

A MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION WITH ASSIGNED NEVANLINNA DEFICIENCIES

BY DAVID DRASIN¹

Communicated by F. W. Gehring, October 17, 1973

1. Statement of result.

THEOREM. *Let $\delta(a)$ and $\theta(a)$ be nonnegative functions defined on the extended complex plane \hat{C} such that $0 \leq \delta(a) + \theta(a) \leq 1$, $a \in \hat{C}$,*

$$\sum_{a \in \hat{C}} \{\delta(a) + \theta(a)\} \leq 2.$$

Then there exists a function $f(z)$ which is meromorphic in the finite z -plane with $\delta(a, f) = \delta(a)$, $\theta(a, f) = \theta(a)$, $a \in \hat{C}$. Finally, let $\phi(r)$ be a positive increasing function with

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(r) \rightarrow \infty \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then our function $f(z)$ may be chosen so that, in addition, its Nevanlinna characteristic satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad T(r) < r^{\phi(r)}$$

for all sufficiently large r .

Here we are using the standard notations of Nevanlinna's theory as described in [3], [6]; for example, $\theta(a, f)$ is the index of multiplicity (Verzweigungsindex) of a . Our function $f(z)$ thus provides a complete solution to the 'inverse problem' of the Nevanlinna theory (cf. [2, Chapter 7]; [9, Chapter 8]).

In general, the solution to the inverse problem must be of infinite order (cf. [8]); (1.2) asserts that this may be as 'small' an infinite order as desired.

Among earlier partial solutions to this problem we note Nevanlinna [5], Goldberg (cf. [2, Chapter 7, Theorems 8.2, 8.3]) and Fuchs-Hayman (cf. [3, §4.1]).

2. Method of proof. Given the function $\phi(r)$ of (1.1) and the sets $\Delta = \{a; \delta(a) > 0\}$ and $\theta = \{a; \theta(a) > 0\}$, we shall associate a sequence $\{r_k\}$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 30A70.

¹ Research partially supported by National Science Foundation, and performed while on sabbatical leave at Imperial College, London.

with r_{k+1}/r_k tending rapidly to infinity with the property that if b is a fixed element of $\mathcal{C} - (\Delta \cup \theta)$ then, for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &| \{1 - \delta(a)\}n(r, b, f) - n(r, a, f) | \\ &\leq (4/k)n(r, b, f) \quad (r_k \leq r \leq r_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &| \theta(a)n(r, b, f) - \{n(r, a, f) - \bar{n}(r, a, f)\} | \\ &< (4/k)n(r, b, f) \quad (r_k \leq r \leq r_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &2^k(1 - k^{-1}) \leq n(2r, b, f)/n(r, b, f) \\ &\leq 2^{k+1}(1 + k^{-1}) \quad (r_k \leq r \leq r_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

That f has preassigned deficiencies and indices of multiplicity follows from (2.1), (2.2) and the fact (cf. [6, p. 276]) that there is a set E of logarithmic capacity zero such that

$$N(r, a, f) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, a \notin E).$$

Also, if r_{k+1}/r_k increases sufficiently rapidly, (2.3) shows that the growth of $T(r, f)$ may be retarded in accord with (1.2).

One first constructs a ‘quasi-meromorphic’ function² $g(z)$ which satisfies, formally, (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), and then factors

$$(2.4) \quad g = f \circ h$$

where f is a meromorphic function and h is a quasiconformal homeomorphism of the complex plane onto itself. The problem is to ensure that h in (2.4) sufficiently approximates the identity so that (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) (with, perhaps, a different sequence $\{r_k\}$) transfer to f .

Using an important principle of Teichmüller [7], Le Van Thiem [4] first applied this principle to the inverse problem, and the method was further exploited by Goldberg (cf. [2, Chapter 7]). These efforts had two limitations: the characteristic of g had to be of finite order and the dilatation of g , $d_g(z) = |g_{\bar{z}}(z)/g_z(z)|$ was subject to

$$(2.5) \quad \iint_{|z| \leq 1} d_g(z) |z|^{-2} dx dy < \infty.$$

In [1, Theorem 2], it was shown that this principle applies under the more flexible condition

$$(2.6) \quad D_g(r) \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} d_g(re^{i\theta}) d\theta = o(1) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

² A ‘quasi-meromorphic function’ is one which may be expressed as in (2.4).

and the freedom allowed by (2.6) is decisive here. For it is not hard to show that f and g will have the same deficiencies and indices of multiplicity if $D_g(r)$ decreases very rapidly with respect to $\log\{n(2r, b, g)/n(r, b, g)\}$. By increasing the ratios r_{k+1}/r_k we can diminish $D_g(r)$ with respect to $\log\{n(2r, b, g)/n(r, b, g)\}$; thus (2.5) is very unlikely to hold. Finally, g is constructed by piecing together functions discussed in [1] and [5].

ADDED IN PROOF (MARCH 15, 1974). Dr. A. A. Goldberg has informed me that the substitution of (2.6) for (2.5) first appears in the work of P. P. Belinskii. *The behavior of quasiconformal mappings at an isolated singular point*, Učen. Zap. L'vov. Gos. Univ. **29** (1954), 58–70. (Russian). However, Belinskii did not apply this to the inverse problem.

REFERENCES

1. D. Drasin and A. Weitsman, *Meromorphic functions with large deficiency sum*, Advances in Math. (to appear).
2. A. A. Gol'dberg and I. V. Ostrovskii, *Distribution of values of meromorphic functions*, "Nauka", Moscow, 1970; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (to appear).
3. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964. MR **29** #1337
4. Le-Van Thiem, *Über das Umkehrproblem der Wertverteilungslehre*, Comment. Math. Helv. **23** (1949), 26–49. MR **11**, 22.
5. R. Nevanlinna, *Über Riemannsche Flächen mit endlich vielen Windungspunkten*, Acta Math. **58** (1932), 295–373.
6. ———, *Eindeutige analytische funktionen*, 2nd ed., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 46, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1953; English transl., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR **15**, 208; **43** #5003.
7. O. Teichmüller, *Untersuchungen über konforme und quasikonforme Abbildung*, Deutsche Math. **3** (1938), 621–678.
8. A. Weitsman, *A theorem on Nevanlinna deficiencies*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 41–51.
9. H. Wittich, *Neuere Untersuchungen über eindeutige analytische Funktionen*, Springer, Berlin, 1955. MR **17**, 1067.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907