

## RULED SURFACES AND THE ALBANESE MAPPING

BY ARTHUR MATTUCK<sup>1</sup>

Communicated March 17, 1969

1. Much of the classical theory of algebraic curves is summarized by saying there is a map  $C(n) \rightarrow J$  from the  $n$ -fold symmetric product of the curve  $C$  into an abelian variety  $J$ , the Jacobian, and the fibers are projective spaces (representing the linear systems of degree  $n$ ). For algebraic surfaces there is an analogous map  $V(n) \rightarrow A$  from the  $n$ -fold symmetric product of the surface  $V$  to its Albanese variety. The fibers are irreducible and regular if  $n$  is large, but it has been a long open question whether they are rational, or ever can be.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $V$  be a complete nonsingular surface in characteristic zero, and let  $q$  denote the dimension of its Albanese variety  $A$ . If for some  $n > q$  the general fiber of the morphism  $V(n) \rightarrow A$  is a rational variety, then  $V$  is a ruled surface.*

By the "general" fiber we mean as usual that there is an open set in  $A$  over which all fibers have the indicated property. If  $V$  is ruled, i.e., birationally equivalent to the product  $P^1 \times C$  of a projective line and a curve  $C$ , then the general fiber is rational for all  $n$ : for this converse to the theorem, one needs only the quoted result for curves plus the remark that then the Albanese variety of  $V$  is just the Jacobian of  $C$ . A proof of the theorem when  $q = 0$  was the subject of an earlier paper [2], some of whose ideas recur here. There is also overlap with a recent (independent) proof by Mumford [3] that the rational equivalence ring is not of finite type; both proofs use the idea of bounding the dimension of the zero-locus of a 2-form.

2. **A generic smoothness lemma.** We need the

**LEMMA.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a dominating morphism of varieties in characteristic zero, with  $X$  nonsingular and projective. Then  $f$  has maximal rank along the general fiber  $F_y$ , so  $F_y$  is nonsingular.*

**PROOF.** The lemma is local on  $Y$ ; by Noether normalization we may reduce to the case where  $Y$  is affine  $r$ -space, with coordinate functions  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . As  $a_1$  varies over the (algebraically closed) ground field, the zeros of  $x_1 - a_1$  on  $X$  give a linear system of divisors on  $X$ ; by Bertini's theorem, a general member—say  $X_1$ —is a disjoint union of

---

<sup>1</sup> Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

nonsingular varieties; each has multiplicity one and no embedded components. In the same way the zeros of  $x_2 - a_2$  on  $X_1$  give a linear system on  $X_1$  whose general member is nonsingular, etc. Continuing, we see that there exist fibers  $f^{-1}(a)$  along which  $f$  is of maximal rank. This then follows for the general fiber since  $f$  being of maximal rank is an open condition on  $Y$ : it is clearly open on  $X$  and  $f$  is proper.

For the proof of our theorem, we may replace the singular variety  $V(n)$  by a canonical desingularization, the Hilbert scheme  $H(n)$ . This is [1] a nonsingular  $2n$ -dimensional projective variety with a birational morphism  $h_n: H(n) \rightarrow V(n)$ . This gives a corresponding morphism  $H(n) \rightarrow A$ , whose general fiber is pure  $(2n - q)$ -dimensional by dimension theory, and it is easily seen that  $h_n$  is an isomorphism on an open subset of each component of the general fiber. Thus our hypotheses together with the lemma imply that

(1) *For some  $n > q$ , the general fiber of  $H(n) \rightarrow A$  is a nonsingular rational variety.*

**3. Differential forms of weight  $r$ .** Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional variety, with function field  $K = k(X)$ , and let  $E^p$  be the  $K$ -space of  $p$ -forms on  $X$ ; then the  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ -forms are the elements of  $E^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes E^{m_r}$ ; if all  $m_i = m$ , they are called the  $m$ -forms of weight  $r$ . These forms are *holomorphic at  $p \in X$*  if the coefficients are holomorphic when the form is written in terms of  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ , where the  $x_i$  are local parameters at  $p$ . Thus if  $X$  is also complete, the number of independent global holomorphic  $(m_1, \dots, m_r)$ -forms is given by  $h^{m_1, \dots, m_r} = \dim H^0(X, \Omega^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega^{m_r})$ , where  $\Omega^k$  is the sheaf of holomorphic  $k$ -forms. If  $m_i = n$  for all  $i$ ,  $h^n, \dots, h^n$  is traditionally written  $P_r(X)$ , and called the  *$r$ th plurigenus* of  $X$ . These are all birational invariants for  $X$  complete nonsingular, for

(2) *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a dominating, separable, rational map of complete nonsingular varieties, then  $h^{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X) \geq h^{m_1, \dots, m_r}(Y)$ .*

The reasoning is classical. If  $\alpha$  is a holomorphic form on  $Y$ , then  $f^*\alpha$  is a form of the same type on  $X$  which is nonzero (separability); holomorphic outside a locus of codimension  $\geq 2$  (the fundamental locus), therefore holomorphic everywhere (nonsingularity of  $X$ ).

**PROPOSITION.** *If  $X$  is a nonsingular rational (or unirational) variety, then  $h^{m_1, \dots, m_r}(X) = 0$  for all  $(m_1, \dots, m_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove this when  $X$  is projective  $n$ -space, by (2). Let  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  be projective coordinates, and  $g: A - (0) \rightarrow X$  the usual map of affine  $(n + 1)$ -space minus the origin onto projective

space. If  $\alpha$  is a holomorphic form on  $X$ , then  $g^*\alpha$  is holomorphic on  $A - (0)$ , therefore on  $A$  since  $\text{cod } (0) \geq 2$ . Written in terms of  $x_i$ , its coefficients are thus polynomials; since it is invariant under the automorphisms of  $A$  defined by  $x_i \rightarrow cx_i$ , we get all  $m_i = 0$ .

