

ON SEQUENCES OF MEASURES

BY KLAUS BICHTELER

Received January 28, 1974

Dieudonné [2] has shown that a sequence (μ_n) of regular Borel measures on a compact space X converges weakly, i.e., on all bounded Borel functions, if only it converges on all open Baire sets. The result continues to hold if the μ_n are weakly compact linear maps from $C(X)$ to a locally convex vector space F . Such maps have an integral extension to all bounded Borel functions ϕ , and $\int \phi d\mu_n$ converges provided $\int_O d\mu_n$ converges for all open sets O [4], [5]. The Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem is the set-function analogue of these results.

In this note the analogue of these results for sequences (μ_n) of measures with values in an arbitrary topological vector space F will be proved. In order to deal with set functions and linear maps at the same time, we work in the setting of Daniell-Stone, and consider linear maps $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow F$, where \mathcal{R} is a vector lattice of real-valued functions on a set X closed under the Stone-operation $\phi \rightarrow \phi \wedge 1$, an "integration lattice" [1]. The examples we have in mind are (1) $\mathcal{R} = C^{00}(X)$, where X is locally compact, (2) $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})$, the step functions over a clan of sets on X , (3) $\mathcal{R} = c^{00}$, (4) $\mathcal{R} = l^\infty$. If an additive set function $\mu: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow F$ on the clan \mathcal{C} is given, we extend it by linearity to $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})$ and are in the present situation.

We denote by \mathcal{O}_0^S the collection of sets in X whose indicator is majorized by a function in \mathcal{R} and is the supremum of a sequence in \mathcal{R}_+ . \mathcal{O}_0^S consists of the open dominated \mathcal{R} -Baire sets [1]. We shall assume that every function in \mathcal{R} is bounded and vanishes off some set in \mathcal{O}_0^S . Examples (1)–(4) have this property.

Then \mathcal{R} is the union of the normed spaces $\mathcal{R}[O] = \{\phi \in \mathcal{R} : \phi = 0 \text{ off } O\}$ under the supremum norm $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ and is given the inductive limit topology. X is given the initial uniformity and topology for the functions $\phi: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}$ ($\phi \in \mathcal{R}$), under which it is precompact. Its completion \hat{X} can be identified with the set of all Riesz-space characters $t: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ having $t(\phi \wedge 1) = t(\phi) \wedge 1$. Subtracting from \hat{X} the zero character, one obtains the locally compact spectrum \hat{X} of \mathcal{R} . X is dense in \hat{X} , and the extensions $\hat{\phi}$ of $\phi \in \mathcal{R}$ to \hat{X} , the Gelfand transforms, are dense in $C^{00}(\hat{X})$. For the details see [1].

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46G10.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1974

A function is called \mathcal{R} -Baire if it belongs to the smallest family containing \mathcal{R} and closed under pointwise limits of sequences. The vector lattice of bounded \mathcal{R} -Baire functions vanishing off some set of \mathcal{O}_0^S is denoted by \mathcal{R}^S .

A linear map $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow F$ will be called extendible¹ if there is an extension $\int \cdot d\mu: \mathcal{R}^S \rightarrow \bar{F}$ ² satisfying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. For this to be the case it is evidently necessary that

(C) $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow F$ is continuous,

(S) for every sequence (ϕ_n) in \mathcal{R}_+ decreasing pointwise to zero, $\mu(\phi_n) \rightarrow 0$ in F , and

(G) for every sequence (ϕ_n) in \mathcal{R}_+ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n \in \mathcal{R}^S$, $\mu(\phi_n) \rightarrow 0$ in F .³

If μ is the extension by linearity of a set function μ_0 on a clan, then (C) signifies that μ_0 has finite semivariation. (S) is automatically satisfied if X is locally compact in the \mathcal{R} -topology, by Dini's theorem. When F is locally convex then (G) is equivalent to μ being weakly compact, as Grothendieck has shown [4], [5]. Given (C), (G) is evidently automatically satisfied when F is a C -space, i.e., any sequence in F , all of whose finite partial sums form a bounded set, necessarily converges to zero.

