

## ON VITALI-HAHN-SAKS TYPE THEOREMS

BY BARBARA FAIRES<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by Robert Bartle, November 7, 1973

In recent years extensive work has been done on the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem and its relatives. Seever [13] considered the question of extending the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem to the case where the domain is a Boolean algebra which is not necessarily sigma complete. Brooks and Jewett [2] established results for a strongly bounded map defined on a Boolean sigma algebra of sets with values in a Banach space. Further generalizations to group-valued set functions have been studied by the Poznań school (see [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [11], [12]). The work of all these authors is generalized herein to the case of strongly bounded maps defined on Boolean algebras with the Seever property and taking values in a Banach space. Some applications other than those considered herein and the final generalization to the group-valued case can be found in [10].

I wish to thank Professor J. Diestel for his advice and encouragement in the preparation of this paper. Also, I wish to express my gratitude to Professors R. E. Huff and J. J. Uhl, Jr. for many helpful discussions.

**1. Notation and definitions.** A Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  has the *property (I)* if and only if for any sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $x_n \leq y_m$  for all  $n, m$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x_n \leq x \leq y_n$  for all  $n$ . This condition is equivalent to the condition: given any sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfying  $a_n \wedge a_m = 0$ ,  $b_n \wedge b_m = 0$  for  $n \neq m$  and  $a_n \wedge b_m = 0$  for all  $n, m$ , there exists an element  $a$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $a \geq a_n$  and  $b_n \wedge a = 0$  for all  $n$ .

Unless signified otherwise,  $\mathcal{B}$  will be used in this paper to denote a Boolean algebra with the property (I). The symbol  $X$  denotes a Banach space and  $X^*$  its Banach space dual.

A finitely additive  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  is *bounded* whenever there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|\mu(b)\| \leq M$  for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ ;  $\mu$  is said to be *strongly bounded* if  $\|\mu(e_n)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each disjoint sequence  $e_1, \dots, e_n, \dots$  of elements in  $\mathcal{B}$ . A sequence  $\mu_n: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , is *uniformly strongly bounded* if for each disjoint sequence  $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\lim_n \sup_k \|\mu_k(e_n)\| = 0$ . By grouping it is easy to see that if  $\mu$  is strongly bounded and  $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  is disjoint, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(e_n)$  is an unconditionally convergent series in  $X$ . A map  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  is

---

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46G99; Secondary 28A60.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by a Doctoral Fellowship granted by Kent State University.

countably additive if for every disjoint sequence  $\{e_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  with  $\bigvee_n e_n \in \mathcal{B}$ , the equality  $\mu(\bigvee_n e_n) = \sum_n \mu(e_n)$  holds. The semivariation of  $\mu$  on  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , denoted by  $\|\mu\|(b)$ , is defined to be  $\sup\{\|\mu(a)\| : a \in \mathcal{B}, a \leq b\}$ . It is easily shown that  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  is strongly bounded if and only if  $\|\mu\| : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is strongly bounded (though  $\|\mu\|$  need not be additive).

2. Main results.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mu_n : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be finitely additive and strongly bounded for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\lim_n \mu_n(e) = 0$  for each  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\{\mu_n : n \in N\}$  is uniformly strongly bounded.*

**PROOF.** Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence  $\{e_n\}$  of disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ , a number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a sequence  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$  of positive integers (to simplify notation, assume  $m_n = n$ ) such that for each  $n \in N$ ,  $\|\mu_n(e_n)\| > 4\varepsilon$ .

Let  $i_1 = 1$ . Partition the set  $N \setminus \{1\}$  into an infinite number of infinite disjoint sets  $\pi_n^1, n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Utilizing property (I) we can choose a sequence  $f_n^1, n=1, 2, \dots$ , of disjoint elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that:

- (a<sub>1</sub>)  $f_n^1 \geq e_i$  for all  $i \in \pi_n^1, n=1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (b<sub>1</sub>)  $f_n^1 \wedge e_{i_1} = 0, n=1, 2, \dots$ ;

(c<sub>1</sub>)  $f_n^1 \wedge e_j = 0$  for all  $j \in (N \setminus \{1\}) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_i^1)$ .

