## DUALITY OF MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONALS

BY R. K. GETOOR1

Communicated by H. P. McKean, Jr., April 6, 1970

1. Introduction. Suppose X and  $\hat{X}$  is a pair of standard processes in duality relative to a Radon measure  $\xi$ . We refer the reader to [1] for all terminology and notation not explicitly defined here. In particular  $(U^{\alpha})$  and  $(\hat{U}^{\alpha})$  denote the resolvents of X and  $\hat{X}$  respectively and the  $\alpha$ -potential kernel  $u^{\alpha}(x, y)$  satisfies

$$U^{\alpha}(x, dy) = u^{\alpha}(x, y)dy, \qquad \hat{U}^{\alpha}(x, dy) = u^{\alpha}(y, x)dy.$$

Here  $dy = \xi(dy)$ . We make no regularity assumptions on the resolvents of X and  $\hat{X}$ . One of the most important properties of such dual processes is (VI-1.16) (all such references are to [1]) which states that if A is a Borel set then for all  $\alpha \ge 0$  and x, y

$$(1.1) P_A^{\alpha} u^{\alpha}(x, y) = u^{\alpha} \hat{P}_A^{\alpha}(x, y).$$

This result which is due to Hunt says that the process X killed at the time it first hits A and the process  $\hat{X}$  killed when it first hits A are in duality. In particular if we define

$$Q_t f(x) = E^x \{ f(X_t); t < T_A \}$$
 and  $\hat{Q}_t f(x) = \hat{E}^x \{ f(X_t); t < T_A \}$ 

(for typographical reasons we will omit the hat "^" in those places where it is obviously required—see the remark on p. 262 of [1]), then it is a standard observation that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(Q_t f, g) = (f, \hat{Q}_t g)$$

for all  $t \ge 0$  and for all continuous functions with compact support, f and g. Here  $(\phi, \psi) = \int \phi(x) \psi(x) dx$ .

The purpose of this paper is to announce an extension of (1.2) and (1.1) to a more general class of multiplicative functionals than those of the form  $M_{\iota} = I_{[0,T_A)}(t)$ . Our basic result is that if M is an exact MF (multiplicative functional) of X then there exists a unique exact MF,  $\hat{M}$ , of  $\hat{X}$  such that (1.2) holds where  $\{Q_{\iota}\}$  and  $\{\hat{Q}_{\iota}\}$  are the semigroups generated by M and  $\hat{M}$  respectively and that an appropriate

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 6062; Secondary 6060.

Key words and phrases. Markov-process, multiplicative functional, dual process, additive functional.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was partially sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under AFOSR Grant AF-AFOSR 1261-67.

analogue of (1.1) also holds. Actually the existence of such an  $\hat{M}$  is an easy consequence of a result of Meyer [3] and undoubtedly is known to many people. Our key result is the fact that this correspondence is multiplicative, that is  $(MN)^{\hat{}} = \hat{M}\hat{N}$ , and it is this fact that turns the above correspondence into a useful tool. In particular this gives a new proof of some recent results of Revuz [4]. Detailed proofs and applications will appear elsewhere.

2. Description of results. Let  $M = (M_i)$  be an MF of X; throughout this paper all MF's are assumed to be right continuous, to satisfy  $0 \le M_i \le 1$ , and to vanish on the interval  $[\zeta, \infty]$ . Moreover equality between MF's will always mean equivalence. See [1, III-1.6].

Let  $Q_t f(x) = E^x \{ f(X_t) M_t \}$  for  $t \ge 0$  and

$$V^{\alpha}f(x) = E^{x} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_{t}) M_{t} dt \right\}$$

for  $\alpha \ge 0$ , so that  $(Q_t)$  and  $(V^{\alpha})$  denote the semigroup and resolvent generated by M. For each  $\alpha \ge 0$ , define

$$P_M^{\alpha}f(x) = -E^x \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} f(X_t) dM_t \right\} \quad \text{if } x \in E_M,$$

$$= f(x) \quad \text{if } x \notin E_M.$$

Here  $E_M = \{x: P^x(M_0 = 1) = 1\}$  is the set of permanent points of M. It is well known and easy to check that, at least for  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$(2.1) U^{\alpha} - V^{\alpha} = P_{M}^{\alpha} U^{\alpha}.$$

From here on we assume that X and  $\hat{X}$  are standard processes in duality relative to a Radon measure  $\xi(dx) = dx$ . Then using standard techniques one obtains a function  $v^{\alpha}(x, y)$  such that  $V^{\alpha}f(x) = \int v^{\alpha}(x, y)f(y)dy$  and

(2.2) 
$$u^{\alpha}(x, y) = v^{\alpha}(x, y) + P_{M}^{\alpha}u^{\alpha}(x, y).$$

If we now define  $\hat{V}^{\alpha}f(x) = \int v^{\alpha}(y, x)f(y)dy$ , it is easy to check, see Meyer [3], that  $(\hat{V}^{\alpha})$  is a resolvent exactly subordinate to  $(\hat{U}^{\alpha})$ . Consequently it follows from results of Meyer, [3] and [1, III-2.3], that there exists an exact MF,  $\hat{M}$ , of  $\hat{X}$  which generates  $(\hat{V}^{\alpha})$ . We will write  $\hat{P}_{\hat{M}}^{\alpha}$  instead of  $\hat{P}_{\hat{M}}^{\alpha}$  for the operator associated with  $\hat{M}$ , and  $\hat{P}_{\hat{M}}^{\alpha}(dy, x)$  for the corresponding measure. This discussion leads to the following theorem.

