

## ON MONOTONE VS. NONMONOTONE INDUCTION

BY LEO A. HARRINGTON AND ALEXANDER S. KECHRIS

Communicated by S. Feferman, May 11, 1976

**1. Introduction.** For definitions and notation in what follows, see [4] and [5]. If  $A$  is an infinite set and  $\varphi(y_1 \cdots y_n, R, Y_1 \cdots Y_m) = \varphi(\bar{y}, R, \bar{Y})$  is a second order relation on  $A$ , we call  $\varphi$  *operative* if  $R$  is  $n$ -ary. For such a  $\varphi$  let

$$I_\varphi^\xi = \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} I_\varphi^\eta \left\{ (\bar{y}, \bar{Y}) : \varphi(\bar{y}, \left\{ \bar{y} : (\bar{y}, \bar{Y}) \in \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} I_\varphi^\eta \right\}, \bar{Y}) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad I_\varphi = \bigcup_\xi I_\varphi^\xi.$$

If  $F$  is a collection of second order relations (for simplicity *collection of operators*) on  $A$ , then  $F\text{-IND}^2$  is the class of all second order relations of the form  $\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{Y}) \Leftrightarrow I_\varphi(\bar{a}, \bar{x}, \bar{Y})$ , for some operative  $\varphi(\bar{u}, \bar{x}, R, \bar{Y})$  in  $F$  and constants  $\bar{a}$  from  $A$ . As in [5]  $F\text{-IND}$  is the class of all *relations* on  $A$  which are in  $F\text{-IND}^2$ . We let  $F^{\text{mon}}$  be the collection of all operative  $\varphi(\bar{y}, R, \bar{Y})$  in  $F$  which are *monotone* on  $R$  and we put  $\neg F = \{ \neg \varphi : \varphi \in F \}$ . A collection of operators  $F$  on  $A$  is *adequate* if it contains all the  $\Pi_1^0(C)$  second order relations, where  $C$  is a coding scheme on  $A$  and is closed under  $\wedge, \vee, \exists^A$  and trivial combinatorial substitutions. Let  $WF(S) \Leftrightarrow S$  be a well-founded relation on  $A \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots \forall i(a_{i+1}, a_i) \in S$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F$  be an adequate collection of operators on an infinite set  $A$ . If  $WF \in \neg F$  and  $\neg F \subseteq F^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$ , then  $F\text{-IND}^2 = F^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$ .*

**2. Elementary induction.** Let  $EL$  be the collection of all the elementary second order relations on a structure  $A = \langle A, R_1 \dots R_l \rangle$  and let  $EL^+$  be the subcollection of  $EL^{\text{mon}}$  consisting of all operative  $\varphi(\bar{x}, R, \bar{Y})$  which are definable by positive in  $R$  elementary formulas. One usually writes  $EL^+\text{-IND}^2 = \text{IND}^2$  and  $EL^+\text{-IND} = \text{IND}$ . Clearly  $\text{IND}^2 \subseteq EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2 \subseteq EL\text{-IND}^2$  and it is well known that  $\text{IND}^2$  is a tiny part of  $EL\text{-IND}^2$  for (say) almost acceptable  $A$ 's. By a basic result of Kleene and Spector for  $\omega$  and Barwise-Gandy-Moschovakis in general (see [4, §8A]), on every *countable* almost acceptable structure,  $\text{IND}^2 = EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2 (= \Pi_1^1)$ . On the other hand, letting  $WF^n(S) \Leftrightarrow S$  is a  $2n$ -ary relation on  $A$  which is well founded (viewed as binary on  $A^n$ ), we have

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $A$  be an infinite structure such that each  $WF^n$  is elementary. Then  $EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2 = EL\text{-IND}^2$ .*

---

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02F27.

