

## AN INVERSION FORMULA INVOLVING PARTITIONS

BY PETER DOUBILET

Communicated by Gian-Carlo Rota, July 25, 1972

In this note we outline a combinatorial proof of an inversion formula involving partitions of a number. This formula can be used to obtain the theory of symmetric group characters in a purely combinatorial way, as will be done in a forthcoming book, *The combinatorics of the symmetric group*, by the present author and Dr. G.-C. Rota.

The terminology we use is as follows. By a composition  $\alpha$  of an integer  $n$  we mean a sequence  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$  of nonnegative integers whose sum is  $n$ . A partition of  $n$  is a composition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p)$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p > 0$ . The notation  $\lambda \vdash n$  means " $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$ ". We use the symbols  $\alpha, \beta$  for compositions,  $\lambda, \mu, \rho$  for partitions.

A Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$  is an array of dots, with  $\lambda_1$  dots in the first row,  $\lambda_2$  in the second row, etc., in which the first dots from the rows lie in a column, the second dots form a column, and so on. The conjugate partition  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$  is the shape obtained when the Young diagram of shape  $\lambda$  is transposed about its main diagonal, i.e., the rows of the transposed diagram are the columns of the original diagram. A generalized Young tableau (GYT)  $\pi$  of shape  $\lambda$  is an array of integers  $q_{ij}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda_i$ ) with  $q_{ij} > 0$ ,  $q_{i,j+1} \geq q_{ij}$  if  $j < \lambda_i$ , and  $q_{i+1,j} > q_{ij}$  if  $j \leq \lambda_{i+1}$ , i.e., an array of positive integers of shape  $\lambda$  which is increasing nonstrictly along the rows and increasing strictly down the columns. The type of a GYT  $\pi$  is the composition  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$  of  $n$  (where  $\lambda \vdash n$ ), where  $\alpha_i$  is the number of times the integer  $i$  appears in  $\pi$ .

If  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$  is a composition of  $n$  with  $s \leq n$ , and  $\tau \in S_n$  (the symmetric group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ), then  $\tau \cdot \alpha$  is the composition of  $n$  whose parts are  $\alpha_i + \tau(i) - i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (where  $\alpha_i = 0$  if  $i > s$ ), if all these parts are nonnegative, and  $\tau \cdot \alpha$  is undefined otherwise. We also define  $\tau * \lambda$  to be the partition of  $n$  whose parts are  $\lambda_i + \tau(i) - i$  in nonincreasing order if all these parts are nonnegative, and  $\tau * \lambda$  is undefined otherwise.

Our inversion formula can now be stated.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f, g$  be mappings from  $\{\lambda \mid \lambda \vdash n\}$  to some field  $F$  of characteristic 0. Then*

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sign } \tau) g(\tau * \lambda) \leftrightarrow g(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} K_{\mu\lambda} f(\mu),$$

---

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 05A17; Secondary 05B20.

*Key words and phrases.* Composition, partition, conjugate partition, Young diagram, generalized Young tableau, partial ordering, incidence algebra.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1973

where  $K_{\mu\alpha}$  is the number of GYT  $\pi$  of shape  $\mu$  and type  $\alpha$ .

It should be noted that this formula follows immediately from the fact that  $e_\lambda = \sum_\tau (\text{sign } \tau) h_{\tau*\lambda}$  and  $h_\lambda = \sum_\mu K_{\mu\lambda} e_\mu$ , where the  $h$ 's and the  $e$ 's are respectively the complete homogeneous symmetric functions and the Schur functions, since  $\{h_\lambda | \lambda \vdash n\}$  and  $\{e_\lambda | \lambda \vdash n\}$  are linearly independent sets. However, since one of our uses of the theorem is to prove just this connection between the  $h$ 's and the  $e$ 's, we want to prove the theorem from first principles. To do this we state a number of lemmas and outline some of their proofs.

Let  $\leq$  be the partial ordering on  $\{\lambda \vdash n\}$  given by  $\lambda \leq \mu$  iff  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_i \leq \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_i \forall i$ .

LEMMA 1.  $K_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0$  implies  $\lambda \geq \mu$ , and  $K_{\lambda\lambda} = 1$ .

COROLLARY. The matrix  $(K_{\lambda\mu})$  is nonsingular (with determinant 1).

Let  $m(\alpha, \beta)$  be the number of matrices with entries 0 and 1 with the sum of the  $i$ th row being  $\alpha_i$ , the sum of the  $j$ th column being  $\beta_j$ .

LEMMA 2.  $m(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_\rho K_{\rho\lambda} K_{\rho\mu}$ .

PROOF. Knuth's dual correspondence gives a constructive proof of this fact.

COROLLARY. The matrix  $(m(\lambda, \mu))$  is nonsingular (with determinant  $\pm 1$ ).