(C), (S), and (G) together are also sufficient for the extendability of μ . To see this, let D be a fundamental system of translation-invariant pseudometrics defining the topology of F . Let \mathcal{R}_+^{\dagger} denote the suprema of sequences in \mathcal{R}_+ . For $d \in D$ and $h \in \mathcal{R}_+^{\dagger}$ define

$$\mu_a^*(h) = \sup\{d(\mu(\phi)) : h \geq \phi \in \mathcal{R}_+\},$$

and for an arbitrary $f: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{R}}_+$ let

$$\mu_a^*(f) = \inf\{\mu_a^*(h) : f \leq h \in \mathcal{R}_+^{\dagger}\}.$$

One checks easily (but slightly laboriously) just as in [1], [3], [5] that μ_a^* has all the defining properties of a weak upper gauge [1] except positive-homogeneity. The latter is replaced by $\mu_a^*(\lambda\phi) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \downarrow 0$ for each $\phi \in \mathcal{R}_+$. Routine arguments then show that the closure of \mathcal{R} in \mathbf{R}^X , $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{R}, \mu_a^*)$, is a complete space under the pseudometric $f \rightarrow \mu_a^*(|f|)$ in which pointwise a.e. convergent and majorized sequences converge in mean, and which therefore contains \mathcal{R}^S . Therefore

$$\mathcal{R}^S \subset \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{R}, \mu) = \bigcap_{d \in D} \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{R}, \mu_n^*)$$

¹ Cf. [3].

² \bar{F} denotes the completion of F .

³ It is sufficient to require (G) only for sequences (ϕ_n) in \mathcal{R}_+ with sum in \mathcal{R}^S and with mutually disjoint carriers $[\phi_n > 0]$.

and μ has an extension, continuous with respect to the collection of translation invariant pseudometrics μ_d^* , $d \in D$, from all of $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{R}, \mu)$ to \bar{F} (see footnote 2).

Following is the main result. In it \mathcal{H} denotes the set of all bounded functions $h: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ whose carrier $[h > 0]$ belongs to \mathcal{O}_0^S and that are continuous on $[h > 0]$.

THEOREM. *Let (μ_n) be a sequence of extendible maps from \mathcal{R} to F . If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h d\mu_n$ exists in F for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\mu_\infty(\phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\phi)$ ($\phi \in \mathcal{R}$) defines an extendible measure $\mu_\infty: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow F$, and $\int f d\mu_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_n$ for all $f \in \mathcal{R}^S$.*

To prove this, we shall consider below the map $U: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow c_F$ into the space c_F of convergent sequences in F that is given by $U(\phi)(k) = \mu_k(\phi)$. U is evidently extendible if c_F is given the topology p of pointwise convergence. The proof of the Theorem will consist essentially in showing that U is extendible if c_F is given the topology u of uniform convergence. A major step will be to prove that p has the Orlicz property for u .

If $\sigma \subset \tau$ are two linear Hausdorff topologies on a vector space E then σ is said to have the *Orlicz property* for τ provided every sequence (ξ_n) in E , all of whose subsequences are σ -summable to an element of E , necessarily τ -converges to zero. If (F, τ) is complete then such a sequence (and all of its subsequences) is actually τ -summable; indeed, for any increasing sequence $(n(k))$, $\xi'_k = \sum_{i=n(k)}^{n(k+1)} \xi_i$ is a sequence, all of whose subsequences are σ -summable in E , and hence $\tau\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi'_k = 0$. By Cauchy's criterion, (ξ_n) is summable in (F, τ) .

PROPOSITION. *Let F be a Hausdorff topological vector space, and denote by c_F the space of convergent sequences in F . The topology p of pointwise convergence has the Orlicz property for the topology u of uniform convergence on c_F .*

PROOF. Let (f_n) be a sequence in c_F all of whose subsequences are p -summable to an element of c_F . We have to show that, for every continuous translation-invariant pseudometric d on F ,

$$d_\infty(f_n) = \sup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} d(f_n(k), 0)$$

converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Viewing (f_n) as a sequence in the Hausdorff completion of the pseudometric space (F, d) , we may assume that F is actually complete and metrizable with translation-invariant metric d .