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society

A more detailed level-by-level version of Corollary 1 is the following, where we just write  $\Sigma_m^0, \Pi_m^0$  instead of  $\Sigma_m^0(C), \Pi_m^0(C)$ , where  $C$  is a hyperelementary coding scheme on  $A$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $A$  be an almost acceptable structure. If  $m \geq 2$  and  $WF \in \Pi_m^0$ , then for all  $n \geq m$ ,  $\Sigma_n^0\text{-IND}^2 = (\Sigma_n^0)^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$ .*

So, for example, in the structure of analysis  $R$  this says that  $\Sigma_n^1$  monotone operators on  $R$  inductively define the same relations as arbitrary  $\Sigma_n^1$  operators, when  $n \geq 2$ . Similarly for  $\Sigma_n^1$ . The following rather curious result can be also established by the methods used to prove Theorem 1. If  $A = \langle A, R_1 \cdots R_l \rangle$  is a structure, by an *elementary quantifier*  $Q$  on  $A$  we understand a quantifier on  $A$  which viewed as a second-order relation is elementary.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be an acceptable structure in which  $WF$  is elementary. There is an elementary quantifier  $Q$  on  $A$  such that for every inductive relation  $R$  on  $A$ , there is an inductive relation  $R^*$  on  $A$  such that  $\neg R(\bar{x}) \Leftrightarrow QyR^*(\bar{x}, y)$ .*

This should be compared with a result of Moschovakis [3] in higher type recursion, where “inductive” is replaced by “semirecursive in a total object of type  $\geq 3$ ” and  $Q$  becomes the existential quantifier (on an appropriate space).

**REMARKS.** (i) We conjecture that in Theorem 1 (and correspondingly in Corollary 1) the hypothesis  $WF \in \neg F$  can be weakened to  $WF \in \neg(F^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2)$ . (ii) In a direction opposite to that of Corollary 1 one has the following theorem of Nyberg (unpublished): Let  $A$  be almost acceptable. If  $\text{IND} \not\subseteq (EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND})$ , then  $EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND} = \text{IND}$ . Thus for most structures occurring in practice,  $EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}$  is either  $\text{IND}$  or  $EL\text{-IND}$ .

**3. Further corollaries and applications to Spector classes.** An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is also the following result of Harrington and Moschovakis [2]. (Given a structure  $A$  and a quantifier  $Q$  on  $A$  we abbreviate by  $Q\text{-IND}$  the class of second order relations which are positive  $L^A(Q)$ -inductive (see [4, p. 49]).

**COROLLARY 3.** (Harrington-Moschovakis [2]). *Let  $A$  be an almost acceptable structure and let  $Q$  be a quantifier on  $A$ . If  $F = \neg(Q\text{-IND}^2)$ , then  $F\text{-IND}^2 = F^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$ .*

This generalizes a result of Grilliot to the effect that over  $\omega$ ,  $\Sigma_1^1\text{-IND}^2 = (\Sigma_1^1)^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$ . The original proof of Corollary 2 in [2] yields the stronger statement that for  $F = \neg(Q\text{-IND}^2)$ ,  $F\text{-IND}^2 = F^{\text{pos}}\text{-IND}^2$  and also shows that  $F\text{-IND}^2 = Q^+\text{-IND}^2$ , where  $Q^+$  is the *next quantifier* of  $Q$  (see [1]). Turning now to Spector classes we can obtain the following, where the notions involved are explained in [5].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a Spector class on  $A$ , and let  $F$  be a reasonable,*

nonmonotone class of operators on  $A$  closed under  $\exists^A$ . If  $WF \in \neg F$ , then  $\Gamma$  is  $F$ -compact iff  $\Gamma$  is  $F_*^{\text{mon}}$ -compact, where  $F_*^{\text{mon}} = \{\varphi(R) : \varphi \in F, \varphi \text{ monotone}\}$ . In particular if  $F$  is typical, nonmonotone,  $F^{\text{mon}}$ -IND is a Spector class iff  $F^{\text{mon}}$ -IND =  $F$ -IND.

Further applications of the methods developed here to the theory of "second order" Spector classes as well as details and proofs of the results announced here will appear elsewhere.

## REFERENCES

1. P. Aczel, *Quantifiers, games and inductive definitions*, Proc. Third Scandinavian Logic Sympos. (S. Kanger, Editor), North-Holland, Amsterdam; American Elsevier, New York, 1975, pp. 1–14. MR 51 #5256.
2. L. A. Harrington and Y. N. Moschovakis, *On positive induction vs. nonmonotone induction*, Mimeographed notes, 1975.
3. Y. N. Moschovakis, *Hyperanalytic predicates*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 129 (1967), 249–282. MR 38 #4308.
4. ———, *Elementary induction on abstract structures*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
5. ———, *On nonmonotone inductive definability*, Fund. Math. 82 (1974), 39–83. MR 50 #6853.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY,  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,  
PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91125