

RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

The purpose of this department is to provide early announcement of significant new results, with some indications of proof. Although ordinarily a research announcement should be a brief summary of a paper to be published in full elsewhere, papers giving complete proofs of results of exceptional interest are also solicited. Manuscripts more than eight typewritten double spaced pages long will not be considered as acceptable. All papers to be communicated by a Council member should be sent directly to M. H. Protter, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.

HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS INTO TIGHT MANIFOLDS

BY DONALD A. EISENMAN

Communicated by Richard Palais, May 15, 1969

This paper gives an extension (*Proposition 3*) of *Theorem C* of H. Wu's paper [4], as well as a few other results. The terminology will be that of [4].

If M and N are complex manifolds $A(M, N)$ will denote the set of holomorphic mappings between M and N . It is a topological space under the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of M . If f_i is a sequence in $A(M, N)$ and g is in $A(M, N)$ then $f_i \rightarrow g$ will mean that the f_i 's converge to g in this topology. A pair (N, d) , where N is a complex manifold and d is a distance on N , will be called *tight* iff $A(M, N)$ is equicontinuous with respect to d for all complex manifolds M . In fact (N, d) is tight iff $A(B^n, N)$ is equicontinuous with respect to d , where B^n here denotes the unit ball in C^n . For details see *Part I* of [4].

Our basic lemma, interesting for its own sake, is

PROPOSITION 1. *Let M be a connected complex manifold, U an open subset of M , and (N, d) be tight. For $f \in A(M, N)$ define $i_U(f) \in A(U, N)$ to be the restriction of f to U . Then i_U is a homeomorphism of $A(M, N)$ into $A(U, N)$.*

PROOF. i_U is one-to-one because U is open in M . If $f_i \rightarrow g$ in $A(M, N)$ it is clear that $i_U(f_i) \rightarrow i_U(g)$. Thus i_U is continuous, and it remains only to show that $i_U(f_i) \rightarrow i_U(g)$ in $A(U, N)$ implies that $f_i \rightarrow g$ in $A(M, N)$.

Suppose $i_U(f_i) \rightarrow i_U(g)$ in $A(U, N)$. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \{V \subset M: V \text{ open in } M \text{ and } i_V(f_i) \rightarrow i_V(g) \text{ in } A(V, N)\}$. Partially order \mathfrak{u} by inclusion. If $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset \dots$ is a totally ordered chain in \mathfrak{u} , it is clear that $V = \cup V_j$ is a member of \mathfrak{u} . Since $U \in \mathfrak{u}$, \mathfrak{u} is not empty, so Zorn's Lemma implies that \mathfrak{u} contains maximal elements. Let U_0 be one such. We will show that $U_0 = M$.

If not, $\partial U_0 = \overline{U_0} - U_0$ is not empty. Let $x \in \partial U_0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since N

is tight there is a neighborhood, V , of x such that $y \in V$ implies $d(h(x), h(y)) < \epsilon/3$ for all holomorphic mappings $h: M \rightarrow N$. Pick such a $y \in V \cap U_0$ and pick i_0 such that $i > i_0$ implies $d(f_i(y), g(y)) < \epsilon/3$. Then $i > i_0$ implies that

$$d(f_i(x), g(x)) \leq d(f_i(x), f_i(y)) + d(f_i(y), g(y)) + d(g(y), g(x)) < \epsilon.$$

This shows that $f_i(x) \rightarrow g(x)$.

Let B be a *taut* (see [4, p. 199]) neighborhood of $g(x)$ in N . Since M is tight and $f_i(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ there is a connected neighborhood, W , of x in M such that $f_i(W) \subset B$ for large i . Now the set of holomorphic mappings from W to B , $A(W, B)$ is a *normal family* [4, p. 197]. If $\{i(j)\}$ is any subsequence of Z^+ then $f_{i(j)}(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ and it follows that there is a subsequence $\{j(s)\}$ of Z^+ such that $i_W(f_{i(j(s))}) \rightarrow h$, where h is a member of $A(W, B)$. But $W \cap U_0$ is open, so the h must coincide with g on $W \cap U_0$, and hence $h = i_W(g)$. Thus $i_W(f_i) \rightarrow i_W(g)$ and $i_{W \cup U_0}(f_i) \rightarrow i_{W \cup U_0}(g)$, so U_0 is not maximal, a contradiction. Hence $U_0 = M$ and $f_i \rightarrow g$ in $A(M, N)$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 1 is not true for general complex manifolds. Let $M = N = C$, the complex plane, and $U = B^1$, the open unit disk. For n a positive integer, define $f_n(z) = (1 - 1/n)z + (1/n)z^n$. f_n approaches the identity uniformly on compact subsets of B^1 , but $f_n(z) \rightarrow \infty$ for $|z| > 1$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let (M, d) be a tight manifold and $U \subset M$ be open. Suppose $f: M \rightarrow M$ is holomorphic and for some subsequence, $\{i(s)\}$ of Z^+ , $i_U(f^{i(s)}) \rightarrow \text{id}_U$. Then f is an automorphism of M .*

