

BIFURCATION OF PERIODIC ORBITS ON MANIFOLDS, AND HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS¹

BY M. BOTTKOL

Communicated by R. T. Seeley, April 22, 1977

We consider a vector field X_0 having a whole submanifold $\Sigma \subset M$ of periodic points, and ask if any periodic orbits persist under small perturbation, i.e. do all vector fields Y sufficiently near X_0 have periodic orbits lying near Σ . Σ is assumed to be compact. Although in the general case there are simple counterexamples (e.g. on $\Sigma = n$ torus) some natural hypotheses on Σ and the flow of X_0 guarantee periodic orbits for Y , which are thought of as bifurcating off the manifold Σ . Our method here is closely analogous to that of Moser [2], [3], and also his method of averaging on manifolds [1].

In the case of Hamiltonian flows, these methods take on added significance, and the classical action integral makes an appearance. Here the results may be viewed as an extension to S^1 -actions of results of Weinstein carried out for Z_n -actions [4], [5].

1. The general case. Let X_0 be a vector field on a manifold M and ϕ^t its induced flow. A nondegenerate periodic manifold of X_0 of period τ is a ϕ^t -invariant submanifold of M such that $\phi^\tau(z) = z$ for all $z \in \Sigma$, and such that 1 is an eigenvalue of $d\phi_z^\tau$ of algebraic multiplicity $k = \dim \Sigma$.

We denote the space of vector fields over M by $X(M)$, having the usual C^k norm $\|\cdot\|_k$. We parametrize a neighborhood of the identity in $\text{Diff}(M)$ by a neighborhood of $0 \in X(M)$ by taking a metric and setting $u(z) = \exp_z U(z)$, for $U \in X(M)$ small enough. We define an operator $P(u): X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ which transports vectors at z to vectors at $u(z)$ by setting, for $W \in T_z M$,

$$P(u)W = \left. \frac{d}{dh} \right|_{h=0} \exp_z(U(z) + hW).$$

LEMMA A. *Let X_0 be a C^{l+1} vector field on M^n generating the flow ϕ^t , having a compact nondegenerate periodic manifold Σ of period 1. Suppose Y is a vector field so that $\|Y - X_0\|_{l+1} < \epsilon$ in some neighborhood of Σ . Then for ϵ sufficiently small, there exists a C^l vector field $V \in X(\Sigma)$, a C^l embedding $u: \Sigma \rightarrow M$ near the inclusion, and ϕ^t -invariant function $\lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that*

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34C25, 58F05, 34C30; Secondary 70H99.

¹This work was partially supported by NSF Grant No. MCS74 03003 A 02.

- (i) $P(u)V(z) = du_z X_0 - \lambda(z)Y(u(z))$,
- (ii) $[V, X_0] = 0$,
- (iii) $\langle V, X_0 \rangle = 0$ for \langle , \rangle a ϕ^t -invariant metric on Σ .

Writing $u(z) = \exp_z U(z)$, $z \in \Sigma$, let $U_\Sigma =$ component of U tangential to Σ . Then u and V above are unique if we impose the normalization

(iv) $\int_0^1 d\phi^{-t} U_\Sigma(\phi^t(z)) dt = 0$.

In particular, if $V(\xi) = 0$, then $u(\phi^t(\xi))$ is a periodic trajectory of Y of period $1/\lambda(\xi)$. The proof is to solve the linearized equations and then solve the nonlinear system by iteration. The transport operator $P(u)$ is needed not only to give equation (i) sense, but also its independence of the derivatives of u is crucial to avoid loss of derivatives in the iteration.

COROLLARY. *If X_0 has a compact nondegenerate periodic manifold Σ of period τ , suppose that the flow ϕ^t of X_0 defines a free S^1 action on Σ . Then if the Euler characteristic $E(\Sigma/S^1) \neq 0$, every Y sufficiently close to X_0 has at least 1 periodic orbit near Σ with period close to τ .*

2. The Hamiltonian case. We now consider a manifold P with a symplectic 2-form Ω , and consider Hamiltonian vector fields X_0, X with Hamiltonian functions H_0, H , which are close to one another. We consider a noncritical energy surface $E_0^c = \{z: H(z) = c\}$ of H_0 and the corresponding energy surface E^c of H . We suppose that X_0 restricted to E_0^c has a compact nondegenerate periodic manifold $\Sigma \subset E_0^c$. In order to compare the two flows, we take a diffeomorphism $\beta: E_0^c \rightarrow E^c$ between the two energy surfaces so that $\beta^*X = d\beta^{-1}X(\beta(z))$ is a vector field on E_0^c near X_0 , and now apply Lemma A to get $u(z), V(z)$ and $\lambda(z)$ satisfying (a) $duX_0 - \lambda\beta^*X = P(u)V$, (b) $[V, X_0] = 0$, (c) $\langle V, X_0 \rangle = 0$.

Assuming that Ω induces an exact 2-form $d\alpha$ in a neighborhood of Σ , set $\gamma_t = \beta \cdot u \cdot \phi^t(\xi)$ and introduce the X_0 -invariant function $S(\xi) = \int_{\gamma_t(\xi)} \alpha$ defined on Σ . $S(\xi)$ is simply the classical "action" of the closed path $\gamma_t(\xi)$ on E^c . Using (a) and (b), one finds $QV \lrcorner \Omega = dS$, where $Q: TM \rightarrow TM$ is a nonsingular map near the identity. V is "almost" the Hamiltonian vector field of the function S , and $V(\xi) = 0$ if and only if ξ is a critical point of S .

One can replace the hypothesis that $\Omega = d\alpha$ with the assumption that Σ is "exact" in the sense of Weinstein [5], [6].

In summary, the number of periodic orbits which persist on a given energy surface under Hamiltonian perturbations can be estimated by

$$\# \text{ critical points of } S \geq \text{Cat } \Sigma$$

where "Cat" is the Liusternik-Schnirelman category. However, since S is invariant under S^1 -action on Σ induced by X_0 , the number of critical points of S can be estimated in terms of the category at the quotient space Σ/S^1 ; see Weinstein [6].

REFERENCES

1. J. Moser, *Regularization of Kepler's problem and the averaging method on a manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 609–636.
2. ———, *A theorem of Weinstein and bifurcation theory*, Univ. Catholique de Louvain, Inst. de Math. Pure et Appl. Rapport No. 61.
3. ———, *Periodic orbits near an equilibrium and a theorem of Alan Weinstein*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), 727–747.
4. A. Weinstein, *Lagrangian manifolds and Hamiltonian systems*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 377–410.
5. ———, *Normal modes for Hamiltonian systems*, Invent. Math. **20** (1973), 47–57.
6. ———, *Symplectic V-manifolds, periodic orbits of Hamiltonian systems, and the volume of certain Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1977), 265–271.

COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, COURANT INSTITUTE, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012