For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ set $f_n(\infty) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_n(k)$. We show first that $f_n(\infty) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We proceed by contradiction and, extracting a subsequence, assume that $d(f_n(\infty)) > c$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and some $c > 0$. Given an $\varepsilon > 0$,

we define inductively two increasing sequences $(K(i))$ and $(N(i))$ in N such that

$$(*) \quad \begin{aligned} d(f_n(k)) &\leq \varepsilon 2^{-i} \quad \text{for } k \leq K(i) \text{ and } n \geq N(i), \\ d(f_{N(i)}(k) - f_{N(i)}(\infty)) &< \varepsilon 2^{-i} \quad \text{for } k \geq K(i + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which is possible since $f_n(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $k \in N$. By assumption, the pointwise sum $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{N(i)}$ belongs to c_F and has a limit $f(\infty) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(k)$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(f(\infty) - \sum_{i=1}^j f_{N(i)}(\infty)\right) \\ \leq d(f(\infty) - f(K(j))) + \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} d(f_{N(i)}(K(j))) + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} d(f_{N(i)}(K(j)) - f_{N(i)}(\infty)). \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right can be made smaller than ε by the choice of j , and the two remaining terms are smaller than ε each by $(*)$. Hence $d(f_{N(i)}(\infty)) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, after all. By the condensation argument above, $(f_n(\infty))$ is actually summable in the completion of F , and so are all of its subsequences. Replacing f_n by $f_n - f_n(\infty)$, we may therefore assume that all the f_n belong to the space c_F^0 of nullsequences.

To show that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, we proceed by contradiction and, extracting a subsequence if necessary, assume that $d_{\infty}(f_n) > c$ for all $n \in N$ and some $c > 0$.

We define again sequences $(N(i))$ and $(K(i))$ satisfying $(*)$ (with $f_n(\infty) = 0$ for all $n \in N$) and set

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{N(i)}(k) &= f_{N(i)}(k) \quad \text{for } K(i) < k < K(i + 1), \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for all other } k. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d_{\infty}(f'_{N(i)}, f_{N(i)}) < \varepsilon 2^{-i}$ for all $i \in N$, and consequently $(f'_{N(i)})$ is pointwise summable to an element of c_F^0 . Indeed, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f'_{N(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{N(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f_{N(i)} - f'_{N(i)}) \in c_F^0$$

in the pointwise topology. (Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f_{N(i)} - f'_{N(i)})$ exists in the uniform topology of the complete space c_F^0 .) From the fact that the $f'_{N(i)}$ have mutually disjoint carriers, it is obvious that $d_{\infty}(f'_{N(i)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $c_{\infty}(f'_{N(i)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, after all.

We are now ready to prove the Theorem. This is done by showing that the map $U: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow c_F$ satisfies (C), (S), and (G) and thus is extendible; the statements of the Theorem are then evidently true.

For (C), it suffices to prove the continuity of the restrictions of U to $\mathcal{R}[O]$, $O \in \mathcal{O}_0^S$. If one of them is not, then there are $\phi_n \in \mathcal{R}[O]$ with

$\|\phi_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{-n}$ and $d_\infty(U(\phi_n)) > c$ for some $d \in D$ and some $c > 0$. This is absurd, though, since $(U(\phi_n))$ is a sequence in $c_{\mathcal{F}}$ all of whose subsequences are p -summable to an element of $c_{\mathcal{F}}$, whence a contradiction to the proposition.

The proof of (G) is similar. Let (ϕ_n) be a sequence in \mathcal{R}_+ with disjoint carriers $[\phi_n > 0]$ (see footnote 3) and sum in \mathcal{R}^S . Then for any subset A of N , $\sum_{n \in A} \phi_n \in \mathcal{H}$, and $\sum_{n \in A} U(\phi_n) = \int \sum_{n \in A} \phi_n dU \in c_{\mathcal{F}}$ exists in the pointwise topology of $c_{\mathcal{F}}$. By the Proposition, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(\phi_n) = 0$ in the uniform topology of $c_{\mathcal{F}}$.

