

# A COUNTABILITY CONDITION FOR PRIMARY GROUPS PRESENTED BY RELATIONS OF LENGTH TWO<sup>1</sup>

BY PAUL HILL

Communicated by Dana Scott, October 4, 1968

A subgroup  $A$  of the  $p$ -primary group  $G$  is *nice* if  $p^\alpha(G/A) = \{p^\alpha G, A\}/A$  for all ordinals  $\alpha$ . We consider the following countability condition: there exists a collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of nice subgroups of  $G$  such that

- (0)  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed with respect to group-theoretic union in  $G$ .
- (2) If  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\{A, H\}/A$  is countable, there exists  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $B \supseteq \{A, H\}$  and such that  $B/A$  is countable.

The author [1] has referred to this condition as the *third axiom of countability* and has demonstrated that this is the countability condition—not the *first axiom* (countability) nor the *second axiom* (decomposition into a direct sum of countable groups)—which is truly relevant for the proof of Ulm’s theorem.

In this note, we outline a short proof of

**THEOREM.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a  $p$ -primary group presented by an arbitrary number of generators  $x_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and relations  $R_m$  ( $m \in M$ ). If each relation  $R_m$  involves at most two generators, then  $G$  satisfies the third axiom of countability.*

**PROOF.** There is, of course, no loss of generality in assuming that the index sets  $I$  and  $M$  both contain an element denoted by 0 and that the relation  $R_0$  is:  $x_0 = 0$ . By adding repeatedly, if necessary, new generators  $y_i$  subject to defining relations of the form  $px_i = y_i$ , we may assume that for each  $i \neq 0$  in  $I$  that there exists a relation  $R_m$  of the form  $px_i = x_j$ . Since each element of  $G$  has order equal to a power of  $p$ , we may in fact assume that given any generator  $x_1 \neq x_0$  having order  $p^n$  in  $G$  that there exists a finite chain  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1} = x_0$  of generators such that  $px_i = x_{i+1}$  is one of the given relations. Furthermore, by deleting certain redundancies in both generators and relations we may assume, in the end, that each relation  $R_m$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , is precisely of the form  $px_i = x_j$  where  $i \neq j$ . For a quick verification of this, note that if

$$(1) \quad \underline{rx_i = sx_j \text{ where } i \neq j \text{ and } (r, p) = 1}$$

<sup>1</sup> The author acknowledges NSF support under Grant GP-8833.

then  $x_i = tx_j$  for some integer  $t$  and that the generator  $x_i$  is redundant for the presentation of  $G$ —with relations involving at most two generators. Having removed the redundancy (1) from the presentation of  $G$ , we observe that each relation  $R_m$ ,  $m \neq 0$ , is implied by the given relations of the form  $px_i = x_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $x_0 = 0$ . Consider the relation

$$R_m: rx_i = sx_j,$$

where  $r$  and  $s$  are integers. This relation is equivalent to, in the presence of the relations  $px_i = x_j$  and  $x_0 = 0$ , a relation of the form

$$R'_m: qx_\lambda = tx_\mu \quad \text{where } (q, p) = 1;$$

even if  $r = 0$ ,  $rx_i = 1x_0$ . The denial of (1) implies that  $\lambda = \mu$ , so the relation  $R_n$  gives, beyond the information already given by the relations  $px_i = x_j$  and  $x_0 = 0$ , information only about the order of some generator  $x_\lambda$ . However, the order of each generator  $x_i$  is already determined by the relations  $px_i = x_j$  and  $x_0 = 0$ .

We have shown that  $G$  can be presented by generators  $x_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and relations of the form  $px_i = x_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $x_0 = 0$  such that

- (i) if  $i \neq 0$  is in  $I$ , there exists  $j \in I$  such that  $px_i = x_j$  is a relation;
- (ii) there is no redundancy of the form (1).

The proof of the theorem is clearly finished by the following lemma— $G$  has plenty of nice subgroups.

LEMMA. *Suppose that the primary group  $G$  is presented by generators  $x_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and relations as described above. Let  $J \subseteq I$  and let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the generators  $x_j$ ,  $j \in J$ . Then  $H$  is a nice subgroup of  $G$ .*

PROOF. Define inductively for each ordinal  $\alpha$  a subset  $I_\alpha$  of  $I$  in the following way:  $I_0 = I$ ,

$$I_{\alpha+1} = [i \in I: px_j = x_i \quad \text{for some } j \in I_\alpha]$$

and

$$I_\beta = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} I_\alpha \quad \text{if } \beta \text{ is a limit ordinal.}$$

It is easy to prove inductively that  $p^\alpha G = \{x_i\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ . In order to show that  $H$  is a nice subgroup of  $G$ , we need to prove  $p^\alpha(G/H) = \{p^\alpha G, H\}/H$  for all  $\alpha$ . This, too, is an inductive argument and the nonlimit case is trivial. Suppose that  $\beta$  is a limit and assume that  $p^\alpha(G/H) = \{p^\alpha G, H\}/H$  for all  $\alpha < \beta$ . Let  $x + H \in p^\beta(G/H)$ . We may assume that  $i \in J$  if  $px_i = x_j$  where  $j \in J$ . Write

$$x = \sum_{j \in J} t_j x_j + \sum_{k \in K} t_k x_k, \quad \text{where } (t_i, p) = 1$$

and  $K$  is disjoint from  $J$ . By the induction hypothesis, for each  $\alpha < \beta$ , there exists  $h^\alpha = \sum_{j \in J} s_j^\alpha x_j$  in  $H$  such that  $x + h^\alpha \in p^\alpha G = \{x_i\}_{i \in I_\alpha}$ . Thus

$$\sum_{j \in J} (t_j + s_j^\alpha) x_j + \sum_{k \in K} t_k x_k = \sum_{i \in I_\alpha} r_i x_i.$$

Since  $(t_k, p) = 1$  for each  $k \in K$ , it follows immediately from the limited substitution,  $px_i = x_j$ , one can make on generators that  $k \in I_\alpha$  for each  $k \in K$ . Hence  $k \in I_\beta = \bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} I_\alpha$  for each  $k \in K$ . Defining  $x' = \sum_{k \in K} t_k x_k$ , we have that  $x' \in p^\beta G$  and  $x + H = x' + H \in \{p^\beta G, H\} / H$ . This verifies that  $H$  is nice in  $G$ .

In connection with our result, the reader's attention is called to [2]; the connection should be obvious.

#### REFERENCES

1. Paul Hill, *Ulm's theorem for totally projective groups*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1967), 940.
2. Peter Crawley and Alfred Hales, *The structure of torsion abelian groups given by presentations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 954-956.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306