PROOF. By *Proposition 1*, $f^{i(s)} \rightarrow \text{id}$ on M . This gives the conclusion by repeating verbatim the argument at the end of the proof of *Theorem C* [4, p. 208]. Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let M be a tight manifold with respect to some distance d , $p \in M$, and $f: M \rightarrow M$ holomorphic with $f(p) = p$. Then*

- (i) $|\det df_p| \leq 1$,
- (ii) df_p is the identity matrix iff f is the identity on M ,
- (iii) $|\det df_p| = 1$ iff f is an automorphism of M .

PROOF. Let W be a *taut* [4, p. 199] neighborhood of p which is contained in some coordinate neighborhood of p . By equicontinuity there is a neighborhood, U , of p in M such that $g(U) \subset W$ for any holomorphic mapping $g: M \rightarrow M$. We may suppose in fact that U is an open ball in the coordinates about p . Now (i), (ii) and the \Leftarrow part of (iii) are proved exactly as in the proof of *Theorem C* [4, pp. 205, 206].

For the remainder of (iii) it follows as on p. 207 of [4] that there

is a subsequence, $\{i(s)\}$ of Z^+ such that $i_U(f^{i(s)}) \rightarrow \text{id}_U$. Now *Corollary 2* shows f is an automorphism of M . Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let M be a tight complex manifold, U be open and relatively compact in M , $f: M \rightarrow M$ holomorphic, and $i_U(f)$ an automorphism of U . Then f is an automorphism of M .*

PROOF. M is tight iff M is hyperbolic, in the sense of Kobayashi [3, p. 465]. This is shown in [1, Part II: 3.8]. For $r > 0$, let

$$U_r = \{x \in U: \kappa(x, \partial U) > r\}.$$

(Here κ is the Kobayashi distance on M .) If $x \in U_r$, $x = f(y)$ for some $y \in U$, and $\kappa(y, U) \geq \kappa(x, f(\partial U)) \geq \kappa(x, \partial U) > r$. Thus $U_r \subset f(U_r)$, and by [1, Part III: 1.5], $f(U_r) = U_r$ and $i_{U_r}(f)$ is an automorphism of U_r .

We can choose a subsequence of Z^+ , $\{i(m)\}$, and points y_m in U_r such that $\kappa(f^{i(m)}(y_m), y_m) < 1/m$ for each m . Since \bar{U}_r is compact in U we may pass to a subsequence and assume $y_m \rightarrow p$, where p is some point in \bar{U}_r .

Now for $x \in U_r$, x is in U_s for some $s > 0$, and by the argument in the first paragraph of this proof, $f^i(x) \in U_s$ for all positive integers i . Since \bar{U}_s is compact in U , $\{f^{i(m)}(x)\}$ is relatively compact in U . Since $f^{i(m)}$ is an equicontinuous family with respect to κ , it follows from the Ascoli Theorem that there is a subsequence of $\{i(m)\}$, which we shall again denote by $\{i(m)\}$, such that $i_U(f^{i(m)}) \rightarrow g$ in U , where g is a holomorphic mapping from U to itself, and $g(p) = p$. Since $i_{U_r}(f^i)$ is an automorphism of U_r for each $r > 0$ and each positive integer i , it follows from the relative compactness of U_r in U that $g(U_r) = U_r$ for each $r > 0$, and [1, Part III: 1.5] shows that g is an automorphism of U_r for each $r > 0$. Hence g is an automorphism of U .

By *Proposition 3*, $|\det dg_p| = 1$. From this and the argument on p. 207 of [4] it follows that for some subsequence, $\{k(s)\}$, of $Z^+gk(s) \rightarrow \text{id}_U$. It is now easily seen that for some subsequence of Z^+ , $\{i(s)\}$, $i_U(f^{i(s)}) \rightarrow \text{id}_U$, and *Corollary 2* shows that f is an automorphism of M . Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. Donald A. Eisenman, *Intrinsic measures and holomorphic mappings on complex manifolds*, Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1969. (To appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.)
2. Peter Kiernan, *Tight and taut complex manifolds are hyperbolic*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
3. Shoshichi Kobayashi, *Invariant distances on complex manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 19 (1967), 460–480. MR 38 #736.
4. H. Wu, *Normal families of holomorphic mappings*, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 193–233. MR 37 #468.