(2.3) THEOREM. If M is an exact MF of X, then there exists a unique exact MF,  $\hat{M}$ , of  $\hat{X}$  such that

$$(2.4) P_M^{\alpha} u^{\alpha}(x, y) = u^{\alpha} \hat{P}_M^{\alpha}(x, y),$$

which is equivalent to  $(V^{\alpha}f, g) = (f, \hat{V}^{\alpha}g)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  and  $f, g \in C_K^+$ . Moreover the mapping  $M \to \hat{M}$  is bijective (from the class of exact MF's of X to the class of exact MF's of  $\hat{X}$ ). If  $\hat{E}_M$  is the set of permanent points of  $\hat{M}$ , then  $E_M \triangle \hat{E}_M$  is semipolar. Also  $E_M - \hat{E}_M$  is polar relative to (X, M), and so if M does not vanish on  $[0, \zeta)$  then  $E - \hat{E}_M$  is polar.

- (2.5) THEOREM. The map  $M \to \hat{M}$  is multiplicative in the sense that if M and N are exact MF's of X, then  $(MN)^{\hat{}} = \hat{M} \hat{N}$ .
- (2.6) COROLLARY. If T is an exact terminal time for X, then there exists a unique exact terminal time  $\hat{T}$  for  $\hat{X}$  such that for all  $\alpha \ge 0$ ,  $P_T^{\alpha}u^{\alpha}(x, y) = u^{\alpha}\hat{P}_T^{\alpha}(x, y)$ .

It follows from (1.1) that if A is a Borel set and  $T = T_A$  then  $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_A$ . It is also fairly easy to check that if h is a bounded nonnegative Borel function and  $M_i = \exp(-\int_0^i h(\hat{X}_s)ds)$ , then  $\hat{M}_i = \exp(-\int_0^i h(\hat{X}_s)ds)$ . Combining these remarks with (2.5) and using an easy passage to the limit one obtains the full strength of the duality relationships proved by Hunt [2].

Let  $S = \inf\{t: M_t = 0\}$  and  $\hat{S} = \inf\{t: \hat{M}_t = 0\}$ . Then S and  $\hat{S}$  are dual terminal times, although they need not be exact. We will say that M is continuous provided  $t \rightarrow M_t$  is continuous on [0, S) almost surely, and that M is natural provided  $t \rightarrow M_t$  and  $t \rightarrow X_t$  have no common discontinuities on [0, S) almost surely. With these definitions we have the following theorem.

(2.7) Theorem. If M is continuous, then  $\hat{M}$  is continuous. If M is natural, then  $\hat{M}$  is natural.

The following corollaries are closely related to some recent results of Revuz [4].

- (2.8) COROLLARY. Let A be a continuous additive function of X that is finite on  $[0, \zeta)$  almost surely, and let  $M_{\iota} = \exp[-A_{\iota}]$ . Then there is a unique continuous additive functional  $\hat{A}$  of  $\hat{X}$  restricted to  $\hat{E}_{M}$   $(E E_{M}$  is polar in this case) such that  $(f, U_{A}^{\alpha}V^{\alpha}g) = (\hat{U}_{A}^{\alpha}\hat{V}^{\alpha}f, g)$ .
- In [4] Revuz associates a measure  $\nu_A$  with any additive functional A.
  - (2.9) COROLLARY. Let A be as in (2.8). Then  $\nu_A = \nu_A^2$ .

It is known from Revuz's work that  $\nu_A$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and does not charge semipolar sets for A as above. If, in addition, A has a finite

 $\alpha$ -potential, then  $U_A^{\alpha}(x, dy) = u^{\alpha}(x, y)\nu_A(dy)$  for all x and  $\hat{U}_A^{\alpha}(x, dy) = \nu_A(dy)u^{\alpha}(y, x)$  for  $x \in \hat{E}_M$ . These last results can be extended to natural additive functionals under some additional restrictions.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Getoor, Markov processes and potential theory, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- 2. G. A. Hunt, Markoff processes and potentials. III, Illinois J. Math. 2 (1958), 151-213. MR 21 #5824.
- 3. P. A. Meyer, Semi-groupes en dualité, Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel, 1960/61, Faculté des Sciences de Paris, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1961. MR 28 #2031.
- 4. D. Revuz, Mesures associées aux fonctionnelles additives de Markov, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 501-531.

University of California, San Diego, California 92037