It remains to prove (S). Let (ϕ_n) be a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{R}_+ with pointwise limit zero. We consider the Gelfand-Bauer transform $\hat{U}: \hat{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow c_{\mathcal{F}}$, defined for every Gelfand transform $\hat{\phi}$ of an element $\phi \in \mathcal{R}$ by $\hat{U}(\hat{\phi}) = U(\phi)$. From Dini's theorem and the local compactness of \hat{X} , \hat{U} satisfies (S). Since it evidently satisfies (C) and (G) as well, it is extendible.

Let $g = \inf_{n \in N} \hat{\phi}_n$. Then g is an upper semicontinuous Baire function of compact support on \hat{X} , and by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\int g d\hat{U} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{U}(\hat{\phi}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(\phi_n)$$

exists in $c_{\mathcal{F}}$. For any $k \in N$, we have

$$\left(\int g d\hat{U} \right)(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(\phi_n)(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k(\phi_n) = 0,$$

and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U(\phi_n) = 0$, as claimed.

REMARKS. (1) The proof shows that the μ_1, \dots, μ_∞ are actually uniformly extendible in the sense that if a majorized sequence (f_n) in \mathcal{R}^S (or in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{R}, U)$) converges pointwise to some f , then $\int f_n d\mu_k \rightarrow \int f d\mu_k$ in \tilde{F} uniformly in $k = 1, \dots, \infty$; indeed, we have $\int f_n dU \rightarrow \int f dU$ in $c_{\mathcal{F}}$.

(2) If F is locally convex, it suffices to require that $\int_O d\mu_k$ converges in F for all $O \in \mathcal{O}_0^S$, and the same conclusion holds. The proof of this by Thomas [5]⁴ for the case that X is locally compact in the \mathcal{R} -topology can be easily adapted to our setting using the Gelfand-Bauer transform. Turning then to the special case where \mathcal{R} is the step functions over a clan \mathcal{C} , one obtains the following result: If (μ_k) is a sequence of σ -additive F -valued set functions of finite semivariation, then $\int f d\mu_k \rightarrow \int f d\mu_\infty$ for all $f \in \mathcal{R}^S$ and some σ -additive set function μ_∞ provided $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_O d\mu_k$ exist in F for every set O that is a subset of a set of \mathcal{C} and is the countable union of sets in \mathcal{C} (when F is locally convex), or provided that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \phi d\mu_k$ exist in F for every bounded function ϕ that vanishes off a set of \mathcal{C} and is a countable linear combination of indicators of sets in \mathcal{C} .

⁴ Our proof uses essentially Thomas' technique.

(3) Let E be a Banach space, and let $\mathcal{R} \otimes E$ denote the collection of functions $x \rightarrow \sum \phi_i(x) \xi_i$ ($\phi_i \in \mathcal{R}$, $\xi_i \in E$, the sum finite), equipped with the obvious inductive limit topology [1]. The arguments given above can be adapted to prove the following. Let $\mu_k: \mathcal{R} \otimes E \rightarrow F$ be a sequence of extendible maps such that $\int h d\mu_k$ converges in F for each bounded \mathcal{R} -Baire function $h: X \rightarrow E$ such that $[h \neq 0] \in \mathcal{O}_0^S$, and such that h is continuous on $[h \neq 0]$. Then there exists an extendible map $\mu_\infty: \mathcal{R} \otimes E \rightarrow F$ such that $\int f d\mu_k \rightarrow \int f d\mu_\infty$ for all bounded E -valued \mathcal{R} -Baire functions vanishing off some set of \mathcal{O}_0^S , and μ_1, \dots, μ_∞ is uniformly extendible.⁵

REFERENCES

1. K. Bichteler, *Integration theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 315, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
2. J. Dieudonné, *Sur la convergence des suites de mesures de Radon*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. **23** (1951), 21–38. MR **13**, 121.
3. J. Gamlen, *A Stone-Daniell vector integral and applications*, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1973. (Preprint.)
4. A. Grothendieck, *Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type $C(K)$* , Canad. J. Math. **5** (1953), 129–173. MR **15**, 438.
5. E. Thomas, *L'intégration par rapport a une mesure de Radon vectorielle*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), 55–191.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

⁵ For the terminology, see [1].