  is the largest convex function below G,

$$\Phi(\nabla u) = \begin{cases} 1 + |\nabla u|^2, & |\nabla u| \ge 1, \\ 2|\nabla u|, & |\nabla u| \le 1. \end{cases}$$

In the vector case N > 1, however, this convexification of G would make the right side of (1) too small. Instead, one must use  $\Phi = QG$ , the quasiconvexification of G, defined by

(4) 
$$QG(E) = \inf_{\varsigma \in C_0^{\infty}(U; \mathbf{R}^N)} \int_U G(E + \nabla \varsigma) \, dx$$

for any  $2 \times N$  matrix E, where U is the unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Dacorogna has proved that QG is quasiconvex, and that the analogue of (1) holds, for any continuous integrand G satisfying a mild growth condition [1].

Unfortunately, quasiconvexifications are hard to compute. So far, all examples have involved ordinary convexification in an essential way. An underlying difficulty is the lack of an algebraic condition for quasiconvexity. Morrey and Ball gave a sufficient condition, called polyconvexity (for  $u\colon \mathbf{R}^2\to\mathbf{R}^N$ ,  $G(\nabla u)$  is polyconvex if it is a convex function of  $\nabla u$  and its  $2\times 2$  minors). Hadamard gave a necessary condition, namely rank-one convexity (also called ellipticity, or the Legendre-Hadamard condition). But polyconvexity is not necessary; the sufficiency of rank-one convexity is open; and the condition QG=G is neither algebraic nor easy to work with.

We have computed the quasiconvexification of (3), for  $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^N$ , using the methods of homogenization.

THEOREM. For N > 1, the quasiconvexification of (3) is

(5) 
$$\Phi(\nabla u) = \begin{cases} 1 + |\nabla u|^2 & \text{for } |\nabla u|^2 + 2D \ge 1, \\ 2(|\nabla u|^2 + 2D)^{1/2} - 2D & \text{for } |\nabla u|^2 + 2D \le 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$D^{2} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial x_{2}} - \frac{\partial u^{j}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial x_{2}} \right)^{2}.$$

This  $\Phi$  is polyconvex, and (1) holds whenever  $\Omega$  is a Lipschitz domain and F is the boundary value of an  $H^1$  function.

We explain how one arrives at (5). Given a subset  $S \subset \Omega$  and a real number  $0 < \delta < 1$ , let  $w_{S,\delta} \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^N)$  solve

(6) 
$$\operatorname{div}(a_S \nabla w_{S,\delta}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad a_S \nabla_{\nu} w_{S,\delta} = f \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

where f is the derivative of F along  $\partial\Omega$ , and

$$a_S(x) = \begin{cases} \delta, & x \in S, \\ 1, & x \in \Omega \setminus S. \end{cases}$$

The dual variational principle for (6) gives  $\int_{\Omega} a_S |\nabla w_{S,\delta}|^2 dx$  as the infimum of a problem involving N divergence-free vector fields. If  $\Omega$  is simply connected,

we represent these vector fields using stream functions u, to arrive at

(7) 
$$\int_{\Omega} a_S |\nabla w_{S,\delta}|^2 dx = \inf_{u|\partial\Omega = F} \int_{\Omega} a_S^{-1} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

When  $\delta = 0$ , (6) becomes Laplace's equation on  $\Omega \setminus S$  with a homogeneous Neumann condition on  $\partial S$ , and the right side of (7) coincides with (2). In particular, the variational problem

(8) 
$$\inf_{S \subset \Omega} \operatorname{Area}(\Omega \setminus S) + \int_{\Omega} a_S |\nabla w_{S,\delta}|^2 dx$$

is equivalent when  $\delta = 0$  to the left side of (1).