As  $\|\mu_{i_1}\|(f_n^1) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$  there exists an  $n_1 \in N$  such that  $\|\mu_{i_1}\|(f_{n_1}^1) < \varepsilon$ . Choose  $i_2 \in \pi_{n_1}^1$  such that  $i_2 > i_1$  and  $\|\mu_{i_2}(e_{i_1})\| < \varepsilon/4$ . Partition the set  $\pi_{n_1}^1 \setminus \{i_2\}$  into an infinite number of infinite disjoint sets  $\pi_n^2, n=1, 2, \dots$ . Again by property (I) there exists a sequence  $f_n^2, n=1, 2, \dots$ , of disjoint elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that

- (a<sub>2</sub>)  $f_n^2 \geq e_i$  for all  $i \in \pi_n^2, n=1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>)  $f_n^2 \wedge (e_{i_1} \vee e_{i_2}) = 0, n=1, 2, \dots$ ;

(c<sub>2</sub>)  $f_n^2 \wedge e_j = 0$  for all  $j \in (\pi_{n_1}^1 \setminus \{i_2\}) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \pi_i^2)$ .

There exists an integer  $n_2 \in N$  such that  $\|\mu_{i_2}\|(f_{n_2}^2) < \varepsilon$ . Choose  $i_3 \in \pi_{n_2}^2$  such that  $i_3 > i_2$  and  $\|\mu_{i_3}(e_{i_1})\|, \|\mu_{i_3}(e_{i_2})\| < \varepsilon/8$ . Proceed in this fashion to obtain a sequence  $f_{n_k}^k = f_k, k=1, 2, \dots$ , of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  and a sequence  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  of positive integers such that:

- (1)  $f_n \geq e_{i_k}, k > n$ ;
- (2)  $f_n \wedge e_{i_k} = 0, 1 \leq k \leq n$ ;
- (3)  $\|\mu_{i_n}\|(f_n) < \varepsilon, n=1, 2, \dots$ ;
- (4)  $\|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_k})\| < \varepsilon/2^n, 1 \leq k < n$ ;
- (5)  $\|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})\| > 4\varepsilon, n=1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $h_n = f_n \vee (\bigvee_{k=1}^n e_{i_k})$ . Then  $h_n \geq e_{i_k}$  for all  $n, k$ . Choose  $c \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

(6)  $h_n \geq c \geq e_{i_n}$  for all  $n$ .

Noticing that  $\mu_{i_n}(c) = \mu_{i_n}(h_n - e_{i_n}) - \mu_{i_n}(h_n - c) + \mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_{i_n}(c)\| &\geq \|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})\| - \|\mu_{i_n}(h_n - e_{i_n})\| - \|\mu_{i_n}(h_n - c)\| \\ &= \|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})\| - \left\| \mu_{i_n} \left[ \left( f_n \vee \left( \bigvee_{k=1}^n e_{i_k} \right) \right) \wedge e'_{i_n} \right] \right\| \\ &\quad - \left\| \mu_{i_n} \left[ \left( f_n \vee \left( \bigvee_{k=1}^n e_{i_k} \right) \right) \wedge c' \right] \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which by (2) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})\| - \|\mu_{i_n}(f_n \wedge e'_{i_n})\| \\ &\quad - \left\| \mu_{i_n} \left[ \bigvee_{k=1}^n (e_{i_k} \wedge e'_{i_n}) \right] \right\| - \|\mu_{i_n}(f_n \wedge c')\| - \left\| \mu_{i_n} \left[ \bigvee_{k=1}^n (e_{i_k} \wedge c') \right] \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2), (6) and the disjointness of the  $e_{i_k}$ 's yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})\| - \|\mu_{i_n}(f_n)\| \\ &\quad - \|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_n})\| - \cdots - \|\mu_{i_n}(e_{i_{n-1}})\| - \|\mu_{i_n}(f_n \wedge c')\|, \end{aligned}$$

which by (5), (3) and (4) is  $> 4\varepsilon - \varepsilon - (n-1)\varepsilon/2^n - \varepsilon \geq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\|\mu_{i_n}(c)\| > \varepsilon$  holds for infinitely many  $n$ ,  $\lim_n \mu_n(c) \rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction.

The proofs of some of the corollaries yielded by Theorem 1 are, for the most part, minor alterations to proofs presented elsewhere; in these cases the appropriate references are given.

**COROLLARY 1** [2, COROLLARY 1.2]. *Let  $\mu_n: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be finitely additive and strongly bounded for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\lim_n \mu_n(e) = \mu(e)$  exists for each  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mu$  is strongly bounded and the  $\mu_n, n=1, 2, \dots$ , are uniformly strongly bounded.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\mu_n: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be countably additive for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . If  $\lim_n \mu_n(e) = \mu(e)$  exists for each  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\mu$  is countably additive and the  $\mu_n, n=1, 2, \dots$ , are uniformly countably additive.*

**COROLLARY 3** [3, THEOREM 1.6]. *Let  $X$  be any separable Banach space and let  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be bounded and finitely additive. Then  $\mu$  is strongly bounded.*

Another corollary is the following result proved differently by N. J. Kalton in an unpublished manuscript.