PROOF. By Lemma 2,  $(m(\lambda, \mu)) = (K_{\lambda\mu})^T \cdot (K_{\tilde{\lambda}\mu})$ .  $(K_{\lambda\mu})$  has determinant 1, and  $(K_{\tilde{\lambda}\mu}) = P \cdot (K_{\lambda\mu})$ , where  $P$  is the permutation matrix of the permutation  $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$  (i.e.,  $P = (p_{\lambda\mu})$ , with  $p_{\lambda\mu} = 1$  if  $u = \tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $= 0$  if  $\mu \neq \tilde{\lambda}$ ).

LEMMA 3.  $K_{\lambda\alpha} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sign } \tau) m(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$ .

FIRST PROOF. We outline a proof as follows.

Let  $M(\alpha, \beta)$  be the set of 0-1 matrices with row sums  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ , column sums  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ . To  $A \in M(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$ , let  $(t, i, j)$  be the least triple (ordered lexicographically) such that  $i < j$  and  $\rho_i^{(t)} - \tau(i) = \rho_j^{(t)} - \tau(j)$ , where  $\rho_i^{(t)}$  is the sum of the first  $t$  entries in the  $i$ th row of  $A$ , if any such triple exists. If  $(t, i, j)$  exists, switch the first  $t$  entries of the  $i$ th row with those of the  $j$ th and call the resulting matrix  $B$ . The following facts can be shown to hold for the correspondence  $A \rightarrow B$ .

(i) If  $A \rightarrow B$  via the triple  $(t, i, j)$  and  $A \in M(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$ , then  $B \in M((\tau \circ (ij)) \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$ , so that the contributions of  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sign } \tau) m(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$  cancel each other out.

(ii) If  $A \rightarrow B$  then  $B \rightarrow A$ .

(iii) No triple  $(t, i, j)$  exists for  $A \in M(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$  iff  $\tau = 1$  (= identity in  $S_n$ ) and  $\rho_i^{(t)} - i > \rho_j^{(t)} - j$  for all triples  $(t, i, j)$  with  $i < j$ , or equivalently

$\tau = 1$  and  $\rho_i^{(t)} \geq \rho_i^{(t)} \forall (t, i, j)$  with  $i < j$ .

From (i), (ii), and (iii), it follows that  $\sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sign } \tau) m(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$  is the number of  $A \in M(\lambda, \alpha)$  satisfying the condition in (iii). Now to each such  $A \in M(\lambda, \alpha)$  associate the array  $\pi$  of shape  $\tilde{\lambda}$  and type  $\alpha$  letting the  $k$ th column of  $\pi$  consist of those  $j$  such that  $a_{kj} = 1$  (where  $A = (a_{ij})$ ), ordered in increasing fashion down the column. It is not difficult to show that  $\pi$  is a GYT, and that every GYT of shape  $\tilde{\lambda}$  and type  $\alpha$  arises in this way, proving the result.

SECOND PROOF. If  $a_k$  is the elementary symmetric function of degree  $k$  on variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and if  $\Delta = \det(x_i^{n-j})$ , we can obtain the equality in Lemma 3 by computing  $a_{\alpha_s} \cdot a_{\alpha_{s-1}} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{\alpha_1} \cdot \Delta$  in two different ways.

COROLLARY.  $K_{\tilde{\lambda}\alpha} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sign } \tau) m(\tau * \lambda, \alpha)$ .

PROOF.  $m(\alpha, \beta)$  does not depend on the order of the entries in the sequence  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$ , so  $m(\tau * \lambda, \alpha) = m(\tau \cdot \lambda, \alpha)$ .

It should be noted at this point that for the same reason as is given in the proof of the above corollary, it follows from Lemma 3 that  $K_{\lambda\alpha}$  does not depend on the order of the entries in  $\alpha$ .

Finally, we prove the theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM. It is easily shown that it suffices to find nonsingular matrices  $(a_{\lambda\mu}), (b_{\lambda\mu})$  such that  $a_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} (\text{sign } \tau) b_{\tau * \lambda, \mu}$  and  $b_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{\rho} K_{\rho\lambda} a_{\rho\mu}$ . But by what we have proved already, we can take  $a_{\lambda\mu} = K_{\lambda\mu}, b_{\lambda\mu} = m(\lambda, \mu)$ , so we are done.

Note. This inversion formula is similar to a Möbius inversion, for it is equivalent to the fact that the functions  $\phi(\lambda, \mu) = K_{\mu\lambda}$  and  $\psi(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\tau \in S_n \text{ s.t. } \tau * \lambda = \mu} (\text{sign } \tau)$  are inverse to each other in the incidence algebra of  $\{\lambda | \lambda \vdash n\}$  with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Philip Hall, *The algebra of partitions*, Proc. Fourth Canad. Math. Congr. (Banff 1957), 1959, pp. 147–159.
2. D. Knuth, *Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young tableaux*, Pacific J. Math. **34** (1970), 709–727. MR **42** # 7535.
3. C. Schensted, *Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences*, Canad. J. Math. **13** (1961), 179–191. MR **22** # 12047.
4. M. P. Schützenberger, *Quelques remarques sur une construction de Schensted*, Math. Scand. **12** (1963), 117–128. MR **32** # 7433.
5. R. P. Stanley, *Theory and applications of plane partitions. I*, Studies in Appl. Math. **50** (1971), 167–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139