As S varies with  $\delta > 0$  fixed, the limits of the solutions of (6) may satisfy new equations

(9) 
$$\operatorname{div}(a^*\nabla w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad a^*\nabla_{\nu}w = f \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

These constitute the G-closure of (6), and they correspond to composite materials obtained by mixing the original two. Lurie and Cherkaev [5] and Tartar and Murat [6] have independently determined the set  $A_{\delta}(\rho)$  of matrices  $a^*$  attainable in (9) "with volume fraction  $\rho$ ", i.e. by a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  with weak  $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1_{\Omega\setminus S_n} = \rho$ . By virtue of (7), (8) leads to

(10) 
$$\inf_{\substack{u|_{\partial\Omega}=F\\ a(x)\in A_{\delta}(o(x))}} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \rho + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle a^{-1} \nabla u^{j}, \nabla u^{j} \rangle \right] dx.$$

We computed  $\Phi$  by passing to the limit  $\delta \to 0$  in (10), and evaluating the second infimum:

$$\Phi(\nabla u) = \inf_{\substack{0 \le \rho \le 1\\ a \in A_0(\rho)}} \rho + \sum_{j=1}^N \langle a^{-1} \nabla u^j, \nabla u^j \rangle,$$

where  $A_0(\rho) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} A_{\delta}(\rho)$ . The proof that (1) holds for this choice of  $\Phi$  combines the tools of [3] with the constructions of optimal composites given by [5 or 6].

We give an application, only slightly far-fetched, of the optimal design problem implicit in (1). Consider a simply connected domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  coated with silver, and a family of current loads  $f^j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , to be imposed at  $\partial \Omega$ . The voltage produced by  $f^j$  solves  $\Delta w^j = 0$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\nabla_{\nu} w^j = f^j$  at  $\partial \Omega$ , and  $c_j = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w^j|^2 dx$  is the rate at which energy is dissipated to heat, neglecting factors involving units. The design problem is to remove as much silver as possible, leaving behind a perfect insulator, with the constraint that the rate of energy dissipation under load  $f^j$  must not exceed a given constant  $C_j > c_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ .

If the silver is removed from a set S, the new vector of voltages  $(w^1, \ldots, w^N)$  is just  $w_{S,0}$ , the solution of (6) with  $\delta = 0$ , and the rate of energy dissipation is given by (7). Hence our design problem is

(11) 
$$\inf_{S \subset \Omega} \sup_{\lambda_{\lambda} > 0} J(S, \lambda),$$

where

$$J(S,\lambda) = \operatorname{Area}(\Omega \setminus S) + \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \biggl( \int_{\Omega \setminus S} |\nabla w_{S,0}^j|^2 \; dx - C_j \biggr).$$

On the other hand, the theorem shows that

(12) 
$$\inf_{S \subset \Omega} J(S, \lambda) = \inf_{u \mid_{\partial \Omega} = F_{\lambda}} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\nabla u) \, dx - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_{j} C_{j}$$

for each  $\lambda$ , where  $F^j_{\lambda}$  is the integral of  $\sqrt{\lambda_j f^j}$  along  $\partial\Omega$ . We conjecture that (11) is obtained by maximizing (12) with respect to  $\lambda_j \geq 0$ . Even without such a minimax result, each solution of (12) determines a solution of (11) for some  $C'_j = C'_j(\lambda)$ .

## References

- B. Dacorogna, Quasiconvexity and relaxation of nonconvex problems in the calculus of variations, J. Funct. Anal. 46 (1982), 102-118.
- Weak continuity and weak lower semicontinuity of nonlinear functionals, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 922, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1982.
- I. Ekeland and R. Temam, Convex analysis and variational problems, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- K. A. Lurie, A. V. Cherkaev and A. V. Fedorov, Regularization of optimal design problems for bars and plates. I, II, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 37 (1982), 499-522, 523-543.
- K. A. Lurie and A. V. Cherkaev, Exact estimates of conductivity of composites, Ioffe Physicotechnical Institute Report 783, Leningrad, 1982. See also Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 264 (1982), 1128-1130. (Russian)
- 6. L. Tartar and F. Murat, private communication, 1981.

Department of Mathematics, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, New York 10012

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139