**4. Proof of the theorem.** By a well-known result (see e.g. [4]), if  $V$  is a complete nonsingular surface in characteristic zero, then  $V$  is ruled if and only if  $P_r(V) = 0$  for all  $r > 0$ . So we prove:

(3) *If for some  $r$ ,  $V$  carries a nonzero holomorphic 2-form  $\phi$  of weight  $r$ , then the general fiber of  $H(n) \rightarrow A$  is not rational.*

Let  $V[n]$  be the  $n$ -fold product; given such a  $\phi$ , then

$$(4) \quad \Phi = \phi_1 + \dots + \phi_n, \quad \phi_i = \text{pr}_i^* \phi$$

is a holomorphic 2-form of weight  $r$  on  $V[n]$ ; since it is invariant under the symmetric group  $S_n$ , it is the lifting of a form on  $V(n)$ , and this in turn may be carried over to  $H(n)$ . We use the same letter  $\Phi$  for any of these forms. If we grant that  $\Phi$  is holomorphic on  $H(n)$ —this will be proved later—then the restriction  $\Phi_F$  of  $\Phi$  to a (nonsingular) general fiber  $F$  of  $H(n) \rightarrow A$  gives a holomorphic 2-form of weight  $r$  on  $F$ . If  $F$  were rational, then  $\Phi_F = 0$  by the proposition. But if we pull things back to  $V[n]$ , this contradicts

(5) *If  $n > q$ , the restriction of  $\Phi$  to the general fiber of  $V[n] \rightarrow A$  is not zero.*

PROOF OF (5). Let  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  be a general point of  $V[n]$ ,  $F$  the fiber through it,  $T_{p,F}$  the tangent space to  $F$  at  $p$ . We say

$$(6) \quad \sigma_i: T_{p,F} \rightarrow T_{p_i,V} \text{ is onto for all } i \ (\sigma_i = d(\text{pr}_i|_F)).$$

Namely, let  $S_i$  be the closure of the set of  $q \in V[n]$  which are either singular points of the fiber  $F_q$  through them or else where  $\sigma_i$  is not onto, i.e., has rank  $\leq 1$ . Since  $\dim T_{p,F} = 2n - q > n$ , this space cannot be mapped to a 1-dimensional space by each of the  $n$  maps  $\sigma_i$ . Say  $\sigma_1$  has rank 2; introducing coordinates, we see that rank  $\sigma_1 = 2$  in a neighborhood of  $p$ . Thus  $p \notin S_1$ , so  $S_1$  is a proper closed set. It follows by symmetry that  $S_i$  is a proper closed set, and therefore  $p \notin S_i$  for any  $i$ , which is the assertion (6).

From (6) it follows that for each  $i$ , we can choose vectors  $t_i, t'_i$  in  $T_{p,F}$  whose images under  $\sigma_i$  are independent. Taking general linear combinations of the  $t_i$  and of the  $t'_i$ , we conclude

(7) *There are vectors  $t, t'$  in  $T_{p,F}$  such that  $\sigma_i(t)$  and  $\sigma_i(t')$  are independent for all  $i$ .*

We now prove (5). Choose  $x$  and  $y$  to be local parameters at each point  $p_i$ ; thus  $\phi = g(dx dy) \Big|_{\otimes^r}$ , where  $g(p_i) = a_i \neq 0$  since  $p_i$  is a general point of  $V$ . By (7),  $\langle dx dy, (\sigma_i(t), \sigma_i(t')) \rangle = b_i \neq 0$ . On the space  $T_{p, V[n]}$ , by (4) the form  $\Phi = \sum a_i (dx_i dy_i) \Big|_{\otimes^r}$ . If  $\Phi$  were 0 when restricted to the subspace  $T_{p, F}$ , then for  $e, e' \in T_{p, F}$ ,

$$\langle \Phi, (e, e', t, t', \dots, t, t') \rangle = \sum a_i \langle dx_i dy_i, (e, e') \rangle b_i^{r-1} = 0.$$

Our hypothesis is that  $\dim T_{p, F} > n$ . If we put in  $n+1$  linearly independent vectors for  $e'$ , we get from the above  $n+1$  independent linear equations in  $2n$  variables (the coefficients of  $e$ ), having at least  $n+1$  independent solution vectors  $e$ , a contradiction.

We still must show  $\Phi$  is holomorphic on  $H(n)$ . Let  $X$  be the normalization of  $H(n)$  in the function field of  $V[n]$ . Then the symmetric group  $S_n$  acts as automorphisms of  $X$  and  $H(n)$  is the quotient  $X/S_n$ . Since  $\Phi$  is holomorphic on  $V[n]$ , when viewed as a differential  $\Phi'$  on the normal and birationally equivalent variety  $X$ , it will have no poles. Therefore on  $H(n)$ , its trace  $\text{tr}_{X|H(n)} \Phi'$  will also have no poles; but  $(1/n!) \text{tr} \Phi' = \Phi$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Fogarty, *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 511-521.
2. A. Mattuck, *On the symmetric product of a rational surface*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 638-688.
3. D. Mumford, *Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces*, Kyoto J. Math. (to appear).
4. I. R. Šafarevič et al., *Algebraic surfaces*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **75** (1965) = Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **75** (1965).

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139