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly compactly generated Banach space and let  $\mu: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be bounded and finitely additive. Then  $\mu$  is strongly bounded.*

PROOF. Let  $\{e_n\}$  be a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $[\mu(e_n)] = X_0$  denote the closed linear span of  $\{\mu(e_n) : n \in N\}$ . Then  $X_0$  is a separable subspace of the weakly compactly generated space  $X$ ; hence by a result of Amir and Lindenstrauss [1, Lemma 4], there is a separable subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $X_0 \subset Y$  and  $Y$  is complemented in  $X$ . Suppose  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  is the projection. Then Corollary 1.2 yields  $P \circ \mu(e_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . But  $P \circ \mu(e_n) = \mu(e_n)$  for each  $n$ . Therefore,  $\mu$  is strongly bounded.

COROLLARY 5 [4, COROLLARY 5]. Let  $\mu_n : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be strongly bounded for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Suppose  $\mu(e) = \text{weak-limit}_n \mu_n(e)$  exists for each  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\mu$  is strongly bounded.

PROOF. The boundedness of  $\mu$  follows from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem and Corollary 1.1 applied to the functions  $f\mu_n, f\mu$  where  $f \in X^*$ . For each  $n$  let  $B_n = \mu_n(\mathcal{B})$  and let  $Y$  be the closed linear span of  $\bigcup_n B_n$ . By the definition of  $\mu$  and Mazur's theorem we have  $\mu(\mathcal{B}) \subset Y$ . We claim that  $Y$  is weakly compactly generated.

For each  $n$ , let  $M_n = \sup\{\|\mu_n(b)\| : b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Let  $B = \bigcup_n B_n / (n \cdot M_n)$ . The closed linear span of  $B$  is  $Y$  and  $B$  is relatively weakly compact. To see the last assertion, let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $B$ . Since each  $\mu_n$  is strongly bounded,  $B_n$ , and hence  $B_n / (n \cdot M_n)$ , is relatively weakly compact [14]. So if  $\{y_n\}$  returns infinitely often to one of the  $B_n / (n \cdot M_n)$ 's, we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence. If  $\{y_n\}$  does not return infinitely often to any  $B_n / (n \cdot M_n)$  then there exist strictly increasing sequences  $(m_k)$  and  $(n_k)$  of positive integers such that  $y_{m_k} \in B_{n_k} / (n_k \cdot M_{n_k})$  for each  $k$ . It follows that  $\|y_{m_k}\| \leq 1/n_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\{y_n\}$  has a norm convergent subsequence.

With the proof proceeding as in [2, Theorem 3] we have the following Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\mu_n : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$  be finitely additive and strongly bounded, for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Suppose  $\nu$  is a nonnegative monotone set function defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  and each  $\mu_n \ll \nu$ . Assume that  $\lim_n \mu_n(e)$  exists for each  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\lim_{\nu(e) \rightarrow 0} \|\mu_n(e)\| = 0$  uniformly in  $n$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss, *The structure of weakly compact sets in Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 35-46. MR **37** #4562.
2. J. K. Brooks and R. S. Jewett, *On finitely additive vector measures*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **67** (1970), 1294-1298. MR **42** #4697.
3. J. Diestel, *Applications of weak compactness and bases to vector measures and vectorial integration*, Rev. Roumaine Math. **18** (1972), 211-224.
4. ———, *Grothendieck spaces and vector measures*, Vector and Operator Valued Measures and Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1973.

5. L. Drewnowski, *Control submeasures and control measures*, Studia Math. (to appear).
6. ———, *Decompositions of set functions*, Studia Math. **48** (1973), 23–48.
7. ———, *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration. I*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 269–276. (Russian) MR **46** #5558.
8. ———, *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration. II*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 277–286. (Russian) MR **46** #5558.
9. ———, *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration. III*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 439–445.
10. Barbara Faires, *Grothendieck spaces and vector measures*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, August, 1974.
11. I. Labuda, *On some generalizations of the theorems of Nikodym and of Vitali-Hahn-Saks*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 447–456.
12. ———, *Sur le Théorème de Bartle-Dunford-Schwartz*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **20** (1972), 549–553.
13. G. L. Seever, *Measures on  $F$ -spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 267–280. MR **37** #1976.
14. J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Extensions and decompositions of vector measures*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **3** (1971), 672–676. MR **44** #4181.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KENT STATE UNIVERSITY, KENT, OHIO 44240